A quantitative study on morphological responses of osteoblastic cells to fluid shear stress
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Fluid shear stress (FSS) is widely explored regarding its influence on osteoblasts. In vitro studies have shown that the cytoskeleton is very important in cellular responses to FSS. However, morphological changes, which would reflect the cytoskeleton changes as well as other cellular responses, were rarely quantitatively studied in the past years. Therefore, FSS-induced morphological changes in osteoblasts were quantified in this study. Real-time rapid morphological responses were observed by exposing osteoblasts to FSS with magnitude of 1.2, 1.6, and 1.9 Pa for 1 h. Afterward, osteoblast actin cytoskeleton was labeled with rhodamine phalloidin and observed using fluorescence microscopy. The results showed that 1.6 and 1.9 Pa FSS resulted in significant cellular elongation and reorientation along the direction of fluid flow. Besides, along with the enhancement of FSS magnitude, cytoskeleton aggregated more remarkably. Furthermore, extracellular Ca\(^{2+}\)-depleted fluid flow was also used to stimulate osteoblasts with magnitude of 1.6 and 1.9 Pa. No morphological change was observed after removing extracellular calcium. Our study suggested that the level of FSS from 1.2 to 1.9 Pa is capable of influencing cellular morphology, and extracellular calcium might play a role in osteoblasts’ response to FSS stimulation.
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Introduction

It is well known that bone cells are exposed to a complex environment which exerts several types of mechanical stress such as stretching stress [1,2], fluid shear stress (FSS) [3], and hydrostatic pressure [4]. All these types of stress have influence on bone metabolism, especially on bone formation and remodeling [5,6]. Since Reich et al. [7] revealed the biological response of bone cells under FSS in 1990, how FSS takes effects on osteoblasts has been widely explored and significant results have been obtained, including the uploading of Ca\(^{2+}\) signals [8,9]; increase in cAMP, prostaglandins [10], and NO [11]; increase in gene expressions of COX-2 and c-fos [12]; overexpression of cross-linking proteins such as vimentin, α-actinin, and filamin [13]; enhancement of osteoblasts proliferation and differentiation [14,15]; and enhancement of integrin-regulated gene expression which is related to bone formation [16]. In particular, cytoskeleton was considered to be very important in cellular responses to FSS stimulation. Tensegrity theory published in 1997 indicated that cytoskeleton was the key of cells to sense mechanical stimulations [17]. Likewise, it is well accepted that the cytoskeleton plays important roles in keeping cell morphology and transferring molecular signals [18,19]. Therefore, investigation in changes of cytoskeleton is useful for clarifying how osteoblasts respond to FSS stimulation.

Since cell morphology was regulated by cytoskeleton, thus certain morphological changes may indirectly reflect the responses of upstream signals at molecular level, e.g. calcium signals. Accordingly, biological responses, especially changes of cytoskeleton, could be quantified by morphological measurement. Although some studies have reported morphological changes in osteoblasts under stimulation of FSS [3,12,13,20], little information on quantitative study was available in the past years. Horikawa et al. [21] reported the relationship between duration of FSS and morphological changes in osteoblasts in 2000. However, no study to date has included the analysis of the relationship between magnitude of FSS and morphological changes. Since quantitative investigation of morphological changes would be helpful in clarifying the influences of FSS on osteoblasts, the aim of this study is to explore the effects of FSS on cell morphology quantitatively.

Theoretical modeling predicted that shear stress in the range 0.8–3.0 Pa most approaches to FSS in vivo [22]. Additionally, FSS from 1.0 to 2.0 Pa was usually applied to experiments in vitro [14,16,20]. Thus, FSS of 1.2, 1.6, and 1.9 Pa were chosen to examine the morphological changes in osteoblasts in this study. Rapid morphological responses were observed during the stimulations. Besides, FSS-induced
actin-cytoskeleton changes were quantified by using rhodamine-phalloidin imaging techniques. Osteoblasts elongated and reoriented along the direction of the fluid flow. Also, with the increase in FSS magnitude, actin-cytoskeleton aggregated more apparently. Furthermore, morphological change in osteoblasts was not observed after removing extracellular calcium, implying the significance of extracellular calcium in osteoblasts’ response to FSS stimulation.

