Performance of the European Working Group on Sarcopenia in Older People algorithm in screening older adults for muscle mass assessment

ROBERTO ALVES LOURENÇO1, MARIO PÉREZ-ZEPEDA2, LUIS GUTIÉRREZ-ROBLEDO3, FRANCISCO J. GARCÍA-GARCÍA4, LEOCADIO RODRÍGUEZ MAÑAS5

1Internal Medicine Department, Faculty of Medical Sciences, Rio de Janeiro State University, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil
2Geriatric Epidemiologic Research, Instituto Nacional de Geriatría, Periférico Sur 2767, Colonia San Jeronimo Lidice, Delegacion Magdalena Contreras, Mexico, Distrito Federal 10200, Mexico
3General Direction, National Institute of Geriatrics, México, Distrito Federal, Mexico
4Servicio de Geriatría, Complejo Hospitalario de Toledo, Toledo, Spain
5Servicio de Geriatría, Hospital Universitario de Getafe, Getafe, Spain

Address correspondence to: M. Perez-Zepeda. Tel/Fax: (+52) 55 55 738686. Email: ulises.perez@salud.gob.mx, ulises.perez@me.com

Abstract

Background: there is a lack of consensus on the diagnosis of sarcopenia. A screening and diagnostic algorithm was proposed by the European Working Group on Sarcopenia in Older People (EWGSOP).

Objective: to assess the performance of the EWGSOP algorithm in determining the proportion of subjects suspected of having sarcopenia and selected to undergo subsequent muscle mass (MM) measurement.

Design: a cross-sectional study.

Setting: the cohorts, Frailty in Brazilian Older People Study—Rio de Janeiro (FIBRA-RJ), Brazil; Coyoacan Cohort (CC), Mexico City, Mexico; and Toledo Study for Healthy Aging (TSHA), Toledo, Spain.

Subjects: three thousand two hundred and sixty community-dwelling individuals, 65 years and older.

Methods: initially, the EWGSOP algorithm was applied using its originally proposed cut-off values for gait speed and handgrip strength; in the second step, values tailored for the specific cohorts were used.

Results: using the originally suggested EWGSOP cut-off points, 83.4% of the total cohort (94.4% in TSHA, 75.5% in FIBRA-RJ, 67.8% in CC) would have been considered as suspected of sarcopenia. Adapted cut-off values lowered the proportion of abnormal results to 34.2% (quintile-based approach) and 23.71% (z-score approach).

Conclusions: the algorithm proposed by the EWGSOP is of limited clinical utility in screening older adults for sarcopenia due to the high proportion of subjects selected to further undergo MM assessment. Tailoring cut-off values to specific characteristics of the population being studied reduces the number of people selected for MM assessment, probably improving the performance of the algorithm. Further research including the objective measure of MM is needed to determine the accuracy of these specific cut-off points.
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Introduction

Sarcopenia is a health problem associated with poor prognosis for several clinical outcomes [1–4]. The European Working Group on Sarcopenia in Older People (EWGSOP) recently proposed a sequential algorithm to screen older adults for sarcopenia. The process includes the assessment of gait speed (GS) and handgrip strength (HS) as a first step to qualify individuals for muscle mass (MM) measurement. The diagnosis of sarcopenia is made in older people with low GS and/or low HS, associated with low values of MM [5].

Body composition and physical performance are highly variable in older adults and strongly dependent on ethnicity and lifestyle. Therefore, some authors have proposed adjusting the cut-off values of these parameters to the specific characteristics of each population [6, 7].

The aim of this study is to assess the performance of the EWGSOP algorithm in screening older adults for subsequent measurement of MM to establish the diagnosis of sarcopenia using different cut-off points for GS and HS.

Methods

Study design and subjects

Cross-sectional data from the baseline assessment of cohorts from three different countries were analysed [8–10]. The Frailty in Brazilian Older People Study (FIBRA-RJ) [8] recruited 739 subjects ≥65 years living in the northern area of Rio de Janeiro, Brazil. The Coyoacan Cohort (CC) [9] recruited 1,294 subjects ≥70 years living in the Coyoacan district of Mexico City, Mexico. Finally, Toledo Study for Healthy Aging (TSHA) [10] recruited 1,693 subjects ≥64 years living in Toledo, Spain.

To evaluate the performance of the algorithm, three different strategies were used, depending upon the criteria from which the cut-off points for GS and HS were defined. In the samples of FIBRA-RJ, CC and TSHA, GS and HS were available in 88.61, 73.66 and 85.85% of the cohorts, respectively. Only the data of subjects with available tests were analysed. There were no differences in age, sex and functional status between subjects with complete data and those with incomplete data.

Variables

The total time in seconds to walk at usual pace in a 4.6-, 4- and 3-m path (FIBRA-RJ, CC and TSHA, respectively) was measured. GS was calculated dividing distance by total time (meters/second). Three criteria for cut-off values were used to define low GS: the first one was the reference value ≤0.8 m/s proposed by EWGSOP; in the second, low GS was considered in those subjects in the lowest quintile of GS of groups stratified by sex and height (above or under the mean of height for sex and site); finally, in the third criteria, low GS was defined as less than −1 SD of $\xi$ values, estimated by comparing individual results with the mean and SD of the whole sample ($\xi$ value = individual value − mean/SD) [6, 11].

For HS, three trials were performed in the dominant hand using manual hydraulic dynamometers, and the best results (kilograms) were used for analyses. In addition to the cut-off defined by the EWGSOP (≤20 kg for women; ≤30 kg for men), the same alternative approaches used for GS were replicated. Notwithstanding, the quintile-based values were defined by sex and body mass index (BMI) quartiles and not by height mean only (Supplementary data, available in Age and Ageing online).

