The extent of resection of FDG-PET hypometabolism relates to outcome of temporal lobectomy
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A significant minority of patients undergoing surgery for medically refractory non-lesional temporal lobe epilepsy (TLE) continue to have seizures, but the reasons for this are uncertain. Fluorodeoxyglucose (FDG) PET shows hypometabolism in a majority of patients with non-lesional TLE, even in the absence of hippocampal atrophy. We examined whether the extent of resection of the area of FDG-PET hypometabolism influenced outcome following surgery for non-lesional TLE. Twenty-six patients who underwent temporal lobectomy for medically refractory TLE with at least 12 months follow-up were studied. The preoperative FDG-PET was compared with 20 non-epileptic controls using SPM99 to identify regions of significant hypometabolism ($P < 0.0005$, cluster $> 200$). This image was then co-registered to the postoperative MRI scan. The volume of the FDG-PET hypometabolism that lay within the area of the resected temporal lobe was calculated. The volume of temporal lobe resected was also calculated. Patients with a good outcome had a greater proportion of the total FDG-PET hypometabolism volume resected than those with a poor outcome (24.1% versus 11.8%, $P = 0.02$). There was no significant difference between the groups in the volume of temporal lobe resected ($P = 0.86$). Multivariate regression demonstrated that the extent of resection of the hypometabolism significantly correlated with outcome ($P = 0.03$), independent of the presence of hippocampal sclerosis ($P = 0.03$) and total brain volume of hypometabolism ($P = 0.45$).

The extent of resection of the region of hypometabolism on the preoperative FDG-PET is predictive of outcome following surgery for non-lesional TLE. Strategies that tailor resection extent to regional hypometabolism may warrant further evaluation.
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Introduction

Temporal lobe epilepsy (TLE) is the most common focal epilepsy syndrome in adults that is refractory to medical treatment. Surgical treatment offers the best chance of seizure freedom in these patients (Wiebe et al., 2001). Even in carefully selected patients, however, a significant minority continue to experience seizures after surgery. Unfortunately, this group remains difficult to define preoperatively. A better understanding of preoperative prognostic indicators may
allow more appropriate selection of patients for surgery and improved surgical strategies to optimize postoperative outcomes.

Fluorodeoxyglucose (FDG) PET scans have been demonstrated to reliably lateralize seizure focus in mesial TLE, with decreased glucose uptake in the epileptogenic temporal lobe (Theodore et al., 1983). The pathophysiological basis for this hypometabolism remains elusive, and various theories have been proposed. It has been postulated to relate to underlying cell loss; however, a number of studies have not found a strong correlation with hippocampal volume loss or neuronal cell counts (Henry et al., 1994; Semah et al., 1995; O’Brien et al., 1997). Other aetiological theories proposed include neuronal dysfunction—possibly as an effect of repeated seizures, synaptic reorganization and neuronal sprouting.

While it is generally believed that the region of hypometabolism is larger than the epileptogenic zone, no previous study has systematically examined whether the extent of its resection is related to post-surgical outcome. If the basis for the decreased glucose metabolism is related to underlying epileptic neuronal dysfunction, then excision of this area, either in total or in part, may impact seizure freedom rates. We hypothesized that there is a relationship between the outcome from temporal lobectomy surgery for medically refractory TLE and the extent of resection of the hypometabolism on the preoperative FDG-PET. This study was designed to examine this relationship.

Various studies have examined the relationship of FDG-PET to seizure freedom postoperatively, and several prognostic indicators have been reported (Griffith et al., 2000; Koutroumanidis et al., 2000; Newberg et al., 2000; O’Brien et al., 2001; Choi et al., 2003). However, these studies have all just related the presence or site of the hypometabolism to outcome, but not the relationship to the extent of resection of the hypometabolism. Furthermore, these papers have largely relied on visual interpretation of the FDG-PET scans, which can be confounded by inter-interpreter variability, and does not allow quantification of the hypometabolic regions. In addition, FDG-PET scans are unable to accurately localize intracerebral structures, making exact anatomical localization of hypometabolism difficult.

Statistical parametric mapping (SPM) is a voxel-based approach to the analysis of FDG-PET data, which allows comparisons of individual voxels to those in a normal brain, and thus quantification of hypometabolism. This removes inter-observer confounders. Co-registration with the patient’s anatomical MRI allows more accurate anatomical localization of the SPM-identified regions of hypometabolism. In this study, we applied these methods to quantify the volume of the region of FDG-PET hypometabolism and examined the relationship between the percentage included in the surgical resection and the outcome with respect to seizures. We also used co-registration of the postoperative to the preoperative MRI to determine the volume of temporal lobe resected, and whether this was independently associated with surgical outcome.

**Material and methods**

**Patients and clinical details**

The study group consisted of 26 patients with medically refractory TLE who had undergone temporal lobectomy between 1998 and 2003. Patients were selected consecutively from the epilepsy monitoring unit database and were considered eligible if a preoperative FDG-PET scan demonstrating a region or regions of focal hypometabolism was available as part of their pre-surgical evaluation. Determination of the presence of focal hypometabolism was performed using the quantitative SPM-based method detailed below in Post-acquisition FDG-PET image processing.

