An increasing number of complete genome sequences are being accumulated about high incidence of tandem repeats in proteins carrying fundamental biological functions and being related to a number of human diseases. At the same time, frequently, protein repeats are strongly degenerated during evolution and, therefore, cannot be easily identified. To solve this problem, several computer programs which were based on different algorithms have been developed. Nevertheless, our tests showed that there is still room for improvement of methods for accurate and rapid detection of tandem repeats in proteins.

**Results:** We developed a new program called T-REKS for ab initio identification of the tandem repeats. It is based on clustering of lengths between identical short strings by using a K-means algorithm. Benchmark of the existing programs and T-REKS on several sequence datasets is presented. Our program being linked to the Protein Repeat DataBase opens the way for large-scale analysis of protein tandem repeats. T-REKS can also be applied to the nucleotide sequences.

**Availability:** The algorithm has been implemented in JAVA, the program is available upon request at \[http://bioinfo.montp.cnrs.fr/?r=repeadb\]. T-REKS is accessible at \[http://bioinfo.montp.cnrs.fr/?repeadb\]. Contact: julien.jorda@crbm.cnrs.fr; andrey.kajava@crbm.cnrs.fr

**Supplementary information:** Supplementary data are available at Bioinformatics online.

1 INTRODUCTION

An increasing number of complete genome sequences are being generated and deposited into the databases. The next great challenge is to understand the genome data. A significant portion of the proteins carrying fundamental functions contain arrays of tandem repeats (Marcotte et al., 1999). Over the last years a number of evidences has been accumulated about high incidence of tandem repeats in the sequences of virulence factors of pathogenic agents, toxins and allergens (Kajava et al., 2006). Furthermore, the tandem repeats frequently occur in amyloidogenic, prion and other disease-related sequences (Baxa et al., 2006; Nelson and Eisenberg, 2006). This implies that this class of sequences may have a broader role in human diseases than was previously recognized. Along this line, the discovery of these domains and their structure-function study promise to be a fertile direction for research leading to the identification of targets for new medicaments and vaccines.

A systematic bioinformatics analysis of protein repeats in genomes can provide a global view on these motifs, on their structures, functions and evolution and, in its turn, may result in a significant improvement of our understanding of the biological meanings of the genome sequences. The ‘biological’ tandem repeats are usually not perfect containing a number of mutations (substitutions, insertions, deletions) accumulated during evolution and some of them cannot be easily identified. Over the last years, several algorithms, software and approaches have been developed (Andrade et al., 2000; George and Heringa, 2000; Heger and Holm, 2000; Landau, Schmidt et al., 2001; Kajava and Steven, 2006; Newman and Cooper, 2007) for identification of repeats in biological sequences. Programs such as INTREP (Marcotte et al., 1999), RADAR (Heger and Holm, 2000) and TRUST (Szkarczyk and Heringa, 2004) are based on sequence self-alignment (SSA) algorithms. These programs are especially efficient for detection of long repeats (more than ~10 residues long), however, they frequently fail to identify short repeats and do not distinguish between tandem and interspersed repeats. In addition, the SSA algorithms with their time complexity of \(O(n^2)\) (where \(n\) is the length of sequence), are relatively slow, and, therefore, do not suit well for the large scale analysis. Other type of programs, such as Tandem Repeats Finder (Benson, 1999), XSTREAM (Newman and Cooper, 2007) or MREPS (Kolpakov et al., 2003) rely on short string extension algorithm or as STAR (Delgrange and Rivals, 2004) and TRED (Sokol et al., 2007) use improved dynamic programming algorithms. They have time complexity lower than SSA algorithms and therefore, are more rapid. Most of these programs are predestined for DNA sequences. XSTREAM is well adapted for a large-scale search of protein repeats, however, it fails to identify some tandem repeats. It is worth also mentioning, approaches that apply sequence profile methods and HMMs (Gribskov et al., 1987). These approaches are the best for detection of long imperfect repeats (Kajava et al., 1995; Lupas et al., 1997). However, they require \(a\ priori\) generated alignments of putative repeats and, therefore, are not suitable for automated \(ab\ initio\) large scale analysis.

