DMLE+: Bayesian linkage disequilibrium gene mapping
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Summary: The program DMLE+ allows Bayesian inference of the location of a gene carrying a mutation influencing a discrete trait (such as a disease) and/or other parameters of interest (such as mutation age) based on the observed linkage disequilibrium at multiple genetic markers. DMLE+ uses either individual marker genotypes, or haplotypes, integrates over uncertain population allele frequencies, and can incorporate prior information about gene location from an annotated human genome sequence.

Availability: DMLE+ is available in both Windows GUI and portable UNIX command line versions at http://dmle.org

Contact: queries@dmle.org; brannala@ualberta.ca

ABSTRACT

Pedigree-based linkage mapping methods for inferring the position of a disease mutation relative to a set of linked genetic markers (using the inferred frequency of recombination) have quite low resolution (usually less than 1 cm–1 Mb). To carry out positional cloning, or sequencing of candidate genes, greater resolution is needed. A promising approach for high-resolution mapping is linkage disequilibrium (LD) mapping; this technique can map mutations at resolutions of greater than 0.01 cm (roughly 10 kb). The method relies on LD between disease mutations and linked markers in samples of unrelated normal and affected individuals to fine-map. Early methods for LD mapping used a method of moments estimator developed for a single linked marker (Hästbacka et al., 1992). Methods have now been developed for maximum likelihood LD mapping using one or more linked markers (reviewed in Rannala and Slatkin, 2000), providing more accurate estimates of map position. Recently, Bayesian methods for multipoint LD mapping have been proposed using Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) methods (Morris et al., 2000; Rannala and Reeve, 2001) that offer computational advantages and allow more realistic models and prior information to be used.

The Bayesian LD mapping method implemented in DMLE+ version 1.0 (Rannala and Reeve, 2001) calculates the posterior probability density of the parameters as

\[
   f(\theta, Y, \tau, p|X, Z, \Theta, \Omega, \Lambda) = \int f(X, Y_{-0}|\theta, \Theta, p, Y_0)g(\tau|\Lambda)f(Y_0|p) \times f(Z|p)f(\theta|\Omega)p(\theta)f(X, Z|\Theta, \Omega, \Lambda) \, d\tau dp
\]

where \( X \) is a matrix of genotypes (or haplotypes) from individuals displaying a particular phenotype for which a susceptibility mutation is being mapped, \( Z \) is a matrix of genotypes from a random (ethnically matched) sample of normal individuals, \( p \) is a matrix of the (unobserved) gene frequencies in the population of normal chromosomes, \( Y_{-0} \) is a matrix of the ancestral haplotypes in the genealogy relating individuals displaying the phenotype (unobserved random variables), \( Y_0 \) is the (unobserved) ancestral haplotype on which the mutation first arose, \( \theta \) is the position of the mutation relative to marker 1, \( \Theta \) is a vector of genetic parameters such as the map distances among the marker loci, etc, \( \Lambda \) is a vector of demographic parameters such as the population growth rate, population frequency of the mutation, etc, \( \tau \) is the (unobserved) gene tree underlying the sample of mutation-bearing chromosomes, and \( \Omega \) is the prior information about the position of the disease mutation available from the positions of introns, exons and non-genic regions specified in an annotated human genome sequence and from a mutation database specifying the observed frequencies of disease mutations in introns, exons, etc. A uniform (Dirichlet) prior is used for \( \pi(\theta) \).

The marginal posterior density of any parameter of interest may be obtained by integrating over equation (1) above with respect to the remaining parameters. For example, the posterior density of \( \theta \) can be used to obtain point estimates and confidence intervals for the position of the disease mutation:

\[
   f(\theta|X, Z, \Theta, \Omega, \Lambda) = \int dp \int d\tau \sum_y f(\theta, Y, \tau, p|X, Z, \Theta, \Omega, \Lambda) \, d\tau dp
\]
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Figure 1 shows the posterior probability density of the position of the DTD mutation that causes diastrophic dysplasia (Hästbacka et al., 1992) inferred (using DMLE+ 2.0) with five linked markers using either complete haplotype phase information (from family pedigrees), or using only individual multilocus genotypes (with, or without, an annotated human genome sequence). The information gained by using haplotypes rather than genotypes narrows the 95% credible set only slightly, with even less effect when human genome sequence data for the region is used. Given the difficulty of collecting relatives of probands to infer haplotype phase this is an encouraging result. The rate of convergence of the MCMC algorithm does not increase substantially when using genotypes rather than haplotypes (for datasets we have analysed). DMLE+ has two parallel distributions: the Windows GUI version includes additional graphical features such as automatic plotting of the log-likelihood, or the parameter values, while the chain runs, and histogram plots estimating the posterior densities of specified parameters; the portable command line version, available for UNIX and other operating systems, instead summarizes the output in a text file.
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