Changes in readthrough acetylcholinesterase expression modulate amyloid-beta pathology
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Alzheimer’s disease has long been known to involve cholinergic deficits, but the linkage between cholinergic gene expression and the Alzheimer’s disease amyloid pathology has remained incompletely understood. One known link involves synaptic acetylcholinesterase (AChE-S), shown to accelerate amyloid fibrils formation. Here, we report that the ‘Readthrough’ AChE-R splice variant, which differs from AChE-S in its 26 C-terminal residues, inversely exerts neuroprotective effects from amyloid β (Aβ) induced toxicity. In vitro, highly purified AChE-R dose-dependently suppressed the formation of insoluble Aβ oligomers and fibrils and abolished Aβ toxicity to cultured cells, competing with the prevalent AChE-S protein which facilitates these processes. In vivo, double transgenic APPsw/AChE-R mice showed lower plaque burden, fewer reactive astrocytes and less dendritic damage than single APPsw mice, inverse to reported acceleration of these features in double APPsw/AChE-S mice. In hippocampi from Alzheimer’s disease patients (n = 10), dentate gyrus neurons showed significantly elevated AChE-R mRNA and reduced AChE-S mRNA. However, immunoblot analyses revealed drastic reductions in the levels of intact AChE-R protein, suggesting that its selective loss in the Alzheimer’s disease brain exacerbates the Aβ-induced damages and revealing a previously unforeseen linkage between cholinergic and amyloidogenic events.
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Introduction

Alzheimer’s disease has been identified as a cholinergic deficit in the early eighties (Coyle et al., 1983), which led to the development of cholinesterase inhibitors as the leading currently used Alzheimer’s disease drugs (Lleo et al., 2006). The cognitive symptoms in Alzheimer’s disease involve learning and memory deficits accompanied by neuropsychiatric disturbances, considered to be partially induced by the loss of cholinergic neurons (Cummings and Back, 1998). These neurons notably express the acetylcholine (ACh) hydrolysing enzyme acetylcholinesterase (AChE) (Landwehrmeyer et al., 1993). The presence of AChE in the amyloid plaques characteristic of Alzheimer’s disease brains (Carson et al., 1991), the non-catalytic enhancement of amyloid-β (Aβ) fibrils formation by the major ‘Synaptic’ AChE variant [AChE-S, also called AChE-T; (Massoulie, 2002)] (Inestrosa et al., 1996) and its suppression by the highly homologous enzyme butyrylcholinesterase [BChE; (Diamant et al., 2006)], renewed the interest in both ACh and cholinesterases as potential modulators of the Alzheimer’s disease neuropathology. Supporting this notion, muscarinic agonists attenuate both Aβ and tau pathologies and delay the development of cognitive impairments in transgenic mice (Caccamo et al., 2006).
However, that the brain’s ACh hydrolysing activity decreases relatively late in the Alzheimer’s disease process, raised doubts regarding the causal involvement of AChE in Alzheimer’s disease (Davis et al., 1999). Importantly, AChE is not only but several proteins, because alternative splicing and alternate promoter usage generate various forms of AChE, differing in their N- and C-termini (Meshorer and Soreq, 2006) but all capable of hydrolysing ACh. For comparison, splice variants of other genes often show inverse properties [e.g. pro-apoptotic, or anti-apoptotic features of splice variants of Bcl2 (Shin and Manley, 2004)]. This raised the possibility that distinct AChE variants exert various effects on the Alzheimer’s disease process.

Currently available studies on the involvement of AChE in Alzheimer’s disease largely reflect the properties and expression patterns of the tetramer-forming AChE-S, the prominent variant in the mammalian brain (Soreq et al., 1990; Ben Aziz-Aloya et al., 1993). AChE-S was shown to enhance Aβ fibrils formation in vitro (Inestrosa et al., 1996), enhance their neurotoxic effects ex vivo (Alvarez et al., 1998) and facilitate Alzheimer’s disease plaque formation in vivo (Rees et al., 2005). However, aging involves a gradual increase in the blood levels of the monomeric, soluble, stress-induced AChE-R variant (Sklan et al., 2004), which differs from AChE-S in its 26 C-terminal amino acid residues. AChE-R accumulates following swim stress (Kauf et al., 1998), head injury, immobilization stress or exposure to cholinesterase inhibitors (Meshorer and Soreq, 2006). Since transgenic overexpression of AChE-R attenuates aging and stress-associated neuropathologies, unlike AChE-S which enhances these features (Sternfeld et al., 2000), we specifically addressed the role of AChE-R in Alzheimer’s disease progression. AChE-R effects were examined on Aβ fibril formation in vitro, on Aβ toxicity to cultured cells ex vivo and on amyloid plaque formation and neurotoxicity in double-transgenic mice in vivo. Finally, AChE gene expression and protein levels were monitored in hippocampus samples of human Alzheimer’s disease patients as compared with non-demented controls (NDCs).

