**Phase I and pharmacokinetic study of lexatumumab (HGS-ETR2) given every 2 weeks in patients with advanced solid tumors**
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**Abstract**

Lexatumumab (HGS-ETR2) is a fully human agonistic mAb to the tumor necrosis factor-related apoptosis-inducing ligand receptor 2 that activates the extrinsic apoptosis pathway and has potent preclinical antitumor activity.

**Materials and methods:** This phase 1, dose escalation study assessed the safety, tolerability, pharmacokinetics (PKs) and immunogenicity of lexatumumab administered i.v. every 14 days in patients with advanced solid tumors.

**Results:** Thirty-one patients received lexatumumab over five dose levels (0.1–10 mg/kg). Most (26 of 31) received four or more cycles of treatment. One patient at 10 mg/kg experienced a possibly related dose-limiting toxicity of grade 3 hyperamylasemia. Nine patients achieved stable disease. One patient with chemotherapy-refractive Hodgkin’s disease experienced a mixed response. Lexatumumab PKs were linear up to 10 mg/kg. At the 10 mg/kg dose, the mean (±standard deviation) t1/2 was 13.67 ± 4.07 days, clearance was 4.95 ± 1.93 ml/day/kg, V1 was 45.55 ml/kg and Vss was 79.08 ml/kg, indicating that lexatumumab distributes outside the plasma compartment. No human antihuman antibodies were detected.

**Conclusions:** Lexatumumab can be safely administered every 14 days at 10 mg/kg. The PK profile supports this schedule. Further evaluation of lexatumumab at this dose schedule is warranted, including combination trials with other agents.
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**Introduction**

Direct targeting of the apoptotic pathway in tumors is an exciting new area of oncology drug development. Activation of the extrinsic apoptosis pathway via the ‘death receptors’ of the tumor necrosis factor-related apoptosis-inducing ligand (TRAIL) is one such strategy [1]. These receptors, TRAIL-R1 and TRAIL-R2, are expressed in a wide variety of human tumors [2–7]. In vitro studies have shown that apoptosis induction through activation of the TRAIL receptors is fairly selective for cancer cell lines versus normal cell lines [8–12]. The expression of TRAIL-R2 is limited in normal tissue, though it is reported on hepatocytes, glial tissue, bronchial epithelium and myocytes [8]. Receptor expression is necessary for activity of the agent, but levels have not correlated with responsiveness in preclinical studies [10, 13].

Lexatumumab (HGS-ETR2) is an agonistic high-affinity mAb that binds to and activates TRAIL-R2. The compound is a recombinant fully human IgG1κ mAb derived from a mouse myeloma cell line. Preclinical work with human tumor cell lines and in xenograft models showed activity of lexatumumab in renal, hematologic, breast, ovarian and colorectal tumors [6, 7, 14–18]. In the first clinical trial with lexatumumab, it was administered every 21 days and was well tolerated up to 10 mg/kg [19]. However, at 20 mg/kg, three of seven patients developed dose-limiting toxic effects consisting of asymptomatic elevations of amylase, transaminases or bilirubin.

The current study evaluated the safety and tolerability of lexatumumab at escalating doses on a more frequent schedule, every 14 days. Pharmacokinetic (PK) and pharmacodynamic studies and assessment of tumor response were also undertaken.

**Materials and methods**

This was a two-center phase 1, open-label, dose escalation study of lexatumumab in subjects with relapsed or refractory advanced solid malignancies. Patients gave written informed consent for this trial...
Lexatumumab was supplied by Human Genome Sciences as a sterile, reconstituted, then diluted in normal saline and infused i.v. immediately after reconstitution. The 0.1 and 0.3 mg/kg dose levels were infused over 30 min via a syringe pump. The 1, 3 and 10 mg/kg doses were infused at a constant rate over 2 h.