Materials and Methods

Cell culture
The calvariae of Wistar rat (3–5-day-old, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences, Tianjin, China) was used for isolating osteoblast-like cells. Procedures of cell isolation and cell culture were described in our earlier report [2]. Cells were grown in RPMI 1640 medium (10.4 g/L RPMI 1640, 2 g/L NaHCO3, 5.96 g/L HEPES, 0.05 g/L penicillin G, 0.1 g/L streptomycin sulfate, pH 7.2), and cultured at 37°C in an MCO175 incubator (SANYO Electric Biomedical Co., Ltd, Osaka, Japan) containing 5% CO2. In the present experiments, cells were subcultured on glass slides (32 mm × 24 mm) at 2.5–3 × 10^4 cells/cm². Fluid flow was applied 48 h after subculture so that cells were 80–90% confluent at the time of experimentation.

Flow chamber and experiment
As shown in Fig. 1, a parallel-plate flow chamber was used to introduce fluid flow over the cells. The fluid-flow setup consisted of a parallel-plate flow chamber and a recirculating flow circuit. This circuit included a variable-speed peristaltic pump and a reservoir with RPMI 1640 medium maintained at 37°C with 5% CO2. To investigate the role of extracellular calcium in response to shear stress, 1.5 mM ethylene glycol tetra-acetic acid (EGTA) was supplemented into RPMI 1640 medium to produce Ca^{2+}-depleted medium. This system produces laminar flow over a cell monolayer. A flow rate was chosen to yield a τ-value of 1.2, 1.6 or 1.9 Pa by using $\tau = \frac{6Q\mu bh^2}{m}$, where $Q$ is the flow rate, $\mu$ the medium viscosity, $b$ the channel width, and $h$ the channel height. Control cells were kept under static conditions with the same culture medium at 37°C with 5% CO2.

In real-time observation, this system was equipped with an Axio Observer D1 inverted microscope (Zeiss, Berlin, Germany) and pictures were recorded every 5 min for 1 h using DU-897D-CS0-BV CCD system (Andor, London, UK).

Fluorescence observation and image analysis
Rhodamine phalloidin was used to label cytoskeletal F-actin according the method described [23]. Cells were washed in PBS, fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde (diluted in PBS) for 30 min and then rinsed twice in PBS. PBS containing 0.5% Triton X-100 was used to permeate the membrane for 30 min. Then cells were rinsed twice in PBS. Rhodamine-phalloidin mixed solution (2.4%) was used to label osteoblasts actin cytoskeleton (200 μl for each glass slide). After labeling (10 min, 25°C), the coverslips were washed twice in PBS and observed with fluorescence microscope using a 40× oil lens with the MetaMorph 7.1 software (Universal Imaging, Acta Biochim Biophys Sin (2010) | Volume 42 | Issue 3 | Page 196

Figure 1 A schematic illustration of the parallel-plate flow chamber used to induce FSS. The parallel plates are comprised of (A) a quartz slide with an inlet and outlet, (B) a thin rubber silastic gasket with uniform thickness, (C) a cover slide on which osteoblasts were cultured, and (D) a quartz slide of the same size as (A). (E) An overhead view of the assembled fluid cell. (F) The assembled system. The sizes of all parts were shown in this figure.
Downingtown, USA) capable of cell-by-cell analysis. The exposure time was 100 ms. Measurement was recorded for 20–25 cells selected randomly within one slide of a culture and repeated for several cultures.

We used two shape factors, \( r \) and Circularity, to quantify cell shape. \( r \) is the ratio of cell length (defined along the major axis) to cell width (defined along the minor axis). Circularity is defined as \( 4\pi A/P^2 \) for quantifying the degree of cell’s circularity, where \( A \) is the area and \( P \) the perimeter. The other two parameters, Angle and AngleSD, were used to represent cell orientation. Parameter Angle is the absolute value of the angular orientation when compared with the direction of the FSS (a smaller number in Angle indicates that cell oriented near the direction of the shear stress). AngleSD is the standard deviation of Angle in one group, which was used to reflect the orientational distribution of the cells. Additionally, the fluorescence intensity (FI), which is proportional to the concentration of labeled F-actin for not too large absorption of the emitted fluorescence light, was used to quantify the content of labeled F-actins.

**Statistical analysis**

Statistical differences in the cell morphological parameters were determined by the Student’s \( t \)-test and one-way ANOVA. Statistical significance was established at the \( P < 0.05 \) and all experimental analyses were performed blind.

