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Abstract — Background: The amount a person drinks can be influenced by their perception of drinking by others. Aim: We studied whether perception of the amount of drinking by others (same age and sex) is associated with one’s own current drinking, and the factors that are related to this perception. Methods: A random sample of drinkers (n = 404) from a census of 20-year-old Swiss men (n = 9686) estimated the percentage of others who drink more than they do. Using weekly alcohol consumption data of the census, we computed for each subject the percentage of individuals drinking more than they do. We compared the ‘perceived’ to the ‘computed’ percentage and classified the drinkers as overestimating or not drinking by others. We compared the alcohol consumption of those who overestimated drinking by others to those who did not, using analyses of variance/covariance. We used logistic regression models to evaluate the impact of age, education level, occupation, living environment and family history of alcohol problems on estimations of drinking by others. Results: Among the 404 drinkers, the mean (SD) number of drinks/week was 7.95 (9.79); 45.5% overestimated drinking by others, while 35.2% underestimated it and 19.3% made an accurate estimation. The likelihood of overestimating increased as individual alcohol use increased. Those overestimating consumed more alcohol than those who did not; the adjusted mean number of drinks/week (SE) 11.45 (1.12) versus 4.50 (1.08), P < 0.0001. Except for current drinking, no other variables were significantly associated with overestimating. Conclusion: This study confirms prior findings within selective student populations. It sets the stage for preventive actions, such as normative feedback based on social norms theory.

INTRODUCTION

The perception of how much others drink has been the focus of various studies among students in the USA (Borsari and Carey, 1999, 2001, 2003; Carey et al., 2006a; Perkins et al., 2005) and other countries (New Zealand, UK, Canada and France; Borsari and Carey, 2001, 2003; Carey et al., 2006a; França et al., 2010; Kypri and Langley, 2003; McAlaney and McMahon, 2007; Werch et al., 2000). This research has demonstrated the high prevalence of overestimating (i.e. thinking that others drink more than they actually do). This overestimation, or misperception, has been identified as a strong predictor of one’s own drinking (McAlaney and McMahon, 2007; Perkins and Wechsler, 1996). According to social norms theory, our perceptions and beliefs of what is ‘normal’ behaviour by others will influence our own behaviour (Berkowitz, 2005). For example, the belief that others drink heavily will have considerable influence on the amount a person drinks (Cunningham and Selby, 2007). The perceived prevalence of any given behaviour is known as descriptive norms; the difference between one’s current behaviour and what one believes about the behaviour of others is considered a ‘misperception’. Previous research among students has noted that misperceptions concerning overestimating the amount of drinking by others are associated with higher levels of alcohol consumption, and perceived social norms are a strong predictor of alcohol use by them (Kypri and Langley, 2003; McAlaney and McMahon, 2007; Perkins et al., 2005). Various interventions have been designed to address these misperceptions, and have had some success among college students (Carey et al., 2006b; Kypri et al., 2004; Walters et al., 2007). Alcohol use interventions should aim at correcting misperceptions by providing normative feedback on prevailing drinking norms. One hypothesis is that individuals with heavy alcohol use should decrease their consumption by correcting the misperception. A Cochrane review listed evidence that normative feedback interventions for students have been effective in reducing the alcohol use (Moreira et al., 2009); brief interventions that include web-based interactive participation where normative feedback is provided have also had positive impacts on drinking and other alcohol-related outcomes (Moreira et al., 2009). Many of these interventions helped subjects narrow down the difference between perceived and actual behaviours of others (i.e. ‘correcting’ the misperceptions). The possibility that normative feedback may decrease misperceptions provides support for the theoretical rationale on which these interventions are based. (Berkowitz, 2005; Moreira et al., 2009).

General population studies also showed promising results when normative feedback is used, especially when embedded within web-based brief interventions (Cunningham et al., 2001, 2009), yet most of the current literature is focused on USA, North American or English-speaking populations of college students. Estimating alcohol misperceptions in an entire population is of interest since factors such as living on campuses or being part of student activities may have an impact on perceptions. One could hypothesize that level of education, the college student ‘lifestyle’ or certain cultural aspects are associated with the misperception of the amount of drinking by others.

In Switzerland, or in other countries where there is a mandatory army conscription process, there is a unique opportunity to assess the health behaviours of young men at the population level and to conduct population-based preventive interventions. Understanding the factors influencing alcohol consumption in these settings is essential in developing targeted preventive interventions. The Swiss conscription process mandates that virtually all non-institutionalized young men attend a physical and psychological evaluation as part of the army recruitment, at least 6 months prior to actual
enrolment. Switzerland is divided into three main linguistic regions corresponding to the main national languages of French, German and Italian. All French-speaking males have to attend the same recruitment centre where the present study was conducted, offering an opportunity to study a census of these young Swiss men. In terms of drinking recommendations, Addiction Info Suisse published the following drinking guidelines: no more than 14 drinks per week and no more than 4 drinks per occasion (AddictionInfoSuisse, 2010). According to a Swiss federal survey (Enquête suisse sur la santé), 80.6% of men of this age group (20–24) are drinking at or below weekly recommendations (Office fédéral de la statistique, 2004).

