Gender-specific costs of reproduction on vegetative growth and physiological performance in the dioecious shrub Corema album
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INTRODUCTION

The reproductive costs hypothesis states that plant resource allocation or development is limited such that an increase in ongoing plant reproduction incurs a reduction in fitness, quantified as reduced future fecundity or survival (Levins, 1968; Karlsson and Méndez, 2005). The main assumption in the hypothesis of reproductive costs is that a trade-off occurs in the distribution of resources at the physiological level, either towards vegetative growth or towards reproduction. Thus, it could be expected that plants express physiological costs of reproduction through a reduction in growth or in future reproduction (Fox, 1995).

Dioecious plants, in which reproductive functions are separated in two distinct sexual forms, are especially suitable for studying interactions between growth and reproduction, as the effects of flower and fruit production can be analysed separately (Hoffmann and Alliende, 1984). In dioecious woody species, the timing and extent of the reproductive cost are reported to differ between sexual forms, with a general pattern of females enduring a higher net total cost than males (Leigh et al., 2006). Intersexual differences in reproductive allocation can result in relative differences in life-history traits, such as stem growth and flower and fruit production (Matsuyama and Sakimoto, 2008).

Nevertheless, reproduction may not always incur a detectable cost, because compensatory mechanisms may exist to foster resource uptake during reproduction (Tuomi et al., 1983), rendering these intersexual differences in reproductive trade-offs non-detectable. Several mechanisms which compensate for higher reproductive allocation in females have been described in dioecious species; these include (a) different timing of vegetative and reproductive allocation (Delph, 1990; Obeso, 2002; Milla et al., 2006), (b) microhabitat partitioning among the sexes (Bierzychudek and Eckhart, 1988; Dawson and Geber, 1999) and (c) increased photosynthetic activity during reproduction (Dawson and Ehleringer, 1993; Obeso, 2002; Nicotra et al., 2003).

In this context and assuming equal conditions, female individuals should have greater capacity than males for resource acquisition through uptake, assimilation and/or reabsorption throughout the reproductive period, but particularly during fruiting, when greater resource allocation occurs (Ashman, 1994; Delph, 1999).

Sequencing of vegetative growth and fruiting, or non-overlapping phenophases, has been described to be a
compensating mechanism, reducing competition for resources between reproductive and vegetative organs (Delph, 1990; Matsuyama and Sakimoto, 2008). Differences in the timing of vegetative growth and reproduction have been described to vary between sexual forms in an array of woody dioecious species (Ágren, 1988; Popp and Reimartz, 1988; Matsuyama and Sakimoto, 2008). In Pistacea lentiscus, Milla et al. (2006) described the sequencing of flowering and growing periods to explain the lack of trade-offs between reproduction and vegetative growth, diminishing reproductive costs. In the dioecious shrub Corema album, Zunzunegui et al. (2006) found evidence of gender differences in the timing of maximum vegetative growth and reproductive investment within a growing season. Early growth in females has been explained by several authors as a compensation for their greater resource needs for fruit production, allowing a greater allocation of resources to storage organs and/or the production of a greater leaf area before the subsequent reproductive event (Popp and Reimartz, 1988; Delph, 1990; Obeso, 2002; Matsuyama and Sakimoto, 2008).

Manipulative experiments have been used to assess balances in resource allocation and reproductive costs, as they constitute a fixed environmental effect independent of the genetic origin, and therefore allows isolation of the indirect demographic costs of adaptations that compensate for reproductive costs (Hartemink et al., 2004; Andersson, 2005; Gehring and Delph, 2006; Narbona and Dirzo, 2010; among others). However, experiments employing direct manipulation of reproduction may be limited in terms of describing trade-offs, if storage organs such as stems or roots compete with reproductive structures as sinks altering the sink: resource ratio (allocation to reproductive vs. photosynthetic tissues). This has been described as being of particular importance in seasonal habitats (Ehrlén and van Groenendael, 2001). Thus, studies spanning at least two reproductive events are considered to be more accurate in detecting the effects of manipulations of current reproductive allocation on future growth and/or reproduction (Primack and Hall, 1990; Ehrlén and van Groenendael, 2001; García and Ehrlén, 2002; Horibata et al., 2007). In addition, very few studies have analysed the effects of reproductive allocation on growth and physiological response concomitantly (but see Dumka et al., 2003; Horibata et al., 2007).