**Results**

**Real-time observation of cell morphology**

In an applied stimulation of FSS, some cells (Fig. 2) elongated along the long axis of cell in the first 15 min and no dose-dependent characteristics were seen. Although not very distinct in phase contrast pictures, the trends of these cells to bulge and become more spindle-shaped could be seen, particularly in the highlighted regions (red arrows). FSS exerted in this experiment was 1.2 Pa [Fig. 2(A–C)], 1.6 Pa [Fig. 2(D–F)], and 1.9 Pa [Fig. 2(G–I)], respectively. Photos were recorded chronologically at a 5-min interval. After 15 min, no apparent morphological change was observed (data not shown). Since real-time morphological changes were observed, quantitative analysis was then conducted by using fluorescence microscopy.

**Fluorescence observation and image analysis**

F-actins of control cells were of clearly visible filamentous configuration [Fig. 3(A)]. After FSS stimulation for 1 h, the F-actins tended to arrange parallel to the long axis of cell [Fig. 3(B)], which was consistent with the result of real-time observation.

![Figure 2 Real-time observation of cell shape under the stimulation of FSS with different magnitudes](image-url)

(A–C) show the cells under 1.2 Pa FSS for 5, 10 and 15 min, respectively. (D–F) show the cells under 1.6 Pa FSS for 5, 10, and 15 min, respectively. (G–I) show the cells under 1.9 Pa FSS for 5, 10, and 15 min, respectively. Cells tended to bulge and become more spindle shape (arrow) in the first 15 min of stimulation. Scale bar = 50 \( \mu \)m.
Meanwhile, morphological information of the cells (r, Circularity, Angle, AngleSD) was measured (Table 1). In the control group, \( r \) was \( 2.619 \pm 0.249 \), whereas Circularity was \( 0.312 \pm 0.027 \) (a circularity value of 1.0 indicating a circular shape, and a smaller value indicating a more spindle-shaped cell). Correspondingly, cell Angle was \( 40.222 \pm 16.345^\circ \). And less SD indicates more uniform cellular orientation, thus no evidence of preferred cell orientation was found, with the AngleSD being \( 51.686^\circ \).

Statistical analysis of the image data revealed significant increase in \( r \) of 1.6 Pa group \( (P = 0.042) \) and 1.9 Pa group \( (P = 0.011) \) (Fig. 4 and Table 1). Also, 1.9 Pa stimulation resulted in apparent decrease \( (P = 0.03) \) in cell Circularity when compared with the control group (Table 1). Both enhancement of \( r \) and reduction in Circularity suggested that cell elongated and became more narrowly spindle-shaped after stimulation, especially under the stimulation of 1.9 Pa FSS. The same trend (i.e. increase in \( r \) and decrease in Circularity), though did not approach statistical significance \( (P = 0.182 \text{ for } r, P = 0.694 \text{ for Circularity}) \), could be seen in the 1.2-Pa group. On the other hand, significant reduction in Angle values after stimulations of 1.6 Pa \( (P = 0.0005) \) and 1.9 Pa \( (P = 0.001) \) are shown in Table 1 and Fig. 5 (versus control group). The Angle values of 1.6 Pa \( (0.906 \pm 4.281) \) and 1.9 Pa \( (3.474 \pm 5.573) \) were much smaller than that of control \( (40.222 \pm 16.345) \), indicating that cells preferentially aligned along the direction of the shear stress. In addition, distinct decrease in AngleSD (control: 51.686; 1.6 Pa: 13.538; 1.9 Pa: 17.623) suggested that cells reoriented from random distribution to uniform orientation (Figs. 3 and 5).

Table 1 Morphological measurements of rhodamine-phalloidin stained osteoblasts after 1-h stimulation of FSS with different magnitudes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>( r )</th>
<th>Circularity</th>
<th>Angle (°)</th>
<th>AngleSD (°)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>2.619 ± 0.249</td>
<td>0.312 ± 0.027</td>
<td>40.222 ± 16.345</td>
<td>51.686</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.2 Pa</td>
<td>3.281 ± 0.419</td>
<td>0.295 ± 0.031</td>
<td>25.778 ± 12.233</td>
<td>38.684</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.6 Pa</td>
<td>3.426 ± 0.271*</td>
<td>0.273 ± 0.013</td>
<td>0.906 ± 4.281**</td>
<td>13.538**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.9 Pa</td>
<td>3.960 ± 0.414*</td>
<td>0.229 ± 0.020*</td>
<td>3.474 ± 5.573**</td>
<td>17.623**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are presented as the mean ± SE. *\( P < 0.05 \), **\( P < 0.01 \) versus control group. *AngleSD is the standard deviation of Angle in one group, see the ‘Materials and Methods’ section for detail. \( r \) is the ratio of cell length (defined along the major axis) to cell width (defined along the minor axis).
1.6 and 1.9 Pa stimulation resulted in significant increases in the FI, which implied the aggregation of the actin cytoskeleton. Data are the mean ± SE from three individual experiments. *P < 0.05 and **P < 0.01 compared with control group.

