Bortezomib in patients with relapsed or refractory mantle cell lymphoma: updated time-to-event analyses of the multicenter phase 2 PINNACLE study
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Background: We previously reported results of the phase 2, multicenter PINNACLE study, which confirmed the substantial single-agent activity of bortezomib in patients with relapsed or refractory mantle cell lymphoma (MCL).

Materials and methods: We report updated time-to-event data, in all patients and by response to treatment, after extended follow-up (median 26.4 months).

Results: Median time to progression (TTP) was 6.7 months. Median time to next therapy (TTNT) was 7.4 months. Median overall survival (OS) was 23.5 months. In responding patients, median TTP was 12.4 months, median duration of response (DOR) was 9.2 months, median TTNT was 14.3 months, and median OS was 35.4 months. Patients achieving complete response had heterogeneous disease characteristics; among these patients, median TTP and DOR were not reached, and median OS was 36.0 months. One-year survival rate was 69% overall and 91% in responding patients.

Median OS from diagnosis was 61.1 months, after median follow-up of 63.7 months. Activity was seen in patients with refractory disease and patients relapsing following high-intensity treatment. Toxicity was generally manageable.

Conclusions: Single-agent bortezomib is associated with lengthy responses and notable survival in patients with relapsed/refractory MCL, with considerable TTP and TTNT in responding patients, suggesting substantial clinical benefit.
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Introduction

Mantle cell lymphoma (MCL), an aggressive subtype of non-Hodgkin’s lymphoma, is characterized by cyclin D1 overexpression resulting from the t(11;14)(q13;q32) translocation [1, 2]. MCL patients generally have a poor prognosis [1, 2]; median overall survival (OS) is typically 3–4 years from diagnosis [3, 4]. Standard front-line treatment has not been established [1, 4]. High-dose therapy plus stem-cell transplant (HDT-SCT) appears beneficial for progression-free survival (PFS) or event-free survival compared with standard chemotherapy [5, 6]. Dose-intense regimens such as hyperfractionated cyclophosphamide, with vincristine, doxorubicin, and dexamethasone (hyper-CVAD), alternating with high-dose methotrexate and cytarabine, in combination with rituximab, are frequently employed [1, 4]. Although high-intensity treatments have demonstrated notable OS [7, 8], median PFS has nevertheless been reported as only 3 years [7]. Despite high response rates to front-line regimens, patients relapse [1, 4], often demonstrating acquired chemotherapy resistance, and typically achieving short duration of response (DOR) to conventional chemotherapy, illustrating the need for novel therapies for relapsed/refractory MCL.

Bortezomib (VELCADE®; Millennium: The Takeda Oncology Company, and Johnson & Johnson Pharmaceutical Research & Development, L.L.C.) is approved in the United States for the treatment of patients with MCL following at least one prior therapy, and patients with multiple myeloma. We previously demonstrated substantial activity with single-agent bortezomib in patients with relapsed or refractory MCL.
in an initial analysis of the phase 2 PINNACLE study [9], the largest prospective study to date in this population. Response rate was 33%, including 8% complete response (CR)/unconfirmed CR (CRu), as defined in materials and methods; median DOR was 9.2 months, and median time to progression (TTP) was 6.2 months, after median follow-up of 13.4 months [9]. Our findings confirmed the activity seen in smaller phase 1 and 2 studies [10–15] and resulted in the approval of bortezomib in this setting. In our previous report, 12 patients remained on treatment and 16 and 72 were in short-term follow-up for disease progression and long-term follow-up for survival, respectively [9]. All patients have now completed treatment; here we report updated data after extended follow-up.

**materials and methods**

The PINNACLE study design has been reported [9]. Study objectives were to evaluate response rate [CR/CRu + partial response (PR)], DOR, TTP, and OS. Eligible patients were ≥18 years old and had measurable/assessable, pathologically confirmed MCL, including cyclin D1 overexpression and/or evidence of the t(11;14) translocation, with documented relapse or progression after one or two lines of antineoplastic therapy (including exposure to an anthracycline or mitoxantrone, and rituximab). In total, 155 patients received bortezomib 1.3 mg/m², days 1, 4, 8, 11, every 21 days, for up to 17 cycles or four cycles beyond initial reporting of CR/CRu, or until discontinuation for progressive disease (PD), unacceptable toxicity, or patient/investigator decision. Efficacy was assessed every 6 weeks (two cycles) for 18 weeks (six cycles), then every 12 weeks until PD or alternative antineoplastic therapy. Disease response and progression were determined by International Workshop Response Criteria [16], using independent radiology review. Patients discontinuing for reasons other than PD continued in short-term follow-up every 6 weeks until week 18, then every 12 weeks until PD or alternative therapy. All patients underwent long-term follow-up every 3 months. Safety was assessed throughout by investigators and through laboratory evaluations. Adverse events (AEs) were graded according to National Cancer Institute Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events (NCI CTCAE) version 3.0 [17]. The study was conducted in accordance with International Conference on Harmonization Good Clinical Practice guidelines and the Declaration of Helsinki. The study was approved by the responsible institutional review board for each investigative site; all patients provided written informed consent.