Pre-surgical evaluation included neurological examination, brain MRI, interictal FDG-PET, video EEG monitoring and neuropsychological assessment. While possible, ictal and interictal brain single photon emission computed tomography (SPECT) was also performed. The presence or absence of hippocampal sclerosis (HS) on MRI scans was determined by expert neuroradiologists at our epilepsy centre using accepted criteria, particularly the presence of unilateral atrophy and increased T2-signal of the hippocampus. Patients with other temporal lobe pathology visible on MRI were excluded. The diagnosis and lateralization of TLE was confirmed on the basis of these investigations. Selection for surgery in patients with a normal MRI required well-lateralized ictal events with concordant lateralization on interictal FDG-PET, and no discordant information from other modalities, such as SPECT or neuropsychological assessment. Four patients had chronic intracranial EEG recordings with surgically implanted subdural grid electrodes to confirm the epileptogenic zone before undergoing the temporal resection.

All patients subsequently underwent a tailored standard anterior temporal lobectomy (ATL). One of two surgeons performed each of the operative procedures. The anterior temporal lobe was removed, with an en bloc excision of neocortical structures, followed by microsurgical resection of the amygdala, and subsequent complete en bloc resection of the hippocampus and parahippocampal gyrus.

The extent of hippocampal resection was standardized for all patients and confirmed on the postoperative MRI. Patients with a non-dominant lobe resection had excision of 4 cm of the superior and middle temporal gyrus, and 5–6 cm of the inferior temporal gyrus or to the vein of Labbe. Patients undergoing a dominant lobectomy had the superior temporal gyrus left intact, and the middle and inferior temporal gyrus was excised 4–5 cm, or to the vein of Labbe. All patients were followed up for at least 12 months postoperatively. An MRI scan was also performed at least 3 months postoperatively.

Following surgery, all patients had a standardized approach to their anti-epileptic drug (AED) therapy management. No changes were made in the first 6 months postoperatively. Patients on three or more AEDs were then weaned to two AEDs by 1 year, to one AED by 2 years, and then this drug was continued indefinitely. In patients who had seizure recurrence, AED therapy was increased and tailored to their individual response.

Postoperative outcome with respect to seizures was graded according to a 12-point seizure frequency score (Table 1; Engel et al., 1993) that we have used in previous studies (O’Brien et al., 1998b, 2000, 2001). Patients were considered to have a good
Table 1 Seizure frequency scale

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Seizure frequency</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Seizure-free, no AEDs</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seizure-free, need for AEDs unknown</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seizure-free, needs AEDs</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-disabling simple partial seizures</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-disabling nocturnal seizures only</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1–3 per year</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4–11 per year</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1–3 per month</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1–6 per week</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1–3 per day</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4–10 per day</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;10 per day</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Status epilepticus</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

outcome with a score of <5 (i.e. seizure freedom, nocturnal seizures only or up to three seizures per year). A good outcome is equivalent to a Class I and II outcome on the Engel scale. Outcome was determined from state of seizure control at latest follow-up.

The study was approved by the Human Research Ethics Committees of Melbourne Health, St Vincent’s Hospital and The Peter MacCallum Cancer Institute.

FDG-PET acquisition

Interictal out-patient FDG-PET scans were acquired on a PENN PET 300H Tomograph scanner as described previously (O’Brien et al., 2001). A three-dimensional whole-head acquisition was performed with a 25-cm field of view. For the 2 mm slice thickness used for whole-body imaging, the measured resolution was 4.2 mm at full width at half maximum transaxially and 5.4 mm at full width at half maximum out of plane. All patients were fasted for 4 hours before scanning and rested in a quiet, darkened room with eyes open and ears unoccluded for 30 min before FDG administration, and for at least 30 minutes afterward. Scanning commenced 5–60 min after 37–111 MBq (1–3 mCi) of FDG was administered. Although this represents a lower administered activity than has been previously described, the postoperative binary MRI was matched and transformed into the same three-dimensional space as the preoperative binary MRI (Hogan et al., 1996; O’Brien et al., 1998b). In brief, this involves 1000 points being sampled on the surface of the postoperative binary MRI, which are then matched to the corresponding points on the preoperative binary MRI. From this a matrix is calculated that describes the transformation of the postoperative binary MRI into the same three-dimensional space as the preoperative binary MRI (Fig. 1, Step 2). This transformed postoperative image was saved and the matrix used in this transformation was also applied to the postoperative volumetric grey scale MR image. The transformed postoperative grey scale image was surface-matched with the preoperative grey scale image, and the matrix manually refined, using multiple anatomical markers throughout the brain, to ensure an optimal registration.