### Materials and methods

#### Human brain tissue

Brain tissue from Alzheimer’s disease patients (n = 10) and NDCs (n = 5) was provided by the Netherlands Brain Bank. Ethical approval and written informed consent from the donors or the next of kin was obtained in all cases. Neuropathological Braak staging of neurofibrillary changes (I–VI) was performed post-mortem (Braak and Braak, 1991). Individual case details are described in Table 1.

#### Transgenic mice

AChE-R overexpressing mice (FVB/N background) (Pick et al., 2006) were crossed with mice transgenic for human APP-695 with the ‘Swedish’ mutation (Hsiao et al., 1996) (B6/SJL background) to generate double-transgenic APPsw/AChE-R mice. Backcrossing to B6/SJL wild-type generated single and double transgenic mice.

Genotyping involved tail tip PCR. Seventy-five-week-old mice [APPsw (n = 5) and AChE-R/APPsw (n = 5)] were anaesthetized and perfused with ice-cold phosphate-buffered saline (PBS). One hemisphere was postfixed overnight at 4°C in 4% paraformaldehyde, washed with PBS and paraffin embedded. The other was dissected and stored at −80°C until protein extraction. Animal experiments were approved by the veterinary service of the Department of Animal Health, University of Zurich.

### Immuno-blots

#### Human samples

Immuno-blot analysis of human hippocampal homogenate samples involved rabbit anti-human AChE-R antibodies directed at the unique C-terminus of AChE-R (1:700) (Sternfeld et al., 2000), anti total AChE (H134, Santa Cruz, Santa Cruz, CA, 1:700) and anti α-tubulin (Santa Cruz, 1:2000).

#### Mouse samples

For insoluble Aβ measurements, detergent-insoluble pellets were resolved in 70% FA and neutralized with 10 M NaOH. Extracts were separated by 8 M urea gel, blotted onto PVDF membrane, boiled for 5 min in PBS and blocked in TBS containing 4% milk for 1 h at room temperature. Membranes were incubated overnight with the 6E10 antibody at 4°C and visualized by an anti-mouse peroxidase-conjugated antibody (Jackson, West grove, PA) and ECL reactions. SDS–PAGE and immuno-blot of low-salt detergent (LSD) fraction with the 6E10 antibody was used for amyloid-precursor protein (APP) analysis.

### RNA procedures

Fresh frozen human hippocampi were coronally cut. RNA was extracted (RNeasy lipid tissue kit, Qiagen, Valencia, CA), DNase treated and its integrity confirmed by gel electrophoresis. cDNA synthesis (Promega, Madison, WI) involved 0.4 μg RNA samples in 20 μl reactions. Duplicate real-time reverse transcriptase (RT–PCR tests involved ABI prism 7900HT, SYBR green master mix (Applied biosystems, Foster City, CA) and ROX, a passive reference dye for signal normalization across the plate. Primer

### Table I Patient details

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient (gender; age)</th>
<th>Diagnosis (PMD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>02-005 (m;42)</td>
<td>AD, Braak 6 (06:10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02-079 (f;58)</td>
<td>AD, Braak stage unavailable (04:30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02-056 (f;85)</td>
<td>AD, Braak 5 (03:45)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02-061 (f;76)</td>
<td>AD, Braak 5 (04:45)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02-080 (f;86)</td>
<td>AD, Braak 5 (04:10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02-085 (f;79)</td>
<td>AD, Braak 5 (04:15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02-088 (f;78)</td>
<td>AD, Braak 5 (04:00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02-099 (m;69)</td>
<td>AD, Braak 6 (05:30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02-102 (f;88)</td>
<td>AD, Braak 5 (03:15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02-009 (m;65)</td>
<td>AD, Braak 5 (07:20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99-067 (f;59)</td>
<td>NDC, AD, Braak 1 (06:20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00-017 (f;72)</td>
<td>NDC, AD, Braak 1 (06:45)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00-025 (f;41)</td>
<td>NDC, AD, Braak 0 (13:30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01-021 (m;82)</td>
<td>NDC, AD, Braak 1 (07:40)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01-046 (m;88)</td>
<td>NDC, AD, Braak 1 (07:25)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Patient numbers, gender, age, Braak stage and post-mortem delay (PMD) in hours are shown. NDC = non-demented control.
sequences are listed in Table 2. Reference transcript of 18S rRNA was used. Annealing temperature was 60°C for all primers. Serial dilution of samples served to evaluate primers efficiency and the appropriate cDNA concentration that yields linear changes. Melting curve analysis and amplicons sequencing verified the identity of end products. For absolute quantification, a calibration curve was constructed using AChE-S and AChE-R specific plasmids.