We were, therefore, able to investigate the extent of misperceptions surrounding alcohol use in a random sub-sample of an entire population of 20-year-old French-speaking men in Switzerland. Factors associated with current drinking and misperceptions were assessed, with some focus on whether education or living environment influences differences in overestimating the amount of drinking by others.

**METHODS**

We conducted a survey among 20-year-old males attending the mandatory medical assessment portion of the Swiss army recruitment process. Subjects were informed that the study was not connected to the army, and that the military could not receive any information regarding the responses of any individual. Virtually all of the attendees were eligible to participate in the study, but only those giving their informed consent were accepted. Recruitment for the present study took place weekly during conscription between January 2007 and September 2008. The Ethics Committee for Clinical Research at the Lausanne University Medical School approved the research.

During the above period, 12,133 young men attended the Lausanne recruitment centre; of these, 1989 left before meeting with the research staff, 409 refused to participate in the study and 49 did not fully complete the questionnaire. Recruitment for the present study was not based on consumption reported in the screening questionnaire, ensuring that questionnaire data were not biased by the BMI. Informed consent was already on file for those in the BMI trial. Individuals reporting no alcohol use were averaged into the overall computation of the census-based alcohol use estimates. However, to study a more homogeneous group, subjects in the random sample that provided drinking perceptions but did not use alcohol in the past 6 months were dropped from further analyses. When asked what percentage of people their age drink more than they do, non-drinkers judge the prevalence of drinking in the population without any reference to the amount of alcohol drunk by others. Therefore, we chose to focus analyses on drinkers (n = 405). One additional individual was excluded since he did not respond to the perception question. This left 404 subjects with complete and usable data in the study sample. It is important to remember that this sample was indeed random and was not based on consumption reported in the screening questionnaire.

To determine for each person the proportion of persons in the census who drank more alcohol than that individual did, the weekly alcohol consumption (in standard drinks) of each of the 404 subjects was compared with the weekly alcohol consumption of each of the 9686 conscripts. The proportion of the sample that reported higher, lower or similar alcohol consumption was determined for each subject. The proportion of subjects in the census drinking more than a given subject in the sample was compared with the perceived proportion reported by each of the 404 subjects of the sample. The prevalence of overestimation, underestimation and accurate estimation of the amount of drinking by others was computed in the total sample, and in subgroups defined by weekly drinking categories (less than one, 1–2, 3–7, 8–14, 15–21 and 22 or more drinks). An accurate estimation was considered a perceived proportion within the ±5% range of the computed proportion.

Linear models (Analysis of variance and covariance, SAS, Proc GLM) were used to compare mean alcohol consumption between subjects who did or did not overestimate the amount of drinking by others. Potential confounders, such as socio-demographic environment and education level, were tested.

Logistic regression models were used to assess the determinants of the overestimation of drinking by others. In addition to individual alcohol consumption (drinks per week), the following variables were tested to determine the impact of education and socio-demographic characteristics on the overestimation: highest completed education level (obligatory school/higher); current occupation (employed/in training/inactive); living environment (urban/countryside); and personal characteristics (age and family history of alcohol problems). A multivariable model, including all the aforementioned variables in the same logistic regression model, was also used. Analyses were performed using SAS software (Version 9.1, SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA).

**RESULTS**

Table 1 displays the characteristics of the 404 subjects. The mean (SD) age was 19.4 (1.04) and the mean (SD) weekly
alcohol use (drinks per week) was 7.95 (9.79). Among the subjects, when the differences between the perceived proportion of individuals who drink more than them and the actual proportion was calculated from the census data, 45.5% of them overestimated, 35.2% underestimated and 19.3% accurately estimated. The prevalence of over, under and accurate estimation of the amount of drinking by others by subgroups according to weekly alcohol use is presented in Fig. 1. Overestimation of the amount of drinking by others increased as the self-reported weekly alcohol use increased.

Subjects who overestimated reported significantly more amount of drinking (mean number of drinks per week) \( (F = 57.52, P < 0.0001) \) than those who did not. The difference in the mean number of drinks per week remained significant \( (F = 56.61, P < 0.0001) \) even after adjustment for socio-demographic variables (age, education level, occupation and living environment). The adjusted means (SE) were 11.45 (1.12) drinks per week for subjects who overestimated, and 4.50 (1.08) drinks per week for those who did not.

To assess the impact of socio-demographic characteristics on overestimating, age, education level, occupation, living environment and family history of alcohol problems were each tested in a separate regression model. Except for individual alcohol use, none of the other variables was significantly associated with overestimation. The single and the multivariable logistic regression models are presented in Table 2.