Post-acquisition MR image processing

All post-acquisition imaging processing and analysis were performed by a single operator blinded to the post-surgical outcome. The images were loaded into a UNIX workstation running Analyze™ 6.0 (Mayo Foundation, Rochester, MN), and the DICOM format files were converted into Analyze 7.5 format. Raw 16-bit data was re-scaled to 8 bits, and the image was made cubic, with voxel size identical in all three dimensions before image analysis.

The steps involved in the imaging processing are summarized in Fig. 1. Initially the pre- and postoperative MR images were segmented using an automated morphological segmentation technique (Object Extractor) to define the brain surface and exclude extra-cerebral structures (Fig. 1, Step 2). A binary image of the segmented brain MRI was then constructed and interior ‘holes’ were deleted, and Step Editing and Image Algebra tools were used to further refine the extraction, filling holes and separating all brain from non-brain structures, on a slice-by-slice basis.

Using a brain surface-matching technique with Analyze 6.0, as has been previously described, the postoperative binary MRI was matched and transformed into the same three-dimensional space as the preoperative binary MRI (Hogan et al., 1996; O’Brien et al., 1998b). In brief, this involves 1000 points being sampled on the surface of the postoperative binary MRI, which are then matched to the corresponding points on the preoperative binary MRI. From this a matrix is calculated that describes the transformation of the postoperative binary MRI into the same three-dimensional space as the preoperative binary MRI (Fig. 1, Step 2). This transformed postoperative image was saved and the matrix used in this transformation was also applied to the postoperative volumetric grey scale MR image. The transformed postoperative grey scale image was surface-matched with the preoperative grey scale image, and the matrix manually refined, using multiple anatomical markers throughout the brain, to ensure an optimal registration.

Post-acquisition MR image processing

The steps involved in the imaging processing are summarized in Fig. 1. Initially the pre- and postoperative MR images were segmented using an automated morphological segmentation technique (Object Extractor) to define the brain surface and exclude extra-cerebral structures (Fig. 1, Step 2). A binary image of the segmented brain MRI was then constructed and interior ‘holes’ were deleted, and Step Editing and Image Algebra tools were used to further refine the extraction, filling holes and separating all brain from non-brain structures, on a slice-by-slice basis.

Using a brain surface-matching technique with Analyze 6.0, as has been previously described, the postoperative binary MRI was matched and transformed into the same three-dimensional space as the preoperative binary MRI (Hogan et al., 1996; O’Brien et al., 1998b). In brief, this involves 1000 points being sampled on the surface of the postoperative binary MRI, which are then matched to the corresponding points on the preoperative binary MRI. From this a matrix is calculated that describes the transformation of the postoperative binary MRI into the same three-dimensional space as the preoperative binary MRI (Fig. 1, Step 2). This transformed postoperative image was saved and the matrix used in this transformation was also applied to the postoperative volumetric grey scale MR image. The transformed postoperative grey scale image was surface-matched with the preoperative grey scale image, and the matrix manually refined, using multiple anatomical markers throughout the brain, to ensure an optimal registration. Particular attention was paid to the alignment of the superior temporal gyrus on the side of the resection to assess for, and minimize, any potential effects of brain shift on the calculation of the resected brain volume (Fig. 2). The transformed postoperative binary and grey scale images, and the matrix used in these transformations, were saved for later use.

Using region of interest (ROI) tools, the volume of temporal lobe resected was then calculated, including amygdala, hippocampus and parahippocampal gyrus in addition to temporal neocortex. This was performed in the sagittal plane, on a slice-by-slice basis, by tracing in a semi-automated manner the resection margins from the co-registered postoperative MRI and the brain surface edge on the preoperative MRI (Fig. 3). This ROI, defining the resected structures, was saved for use in the analysis of the proportion of the SPM-defined FDG-PET hypometabolism that was included in the resection.

The total cerebral volume was calculated from the preoperative binary MRI image using a volume render tool, and thus the percentage ‘normalized’ temporal MRI volume resected was calculated by

\[
\text{Normalized temporal MRI volume resected} = \frac{\text{Temporal MRI volume resected}}{\text{Total MRI cerebral volume}} \times 100
\]
The region of the temporal lobe, including hippocampal and limbic structures as well as temporal neocortex was dissected from the extra-temporal brain structures, for later use in differentiating temporal from extra-temporal hypometabolism. Using Image Edit tools, the posterior margin of the temporal lobe on the preoperative volumetric MR image was defined in the sagittal plane. A straight line between the posterior margin of the sylvian fissure and the temporo-occipital incisure was used to define the posterior margin of the temporal lobe (Fig. 4A), and all cerebral structures posterior to this were deleted (Fig. 4B). The temporal lobe was then dissected from the brain in the coronal plane using a combination of manual and autotracing (Fig. 4C). All other cerebral structures were then deleted (Fig. 4D), and the volume of temporal lobe was calculated.