Fluorescent in situ hybridization (FISH)

De-paraffinization of hippocampal coronal 7 μm paraffin sections involved two washes in xylene, then in 100%, 75%, 50% and 25% ethanol in PBT (0.13 M NaCl, 7 mM Na₂HPO₄, 7 H₂O, 3 mM NaH₂PO₄·H₂O, 0.1% Tween-20), and finally twice with PBT. Quenching was applied to suppress autofluorescence (Sun et al., 2002). Sections were then treated with 10 μg/ml proteinase K (Boehringer Mannheim, Mannheim, Germany) for 8 min and washed with PBT. Prehybridization in 50% formamide, 5 × Sodium saline citrate (SSC), 50 μg/ml yeast tRNA (Boehringer Mannheim) and 50 μg/ml heparin (Sigma, Jerusalem, Israel) in DDW was at 60°C for 30 min. Pre-heated hybridization mix (including biotin-conjugated probes, 10 μg/ml) was added (in a humid chamber, 90 min or overnight at 52°C for the AChE-S and AChE-R probes, respectively). Two high-stringency washes at 60°C in solutions 1 (50% formamide, 5 × SSC pH 4.5, 0.5% sodium dodecyl sulphate in DDW), and 2 (50% formamide, 5 × SSC pH 4.5 in DDW) were followed by two washes in TBST (25 mM Tris–HCl pH 7.5, 136 mM NaCl, 2.7 mM KCl, 0.05% Tween-20) and incubation with 1% skimmed milk in TBST to prevent non-specific streptavidin interactions. Detection involved 40 min incubation with streptavidin-conjugated Cy3 (Jackson) followed by two washes in xylene, then in 100%, 75%, 50% and 25% ethanol in PBT. Prehybridization in 50% formamide, 5 × Sodium saline citrate (SSC), 50 μg/ml yeast tRNA (Boehringer Mannheim) and 50 μg/ml heparin (Sigma, Jerusalem, Israel) in DDW was at 60°C for 30 min. Pre-heated hybridization mix (including biotin-conjugated probes, 10 μg/ml) was added (in a humid chamber, 90 min or overnight at 52°C for the AChE-S and AChE-R probes, respectively). Two high-stringency washes at 60°C in solutions 1 (50% formamide, 5 × SSC pH 4.5, 0.5% sodium dodecyl sulphate in DDW), and 2 (50% formamide, 5 × SSC pH 4.5 in DDW) were followed by two washes in TBST (25 mM Tris–HCl pH 7.5, 136 mM NaCl, 2.7 mM KCl, 0.05% Tween-20) and incubation with 1% skimmed milk in TBST to prevent non-specific streptavidin interactions. Detection involved 40 min incubation with streptavidin-conjugated Cy3 (Jackson) diluted 1:100, at room temperature. Slides were then washed three times with TBST, once in DDW, and mounted with Immunomount (Shandon, Pittsburgh, PA). All slides were handled simultaneously to minimize inter-slides variability. Negative control slides were incubated with a zeta-globin probe handled simultaneously to minimize inter-slides variability.