Thus, despite the existence of a number of methods for determination of tandem repeats there is still room for their improvement and for development of an accurate and rapid program for ab initio large scale analysis.
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dedicated for the systematic analysis of the repeats in genomes. In this work, we describe a new program T-REKS for protein tandem repeat identification which is based on the analysis of distribution of short strings within the sequence by using K-means algorithm. Furthermore, we used output of our program to collect a database of protein tandem repeats. The content of this database is publicly accessible via a web-site. Our objective is to make the results of the systematic bioinformatics analysis available via a regularly updated web-server, which will be a useful tool for scientists interested in structure, function, evolution and application in medicine and technology of proteins with tandem repeats.

2 METHODS

A flowchart of the algorithm is shown on Figure 1.

2.1 Short string probes and K-means clustering

To probe an analyzed sequence for the presence of tandem repeats we use short strings (SS) no longer than the repeat length (see example in Fig. 2). For proteins, the size of SS was chosen equal to two because the longer SS were less efficient for detection of two-residue repeats and some degenerative repeats. The search with one-residue probe turned to be less selective. Prior to the application of our algorithm, all homorepeats (tandem repeats of a single residue) were excluded from the analyzed sequences and were registered in our Protein Repeat DataBase for further studies (Fig. 1).

In a tandem repeat region, the most frequently occurred length between identical SSs should be equal to the repeat length. Therefore, detection of regions of an analyzed sequence where certain lengths between identical SSs have anomalously high occurrence may lead to the localization of the tandem repeats. Tandem repeats in the sequences of biological macromolecules (proteins and DNA) have two properties that may hamper the application of this approach. First, the degenerative character of the ‘biological’ repeats diffuses peaks of the SS length distribution. Second, a given protein or DNA sequence can have several different tandem repeat regions. In this case the same type of SS can be involved in different repeats and calculation of a simple mean of the length occurrences allows to identify only repeats of one length and leaves the remaining repeats unrecognized. To overcome these problems, we use a well-known algorithm for unsupervised classification called K-means algorithm (MacQueen, 1967). In our program, the lengths between identical neighboring SSs were used as datapoints of the K-means algorithm to find potential lengths of the tandem repeats. For example, in Figure 2 the datapoints are lengths 5, 10 and 11 of a short string EL. This method partitions all datapoints into K clusters for user-defined K. For each partition a central datapoint (centroid) may be defined. K initial centroids are selected from the dataset either randomly, by applying hierarchical clustering or other techniques. Then euclidean distances are calculated between each datapoint and the centroids to assign the datapoint to the cluster which has the nearest centroid.

After this, the positions of the centroids are changed within each of the clusters and this procedure iteratively repeated until the consistency of clusters does not change anymore. Usually, the K starting datapoints are selected randomly. However, it has been demonstrated that different choice of the initial centroids can lead to different results. To solve this problem, we implemented an algorithm which determines the initial centroids based on divisive hierarchical clustering (Johnson, 1967). This algorithm starts from one single cluster which includes all datapoints. This cluster is then iteratively subdivided into smaller clusters based on a rule to maximize the distance between the clusters. This process stops once the number of clusters becomes equal to user-defined K. The K centroids of these clusters constitute starting points in subsequent clustering by the K-means algorithm. Application of this algorithm to protein sequences required adjustment of several parameters. For example, K can not be less than the number of different types of tandem repeats in the analyzed sequence.

On the other hand, time complexity of this algorithm is O(n \times K) and this favors smaller K. Our tests suggested that K = 10 gives the most accurate and rapid results for the identification of the protein repeats. The accuracy of this approach is also a function of the length of the analyzed sequence. Statistically, the longer the sequence the higher is the number of occurrences of a given short string. The increase of the occurrences will amplify a background noise and decrease the quality of detection at the clustering steps. Our tests of the algorithm with K = 10 shows that this problem appears when protein length is longer than 1500 residues. In this case, our program splits the sequences before and concatenates after the analysis.