Blood samples for serum lexatumumab levels were collected from patients before dose in cycles 1, 2, 3 and 4; at completion of dosing, 5 min, 8 h and 7 days after dose in cycles 1, 2 and 4 and at 24 h after dose in cycles 1 and 4. Additional samples were collected 2 and 4 days after dose in cycle 1 only, before dose in all additional cycles and 14, 21, 28 and 42 days following a patient’s final lexatumumab dose. Serum samples were stored frozen at −70°C until analysis.

Serum samples were analyzed for lexatumumab concentration and anti-lexatumumab immunogenicity by qualified enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays (ELISAs) as previously described [19].

Compartmental PK analysis was carried out with WinNonlin Enterprise (Version 5.0.1; Pharsight Corp., Mountain View, CA). Serum lexatumumab concentration–time profiles for each subject were analyzed individually using actual times after dose, actual dose times relative to the first dose and the actual doses administered. Data were fit using a two-compartment model with first-order elimination from the central compartment. Weighting schemes of 1, 1/p, 1/p², and 1/p³ (where p is the predicted value for the observation) were assessed. Selection of weighting schemes was based on the precision of the primary model variables, sum of the squared residuals and randomness of the residuals. PK parameters could not be reliably estimated for four subjects because an acceptable fit could not be attained with any model or weighting scheme. Dose proportionality over the evaluated dose ranges was assessed using a one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA).

Paraffin blocks or unstained slides were requested of the original tumor of all patients, with additional tumor biopsies at baseline and on day 8 of cycle 1 as feasible. Staining for TRAIL-R2 was done using a previously published standardized method [19]. Blood samples collected before dosing on all cycles (and on day 8 of cycle 1 and days 15 and 43 after final dose) were assayed for antibodies to lexatumumab using a previously published ELISA technique [19]. Statistical analysis for safety, PK, pharmacodynamic and immunogenicity data was created using WinNonlin Enterprise, Version 5.0.1 (Pharsight Corp.), GraphPad Prism (Version 4.02) software, SoftMaxPro GxP (Version 5) or SAS (Version 9.1).

results

Thirty-one heavily pretreated patients enrolled and received at least one dose of lexatumumab. Baseline characteristics are summarized in Table 1.

The 0.1, 1 and 3 mg/kg cohorts all included four patients who received at least four cycles of drug. The 0.3 mg/kg cohort was expanded to seven patients when three patients were unable to complete four full cycles of therapy (required at the 0.1 and 0.3 mg/kg cohorts); but no DLTs occurred at this dose. The 10 mg/kg cohort was expanded to 12 patients to allow for extensive toxicity and PK analysis. One patient at this dose had grade 3 hyperamylasemia, possibly related to study drug and considered a DLT, though the patient had baseline elevated amylase levels. Based on the previously determined MTD of lexatumumab of 10 mg/kg every 21 days [19], escalation beyond 10 mg/kg was not attempted. The median number of cycles for all 31 patients was 4 (56 days) with a range of 1–41 and a mean of 6.2 (Table 2). Seven patients required dose delays, but only one of these was potentially drug related (hematologic recovery at 10 mg/kg). No patients required dose reduction.
The majority of patients were treated until disease progression. Two died of disease progression while on trial before completing four cycles of therapy. Three patients were discontinued for adverse events, one for hyperamylasemia (10 mg/kg), one for hypercalcemia (0.3 mg/kg) and one for spinal cord compression (0.3 mg/kg). One patient withdrew after four doses.

toxicity

Overall, lexatumumab was very well tolerated. The most common (210%) adverse events regardless of causality included fatigue (n = 15) and nausea (n = 11) and 10 patients each with anemia, anorexia, dyspnea and tachycardia (Table 3). Laboratory toxic effects were generally mild and no treatment-related hepatic toxicity was observed.