The FI of cytoskeleton was also measured (Fig. 6). Consistent with the influences on cell morphology, both 1.6 and 1.9 Pa stimulation resulted in significant increases (P = 0.017 in 1.6 Pa group, P = 0.003 in 1.9 Pa group) in FI compared with controls, which was indicative of aggregation of F-actin filaments. Overall, the data showed that the morphological change is dependent on the magnitude of FSS (Table 1, Figs. 4 and 6).

**Extracellular Ca$^{2+}$ depletion experiment**
Since intensities of 1.6 and 1.9 Pa seemed to have robust effect on cell morphology as shown above, these two stimulations were applied in calcium-depleted experiment. As a result, no significant differences were found in cell shape factors in both 1.6 and 1.9 Pa groups comparing with the control group (P > 0.05, Table 2), nor were any changes in FI value observed (data not shown). Similarly, orientation parameters (Angle and AngleSD) showed no apparent change when compared with the control group (P > 0.05, Table 2). To more thoroughly illustrate the role of calcium, comparison in orientation parameters between normal medium and calcium-depleted medium was prepared (Fig. 5). The figure showed that both Angle and AngleSD did not reduce distinctly in Ca$^{2+}$-depleted group as in the control group, i.e. cells stimulated by 1.6 and 1.9 Pa FSS still distributed randomly without a preferential orientation.

**Discussion**
Cytoskeleton is well known to be important as the mechanical sensor of osteoblasts to FSS [3]. On the other hand, it is widely accepted that cytoskeletal elements, including microfilaments, microtubules, and intermediate filaments, are important in maintaining the normal shape of cell. There are two types of actins: F-actins in filamentous form and G-actins in free form, both of which are the basic component of microfilaments. Osteoblasts presented a trend of extension under the influence of FSS [12,13,21,24]. However, little work has been done to make further analysis on the change of cytoskeleton or morphology. Recently, it was shown that the cause of cytoskeleton changes might be the increase of anchor points [25], the release of intracellular Ca$^{2+}$ induced by inositol 1,4,5-triphosphate (IP$_3$) [20] or the increase in linking proteins [13,26]. Also, it was reported that the changes in cytoskeleton might exert a function of transferring mechanical signaling into osteoblasts [12]. Though cytoskeleton of osteoblasts has been emphasized and studied, limited quantitative morphological research work, which would reflect cytoskeleton changes as well as other biological responses of cells, was published in the past years. Horikawa et al. [21] measured morphological changes in osteoblasts by exerting 10 dyn/cm$^2$ FSS on three groups of cells for 1, 6, and 12 h, respectively. As a result, cell elongation and [Ca$^{2+}$], enhancement were observed in 1 h group. Besides, long-term FSS stimulation was concluded to have destructive effects on osteoblasts. In view of the report of Horikawa, 1-h stimulation was chosen in our experiment. Moreover, we explored morphological changes in osteoblasts by exerting FSS with different magnitudes. Also, we investigated the role of extracellular Ca$^{2+}$ on morphological changes in osteoblasts. Since we could only observe filamentous actins by phalloidin staining, other cytoskeletal elements were not studied in the present work.