2.2 Establishment of tandem repeat lengths

The procedure consists of three steps:

(1) The first step is separately applied to each type of SS found in the analyzed sequence. For example, Figure 2 demonstrates this procedure for a short string EL. All lengths between neighbouring ELs (5, 10, 11) are considered as datapoints for K-means algorithm. Within each cluster generated by this algorithm, we select the most frequent length and call it a Short string Main Length (SML). If a cluster has several most frequent lengths that occur the same number of times, the shortest length is chosen. As a result of this step, K
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2.3 Contiguity filtering

An array of tandem repeats is defined as at least two adjacent copies having similar lengths. To take into account the contiguity of the repeats, we select SSs whose SMLs are equal or close (within user-defined \( \Delta l \)) to the most frequent SML of a given cluster created after the last step of \( K \)-means algorithm. Then, we scan the sequence by considering only these SSs and looking for sequential repetitions of approximately equal lengths that we define as ‘runs’ of SMLs. Regions containing these ‘runs’ may represent tandem repeats. This procedure is redone one by one for all \( K \) clusters of SMLs. According to the locations and values of SML found in runs, hypothetical repeats are identified.

2.4 Extension and bridging of runs

Runs with the same SML can be interrupted by a region that is 2 or more times larger than this SML. To clarify the question, whether this region together with two flanking runs belongs to one tandem repeat or not we apply the following procedure. First, we divide the sequence downstream of the run into strings of length equals to the SMLs identified for the current cluster. Then each string joins the preceding run one by one in their order of appearance in the sequence if it contains half or more occurrences of short strings whose SMLs are present in the current cluster. In a special case of two-residue repeats, when the repeat length is equal to the SS length, we consider a string as a part of the run if it has at least one common residue with the SS. We add strings to the preceding runs until we arrive to the next run and by doing so we ‘bridge’ two runs with the same SMLs. This process starts after each run and stops at any string that has unacceptable SS composition.

2.5 Similarity filtering

The final step of the program is to evaluate the level of sequence similarity between the putative repeats of each run by using Multiple Sequence Alignment (MSA) approaches. For this purpose we used a combination of three MSA programs, a build-in program based on ‘center-star algorithm’, and two external programs CLUSTALW (Thompson et al., 1994) and MUSCLE (Edgar, 2004).

Based on the obtained MSA of the repeats constituting the runs, we deduce a consensus sequence and subsequently use it as a reference for similarity calculation. Let us consider an alignment made by \( m \) repeats of length \( l \). In this alignment an indel is considered as an additional 21st type of amino acid residues. We calculate \( D_i \) that is a Hamming distance (Hamming, 1950) between the consensus sequence and a repeat \( R_i \) with \( 1 \leq i \leq m \). Then, we define a similarity coefficient for the whole alignment as

\[
P_{\text{sim}}(N; \sum_i D_i)/N \text{ with } N = m \times l \text{ and } 0 \leq P_{\text{sim}} \leq 1.
\]

Our program allows to select the runs which have the repeat similarities higher than a user-defined \( P_{\text{sim}} \) threshold (elsewhere noted as \( P_{\text{ran}} \)).

Sometimes, strings located at the extremities of the alignment can diminish the total level of the alignment similarity. To solve this problem, we apply an additional trimming to alignments with similarities not exceeding the \( P_{\text{ran}} \). The operation eliminates the extreme strings one by one until it passes the threshold.