In the present study, a direct manipulation experiment involving inflorescence bud removal was performed with the aim of analysing reproductive costs on vegetative growth and physiological response of male and female Corema album individuals, determining the effects of current reproductive allocation over two consecutive years. In particular, the following questions were addressed. (a) Are sexual forms different in terms of trade-offs between reproduction and vegetative growth? (b) Is there a physiological mechanism compensating for reproductive costs? (c) Does reproduction cause indirect (delayed) somatic or physiological costs? A greater effect of inflorescence bud removal on vegetative growth and physiology on female individuals was expected, as this is the sexual form with the greatest reproductive allocation in C. album (Guitián et al., 1997; Zunzunegui et al., 2006).

To test the existence of physiological compensatory mechanisms, leaf water potential and photochemical efficiency of chlorophyll a were determined, as it was anticipated that water relations and photosynthetic assimilation would be affected by the different reproductive demands between genders. Biomass allocation pattern was also measured, as this variable could be related to an increase in photosynthetic assimilation related to reproductive costs of both current and ensuing reproductive seasons (Case and Barrett, 2004; Milla et al., 2006).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study species

Corema album (Ericaceae) is an evergreen dioecious shrub which rarely exceeds 1 m in height. The species is also considered as subdioecious because male plants occasionally present inflorescences with staminate flowers only and inflorescences with both pistillate and staminate flowers, although hermaphrodite individuals are scarce (1–4 %) and only present at the southern most populations of the species’ geographical range (Zunzunegui et al., 2006). Leaves are ericoid, alternated or verticillated and persist for only two growing seasons. Pollination is anemophilous and fruits are spherical white or pink–white berries (5–8 mm in diameter). The growing period takes place from February to July, reaching its maximum between April and June, while flowering occurs from February to April, with fruits ripening from June to July. Corema album is endemic to the Atlantic coast of the Iberian Peninsula, from north-west Spain to the proximities of the Straits of Gibraltar in the south, growing on sandy soils over coastal dunes and cliffs (Valdés et al., 1987).

Previous studies have reported that reproductive effort is 3-fold higher in female than in male plants in terms of biomass at different populations along the geographical range of C. album: Guitián et al. (1997) in the north of the species range and Zunzunegui et al. (2006) in the south at the same study site selected for the present study.

Study site

The study was carried out in the coastal dunes of El Asperillo (34°0’N 6°36’W), in the Doñana Natural Park (south-west Spain). The climate is Mediterranean type with oceanic influence and mild temperatures. Mean annual temperature is 16.8 °C. Average annual rainfall is 550 mm, concentrated between October and March. Vegetation consists of native dune scrub of Halimium halimifolium, H. communatum, Cistus ladanotis, C. salvifolius, Rosmarinus officinalis, Lavandula stoechas, Cytisus grandiflorus, Stauracanthus genistoides, Corema album, Juniperus oxycedrus and J. phoenicea, together with Pinus pinea. Corema album is the dominant scrub in some areas (Díaz Barradas and Muñoz Reinoso, 1992).

The study was conducted from February to July over two consecutive growing periods, 2004 and 2005. The second study period was especially dry, with an annual rainfall 60 % below the historic yearly average for the area (179 mm).

Experimental design

Ten even-sized individuals of each gender were randomly chosen and marked within a 50 × 50 m area, where male and female canopies show a random spatial distribution and...
the sex ratio is equilibrated (Álvarez-Cansino, 2009). At the beginning of 2004, all inflorescence buds were removed, on initial appearance and before flowers could bloom (in January for male individuals and in February for females), with the aim of suppressing reproduction. Ten other similar individuals of each gender were marked for control purposes in the same area. All selected individuals were reproductive in the two study years and no hermaphrodite flowers were detected on any of them.

Gender determination was performed by searching for remains of anthers and fruits from the previous reproductive period. Residual late inflorescences were systematically removed over a 2-week period after the start of the study.

Measurements of major (A) and minor (a) axes (A orthogonal with respect to a) of canopy projection were carried out on each selected individual. Canopy area was calculated approximating canopy projection to an ellipse. The range of canopy size overlapped between sexes (range of mean values of A and a: 0.5–1.025 m for males and 0.49–1.03 m for females), with no significant differences between genders (ANOVA, F₁ = 0.663, P = 0.426).