At this analysis, additional scans were assessed and existing scans reassessed by independent radiology review. Updated response rate and DOR analyses were conducted in 141 response-assessable patients and in patient subgroups with refractory disease (no response or response with TTP <6 months after last prior therapy; n = 58, including 51 response-assessable patients) and with prior high-intensity disease (therapies containing high-dose cytarabine or ifosfamide/carboplatin/etoposide; n = 58, including 52 response-assessable patients). Updated analyses of TTP, PFS, time to next therapy (TTNT; time from first bortezomib dose to start of next therapy), and OS were conducted for all patients, by response, and in the above subgroups, using Kaplan–Meier methods. A post hoc analysis of OS from diagnosis was conducted using Kaplan–Meier methods.

**results**

**patient characteristics and disposition**

Baseline characteristics have been reported; median age was 65 years, and 46% of patients had received two or more prior lines of therapy [9]. At the time of enrollment, 44% of patients had an International Prognostic Index score ≥3, 36% had lactate dehydrogenase levels above the upper limit of normal, and 77% had stage IV MCL [9]. After a median follow-up of 26.4 months, 55 patients (35%) remained in follow-up (four in short-term and 51 in long-term follow-up), 93 (60%) had died, two (1%) had withdrawn consent, and five (3%) were lost to follow-up. Patients received a median of four treatment cycles (range 1–21) overall; responding patients received a median of eight cycles (range 2–21).

**efficacy**

Response rate at this updated analysis was 32% [95% confidence interval (CI) 24%, 40%], including 8% CR/CRu (95% CI 4%, 14%). Median time to first response was 1.4 months; response rate after two cycles was 18% (95% CI 12%, 25%), with 2% CR/CRu (95% CI 0%, 6%), and after four cycles was 27% (95% CI 20%, 35%), with 4% CR/CRu (95% CI 1%, 8%). Patients who achieved CR/CRu were aged 52–79 years and had heterogeneous disease characteristics at baseline, including some patients with bulky disease (5 of 11 had lesions >5 cm on the long axis), Table 1.

Median DOR was 9.2 months (95% CI 5.9, 13.8) in all responders (Figure 1A). It was not reached (95% CI 8.7, not estimable) in patients achieving CR/CRu and was 6.7 months (95% CI 4.9, 9.7) in patients achieving PR. There was no correlation between DOR and time to response. Table 2 shows medians for TTP, PFS, TTNT, and OS for all patients and by response.

Among the 11 patients achieving CR/CRu, four had progressed by independent radiology review (one of these patients had not received subsequent antineoplastic therapy), and eight had received subsequent antineoplastic therapy (five of these patients had not progressed by independent radiology review). Subsequent therapies received by the three patients who had progressed by independent radiology review were fludarabine plus rituximab; bortezomib plus fludarabine, mitoxantrone, and dexamethasone; and etoposide, methylprednisolone, cytarabine, cisplatin. Of the five patients who had not progressed by independent radiology review, two had rituximab added to bortezomib, one received radiation therapy following progression by investigator assessment, one received mephitrexate for a nonmalignant condition (seronegative symmetrical synovitis), and one received rituximab despite not having progressed by either independent radiology review or investigator assessment. Among five patients with lesions >5 cm on the long axis, two had progressed by independent radiology review; the others included one patient with progression by investigator assessment, the patient treated for synovitis, and the patient treated despite not having progressed.