Post-acquisition FDG-PET image processing

Post-acquisition analysis was performed off-line with the aid of the software packages Analyze 6.0 (Mayo Foundation) and SPM99 (Wellcome Department of Cognitive Neurology, University College London, London, UK) implanted in MedX. FDG-PET images were converted from Interfile to Analyze 7.5 format, and then loaded into MedX. Images from patients and volunteers were then spatially normalized into standard PET templates provided in SPM99 using a 12-parameter affine transformation (Fig. 1, Step 1).

To remove the effect of global metabolism, the count of each voxel was normalized to the total count of the brain using proportional scaling. Threshold masking to remove signal from uptake in extra-cerebral structures was set at 40% for all patients. This level of thresholding was determined empirically as the optimal level for including hypometabolic zones but excluding non-brain structures before the performance of the analyses for this study.

This image was then compared with the 20 normalized volunteer FDG-PET scans, using an unpaired t-test, and significant regions of hypometabolism were identified (Fig. 5). Regions considered significant were cluster sizes larger than 200 contiguous voxels, with an uncorrected P-value < 0.0005. This SPM output image was then saved for future use (Fig. 1, Step 1).

The normalized FDG-PET was then transformed back into its original three-dimensional space in MedX using the original FDG-PET image as the template. The matrix generated was then applied to the SPM output image to transform it from the dimensions of the standard template into those of the patient’s original FDG-PET image (Fig. 1, Step 1). This image was then co-registered and transformed to the preoperative binary MRI with surface-matching
utilizing the original FDG-PET image as the template to construct the matrix (Fig. 1, Step 3).

The binary image of the segmented transformed postoperative MRI scan was then multiplied by the transformed SPM hypometabolism image to produce an image containing only the voxels in which the hypometabolism was located within non-resected brain (Fig. 1, Step 4). Subtracting this ‘non-resected SPM hypometabolism’ image from the total transformed SPM output created an image of the hypometabolic voxels that lay within the region of temporal lobe that was resected (‘resected SPM hypometabolism’) (Fig. 1, Step 5). The volume of the resected SPM hypometabolism and the non-resected SPM hypometabolism was then calculated and expressed as a percentage of the total brain SPM hypometabolism volume. The percentage of the total volume of hypometabolism resected was then calculated by (Fig. 6):

\[
\text{\% Volume SPM hypometabolism resected} = \frac{\text{Volume SPM hypometabolism resected}}{\text{Total volume of SPM hypometabolism}} \times 100
\]

In addition to the volume of total hypometabolism resected, the volume of temporal and of extra-temporal hypometabolism present on the transformed SPM output image and the percentage of the temporal lobe hypometabolism resected were also calculated. The ROI defining the temporal lobe from the preoperative MRI was made binary (Fig. 7, Step 1) and multiplied by the transformed SPM image to produce an image containing only the voxels in which the hypometabolism was located within the temporal lobe (‘temporal SPM hypometabolism’) (Fig. 7, Step 2). Subtracting this temporal SPM hypometabolism image from the total transformed SPM output created an image of the hypometabolic voxels that lay outside the regions of temporal lobe (‘extra-temporal SPM hypometabolism’) (Fig. 7, Step 3).

The ‘temporal SPM hypometabolism’ image was also multiplied by the binary MRI-derived ‘temporal resection’ image, to produce an image of the region of significant hypometabolism in the resected portion of the temporal lobe (Fig. 7, Step 4). This enabled calculation of the percentage of the temporal hypometabolism resected and its relationship to outcome.

The proportion of the resected hypometabolism that was in the medial temporal area was also calculated. For this the preoperative MRI scan was loaded into ROI tool in Analyze, with the co-registered SPM output of the ‘resected SPM hypometabolism’ loaded as a related volume. An ROI square was placed over the mesial temporal structures, including the hippocampus and amygdala on the preoperative MRI, and the volume of the cluster...
of the SPM output in this region was measured. The proportion of the total volume of resected hypometabolism that this represented was then determined (i.e. % resected hypometabolism in the medial temporal area).

To determine whether the anatomical site of the unresected hypometabolism had an influence on outcome, the SPM output image was co-registered and fused with the patient’s preoperative MRI scan. This image was then visually assessed, and the presence of hypometabolism in 12 defined regions of the brain was documented (Table 4).

Statistical analysis
Statistical analysis was performed with the aid of the software package Stata 8.0. Patients with a good versus poor post-surgical outcome were compared for the following variables initially with a univariate analysis using a two-tailed Student’s t-test: (i) the percentage of the total brain SPM hypometabolism resected; (ii) the volume of the total brain SPM hypometabolism present; (iii) the percentage of temporal lobe SPM hypometabolism resected; (iv) the volume of temporal and extra-temporal lobe SPM hypometabolism present; (v) the percentage of the resected SPM hypometabolism that lay in the medial temporal area; (vi) the normalized volume of MRI-defined temporal lobe resected; and (vii) the percentage of total MRI-defined temporal lobe this volume represents. The variables that had a P-value < 0.1 on the univariate analysis were entered into a multivariate regression analysis to determine the independent contributions of each variable to post-surgical outcome. The proportion of patients in the good and poor surgical outcome groups who had unresected hypometabolism affecting each of the 12 brain regions was compared with a Fisher’s exact test. For all tests \( P < 0.05 \) was considered a statistically significant difference between the groups.