To estimate the number of flowers produced per plant, all inflorescence buds removed at the beginning of the experiment (2004) were counted and the number of flowers per square metre of canopy was calculated with the area projection of each individual.

To estimate the number of fruits produced per plant, and account for inter-annual variation in fruit production, two 0.25 m² quadrats were randomly placed in the canopy of each control plant when fruits had ripened (July) in the 2004 and 2005 reproductive events. All fruits within the quadrats were counted and the average number of fruits per individual calculated.

**Shoot elongation**

In *C. album*, growth of new branches occurs after flowering, generating a bud-scale scar in the stem. In each of the 40 marked individuals, ten randomly chosen branches were marked in the last bud-scale scar (10 branches per 10 individuals per 2 genders per 2 treatments; n = 400), and the growth of newly produced shoots was measured over two consecutive growing periods following Gibson and Menges (1994). Measurements were made fortnightly throughout the 2004, and monthly throughout the 2005 growing periods (February to July), with the aim of studying the effects of inflorescence bud removal during the current and subsequent growing periods. To calculate inflorescence bud removal effects on vegetative allocation, the following measurements were conducted on each branch.

Shoot elongation (SE) of newly produced shoots was calculated separately for each of the ten marked branches per plant as the sum of the elongation values of all the ramifications produced for each new shoot at each measuring date following Zunzunegui et al. (2002). The mean SE per individual was calculated for each sampling date (10 individuals × 2 genders × 2 treatments; n = 40).

Shoot elongation rate (SErate, mm d⁻¹) was calculated as the increase in shoot length between two consecutive measuring dates divided by the number of days elapsed between them:

\[
SE_{\text{rate}} = \frac{SE_t - SE_{t-1}}{\text{days}_t - \text{days}_{t-1}}
\]

Accumulated shoot elongation at the end of the growing period (SEₐ, mm) was measured as the final SE value accumulated per shoot at the end of each study year (2004 and 2005).

The average number of shoots produced per measured branch was calculated for an estimate of ramification per individual.

Mean shoot elongation per shoot (MSE, mm) through the whole growing season was estimated as the ratio between SE and the number of ramifications per terminal shoot (eqn 2).

\[
MSE = \frac{SE}{\#\text{ramifications}}
\]

**Biomass production**

To determine biomass production per shoot, at the end of the experiment all the new shoots produced in the 2005 growing season from all branches marked in 2004 were cut at the level of the last bud-scale scar, which was produced during the 2005 flowering event (n = 400, 10 branches per 10 individuals per 2 genders per 2 treatments). After drying in a forced-air oven at 80 °C for 24 h, plant material was separated into leaves, stems and fruits (in 2005, buds were not removed, hence, fruits for both treatments were available) and weighed for the estimation of relative biomass devoted to fruit production as:

fruits dry weight (DW)/vegetative DW (leaves + stems) per shoot

**Physiological measurements**

The following physiological measurements were taken from each of the 40 marked individuals (10 individuals per 2 genders per 2 treatments) in February (beginning of flowering and coldest month), May (beginning of fruit ripening) and July (end of the growing season and fruit ripening and summer drought) in both study years.

Water potential was measured on one terminal twig per individual using a Scholander pressure chamber (Scholander et al., 1965).

Photochemical efficiency of chlorophyll *a* (photosystem II) was measured using a portable modulated fluorimeter (MINI-PAM, Walz Effeltrich, Germany) following the modulated pulse-amplitude technique (Bilger et al., 1995). Calculations followed Schreiber et al. (1995). Maximum photochemical efficiency of chlorophyll *a* (Fᵥ/Fₘ) was measured on leaves previously adapted to darkness for 20 min (three twigs per 40 individuals). The average value of the three Fᵥ/Fₘ measurements was calculated for each individual (n = 40).

Both water potential and photochemical efficiency of chlorophyll *a* were measured at solar midday on sunny days, the time of maximum environmental stress, with the purpose of recording the eventual physiological differences related to reproductive effort.
Data analysis

Differences in shoot elongation rate (SE_rate) between males and females and between controls and bud-removed plants were analysed by means of a repeated-measures ANOVA with gender and treatment as fixed factors. Due to the effect of plant size in determining the patterns of reproductive allocation (Bañuelos and Obeso, 2004; Friedman and Barrett, 2009) individual canopy size was included as a covariate. In addition, two-way ANOVAs were performed separately for each measurement month, with the aim of detecting the effect of inflorescence bud removal on the different phases of the reproductive season.