Possibly related toxic effects, grade 1 unless indicated, included fatigue (n = 11, six grade 2), nausea (n = 6), pain (n = 5) and anorexia (n = 4) (Table 3). Two severe (grade 3) toxic effects, possibly treatment related, were vomiting (n = 1 at 3 mg/kg) and hyperamylasemia (n = 1 at 10 mg/kg). The hyperamylasemia occurred in a patient with elevated baseline amylase levels who was taking an oral mushroom extract that may have contributed. There were no grade 4 treatment-related toxic effects.

PK results

Serum lexatumumab concentration–time profiles for each dose group are illustrated in Figure 1. PK analyses and one-way ANOVA results are summarized by dose group in Table 4. There were no significant differences in PK parameters, indicating lexatumumab PK were linear across the dose range evaluated. The mean (standard deviation) clearance (CL) was 4.95 (1.93) ml/day/kg and t1/2,0 was 13.67 (4.07) days, at the MTD of 10 mg/kg.

The mean V1 values ranged from marginally greater than plasma volume (~42.8 ml/kg) [22] to 38% greater than plasma volume, while Vss are at least 54% greater than V1 for each cohort. These results indicate that initially lexatumumab may be restricted to the plasma volume, but that it does subsequently distribute to tissues.

The disappearance of lexatumumab from serum is biphasic, with mean t1/2,a of 0.91 to 2.28 days and a mean t1/2,b range from 11.02 to 24.22 days. Based on the average t1/2,b of 15.18 days, 90% of steady state would be attained 50 days after the first dose. The predicted accumulation factor at steady state is ~2.12.

The mean CL of lexatumumab ranged from 3.37 to 5.94 ml/kg/day. These CL values are much smaller than the glomerular filtration rate (~2571 ml/day/kg) [22], consistent with no renal CL of the antibody.

immunohistochemistry and immunogenicity

Archival tumor specimens were available from 17 of 31 patients, with 15 assessable. Eight of 15 assessable specimens had specific TRAIL-R2 staining on at least 10% of the tumor cells. The staining pattern was heterogeneous within and between tumor specimens for both membrane and cytoplasmic localization.

No confirmed positive human anti-lexatumumab antibodies were found in serum samples collected from all patients at multiple time points.

antitumor activity

Twenty-seven of 31 enrolled patients were assessable for response. No partial responses were seen, but one patient with Hodgkin’s disease had a mixed response with regression of tumor in the lung, but growth in other lesions. Eighteen patients were discontinued with disease progression as best response. Stable disease was seen in nine patients (29%) with a variety of tumor types. One patient with a neuroendocrine tumor received 41 doses (20 months) of lexatumumab.

Table 1. Summary of demographics and baseline characteristics

| Race, n (%) | White | 28 (90.3)* | Asian | 3 (9.7) |
| Age (years) | Mean ± SD | 57.7 ± 12.0 | Median | 61.0 |
| Range | 25–77 |
| Primary tumor type, n (%) | 8 (25.8) | 6 (19.4) | 3 (9.7) | 3 (9.7) | 2 (6.5) | 1 (3.2) |
| Lung (NSCLC) | Soft tissue sarcoma | Prostate | Renal | Lymphoma (NHL) | Breast, melanoma, osteosarcoma, thymus, thyroid, esophagus, head and neck, neuroendocrine and Hodgkin’s lymphoma |
| Prior therapy, n (%) | Surgery | 25 (80.6) | Radiation therapy | 20 (64.5) | Systemic therapy | 30 (96.8) | Median prior therapies | 3 (0–8) |

*Five were Hispanic or Latin origin.

NSCLC, non-small-cell lung cancer; NHL, non-Hodgkin’s lymphoma.