Statistical analysis

Descriptive statistics with means for continuous variables and frequencies for dichotomous variables were performed. As distributions for HS, GS, height, weight and BMI were normal, parametric statistics were used. One-way ANOVA was used to test differences between cohorts for continuous variables and $\chi_2$ for dichotomous variables. Concordance between different cut-off values was assessed by means of Cohen’s kappa.

Results

A total of 2,936 subjects were analysed: 655 from FIBRA-RJ, 828 from CC and 1,453 from TSHA (Table 1). The mean age of the whole sample was 75.57 years (±6.34). There was a predominance of female gender among the cohorts, from 70.2% (FIBRA RJ) to 54.3% (CC). A significant difference ($P < 0.001$) was found in mean values of GS between cohorts: FIBRA-RJ, 0.87 m/s (±0.29); CC, 0.74 m/s (±0.34); and TSHA, 0.57 m/s (±0.22). There was also a statistically significant difference in HS between the three populations, with a mean for the whole sample of 21.73 kg (±9.11). Cut-off values (lowest quintile according to the corresponding group) for GS and HS are shown in the Supplementary data, available in Age and Ageing online.

The percentages of subjects with EWGSOP cut-off values promoting MM assessment ranged from 89% (TSHA) to 40.2% (FIBRA-RJ) for GS and from 69.2% (TSHA) to 18.5% (CC) for HS. Accordingly, the overall proportion of individuals suspected of being sarcopenic was 83.4% for the whole sample (Table 2). The highest proportion was found in TSHA (94.4%) and the lowest in CC (67.8%).

When using the quintile-based approach, low GS was shown in 20.9% and low HS in 20.7% of the whole population (Table 2). Up to 34.2% would have been classified as suspected of being sarcopenic in the whole sample, ranging from 30.7% (FIBRA RJ) to 39.9% (TSHA).

With the $\xi$-value approach, 13.19% had low GS and 15.74% low HS in the whole sample. Accordingly, 23.71% of subjects would have been classified with probable sarcopenia in the whole sample, with a minimum of 22.58% (CC) and maximum of 24.16% (FIBRA R) and TSHA.

Finally, agreement between EWGSOP and the alternative approaches was $0.319$ ($P < 0.001$) and $0.115$ ($P < 0.001$), for
the quintile based and $z$-score, respectively. On the other hand, the agreement between quintile-based and $z$-score approach was 0.736 ($P < 0.001$).

**Discussion**

Developing strategies to screen and diagnose sarcopenia is currently a relevant issue, both in clinical and research settings. Due to the high costs of methods to measure MM and the high percentage of older adults who potentially would be assessed, screening tests should aim at selecting only those at the highest likelihood of having sarcopenia. In 2010, an algorithm to diagnose sarcopenia was published [5], and due to its sound rationale and empirical basis, it quickly became an important reference. Accordingly, the main aim of the proposed algorithm is to restrict MM measurement to those subjects meeting one of two conditions—low GS and/or low HS. The cut-off values for both variables were based on previous works which state that they are good points to estimate risk for a number of adverse health outcomes and increased mortality [12, 13]. In the present work, we tested for the first time this proposal, in three cohorts of community-dwelling older adults from diverse ethnic and cultural background. Our findings do not support using the algorithm and its cut-off points as a screening tool due to the high proportion of people (higher than 80%) who met the criteria for MM assessment. A tool that selects such a high proportion of subjects cannot be considered a good screening instrument [14]. As stated by Feinstein, ‘we might be willing to perform a confirmation test when a discovery test is positive, but if the rate of false positives diagnoses is too high, the advantages of a low-cost screening test will be ruined by disadvantages of high-cost confirmation test’ [15].

One of the potential explanations for this finding is that both GS and HS are highly sensitive to anthropometric and cultural characteristics [16], making the original EWGSOP proposed cut-off values not widely usable across different populations [17]. This same effect has been also reported in other studies. For example, Jeune et al. [18] found a North–South gradient in HS among European countries, with substantially lower values in Calabria. Likewise, Kamarul et al. [19] concluded that HS values derived from western populations cannot be applied to the Malaysian population.

In this study, we have tailored the cut-off values to the characteristics of each population, using the same rationale underlying the development of the GS and HS cut-off values proposed in several studies and adopted by the EWGSOP algorithm [6, 12]. When this way to proceed is assessed, some differences in the proportions of selected individuals still remain between cohorts; however, they are not striking and can be explained by other factors. In addition, the percentage of people who would have their MM measured dropped from near 90% to around 30%. An indeed lower percentage and variability is achieved when the $z$-score approach is used. Although this proportion is still elevated, it seems to be of
higher clinical utility, taking into account that the prevalence ranges between 6 and 8% when the EWGSOP algorithm is used [20].

In conclusion, the current form of the EWGSOP algorithm with its proposed cut-off points for GS and HS does not seem to be of clinical utility [21] in the screening of sarcopenia in older adults in all possible scenarios. Adapting the cut-off values to the specific characteristics of specific populations greatly reduces the number of individuals selected to MM measurement and, probably, will improve its performance. Further research including the assessment of MM in our cohorts is needed to determine the accuracy of these alternative approaches [22].

**Key points**

- Following the EWGSOP cut-off values, up to 90% of the population enrolled in the cohorts would have been tagged as abnormal.
- Cut-off point values tailored for specific populations reduce subjects considered as abnormal by recommended values.
- Screening could be of low clinical utility using the EWGSOP algorithm when using recommended cut-off values.
- Further research should aim at assessing clinical utility of this algorithm.
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