Figure 1B–E shows distribution curves for TTP, PFS, TTNT, and OS. The 1-year survival rate was 69% overall and 91% in responders. Median OS from diagnosis was 61.1 months (95% CI 52.1, 70.4), after median follow-up of 63.7 months; the distribution curve is shown in Figure 1F. In all patients, median TTP following their last prior therapy was 12.0 months (95% CI 11.1, 15.0); median TTP following last prior therapy
was 16.0 months (95% CI 12.0, 20.1) among patients who responded to bortezomib, and 17.0 months (95% CI 10.0, 21.0) among patients who achieved CR/CRu with bortezomib.

Bortezomib demonstrated activity in patients with refractory MCL and patients with prior high-intensity therapy. Response rates, DOR, TTP, PFS, TTNT, and OS among these populations are summarized in Table 3.

**safety**

The safety profile of bortezomib in this analysis was similar to that previously reported [9]. The most common grade 3 or higher non-hematologic AE was peripheral neuropathy, seen in 20 (13%) patients. Median time to onset of peripheral neuropathy of any grade was four cycles (~12 weeks); time to onset of grade 3 or higher peripheral neuropathy ranged from 4 to 30 weeks. Data on reversibility of this AE were not collected. Lymphopenia was seen in 104 (67%) patients, including 52 (34%) with grade ≥3 lymphopenia [absolute lymphocyte count (ALC) <500 cells/mm³]. By the end-of-treatment visit, typically 30 days after last dose of bortezomib, ALC had recovered to normal in 38 of 104 (37%) patients and had improved by at least one NCI CTCAE grade in a further 36 (35%) patients. Among patients with grade ≥3 lymphopenia, nine (17%) had recovered to normal and a further 29 (58%) had improved by at least one NCI CTCAE grade by their end-of-treatment visit.

Twelve patients (8%) died within 28 days of their last dose of bortezomib, including four (3%) whose cause of death was considered related to bortezomib (three due to non-neutropenic sepsis, one due to respiratory failure) [9].

**discussion**

These updated results from the PINNACLE study after extended follow-up confirm the substantial activity of single-agent bortezomib in patients with relapsed or refractory MCL. Bortezomib was associated with lengthy responses (median DOR of 9.2 months) and a notable median OS of ~2 years, with substantial TTP and TTNT in responding patients, indicating clinical benefit. These data are of particular note given that almost half the patients entered the study following second relapse; clinical experience at the time of this study suggested survival of only 1–2 years following first relapse. Furthermore, analysis of OS from diagnosis demonstrated a median OS of >5 years, similar to that reported recently for low–intermediate risk MCL patients [18]. This appears longer than historically reported data [3, 4] and more consistent with studies of HDT-SCT in first remission [19] and front-line dose-intense therapy [20, 21]. Patient selection may also have contributed to the long median OS. In addition, our findings confirm that bortezomib is active in refractory disease and in patients relapsing following high-intensity treatment. The latter is important given the frequent front-line use of high-intensity therapies. Our analysis of patients who achieved CR/CRu showed that responses were seen in patients with heterogeneous baseline demographic and disease characteristics, including patients with bulky disease.

The safety profile in this updated analysis was similar to that previously reported, with bortezomib-associated toxic effects proving manageable. Although data on the reversibility of peripheral neuropathy were not collected, studies of bortezomib in myeloma have shown peripheral neuropathy to be reversible in the majority of patients [22–24]. In the present study, bortezomib-associated lymphopenia was reversible in the majority of patients within 30 days of treatment discontinuation, with approximately one-third recovering to a normal ALC and a further one-third demonstrating an improvement of at least one NCI CTCAE grade within this time period.

Immunohistochemical analyses for potential biomarkers of bortezomib activity are ongoing using PINNACLE data, with...
Figure 1. Kaplan–Meier distribution curves of time-to-event data in patients with relapsed or refractory mantle cell lymphoma receiving bortezomib. (A) Duration of response in all responders and in patients achieving complete response/unconfirmed complete response. (B) Time to progression, (C) progression-free survival, (D) time to next therapy, and (E) overall survival (OS) for all patients and by response after a median follow-up of 26.4 months. (F) OS for all patients from diagnosis, after a median follow-up of 63.7 months.