Table 2 FISH probes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Probe</th>
<th>Accession no</th>
<th>Sequence 5′–3′</th>
<th>Position</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>hAChE-S</td>
<td>NM000665</td>
<td>cggggagacctccccggdaggcu</td>
<td>2022–2071</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hAChE-R</td>
<td>L42812</td>
<td>cagggagaggaggggguaggcgcuc</td>
<td>3188–3238</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hZeta-globin</td>
<td>BC027892</td>
<td>tggagtgcctctcctgctgggctg</td>
<td>17–66</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3 Real-time RT–PCR primers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Isoform</th>
<th>Accession no</th>
<th>Forward primer 5′–3′</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Reverse primer 5′–3′</th>
<th>Position</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>hAChE-S</td>
<td>NM000665</td>
<td>cttctccccccaaattgtcct</td>
<td>1789–1807</td>
<td>ttcctgtgctgtagagggtc</td>
<td>1901–1920</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hAChE-R</td>
<td>AY750146</td>
<td>cttctccccccaaattgtcct</td>
<td>7092–7110</td>
<td>gggaggagagagagggtac</td>
<td>7177–7196</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HI8S rRNA</td>
<td>MI0098</td>
<td>cgcggctagaggtgaatttc</td>
<td>1049–1068</td>
<td>tggcaatctctctttgctc</td>
<td>1092–1110</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Protein fractionation and AChE activity tests

Low-salt (LS) and LSD fractions of hippocampal homogenates were prepared as described (Gilboa-Geffen et al., 2007). AChE catalytic activity was measured by an adaptation of a spectrophotometric method (Ellman et al., 1961) to a microtitre plate assay.

Immunohistochemistry

Paraffin slices handled simultaneously to minimize inter-slides variability were deparaffinized and blocked for 1 h in Tris 10% serum-blocking solution. Primary antibodies were diluted in the blocking buffer and applied for 2 h, room temperature or overnight at 4°C: Anti Aβ, 6E10, 1:400 (Signet, Dedham, MA); Anti glial fibrillary acidic protein (anti GFAP, Sigma), 1:50; Anti microtubule-associated protein 2 (MAP2), 1:100 (Lab Vision, Fremont, CA). Corresponding biotin-conjugated secondary antibodies were used. 6E10 detection was with the ABC kit (Vector, Burlingame, CA) with 3,3′-diaminobenzidine as substrate. For anti-MAP2 and anti-GFAP, detection involved streptavidin-conjugated Cy3 (1:100, Jackson).

Zeiis (Oberhochen, Germany) Axioplan or Bio-Rad (Hemel Hempsted, UK) MRC-1024 confocal microscopy served for analysis. Labelling intensity was quantified with ImagePro Plus 4.5 (Media Cybernetics, Silver Spring, MD).

Cell culture and toxicity measurements

SH-SY5Y human neuroblastoma cells were cultured in a 1:1 mixture of Eagle’s minimum essential medium and F12 medium (Sigma), containing 10% FCS and a mixture of 1% penicillin-streptomycin-amphotericin (Biological industries, Beit Haemek, Israel). Cells were plated in 48 well plates at 2 × 10⁵ cells/well at 37°C in a humid 5% CO2 incubator. Synthetic Aβ (1-40) peptide (Sigma) was dissolved in dimethyl sulphoxide (DMSO) at 5 mM. To initiate partial fibrils formation Aβ was diluted to 100 μM in PBS and incubated alone or with recombinant human AChE-R from transgenic Nicotiana benthamiana plants (Geyer et al., 2005) at a 1:100 ratio (1 μM AChE-R), for 24 h at 37°C. Aβ mixtures diluted 1:10 in low-serum medium yielded final concentrations of 10 μM Aβ and 0.1 μM AChE-R were applied to the cells for 3 days. Lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) activity (Diamant et al., 1995) in the medium and cell counts served to assess cellular viability.

Aβ fibrils formation

For in vitro fibrils formation, synthetic Aβ (1-40 or 1-42) peptides (Sigma) dissolved in DMSO at 1.6 mM were sonicated (3 × 5 s
pulses), filtered through 0.22 μm filters and stored in aliquots at −70°C (Klug et al., 2003). The fluorescence signal of thioflavin T (ThT) (Sigma), a benzothiazole dye the excitation spectrum of which shifts from 340 to 450 nm when interacting with β-sheet amyloid structures, reflected the amount of amyloid fibrils formed (LeVine, 1993). Aliquots of 20 μl Aβ were diluted from the stock DMSO solution to a final concentration of 162 μM in PBS containing 0.02% Na-Azid using 96 wells plates (Nunc, Roskilde, Denmark). Following incubation (room temperature, 20 min), 80 μl of 1.25 mM ThT in 50 mM glycine buffer, pH 8.5, was added for 6 to 10 h shaking at 200 r.p.m. and 30°C. Water-dissolved recombinant AChE variants were added to yield the indicated molar ratio. Recombinant human AChE-S was produced in and purified from cultured HEK293 cells (Sigma). Fluorescence was measured at 10 min intervals, using a Spectro-fluorometer (Tecan, Maennedorf, Switzerland), with 450 nm excitation and 485 nm emission wavelengths (Diamant et al., 2006).