Results
Clinical details and post-surgical outcome
The cohort consisted of 13 males and 13 females with a mean age of 42 (range: 22–69). HS was present on the preoperative MRI in 18 (69%) of the 26 patients. The remaining eight (31%) patients had normal preoperative MRIs, with no lesion present in either temporal lobe. Histopathology of the resected temporal lobes confirmed HS in all 18 MRI-defined HS patients. The remaining eight (31%) patients with normal MRIs had no specific abnormality identified on
Fig. 5 SPM output images showing the regions of significant FDG-PET hypometabolism from two patients. The image on the left is from a patient in the good outcome group and displays hypometabolism that is restricted to the left temporal lobe. The image on the right is from a patient in the poor outcome group and displays more widespread hypometabolism involving extra-temporal and temporal regions.

Fig. 6 Examples of method for calculating the percentage of hypometabolism resected: co-registering the postoperative MRI with the SPM output of significant areas of hypometabolism allowed a calculation of the proportion of the hypometabolism resected. The top image is from a patient in the good outcome group (same patient as for the left image in Fig. 5), with 30% of the hypometabolism resected. The bottom image is from a patient in the poor outcome group (right image in Fig. 5), with only 16% of the hypometabolism resected.
histopathological examination. Mean post-surgical follow-up was 4.5 years (range: 2.5–6.9 years). Median seizure frequency score preoperatively was 8 (range: 7–10), and the median change in seizure score from pre- to post-surgery was 3 (range: 0–7). Of the 26 patients, 15 patients (58%) had a good outcome, and 11 (42%) had a poor outcome. The clinical details of the patients are summarized in Table 2. Of the four patients who had subdural grids implanted before surgery, one had a good outcome and three had a poor outcome. All these patients had hypometabolism on the FDG-PET scan extending beyond the ictal onset zone recorded on the subdural grids into extra-temporal regions. As the extent of coverage by the subdural grids was relatively restricted, it was not possible to make a useful assessment of the topographic relationship between the regions of hypometabolism and regions of seizure spread.

At last follow-up the median number of AEDs was 1 (range: 1–2) in the good outcome group and 2 (range: 1–4) in the poor outcome group.

**Relationship of surgical outcome to extent of total FDG-PET resection and temporal lobe FDG-PET resection**

The results of the univariate analysis comparing the SPM-defined FDG-PET hypometabolism resection and MRI volume of temporal lobe resection variables to the postsurgical outcome are summarized in Table 3. Patients with a good outcome had a greater proportion of the hypometabolism volume resected than those with a poor outcome (24.0% versus 11.7%, \(P = 0.02\), Student’s \(t\)-test). There was no significant difference between the groups in the total brain volume (\(P = 0.84\)) of hypometabolism present.

There was no significant difference between the outcome groups in the volume of the SPM-defined region of hypometabolism within the temporal lobe. The mean percentage of hypometabolism within the temporal lobe that was resected was also not significantly different in the good outcome group (41.8%, range: 0–60.5%) compared with the poor outcome group (33.7%, range: 0–63.8%,) (\(P = 0.32\), Student’s \(t\)-test). The volume of extra-temporal hypometabolism, whilst not statistically significant (\(P = 0.07\),
showed a trend towards a larger volume of extra-temporal hypometabolism in the poor outcome group.

The percentage of the resected temporal hypometabolism that lay within the medial temporal areas was also analysed, and there was no significant difference between patients in the good outcome group (mean: 48.5% ± 9.2%) and the poor outcome groups (mean: 38.5% ± 11.4%) (P = 0.5, Student’s t-test).

When the brain sites of the unresected hypometabolism were compared between the two outcome groups, there was no region in which the frequency of involvement significantly differed between them (Table 4).

### Relationship of surgical outcome to volume of temporal lobe resected

The MRI-derived volume of temporal lobe resected was calculated to assess the effect of resection size on outcome. The mean temporal volume resected in the good outcome group was 27.6 × 10^3 mm^3 (range: 10.6 × 10^3 mm^3 to 40.4 × 10^3 mm^3), which did not differ from that in the poor outcome group of 27.7 × 10^3 mm^3 (range: 11.3 × 10^3 mm^3 to 41.9 × 10^3 mm^3) (P = 0.97). The volume of temporal lobe resected was normalized for the patient’s total cerebral volume before comparison. In addition, the percentage of total temporal volume resected was calculated. In the good outcome group the mean percentage of temporal volume resected was 35.32% (range: 14.33–44.76%), which also did not differ significantly from that in the poor outcome group (31.5%, range: 13.6–45.83%, P = 0.32).