Although the branches of some species can be considered as modules or independent units (Tuomi et al., 1982), in order to consider the effect of individual plants and take into account possible inter-individual differences in size and/or microsite effects, a nested ANOVA was carried out to compare differences among treatments of mean shoot elongation (MSE), accumulated shoot elongation (SE_a) and biomass production data. Treatment was considered as the fixed factor and individuals as the random factor nested in treatment. To compare differences between genders in each treatment, a nested ANOVA was carried out, with gender as the fixed factor and individual as random factor nested in gender.

The possible effect of differences in shoot size on the effect of the experimental treatment on MSE, SE_a and biomass production variables was previously dismissed by means of a Levene’s test for equality of variance. Homoscedasticity of variance was supported in shoot size for both study periods: 2004 (male, F = 0.631, P = 0.428; female, F = 1.866, P = 0.174) and 2005 (male, F = 0.232, P = 0.858; female, F = 1.352, P = 0.246).

Physiological measurements of water potential and photochemical efficiency of chlorophyll a were analysed by means of a repeated-measures ANOVA to contrast the interaction of sampling date on the effects of gender and treatment.

Normality of data was previously checked using a Kolmogorov–Smirnov non-parametric test. Shoot elongation rate, MSE and biomass production data were transformed by ln (n + 1) for a greater homogeneity in standard deviation and a better fit to the normal distribution. The non-normal variables number of ramifications and number of fruits were analysed for differences between treatments and genders by means of a Mann–Whitney’s U non-parametric test.

All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS 17 (SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA) except nested ANOVA, for which the Statistica 6 (StatSoft, Tulsa, OK, USA) software package was used.

RESULTS

A total of 25 154 inflorescence buds was removed from 20 marked plants; 18 865 (75 %) from male plants and 6289 (25 %) from females. Male individuals exhibited an average of 3960 ± 492 inflorescences m⁻² canopy, significantly greater than the values for female plants, with 1148 ± 185 inflorescences m⁻² canopy (F₁ = 31.23, P < 0.001). These data are consistent with previous results from the authors in studies of C. album (Zunzunegui et al., 2006).

Fruit production in control plants showed an inter-annual variation, with lower values in 2004 (1651 ± 375 fruits m⁻² canopy) compared with 2005 (3855 ± 592 fruits m⁻² canopy) (F = 8.73, P < 0.05).

Effect of inflorescence bud removal on shoot elongation

Bud removal had a significant effect on SE_rate over the two growing seasons studied. Repeated-measures ANOVA indicated the existence of a significant interaction between month, gender and treatment; thus, the intensity of monthly SE_rate depended both on the treatment and the gender (Table 1).

Bud-removed male individuals (M_br) showed greater shoot elongation rate during the first flowering period (March to April 2004; Fig. 1); during the rest of the cycle, the elongation rate was greater in control males (M_c), although differences were not significant. Bud-removed female individuals (F_br) showed greater elongation rates than control females (F_c).

### Table 1. Results of repeated-measures ANOVA for the effect of treatment and gender on shoot elongation rate (SE_rate), leaf water potential and maximum photochemical efficiency (Fᵥ/Fᵥₑ)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Comparison</th>
<th>2004</th>
<th>2005</th>
<th>2004</th>
<th>2005</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MS</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>MS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shoot elongation rate</td>
<td>Month</td>
<td>0.520</td>
<td>5.963</td>
<td>0.001***</td>
<td>0.543</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Month × gender</td>
<td>0.539</td>
<td>6.43</td>
<td>0.001***</td>
<td>0.728</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Month × treatment</td>
<td>0.311</td>
<td>2.486</td>
<td>0.043***</td>
<td>0.245</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Month × gender × treatment</td>
<td>0.603</td>
<td>8.345</td>
<td>0.001***</td>
<td>0.222</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leaf water potential</td>
<td>Month</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>23.51</td>
<td>0.001***</td>
<td>0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Month × gender</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.890</td>
<td>0.482</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Month × treatment</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>1.127</td>
<td>0.332</td>
<td>0.177</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Month × gender × treatment</td>
<td>0.035</td>
<td>0.652</td>
<td>0.525</td>
<td>0.122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum photochemical efficiency</td>
<td>Month</td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td>20.46</td>
<td>0.001***</td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Month × gender</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.908</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Month × treatment</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>6.36</td>
<td>0.572</td>
<td>0.033</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Month × gender × treatment</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>5.46</td>
<td>0.349</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