Table 2. Median TTP, PFS, TTNT, and OS in months (95% confidence interval) in all patients and by response

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>All patients (n = 155)</th>
<th>Responders (n = 45)*</th>
<th>CR/CRu (n = 11)*</th>
<th>PR (n = 34)*</th>
<th>SD (n = 52)*</th>
<th>PD (n = 34)*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TTP</td>
<td>6.7 (4.0, 7.3)</td>
<td>12.4 (7.4, 16.3)</td>
<td>NE (14.6, NE)</td>
<td>9.1 (7.4, 12.5)</td>
<td>6.9 (4.2, 7.2)</td>
<td>1.2 (1.2, 1.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PFS</td>
<td>6.5 (4.0, 7.2)</td>
<td>12.4 (7.4, 17.3)</td>
<td>20.3 (14.6, NE)</td>
<td>9.7 (7.2, 15.2)</td>
<td>7.2 (6.4, 11.6)</td>
<td>1.2 (1.2, 1.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TTNT</td>
<td>7.4 (5.6, 9.3)</td>
<td>14.3 (11.1, 22.6)</td>
<td>23.9 (17.6, 33.9)</td>
<td>13.3 (9.0, 20.5)</td>
<td>7.0 (4.4, 8.7)</td>
<td>2.3 (1.9, 2.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OS</td>
<td>23.5 (20.3, 27.9)</td>
<td>35.4 (24.9, 37.5)</td>
<td>36.0 (NE, NE)</td>
<td>35.1 (23.4, 37.5)</td>
<td>27.8 (21.3, NE)</td>
<td>13.7 (6.7, 22.3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Of the 141 response-evaluable patients, 10 had no postbaseline assessment.
TTP, time to progression; PFS, progression-free survival; TTNT, time to next therapy; OS, overall survival; CR, complete response; CRu, unconfirmed complete response; PR, partial response; SD, stable disease; PD, progressive disease; NE, not estimable.
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**Table 3.** Response rate, DOR, TTP, PFS, TTNT, and OS in patients with 
refractory MCL and patients with prior high-intensity therapy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Response rate (95% CI)</th>
<th>Median DOR, months (95% CI)</th>
<th>Median PFS, months (95% CI)</th>
<th>Median TTNT, months (95% CI)</th>
<th>Median OS, months (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Refractory MCL, n = 58</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CR + PR CR/CRu</td>
<td>29% (17%, 44%)</td>
<td>5.9 (4.7, NE)</td>
<td>3.9 (1.7, 7.3)</td>
<td>4.1 (1.7, 6.9)</td>
<td>17.3 (7.7, 27.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patients achieving CR/CRu</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patients achieving PR</td>
<td>6% (1%, 16%)</td>
<td>5.9 (4.9, 9.6)</td>
<td>3.9 (1.7, 7.3)</td>
<td>4.1 (1.7, 6.9)</td>
<td>17.3 (7.7, 27.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patients achieving PR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n = 58</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prior high-intensity therapy, n = 58</td>
<td></td>
<td>Not reached (4.7, NE)</td>
<td>4.2 (4.0, 7.4)</td>
<td>4.5 (2.8, 7.4)</td>
<td>20.3 (12.0, 28.8)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note that patients with prior high-intensity therapy may also be included with patients refractory to their prior therapy.

DOR, duration of response; TTP, time to progression; PFS, progression-free survival; TTNT, time to next therapy; OS, overall survival; MCL, mantle cell lymphoma; CI, confidence interval; CR, complete response; PR, partial response; CRu, unconfirmed complete response; NE, not estimable.

In conclusion, our updated results confirm that bortezomib is being investigated in relapsed/refractory and previously untreated MCL in combination with standard agents and regimens including high-dose cytarbaine [27], rituximab, and dexamethasone [28], rituximab, cyclophosphamide, doxorubicin, vincristine, and prednison (R-CHOP) [29, 30], R-hyper-CVAD [31], and rituximab, etopoide, prednisone, vincristine, cyclophosphamide, and doxorubicin [32], with promising early results. Due to the heterogeneous natural history of MCL and the consequent difficulty in comparing results between studies, randomized trials involving bortezomib alone or in combination may be warranted to confirm the benefit of this agent; a phase 3 study is currently comparing R-CHOP to bortezomib, rituximab, cyclophosphamide, doxorubicin, prednison (VcR-CAP) in front-line MCL.

In conclusion, our updated results confirm that bortezomib is highly active in patients with relapsed or refractory MCL and has a manageable toxicity profile. Bortezomib is associated with lengthy responses and notable survival, with substantial TTP and TTNT in responding patients, especially those achieving CR/CRu. These findings indicate that bortezomib provides clinical benefit and represents a valuable treatment option for patients with relapsed/refractory MCL, including those who have relapsed following high-intensity therapy.
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