Nine of the 15 patients in the good outcome group and 5 of the 11 patients in the poor outcome had right-sided temporal lobectomies. When comparing side of resection and volume of resection, there was no significant difference in the volume resected between left- and right-sided procedures (P = 0.69, Student’s t-test).

### Multiple regression analysis

The results of the multiple regression analysis are summarized in Table 5. Variables with a P-value < 0.1 on the univariate analysis were included as independent variables (i.e. % total volume hypometabolism resected, extra-temporal volume hypometabolism and presence of HS on the preoperative MRI), with post-surgical outcome being the dependent variable. This analysis demonstrated that the extent of resection of the hypometabolism (P = 0.049) and the presence of HS (P = 0.03) were both independently predictive of outcome. Although the extra-temporal volume of hypometabolism showed a trend to significance on the univariate analysis, the multiple regression demonstrates that this was not independently associated with outcome.

### Discussion

Temporal lobectomy has been established as a proven, effective treatment for medically refractory TLE, with most series reporting that ~70% of patients achieve an Engel Class I and II outcome, although reported rates vary from 33 to 93% (McIntosh et al., 2001). Selection of patients for epilepsy surgery involves utilizing multiple diagnostic tests to adequately localize the epileptogenic zone preoperatively. However, even in carefully selected patients, a significant proportion of patients continue to have seizures. Preoperative prognostic indicators are therefore important in identifying suitable surgical candidates, and in providing pre-surgical outcomes and counselling.

Various studies have aimed to determine whether individual investigations, specifically FDG-PET, MRI, Wada’s test, SPECT and electroencephalography, can accurately predict seizure freedom after surgery (Jeong et al., 1999; Gilliam et al., 2000; Clusmann et al., 2002; Stavem et al., 2004). The presence of HS or a structural lesion visible on MRI has been repeatedly shown to be associated with a better surgical outcome (Jack et al., 1992, 1995; Berkovic et al., 1995; Jeong et al., 1999; Gilliam et al., 2000; Clusmann et al., 2002; Stavem et al., 2004). Other clinical factors have also been investigated, such as duration...
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Table 4 Location of unresected hypometabolism

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location of unresected hypometabolism</th>
<th>Good = 15</th>
<th>Poor = 11</th>
<th>P-valuea</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IPS posterior temporal lobe</td>
<td>9 (60%)</td>
<td>7 (64%)</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPS frontal lobe</td>
<td>9 (60%)</td>
<td>8 (73%)</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPS parietal lobe</td>
<td>4 (27%)</td>
<td>1 (9%)</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPS occipital lobe</td>
<td>1 (0.7%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPS basal ganglia</td>
<td>6 (40%)</td>
<td>7 (64%)</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPS cerebellum</td>
<td>7 (47%)</td>
<td>8 (73%)</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CL posterior temporal lobe</td>
<td>4 (27%)</td>
<td>5 (45%)</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CL frontal lobe</td>
<td>4 (27%)</td>
<td>6 (55%)</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CL parietal lobe</td>
<td>2 (13%)</td>
<td>1 (9%)</td>
<td>0.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CL occipital lobe</td>
<td>1 (0.7%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CL basal ganglia</td>
<td>8 (53%)</td>
<td>5 (45%)</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CL cerebellum</td>
<td>4 (27%)</td>
<td>7 (64%)</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IPS = ipsilateral to side of resection; CL = contralateral to side of resection.

*aFisher’s exact test.

Table 5 Multiple regression analysis model for post-surgical outcome

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Independent variable</th>
<th>Postoperative outcomea (R² = 0.39, P = 0.011)</th>
<th>Beta</th>
<th>SE</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MRIb</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Hypometabolism resectedc</td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.37</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.049</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vol extra-temporal hypometabolismd</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.41</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

R² = R-square (the coefficient of multiple determination) of the model; Beta = standardized regression coefficients for each independent variable; SE = standard error for each independent variable.

*aPostoperative outcome: (i) good (i.e. seizure frequency score ≤ 5); (ii) localization non-concordant or non-localizing. bMRI groups: (i) mesial temporal sclerosis; (ii) normal MRI. c% SPM resected: percentage of the total volume of hypometabolism resected. dVol SPM extra-temporal: volume of extra-temporal hypometabolism (mm³).

of seizures before surgery, IQ, presence of only partial seizures and a history of febrile convulsions, with no consistent relationship to surgical outcome being demonstrated (Chelune et al., 1998; Clusmann et al., 2002; Stavem et al., 2004). One study demonstrated that in addition to the presence of HS, age at surgery was also a prognostic factor, suggesting that mesial TLE may be a progressive disorder, and early surgical intervention should be considered (Jeong et al., 1999).

FDG-PET is accepted as a sensitive and specific tool for the localization of epileptogenic zones in TLE, with rare false lateralization (Sperling et al., 1995). Cerebral glucose uptake is believed to reflect the overall strength of synaptic activity (Knowlton et al., 2001). The interictal hypometabolism evident on FDG-PET scanning in epileptogenic temporal lobes is a common finding in TLE, and present in >80% of patients (Lee et al., 2002). The reasons for the decreased glucose metabolism are unknown. It is unclear whether this loss of synaptic activity is a result of neuronal cell death, or metabolic dysfunction of the underlying neurons.