F, 2 degrees of freedom, except where indicated otherwise: † d.f. = 7 and ‡ d.f. = 5. Asterisks indicate significant differences (* P < 0.1; ** P < 0.05; *** P < 0.01).
during fruit production (May to June), although differences were not significant. In July, once the fruits were formed, \( F_c \) showed higher values than \( F_{br} \) (Fig. 1). In 2005, \( F_{br} \) individuals showed greater SE rate than controls from March to May, while in males the differences between treatments were not significant (Fig. 1).

Between genders, two-way ANOVA revealed significant differences in both bud-removed and control individuals in both growing seasons. \( F_{br} \) individuals showed growth rates equal to or higher than \( M_{br} \), which were more evident throughout the second growing period after bud removal (Fig. 1). In control individuals, \( F_c \) showed higher shoot elongation rates than \( M_c \) at the beginning of the two growing seasons. At the end of the growing season (July), after fruit formation period, \( F_c \) individuals again showed higher elongation rates than \( M_c \) in both study years (Fig. 1).

One-way nested ANOVA showed significant differences between treatments in MSE in both genders (Fig. 2). Control male individuals had lower MSE than \( M_{br} \), which were more evident throughout the 2004 growing season while, in 2005, control males had a greater MSE in March and May. In female individuals, bud-removed plants had greater MSE than controls only in 2005. Between genders, in the 2004 growing season (March to May), the nested ANOVA showed lower MSE in male control individuals compared with female controls. No significant differences between genders were found in MSE in bud-removed individuals. In 2005, significant differences between genders were found in both treatments, with greater MSE values in female individuals.

Similar to the MSE results, Mann–Whitney’s \( U \) non-parametric test showed a significantly greater number of ramifications per terminal shoot for control males in comparison with bud-removed males at the end of the growing season, though only in the first year. No differences were found between treatments in female individuals (Fig. 2 and Table 2). Between genders, control males tended to show a higher number of ramifications than females in 2004, although differences were not significant. Significant differences were found in both treatments in 2005, with male individuals showing a greater number of ramifications than females.

Accumulated shoot elongation at the end of the growing period (\( S E_a \)) was greater in bud-removed female individuals in both study years, although one-way nested ANOVA only showed significant differences between treatments in 2005 (Fig. 3 and Table 3). In male individuals, no differences between treatments were found in any of the study years.

Bud-removed female individuals tended to have a higher \( S E_a \) than males in both study years, but differences were not significant (Fig. 3). In control individuals, males tended to have a greater \( S E_a \) in both years, with significant differences also present in 2005. \( S E_a \) was lower in both genders and treatments in 2005 compared with 2004, due to the severe drought which occurred during this second period.

**Effect of inflorescence bud removal on biomass production**

Inflorescence bud removal showed a significant effect on vegetative DW (leaves plus stems), but only in female individuals (Fig. 3). No significant differences were found between genders on biomass production.

In females, no significant differences between treatments were found at the end of the growing season in 2005, in fruit DW (Fig. 3), in the proportion of biomass DW devoted
to fruits (Fbr = 1.34 ± 0.05, Fc = 1.82 ± 0.23), nor in the number of fruits (Mann–Whitney’s U = 4365.00; P > 0.05).

Within single female individuals, no differences in biomass production were found comparing fruit-bearing with fruitless branches in any of the treatments (P > 0.05; data not shown).

**DISCUSSION**

**Trade-offs between reproduction and vegetative growth**

Suppression of reproductive allocation resulted in an increased shoot elongation rate during the reproductive period in both genders, indicating a direct reduction in the somatic cost of reproduction. According to the results, bud-removed female (Fbr) individuals showed higher growth rates than bud-removed males (Mbr) in May, when fruits start to form, and during the whole period in the following growing season (Fig. 1). The results demonstrate that the effect of inflorescence bud removal was higher in the gender bearing the greatest reproductive effort.