Table 2. Extent of exposure to lexatumumab

| Dose level | 0.1 mg/kg (n = 4) | 0.3 mg/kg (n = 7) | 1 mg/kg (n = 4) | 3 mg/kg (n = 4) | 10 mg/kg (n = 4) | Total (N = 31) |
| Cycles | Mean ± SD | 4.0 ± 0.0 | 4.4 ± 2.6 | 6.3 ± 2.1 | 7.3 ± 4.3 | 7.5 ± 10.8 | 6.2 ± 6.9 |
| Mean | 4.0 | 4.0 | 6.5 | 6.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| Range | 4–4 | 2–9 | 4–8 | 4–13 | 1–41 | 1–41 |
**Discussion**

The development of drugs that specifically target the extrinsic apoptosis pathway, such as lexatumumab, represents a novel approach to the treatment of solid tumors. In this trial, lexatumumab was well tolerated at doses up to 10 mg/kg every 2 weeks with minimal toxicity. The only DLT was in one patient who had grade 3 hyperamylasemia that was considered possibly related to lexatumumab. However, this patient had baseline amylase elevation and was taking a mushroom extract, so it is difficult to determine a definitive causal relationship. Of note, two patients on the every 21-day regimen of lexatumumab also developed hyperamylasemia, both while concurrently taking ciprofloxacin [19]. Monitoring of this toxicity will be necessary in the future development of the compound. Other observed toxic effects of fatigue, nausea and anorexia were mild.

PK assessment in this trial was similar to that previously published with the compound using a 21-day schedule and included dose-proportional and linear increases in concentration and area under the curve (AUC), a volume of distribution that exceeded that of plasma and slow CL with a terminal elimination half-life that averaged 15 days [19]. As expected for administration of lexatumumab with a more frequent dosing schedule of 14 days peak concentrations at steady state, exposure as measured by AUC, and the predicted accumulation factor are higher compared with the 21-day schedule. Preclinically, increased dose frequency improved antitumor activity in xenograft models supporting development of the 14-day schedule.

No human antihuman antibody response to lexatumumab has been identified in this or any other trial with the compound. In the study of the every 21-day schedule,
immunohistochemistry for TRAIL-R2 found specific staining in >10% of tumor cells in the vast majority of patients (16 of 20 assessable specimens) [19]. In our study, 8 of 15 assessable specimens had TRAIL-R2 staining in at least 10% of the tumor cells. There is no clear relationship between expression levels of TRAIL-R2 detected by immunohistochemistry and the activity of lexatumumab [23].

Encouraging preliminary antitumor activity was observed in this trial, including the mixed response in a patient with Hodgkin’s disease and several patients with disease stability for over 4 months. The phase I study of the compound every 21 days also documented disease stability in 12 of 37 patients, including three sarcoma patients with stable disease for over 6 months [19]. This study establishes a single-agent dose of lexatumumab at 10 mg/kg every 14 days. The future development of lexatumumab should focus on better identification of patients most likely to benefit from the compound and on combination regimens. Detection of TRAIL-R2 by immunohistochemical analysis has not correlated with response to the agent in preclinical models. Efforts to detect other markers that may more accurately predict response are ongoing. Studies are also ongoing with various chemotherapeutic combinations.

![Figure 1. Mean (± standard deviation) serum lexatumumab concentrations following 0.1–10 mg/kg lexatumumab i.v. infusion doses to solid tumor subjects. Lower limit of quantitation (LLOQ) is 51 nanograms lexatumumab/ml of serum.](image)