HS is characterized pathologically by loss of neurons in the hilus and CA1 subfield of the hippocampus. The cell loss results in hippocampal atrophy, which can be reliably assessed with quantitative MRI, and the degree of atrophy has been shown to correlate well with the degree of neuronal cell loss (Cascino et al., 1991; Lee et al., 1995). It has been proposed that the hypometabolism seen on FDG-PET is a reflection of the underlying neuronal cell loss seen in HS. A recent study found that hippocampal atrophy and presumed degree of cell loss appears to be a factor involved in the aetiology of the hypometabolism in epileptogenic hippocampi (Knowlton et al., 2001). However, this finding is not consistent with other studies demonstrating temporal hypometabolism occurring in the absence of hippocampal atrophy (Lamusuo et al., 2001; O’Brien et al., 2001; Carne et al., 2004). Many other studies have found that the degree of hypometabolism does not correlate well with the severity of neuronal cell loss (Radtke et al., 1993; O’Brien et al., 1997; Lamusuo et al., 2001; Theodore et al., 2001), and that the severity of hypometabolism may not relate to post-surgical outcome (Lee et al., 2002). Hypometabolism in TLE is also frequently seen to extend outside the hippocampal structures, where atrophy is not present (Koutroumanidis et al., 2000; Newberg et al., 2000; Choi et al., 2003), and the extent of the hypometabolism is also typically much larger than the histopathological or MRI abnormality (Semah et al., 1995). There is now evidence that hypometabolism may reflect physiological dysfunction of the underlying temporal lobe and functionally associated regions, rather than just neuronal cell loss (Hong et al., 2002; Lamusuo et al., 2001; Lee et al., 2002; Vielhaber et al., 2003). Repeated seizures can result in synaptic reorganization, with neuronal sprouting and proliferation in glial cells, and this may underlie the metabolic changes seen on FDG-PET. Furthermore, one study has demonstrated improvement in both the ipsilateral and contralateral glucose metabolism in the temporal neocortex after epilepsy surgery (Hajek et al., 1994), which would be consistent with a physiological dysfunction as the cause for the hypometabolism, rather than just cell loss.

The pattern of hypometabolism seen on the preoperative FDG-PET scan has also been examined as a prognostic indicator. The presence of ipsilateral temporal hypometabolism has been found to correlate with higher rates of seizure freedom postoperatively (Radtke et al., 1993; Manno et al., 1994; O’Brien et al., 2001). However, it has been observed that the presence of extra-temporal cortical hypometabolism, as well as contralateral, bitemporal or thalamic hypometabolism, all have poorer seizure freedom rates post-temporal lobectomy (Koutroumanidis et al., 2000; Newberg et al., 2000; Choi et al., 2003).

This current study is the first to examine whether the extent of resection of the region of FDG-PET hypometabolism is correlated with post-surgical outcome. The results show that a greater extent of surgical excision of the total region of cerebral hypometabolism, as defined by SPM analysis, is associated with a better postoperative outcome. Furthermore,
This was demonstrated to be independent of the total amount of hypometabolism present. We also compared the total volume of temporal hypometabolism, and the percentage of this that was resected, between the outcome groups but found no significant differences. In light of previous findings regarding the presence of extra-temporal hypometabolism being associated with a poorer outcome (Koutroumanidis et al., 2000; Newberg et al., 2000; Choi et al., 2003), we compared the volume of extra-temporal hypometabolism between the outcome groups. While there was a trend ($P = 0.07$) on the univariate analysis for the volume of extra-temporal hypometabolism to be greater in the poor outcome group (Table 3), on the multivariate regression analysis this was not independently associated with outcome (Table 5). This would suggest that the presence of extra-temporal or contralateral hypometabolism per se is not the poor prognostic factor, but rather it is the percentage of the total amount of hypometabolism available for resection that is associated with outcome. Patients with more extensive extra-temporal hypometabolism are more likely to have a smaller proportion of the total volume of hypometabolism in the brain resected, which may explain the previously reported association of outcome with this variable. Our results would suggest that the presence of small areas of hypometabolism in extra-temporal regions, regardless of where they are, may not preclude a good outcome, provided that a significant proportion of the total hypometabolism was in the ipsilateral temporal lobe, and accessible for surgical resection. These findings are consistent with the hypothesis that the hypometabolism is a result of underlying epileptogenically induced metabolic dysfunction, and that resecting a greater proportion results in improved rates of seizure freedom, irrespective of its total volume. Further support for this view is provided in the analysis demonstrating no significant differences between the outcome groups in the proportion of patients in whom the unresected hypometabolism involved any of the 12 brain regions examined (Table 4).