Throughout the reproductive event, gender differences found in the growth rate response to inflorescence bud removal can be explained through the differences in flowering and fruiting periods in each sexual form. Gender-related
variations in growth investment that reflect reproduction timing are common in dioecious species (Obeso, 2002, and references therein), in which the vegetative growth of female individuals surpasses that of males during flowering, while shoot elongation of males surpasses that found in the females during fruiting (Delph, 1990).

The allocation of resources towards reproduction in control individuals involved a somatic cost that became apparent through a reduction in shoot elongation rate, following the same trend in the two study periods (Fig. 1 and Table 1). This finding is consistent with results obtained by the authors in previous years (Zunzunegui et al., 2006). Female individuals started to grow earlier than males and their growth rate increased gradually until the middle of May, when it decreased, coinciding with fruit production (Fig. 1). By the end of the growing season, both sexual forms had accumulated equal shoot elongations (Fig. 3). However, during the flowering period of control males (Mc) in 2004 and 2005 and of Mbr in 2005, their growth rate was lower than that of female plants (Fig. 1).

These results are consistent with the presence of a trade-off between investments in reproductive biomass and vegetative biomass, as has been described for other dioecious species (Vasiliauskas and Aarseen, 1992; Gibson and Menges, 1994). The negative effect of reproduction on growth, represented as reductions in elongation and accumulated shoot elongation (SEa) rates, indicates that both processes are at least partially dependent on the same resource pool (Nicotra, 1999).

In the present study, inflorescence bud removal is shown to have consequences on the current growing season but also delayed effects on the subsequent growing period, at the end of which the bud-removed females showed greater SEa than the controls (Fig. 3 and Table 3). Delayed effects of reproduction on growth have been studied in several species such as Siparuna longiflora, in which manipulation of flower and fruit production produced an increase in growth of female individuals that was noticeable in the subsequent growing period (Nicotra, 1999). In Aesculus californica, Newell (1991) described an indirect expenditure in fruit-bearing branches that had a delayed effect on reproduction and vegetative growth. In other species, such as Lindera benzoin or Salix alaxensis, manipulation under natural conditions revealed the existence of balances between current and subsequent reproductive events, although not with subsequent vegetative growth (Fox and Stevens, 1991; Cipollini and Whigham, 1994).

The fact that only female individuals showed evidence of resource allocation towards growth, when the reproductive investment of the previous period had been suppressed,
could be explained by the existence of gender-specific differences in compensatory mechanisms of reproduction, promoted by the greater reproductive effort of the females. Previous studies have shown evidence of a longer root system in female *C. album* individuals; in the same study site, Álvarez-Cansino *et al.* (2010), using xylem water isotopic composition analysis, found evidence of deeper soil layer water extraction by females. Roots act as a resource storage system – mainly for carbohydrates – that can be mobilized and allocated to reproduction during the reproductive period (Ehrén and van Groenendael, 2001); a more developed root system – mainly for carbohydrates – that can be mobilized and allocated to reproduction during the reproductive period (Ehrén and van Groenendael, 2001); a more developed root system would thus allow a greater resource storage capacity in female individuals. Hence, we conclude that inflorescence bud removal would imply resource allocation to non-reproductive organs in the subsequent cycle, greater in females compared with male individuals. These results point out the importance of considering below-ground storage organs and water relations to assess compensating mechanisms of reproductive effort in further research.

The fact that females produced more fruits in 2005 may have reinforced the effect of the inflorescence bud removal on vegetative allocation. Control females would have less accumulated resources than F_{br} and, under higher fruit production, the delayed effect on vegetative costs would be enhanced. This is in accordance with the pattern found by Milla *et al.* (2006), who measured higher biomass and nutrients accumulated in the branches of males of *Pistacia lentiscus* in the current-year shoots, resulting in a relatively higher level of resources for a stronger flowering effort in males during the subsequent reproduction period.

Inter-annual differences in fruit production have been described in many species (Hoffmann and Alliende, 1984), and the results concur with previous data described for *C. album* (Font Quer, 1992; Zunzunegui *et al.*, 2006).
In addition, 2005 was a drier than average year, which would also increase gender dimorphism in physiological responses, which have been described as being fostered under harsher environmental conditions (Obeso, 2002).