**DISCUSSION**

We surveyed perceptions (estimates) of the amount of drinking by others (same sex and age) in a sample of 20-year-old males as they attended the mandatory Swiss army recruitment and found a high prevalence of overestimating the amount of drinking by others. This overestimation was associated with significantly more own drinking, by themselves even after adjusting for socio-demographic variables.

Our results are consistent with other studies conducted among college students, notably in North America but also in the UK, where a significant proportion of the subjects reported similar drinking misperceptions (Borsari and Carey, 2001; Lewis and Neighbors, 2006; McAlaney and McMahon, 2007; Perkins, 2007). Our results are also consistent with previous research showing an association between misperception and own drinking (Kypri and Langley, 2003; McAlaney and McMahon, 2007; McNally and Palfai, 2003; Perkins *et al.*, 2005).

In our sample of 20-year-old Swiss males, the overestimation of the amount of drinking by others is not influenced by factors such as education, occupation, living environment or family history of alcohol problems. Individuals who drink the most tend to overestimate the amount of drinking by others, regardless of their education level, occupation or living environment.

One finding of interest is that approximately a third of the subjects underestimated the amount of drinking by others. The evolution over time of the perception of the amount of drinking by others and its relationship with own alcohol consumption remains to be explored.
drinking by others outside of intervention studies targeting these perceptions should be the focus of future research to determine whether these perceptions (and especially the underestimation of the amount of drinking by others) and changes in perception are associated with changes in drinking.

Explaining overestimating

Social norms theory states that people’s behaviour is influenced by their perception of the behaviour of others and that people tend to exaggerate the negative health behaviour of others (such as smoking or drinking). It states that if an individual perceives heavy alcohol drinking as typical, that individual is more likely to engage in heavy drinking. As such, perceptions of others’ behaviour play a role in how one adopts or does not a given behaviour. Explanations for the overestimation of the amount of drinking by others include the construction of perceptions on limited information: one usually does not precisely know the habits of others, and problematic behaviours tend to get most of the attention, thus giving them an inaccurate sense of what is the norm. Alternative explanations for the overestimation of the amount of drinking by others may include the fact that individuals may tend to select friends and peers according to their preferences or to the behaviour of family members (including drinking) and therefore spend time with individuals drinking similar or larger amounts of alcohol than themselves. Even though we were not able to assess the behaviour of close peers, we were able to show that a family history of alcohol problems, occupation and living environment were not associated with overestimation of the amount of drinking by others. Overestimation may also be seen as a way to continue freely adopting a given behaviour with the impression that the given behaviour is normal. Our results are consistent with social norms theory and we retain as a primary explanation that, according to social norms theory, it is the perception itself that impacts the current behaviour, which implies that individuals overestimating the amount of drinking by others are more likely to drink more.

Limitations of this study

The present study has several limitations. First, it is cross-sectional in nature and we were not able to observe the impact of potential changes in perceptions or the influence of perceptions on the evolution of alcohol use over time. Secondly, only descriptive norms were assessed, and interpersonal perception was limited to those of the same age and sex as the subject. Perception of the amount of drinking by others in more proximal groups (such as close friends) was not evaluated. The large number of subjects screened (over 9000) precludes gathering with a brief survey questionnaire the kind of detailed data that could be obtained during, for example, face-to-face structured interviews. Nevertheless, we were able to compare the random sub-sample with the larger census from which it was drawn. Thirdly, this is a subset analysis embedded within a large survey of military conscripts and did not include women or non-Swiss residents. Our research sample is representative only of French-speaking, young Swiss males. Fourthly, given that 20% did not respond to the survey (mostly because they left the centre before meeting with the research staff), we cannot be certain regarding the accuracy of the population level measurement of alcohol consumption.

Our study has notable strengths. We were able to compare individual perceptions of the amount of drinking by others with actual reported amount of drinking in the larger census sample. Our sample consisted of subjects from a total population of young men; they were not all students, unlike many of the other perceived drinking studies. This allowed us to control for some of the potential biases created by the differences between drinking and living in the college milieu versus those found in a less selective environment. Comparisons within our subsample of drinkers should generalize to the overall census from which the subjects were randomly selected, since they were all surveyed during the same period, and in the same facility with the same research staff.

In conclusion, misperceptions surrounding the amount of drinking by others (particularly the strong tendency towards overestimation) is prevalent among 20-year-old Swiss men. There is a strong link between own amount of drinking and estimating the amount of drinking by others, which is independent of several other common factors in the population. Preventive approaches designed to help individuals reduce their alcohol consumption might incorporate the use of normative feedback, to counteract the misperceptions that might ‘encourage’ individuals to justify their own use of alcohol. Further research is needed in this population to assess whether interventions aimed at reducing misperceptions result in reduced drinking. The present research makes a strong case for agreeing with the notion that ‘the more one thinks someone else drinks, the more they (themselves) drink’. The phenomenon of overestimating the amount of drinking by others appears in the general population, as well.
as among students; thus preventive measures should be targeting a broader segment of the population.
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