If a run meets all the criteria defined above, it will be considered as a tandem repeat.
2.6 Sequence databases for tests of T-REKS and the other programs

During debugging and tests of T-REKS we used two tandem repeat databases. First, we downloaded from TRIPS website (Katti et al., 2000) a database of tandem repeats detected by a sliding window technique and empirical mismatch levels from SwissProt Release of July 1999. The tandem repeats of TRIPS contain only residue substitutions and not indels. We extracted from this database all the data except homorepeats that brought the number of sequences to 890. Second, we generated artificial databases of 1000 amino acid sequences each of them 1000 residue long. These sequences contained tandem repeats with a priori known features. For this, we used a built-in Java linear congruential generator to produce random sequences from an alphabet of 20 amino acids. Then, we inserted one array of perfect tandem repeats in each of these random sequences. The inserted tandem repeats were different between each other having variable repeat lengths (from 2 to 21), number of copies (from 2 to 20). Then we randomly mutated the perfect repeats by substitutions of amino acid residues or by introduction of indels (either insertion or deletion). One original sequence with a perfect tandem repeat yielded a set of sequences with the similarity levels between a user defined \( P_{\text{sim}} \) value and 1. The generated repeats were then aligned, their similarity level was calculated and if it was over \( P_{\text{sim}} \), the tandem repeat was removed. Following the described procedure, we generated nine databases with different similarity levels (\( P_{\text{sim}} \geq 0.55; P_{\text{sim}} \geq 0.60; P_{\text{sim}} \geq 0.65; P_{\text{sim}} \geq 0.70; P_{\text{sim}} \geq 0.75; P_{\text{sim}} \geq 0.80; P_{\text{sim}} \geq 0.85 \) and \( P_{\text{sim}} \geq 0.90 \)) and stored them in Generated Repeat Databanks (GRD) from GRD50 to GRD90 correspondingly. Each sequence in the GRDs contains one tandem repeat which is flank ed by the randomized sequences. None of the tested programs (see Section 3.2) found tandem repeats within the random sequences of the GRDs. Positive hits were always located within the inserted tandem repeats. Therefore, during the tests, number of the hits was counted as number of sequences with the identified repeats.

To control the level of false positive results, we also generated a databank of 890 random sequences (the same number of sequences and characters as in TRIPS). The first random protein sequence was obtained by using the RandSeq tool from Expasy (Gasteiger et al., 2003) with the average amino acid composition of SwissProt. Then, this random sequence has been shuffled by the ShuffleSeq tool from EMBOSS (Rice et al., 2000) to obtain the other random sequences. To draw a line between true and false positive results we used the following procedure. Frequently, the random sequences also contain short runs of tandem repeats. We assume that the number of perfect tandem repeats \( X \) found by chance in a random sequence database follows a binomial distribution \( X \sim B(n, p) \) approximated by a Poisson Distribution with parameter \( \lambda = np \) where \( p = (1/20)^{l-1} \), \( l \geq 1.5 \times 10^2 \) residues (size of the SwissProt database) and \( 1 \leq n \leq m \) is the total length of the tandem repeat region. Based on this approximation, occurrences of tandem repeats with \( 1 \leq n \) equal or longer than 14 residues is \( \lambda = 0.1 \) and the probability not to find such repeats \( P(X = 0) = 0.896 \) (Fig. 3). Therefore, we considered 14 residues as the minimal length of the true repeat run with potential biologica l meaning. Within T-REKS, homorepeats are treated differently, so we found it reasonable to fix their minimal length separately. In accordance with our calculations \( \lambda = 0.005 \) and \( P(X = 0) = 0.994 \), it is equal to 9 residues.

3 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Performance of T-REKS depending on its parameters and options

T-REKS was tested against the GRDs having different levels of similarity \( P_{\text{sim}} \). Figure 4a shows decrease of the number of the undetected repeats with the increase of the repeat similarity. During this test the allowed similarity threshold \( P_{\text{sim}} \) of T-REKS was set to 0.7. Another test of T-REKS against the random sequence databank by varying its \( P_{\text{sim}} \) threshold showed that the number of false positive results (that are hits of total length more than 14 residues) drastically drops to 0 when \( P_{\text{sim}} \) becomes equal or more than 0.7 (Fig. 4b). We selected \( P_{\text{sim}} \geq 0.7 \) as the default value for the web version of T-REKS.