It is clear from the findings of our study that complete removal of the hypometabolic area is not a prerequisite for a good postoperative outcome. The patients in the good outcome group had an average of only 24% of the total region of brain hypometabolism resected. Further studies with a larger patient cohort would be interesting for subgroup analysis to assess if the relationship between percentage hypometabolism resected and postoperative seizure frequency score are linearly related. From our results to date we postulate that resection of a critical volume of hypometabolism is associated with seizure freedom; however, the exact proportion required in each patient remains to be determined. Whilst our findings support the concept of the hypometabolism representing neuronal dysfunction, it is unclear why resection of only a portion can result in seizure freedom. It is possible that the hypometabolism is of varying severity in different regions of the brain and that this may represent differing degrees of underlying neuronal dysfunction. The hypometabolism in the temporal lobe may be different in severity from extra-temporal regions, which would be consistent with the concept of more severe neuronal dysfunction in the epileptogenic zone, than in extra-temporal and functionally associated regions. Tailoring resection margins based on the extent and severity of temporal hypometabolism (a 'PETectomy') would be an attractive option if it could be demonstrated that this yields improved seizure control rates (through resecting a greater percentage of dysfunctional neuronal tissue) and reduced neurophysiological deficit (through not resecting functional neuronal tissue).

The use of SPM and co-registration techniques in this study enabled the extent of resection of the region of significant hypometabolism to be quantified in a way that removed the inter-observer variability inherent in the visual interpretation of FDG-PET scans. To our knowledge such techniques have not been previously applied to address this research question. The findings of this study may have implications in patient selection, preoperative assessment and patient counselling regarding the likelihood of achieving seizure freedom after temporal lobectomy.

The relationship between the extent of surgical resection of the temporal lobe and postoperative outcome was also examined in this study using quantitative, MRI-based, methods. With the advances in neuroimaging and surgical techniques over time, differing surgical procedures have evolved, with considerable variation between epilepsy centres. A standard ATL remains the most widely performed operative procedure for TLE, and includes removal of the neocortical structures plus amygdalohippocampectomy (AH). However, there is no consensus on the amount of neocortical resection that is required to achieve the optimal outcome. It has been believed that a common cause of failed temporal lobectomy is inadequate hippocampal resection, and thus many surgeons endeavour to maximize the extent of hippocampal resection in an effort to improve postoperative seizure freedom. Hippocampal resection length has been examined as a prognostic indicator, and a more extensive hippocampal resection has been shown to produce higher rates of seizure freedom (Wyler et al., 1995; Bonilha et al., 2004; Stavem et al., 2004). However, this finding is in contrast with other studies that have not demonstrated any relationship between outcome and the size of hippocampal resection (McKhan et al., 2000).

Selective AH has become a popular surgical treatment option for mesial TLE in some centres. This procedure spares the lateral neocortical structures and has been used in an effort to reduce side-effects and post-surgical memory decline. Postoperative seizure freedom rates of selective AH have been shown to be comparable with more extensive temporal resections (Arruda et al., 1996; Clusmann et al., 2002). Previous studies have not demonstrated any clear relationship between the posterior extent of the neocortical resection and outcome following an ATL (Cascino et al., 1995; Tran et al., 1995; Malla et al., 1998). However, many centres still use intra-operative electrocorticography to guide
the extent of the neocortical resection, potentially confounding this analysis. Furthermore, most seizure recurrences following temporal lobectomy have been shown to arise in the residual temporal neocortex (Hennessy et al., 2000). To our knowledge, the volume of temporal lobe resected has not been quantified and analysed with respect to postoperative seizure freedom after surgery for TLE. In this study, all patients underwent standard ATL, performed by two different neurosurgeons. Electrocoagulation was not used to guide the extent of the resection. The calculations of the volume of resection in our study were made after co-registering pre- and postoperative MRI scans, which enabled accurate assessment not only of the exact resection margins but also the volume of temporal lobe within those margins in each patient. The temporal lobe volume was also normalized for each patient’s total cerebral volume before analysis, allowing for individual differences in brain volume. There was marked variability in the volume of tissue resected (1096–4193.78 mm³). Despite this, no relationship between the volume of temporal lobe resected and outcome was found.

It is interesting to note that we did not find a significant difference between the good and bad outcome groups in the proportion of the resected hypometabolism that was in the medial temporal lobe (Table 3). It might be expected that if selective AH truly yields the same outcome results as standard ATL, most of the resected hypometabolism would reside within the medial temporal region. This result may therefore suggest that, in certain patients, resection of the anterior temporal structures—if affected by hypometabolism—may be required to optimize the chances of postoperative seizure control.

Conclusions

This study has demonstrated that the percentage of total volume of the region of cerebral hypometabolism on a preoperative FDG-PET image, as defined using SPM, that is included within the surgical resection is associated with post-surgical outcome. This was independent of the total volume of cerebral hypometabolism that is present, the presence of HS on histopathological examination and the volume of the temporal lobe resected. These approaches warrant further evaluation towards individualized surgical planning based on a combination of anatomical and functional imaging data.
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