Real-time observation suggested that the osteoblasts tended to elongate in the first 15 min of stimulations. Though not very obvious, the tendency of elongation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>$r$</th>
<th>Circularity</th>
<th>Angle (°)</th>
<th>AngleSD (°)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>2.619 ± 0.249</td>
<td>0.312 ± 0.027</td>
<td>40.222 ± 16.345</td>
<td>51.686</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.6 Pa</td>
<td>2.4193 ± 0.209</td>
<td>0.353 ± 0.034</td>
<td>30.757 ± 10.821</td>
<td>41.913</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.9 Pa</td>
<td>2.4637 ± 0.183</td>
<td>0.345 ± 0.021</td>
<td>53.517 ± 11.390</td>
<td>46.961</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are presented as the mean ± SE. *AngleSD is the standard deviation of Angle in one group, see the ‘Materials and Methods’ section for detail. $r$ is the ratio of cell length (defined along the major axis) to cell width (defined along the minor axis).
implied a rapid response of osteoblasts to FSS stimulation (Fig. 2). Previously, a fast release of ATP in osteoblasts was observed in the first 15 min of FSS stimulation [27]. The release depended on L-type voltage-sensitive Ca\(^{2+}\) channel (L-VSCC) and the mechanosensitive, cation-selective channel (MSCC)-induced influx of extracellular Ca\(^{2+}\), which is the activator of mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) pathway [28]. Therefore, we inferred without certainty that the rapid morphological response in osteoblasts we observed in this study might be related to the extracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) influx induced by the opening of these channels.

Most importantly, we used rhodamine-phalloidin labeling method to analyze morphological changes in osteoblasts. Coincident with the research of Horikawa et al. [21], osteoblasts extended along the long axis after stimulation (Fig. 3). Besides, we found that osteoblasts stimulated by FSS with larger magnitude presented more apparent morphological changes. Although there was not significant difference in the 1.2-Pa group compared with control group, we could also observe the extended trend of the cells (Fig. 4). These observations suggested that the targets of FSS were cytoskeleton F-actins and it was the structural changes of the filaments induced by FSS that obviously preceded the changes in the overall cell shape. On the other hand, cell orientation changed in coincidence with the change of cell shape. After stimulation, cells tended to redistribute along the direction of the fluid flow. Moreover, the larger the FSS was, the more uniformly the osteoblasts rearranged.

It was apparent from the discussion above that the morphological changes were dependent on the FSS magnitude and there seemed to be a threshold of osteoblasts in sensing FSS stimulation. In the research of cellular response to electromagnetic stimulation, ‘window effect’ was suggested [29–31], which means only to a certain range of stimulation can cells show biological responses. Meanwhile, mechanotransduction was also suggested to be induced by a similar rule [32]. Because bone adaptation to mechanical loading depends on the duration and the magnitude of the applied loads [33,34], it is reasonable to assume that the ‘window effect’ may exist in osteoblasts’ response to FSS stimulation. As shown in Fig. 6, the increase in FI implied the aggregation of F-actins, which might result in the changes in shape and orientation of cells. Osteoblasts were considered to change cytoskeleton so as to resist negative impacts of FSS such as detachment and apoptosis [35]. Therefore, cytoskeleton aggregation-induced morphological changes might either effectively reduce the net force operating on cell surface or strengthen adhesion ability of the cells during stimulation. Furthermore, it was conceivable that other responses like overexpression of certain genes, enhancement of cell proliferation and increase in cell differentiation induced by FSS might also result from cellular resistance to the stimulation.

FSS-induced extracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) influx has been implicated as an important regulator to mediate the activity of integrins and F-actin cytoskeleton realignment. Extracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) was considered as a cofactor inducing intracellular calcium response [10], a stimulator of a fast vesicular ATP release [25], a supplement of intracellular calcium concentration [27], and a key to the activation of ERK1/2 pathway [28], during all the responses above osteoblasts were observed to realign along the direction of FSS. Others, however, argued that it was intracellular, not extracellular calcium that controlled cellular responses to FSS [20,21]. In order to test whether extracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) plays a role in the responses, we examined its effect from the view of cellular morphological change. After chelating extracellular calcium by 1.5 mM EGTA, neither morphological (shape and orientation) nor FI change was observed (Table 2 and Fig. 5). From this point of view, extracellular calcium took influence on morphological response in osteoblasts to FSS. However, further researches about the influence of extracellular calcium or intracellular calcium on cellular morphology were not conducted in the present study. Thus, at this stage of investigation, it is not possible to say extracellular calcium was essential for osteoblasts in responding to FSS stimulation. However, it could be suggested that extracellular calcium, within the limitations of these experiments at least, was one of the factors affecting cellular responses to FSS stimulation. Our work quantitatively explored the influence of FSS on morphology of osteoblasts. Dose-dependent changes in shape and orientation were possibly induced by cytoskeleton aggregation and might relate to extracellular calcium influx. Further studies will be required to investigate the changes of cytoskeleton by real-time research, which, in our view, will be promising in revealing cellular responses to FSS stimulation.
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