The test also revealed that at a given \( P_{\text{sim}} \) value of the program a MSA mode which uses one by one external programs CLUSTALW and MUSCLE find the biggest number of tandem repeats.

3.2 T-REKS performance compared with the other programs

Tests of the other existing programs for identification of protein repeats, such as TRED, INTREP and XSTREAM demonstrated that they, similarly to T-REKS (Fig. 4) face difficulties in correct determination of repeats with the decrease of the repeat similarity level. At the same time, all four programs passed successfully the test against the random sequence databank, since no false positives repeats could be detected.

To benchmark T-REKS and these programs we used databank of repeats TRIPS and SwissProt (Release of January, 2009) taken from the repository of NCBI. It is worth mentioning that the comparison of the programs is complicated by differences in the tandem repeat definitions. T-REKS and XSTREAM have the closest definitions. To match the definitions given by these two programs we set the similarity filtering parameters \( P_{\text{sim}} \) of T-REKS and \( I \) of XSTREAM to 0.7. The minimal total length of tandem repeat has been set to 14 residues for both T-REKS and XSTREAM. Our tests show that T-REKS finds more tandem repeats in protein sequences than other tested programs (Table 1). (Supplemental Data show examples of the tandem repeats of TRIPS databank that were found only by T-REKS and not by XSTREAM which is the most rapid program with similar definition of the repeats). At the same time, the performance of T-REKS was one of the most rapid. Although XSTREAM is faster than T-REKS, speed is becoming an uncritical issue when both programs need only minutes to analyse one genome. For example, T-REKS needs 17 min to analyse a medium size

![Fig. 3. Distribution of expected number (x) of tandem repeats with different l found in a random protein sequence of \( 1.5 \times 10^3 \) residues depending on total length of the repetitive region.](image-url)
Fig. 4. (a) Number of sequences not detected by programs among the 1000 ones of each GRD. \( P_{\text{sim}} = 0.7 \) for T-REKS and \( I = 0.7 \) for XSTREAM. (b) Number of false positive sequences found in the Random sequence databank by T-REKS depending on its \( P_{\text{sim}} \) treshold.

Table 1. Benchmark of T-REKS, INTREP, TRED and XSTREAM programs executed on two databanks of protein sequences

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>TRIPS (900 sequences with tandem repeats)</th>
<th>SWISSPROT (356 232 sequences)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sequences identified(^a)</td>
<td>Execution time</td>
<td>Sequences identified(^a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T-REKS(^b)</td>
<td>888</td>
<td>4 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INTREP(^c)</td>
<td>863</td>
<td>25 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRED(^e)</td>
<td>865</td>
<td>4 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XSTREAM(^f)</td>
<td>872</td>
<td>50 s</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Benchmark has been performed with a Personal Computer Pentium 4 3.00 GHz and 2 Gb of RAM.

\(^a\)Sometimes, the number of identified tandem repeats exceeds the number of sequences due to ability of programs to find several tandem repeats in the same sequence.

\(^b\)This work, tandem repeats are defined as having the minimal length of 14 residues (nine residues for homorepeats) and \( P_{\text{sim}} \geq 0.70 \).

\(^c\)Marcotte et al. (1999) repeats are defined as having \( P\)-value < 10\(^{-3} \) (default value).

\(^d\)INTREP results include both tandem and interspersed repeats.

\(^e\)Sokol and Benson (2007) to match with T-REKS, 14 residue minimal length of tandem repeat region was selected, other parameters were set to their default values.

\(^f\)Newman and Cooper (2007) to match the definition of tandem repeats with T-REKS the following parameters were used: \( I = 0.7 \), \( \text{min}\text{dist} = 2 \), \( \text{min}\text{c} = 2 \), \( \text{mind} = 14 \), sort seed length = 2.

