ABSTRACT

Summary: With increasing numbers of eukaryotic genome sequences, phylogenetic profiles of eukaryotic genes are becoming increasingly informative. Here, we introduce a new web-tool PhyloPro (http://compsysbio.org/phylopro/), which uses the 120 available eukaryotic genome sequences to visualize the evolutionary trajectories of user-defined subsets of model organism genes. Applied to pathways or complexes, PhyloPro allows the user to rapidly identify core conserved elements of biological processes together with those that may represent lineage-specific innovations. PhyloPro thus provides a valuable resource for the evolutionary and comparative studies of biological systems.
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In its simplest form, a phylogenetic profile is simply a pattern denoting the presence or absence of a homolog across a set of species. Previous studies have shown that genes sharing similar functions often have similar phylogenetic profiles, a phenomenon that has been exploited in various gene function prediction algorithms (Date and Marcotte, 2005; von Mering et al., 2007). Due to the lack of eukaryotic genomes, analyses involving phylogenetic profiles have typically been restricted to bacteria. However, the generation of increasing numbers of eukaryotic genomes is beginning to allow more meaningful comparisons of profiles across the Eukarya. In addition to function prediction, these profiles may be usefully exploited to explore the evolutionary trajectories of sets of genes that operate in related processes (Gabaldon, 2008; On et al., 2010; Parasol et al., 2009). Such system-based analyses can help identify both conserved genes that represent ancestral core elements of a pathway or complex, as well as those providing taxon-specific innovations (Hulsen et al., 2009). Here we introduce PhyloPro, a web-based tool that provides a user-friendly portal for the visualization of pre-computed phylogenetic profiles for sets of genes defined by the user. Through the implementation of a clustering step, genes are grouped on the basis of their phylogenetic profiles. These groupings are then visualized through a java-based interface that facilitates the ready delineation of genes restricted to specific lineages.

PhyloPro is simple to use, and can be completed in five steps: (i) input of query genes; (ii) selection of target species; (iii) data retrieval and clustering; (iv) visualization; and (v) data download. Users begin by entering a list of sequence identifiers. These can include gene symbols (e.g. for worm ‘mek-2’), entrez gene identifiers (IDs) (e.g. ‘171872’), protein IDs (e.g. ‘CE25437’), open reading frame (ORF) names (e.g. ‘Y54E10BL.6’) or other organism-specific terms (e.g. ‘WBGEN00003186’). Sequence identifiers can be entered directly into a text box or uploaded as a file. Next, the user selects the target species from a series of nine expandable taxonomy groups highlighted above. Finally, the user is given the option of changing two parameters associated with the clustering algorithm. After reviewing user input and options, the phylogenetic profiles of each query gene is retrieved from the database and clustered using the tool Cluster 3.0 (Eisen et al., 1998). Users are then presented with a visualization of their results which features an interactive heatmap of orthology relationships (Fig. 1). Within the heatmap the order of the 120 eukaryotes is predefined. The heatmap can be downloaded as a Portable Network Graphic (PNG).
or tab-delimited text file. Users may also download the list of all orthologs shown in the heatmap. Figure 1 shows a typical application of PhyloPro, visualizing the phylogenetic profiles of 86 yeast genes associated with the cell cycle. From the heatmap, four groups of genes with specific patterns of orthology relationship are readily delineated: (A) highly conserved across all 120 Eukarya; (B) fungal-specific innovations; (C) duplications in vertebrates; and (D) duplications in yeast. PhyloPro has successfully been applied to explore the evolutionary landscape of the chromatin modification machinery (On et al., 2010), and the conservation of substrates of the Nedd4 family of ubiquitin ligases (Persaud et al., 2009). There are several caveats associated with orthology detection (Ruano-Rubio et al., 2009). For example, in the absence of detailed phylogenetic analyses, domain gains, losses and shuffling events can significantly complicate orthology assignments. Hence, future development plans for PhyloPro include incorporating alternative orthology predictions from other graph or tree-based methods such as OrthoMCL (Li et al., 2003) and TreeFam (Ruan et al., 2008). Furthermore, the list of target species will be expanded as new genomes become available. Finally, we plan to extend the range of query species beyond the six model organisms to permit unbiased sequence-based searches. In summary, PhyloPro provides an intuitive web-based resource for performing rapid evolutionary and comparative studies of biological systems.
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