Table 4. Summary of PK parameters (mean ± standard deviation) following 0.1, 0.3, 1, 3 or 10 mg/kg lexatumumab i.v. infusion doses to solid tumor subjects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>0.1 mg/kg (n = 4)</th>
<th>0.3 mg/kg (n = 5)</th>
<th>1 mg/kg (n = 4)</th>
<th>3 mg/kg (n = 4)</th>
<th>10 mg/kg (n = 11)</th>
<th>ANOVA, P value (^a)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C(_{\text{max}}) (ng/ml)</td>
<td>1725 ± 311</td>
<td>6130 ± 906</td>
<td>22 103 ± 3035</td>
<td>68357 ± 20 769</td>
<td>223 729 ± 42 836</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(_{\text{ss/dose}}) (kg/ml)</td>
<td>0.0172 ± 0.0031</td>
<td>0.0204 ± 0.0030</td>
<td>0.0221 ± 0.0031</td>
<td>0.0228 ± 0.0069</td>
<td>0.0224 ± 0.0043</td>
<td>0.2682</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUC(_{0–\infty}) (ng/C1/ml)</td>
<td>19 175 ± 8869</td>
<td>55 932 ± 13 996</td>
<td>213 035 ± 46 110</td>
<td>893 229 ± 53 380</td>
<td>2 352 527 ± 1 034 868</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUC(_{0–\infty}/\text{dose}) (kg/C1/ml)</td>
<td>0.1917 ± 0.0887</td>
<td>0.1864 ± 0.0467</td>
<td>0.2130 ± 0.0461</td>
<td>0.2977 ± 0.0178</td>
<td>0.2553 ± 0.1035</td>
<td>0.2590</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t(_{1/2,a}) (day)</td>
<td>1.43 ± 0.80</td>
<td>0.91 ± 0.68</td>
<td>1.95 ± 0.79</td>
<td>2.28 ± 0.89</td>
<td>1.57 ± 0.78</td>
<td>0.1261</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t(_{1/2,b}) (day)</td>
<td>13.83 ± 9.56</td>
<td>11.02 ± 3.87</td>
<td>13.14 ± 2.39</td>
<td>24.22 ± 15.74</td>
<td>13.67 ± 4.07</td>
<td>0.1977</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRT (day)</td>
<td>18.41 ± 12.30</td>
<td>14.89 ± 5.18</td>
<td>16.26 ± 4.01</td>
<td>29.89 ± 17.82</td>
<td>17.47 ± 5.65</td>
<td>0.2606</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CL (ml/day/kg)</td>
<td>5.94 ± 2.18</td>
<td>5.63 ± 1.39</td>
<td>4.80 ± 1.08</td>
<td>3.37 ± 0.20</td>
<td>4.95 ± 1.93</td>
<td>0.2499</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V(_1) (ml/kg)</td>
<td>59.10 ± 9.31</td>
<td>49.27 ± 6.02</td>
<td>44.87 ± 6.63</td>
<td>46.80 ± 14.29</td>
<td>45.55 ± 8.69</td>
<td>0.2326</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V(_{ss}) (ml/kg)</td>
<td>90.98 ± 21.71</td>
<td>78.61 ± 15.88</td>
<td>76.63 ± 19.52</td>
<td>98.42 ± 52.28</td>
<td>79.08 ± 22.98</td>
<td>0.8155</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PK parameters could not be reliably estimated for four subjects because an acceptable fit could not be attained with any model or weighting scheme.

\(^a\)One-way ANOVA of natural log transformed data.

C\(_{\text{max}}\), maximum serum drug concentration for a single dose; AUC\(_{0–\infty}\), area under the serum drug concentration–time curve from time 0 to infinite time for a single dose; t\(_{1/2,a}\), elimination half-life for the first phase; t\(_{1/2,b}\), elimination half-life for the second (terminal) phase; MRT, mean residence time; CL, clearance; V\(_1\), volume of distribution for the central compartment; V\(_{ss}\), volume of distribution at steady state; ANOVA, analysis of variance; NA, not applicable.
(theoretically activating both the intrinsic and extrinsic apoptosis pathways simultaneously). Preclinical studies have demonstrated synergistic or additive activity between lexatumumab and chemotherapeutic agents including cisplatin, doxorubicin and the taxanes [13, 16, 23–25], as well as with radiation [26]. Preliminary results from a phase Ib study of lexatumumab in combination with pemetrexed, liposomal doxorubicin, FOLFIRI (5-fluorouracil, leucovorin and irinotecan) or gemcitabine found the combinations well tolerated [27].
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