3.3 Implementation

T-REKS has been implemented in Java language and has a built-in GUI to allow the user to set parameters needed for the identification of tandem repeats. Although, T-REKS is tuned to explore protein sequences, the same version of the program can also be used for nucleotidic sequences or ones based on another alphabet. A standalone version of the program can be downloaded from our webpage (http://bioinfo.montp.cnrs.fr/?r=t-reks). The program can also be used through a web interface at the same webpage. The web version, in addition to the basic features, is adjusted to treat large-scale protein sequence databanks such as Swissprot, NR or PDB taken from the NCBI repository.

Several parameters and options of the program can be defined by users. Among them are: \( \Delta l \) —allowed percentage of length variability (default value, which is fixed in the web version, is equal to 20% of \( l \)).

\( P_{\text{sim}} \) —similarity threshold (default value is equal to 0.7) and an option to allow/disallow overlaps of different tandem repeats that can be detected in the same region of a given sequence. In the case of the overlapping tandem repeat regions, priority was given to the longer one with the higher \( P_{\text{sim}} \). In the standalone version, it is possible to choose between three MSA modes:

1. a built-in mode which uses a ‘center-star’ algorithm,
2. an external mode that uses two external programs CLUSTALW and MUSCLE one after another or
3. a hybrid mode which uses in sequential order the ‘center-star’, CLUSTALW and MUSCLE programs.

The build-in MSA mode 1 was developed to make the standalone version of T-REKS more convenient for downloading. The combination of CLUSTALW and MUSCLE yields the best alignment results and is the only mode available in the web version to favour accuracy at the expense of rapidity. This MSA mode 2 is applied to obtain the most reliable results for our protein repeat database called PRDB (see next section). The hybrid mode 3 represents the most optimal version in terms of accuracy and rapidity.

3.4 Protein Repeats DataBase (PRDB)

T-REKS output is used to fill our PRDB. A pilot version of PRDB can be found on our website http://bioinfo.montp.cnrs.fr/?r=repeatDB. At this moment, the PRDB contains about 1105 entries of tandem repeats from PDB, 50 789 from Swissprot. The information about the identified repeats is displayed in a table with characteristics such as organism, repeat length, number of copies, level of the repeat similarity, consensus sequence, position in the sequence, subcellular
Table 2. Comparison of repeats found by our program and Tandem Repeats Finder in the Human Frataxin gene intron 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Start</th>
<th>End</th>
<th>Copy length</th>
<th>Copy number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>163/-</td>
<td>188/-</td>
<td>12/-</td>
<td>2/-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>823/822</td>
<td>856/854</td>
<td>7/14</td>
<td>5/2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1786/1787</td>
<td>1912/1874</td>
<td>44/44</td>
<td>3/2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2167/-</td>
<td>2184/-</td>
<td>6/-</td>
<td>18/-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2185/2183</td>
<td>2210/2211</td>
<td>3/3</td>
<td>9/9.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2387/-</td>
<td>2410/-</td>
<td>6/-</td>
<td>2/-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Additional repeats identified by T-REKS are indicated in bold.

4 CONCLUSIONS

In this article, we described a new program for \textit{ab initio} identification of tandem repeats in protein sequences called T-REKS. It is based on \(K\)-means clustering of putative lengths of tandem repeats. T-REKS finds more tandem repeats in protein sequences than other tested programs. At the same time, it demonstrates one of the most rapid performances. Thus, this approach is well-suited for large scale analysis of tandem repeats.

T-REKS has been developed in a dual mode: a standalone mode with a user-friendly graphical interface for local use, and a Web-interface version. The latter version of the program is connected to our webserver and is able to store the results in a database of protein tandem repeats called PRDB. Both versions of T-REKS and the database PRDB are available to public via our webpage at http://bioinfo.montp.cnrs.fr/t-reks. We plan to use this database for systematic large scale analysis of protein tandem repeats in genomes in order to obtain a global view on the structure, function and evolution of these motifs. T-REKS can be also used for detection of tandem repeats in DNA. Its further optimization for DNA sequences will be a subject of our future studies.
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