Inflorescence bud removal only had an effect on ramification in male individuals (Fig. 2 and Table 2), which suggests that gender dimorphism in some morphological traits, in addition to being genetically conditioned, could also be affected by reproductive status. The effect of inflorescence bud removal on ramification and on mean shoot elongation (MSE) could be explained by the fact that floral meristem production can affect the development of secondary vegetative meristems through hormone production (Lehtila, 2000), that could be present in different concentrations depending on the sex.

In accordance with the present results in C. album, several authors have explained the greater ramification of male individuals of anemophilous species as an adaptation for improved pollen production and exposition (Friedman and Barrett, 2009). Male individuals of Simmodosia chinensis (Kohorn, 1994) and of Leucadendron species (Bond and Midgley, 1988) exhibited shorter internodes as a consequence of a greater number of inflorescences relative to the females. We consistently found that male C. album individuals, which have greater numbers of flowers than the females, had more ramified branches with shorter internodes (Fig. 2).

Differences found between treatments in the biomass production of female individuals (Fig. 3) confirm the results of shoot elongation and support the existence of a balance in resource investment between reproduction and maintenance of vegetative growth which, in the case of female individuals, would have an effect lasting from the current growing period to the subsequent period (Ågren, 1988; Nicotra, 1999). Inflorescence bud removal implied an increase in vegetative biomass production, compared with control individuals in the subsequent growing period (2005), which only took place in females (Fig. 3). However, reproduction in the subsequent period was not affected by the suppression of flower buds, as the same number and dry weight of fruits were found for both treatments in this study (Fig. 3).

Physiological responses to inflorescence bud removal

Reductions in delayed reproductive costs can be related both to biomass allocation patterns and to compensation coupled to an increase in photosynthetic assimilation (Case and Barrett, 2004); therefore, we would expect a response of the photosynthetic system to the inflorescence bud removal performed in C. album. Moreover, individuals of the gender and treatment that invest most in reproduction would be expected to show greater physiological stress (Verdú et al., 2004).

According to the present results, inflorescence bud removal affected the water status of male individuals during flowering (February) in the year following that of flower removal (2005), with control individuals showing more negative water potential values than bud-removed (Fig. 4A and Table 1). Equally, differences between genders during this period were only found in control individuals. These differences could be related to the high photohination found in males in a previous study (Álvarez-Cansino, 2010), which confirms that environmental conditions of low temperatures and high radiation cause a greater physiological stress in the gender that invests the greatest effort during flowering. Gender-related differences found in May 2005, when the females showed lower lower leaf water potential values than the males, were more marked between control plants than between the bud-removed individuals. However, these differences were not correlated with differences in the photosynthetic response, measured as maximum photochemical efficiency of chlorophyll a (Fv/Fm) (Fig. 4B and Table 1).

We could have expected an increase in photosynthesis in control plants relative to bud-removed individuals, which would contribute to the carbon allocated to the production of reproductive structures (Dawson and Ehleringer, 1993; Laporte and Delph, 1996). However, the large reproductive investment may not have an effect on the photosynthetic rate if plants respond by increasing their resource uptake or by using resources more efficiently. Many studies on sexually dimorphic species show no evidence of a local increase in photosynthesis due to reproduction, in which the reproductive expenditure could be compensated by the reallocation of resources from adjacent branches (Obeso et al., 1998). The complementary costs of increasing resource uptake could correspond to the costs of production and maintenance of roots or leaves (Case and Barrett, 2004).

In conclusion, the initial hypothesis that the effect of inflorescence bud removal would be greater in female individuals of C. album, which bear the greater reproductive effort due to fruit production, is confirmed. The results show evidence for differences between sexual forms in somatic reproductive costs and in the presence of delayed somatic costs, indicating gender-related differences in resource allocation and storage. In addition, it has been shown for the first time that gender-related morphological and physiological differences develop in C. album as a response to different reproductive resource requirements, and are thus dependent on reproductive status.

Long-term manipulative studies are confirmed as a useful method to assess reproductive costs and to explore the processes underlying the evolution of gender dimorphism in plants. This study gives new evidence of the importance of considering roots as essential storage and water control organs that may play a key role in reproductive allocation patterns and in the magnitude of gender dimorphism in sexually dimorphic species.
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