**Cross-linking**

To specifically detect the effect of AChE-R on Aβ oligomeric forms, Aβ was incubated either with or without AChE-R (as above). At different time points, aliquots were separated to soluble and insoluble fractions by centrifugation. Photo-induced cross-linking of unmodified proteins [PICUP, (Fancy and Kodadek, 1999)] with ruthenium(II) Tris–bipyridyl dication [Ru(II)bpy₂]⁺ was then used to identify the different oligomeric forms of Aβ present in the supernatant fraction. The insoluble pellet was resuspended in 8 M urea and diluted to 4 M. SDS–PAGE on 10–20% Tris–tricine gels of these samples was followed by silver staining.

**Statistical analyses**

All pair-wise comparisons involved the non-parametric Mann–Whitney significance test. Correlation significance was analysed with Pearson’s and Spearman’s tests.

**Results**

**AChE-R attenuates whereas AChE-S facilitates Aβ fibrils formation in vitro**

To examine the specific contribution of different AChE splice variants to amyloid fibrils formation, we studied the in vitro aggregation behaviour of Aβ1-40 or Aβ1-42 in the presence of highly purified recombinant AChE-R (Geyer et al., 2005; Evron et al., 2007) as compared with recombinant AChE-S (Fig. 1A). Incubation of AChE-S with Aβ1-40, at the range of 1:1000–1:1600 ratio, predictably shortened the lag period preceding fibril formation and accelerated the rate of ThT incorporation into Aβ fibrils, supporting previous reports of a direct involvement of AChE-S with the progression of this process (Inestrosa et al., 1996) (Fig. 1B). In contrast, recombinant AChE-R in 1:400–1:1600 ratios to Aβ impeded Aβ fibril formation for up to 1100 min (Fig. 1C). The observed changes involved prolonged lag time and reduced rate of fibrils formation (Fig. 1C), inversely to the facilitation effect of AChE-S. The effects of AChE-R and AChE-S were dose dependent and their presence in the reaction changed the final yield of fibrils formed (Fig. 1B and C). Since the major amyloidogenic Aβ form is the 1-42 amino acids peptide, we repeated the ThT assay with Aβ1-42 and found similar effects (Fig. 1D and E), suggesting that AChE variants will effect the oligomerization of both Aβ variants in vivo. Since both AChE-S and AChE-R are expressed in the human brain it was interesting to test the simultaneous effect of both variants. At five different molar ratios between AChE-S and AChE-R (1:1, 1:2, 2:1, 1:5 and 5:1), AChE-R exhibited a dominant inhibitory effect over AChE-S (Fig. 1F). Because AChE-S and AChE-R differ in their C-terminal domain, we predicted that this region may be at least partially responsible for the marked differences observed. We therefore pre-incubated AChE-R with a specific antibody against its unique C-terminus and found that masking this region abolished AChE-R’s ability to inhibit fibrils formation (Fig. 1G). Interestingly, while the pre-incubated AChE-R–antibody complex did not affect the reaction rate or the final fibrils yield, it prolonged the lag phase, suggesting that other domains (e.g. the peripheral active site) may also be involved (Fig. 1G).

Different oligomeric forms of Aβ vary in their effects on neuronal toxicity and learning and memory (Ross and Poirier, 2004; Lesne et al., 2006). Therefore, it was important to examine the specific stage of fibrils formation with which AChE-R interferes. To this end, we incubated Aβ1-40 with or without recombinant AChE-R, separated the soluble from insoluble fractions and analysed the kinetics of Aβ oligomerization. First, the soluble fraction was subjected to photo-induced cross-linking followed by SDS–PAGE and silver staining. This analysis revealed three distinct time frames in which AChE-R affects Aβ oligomerization differentially (Fig. 2A). For the first 5 h of incubation, Aβ dimers, tetramers and pentamers were produced with or without AChE-R. However, between the sixth and seventh hours of incubation, most of the light Aβ forms (up to 20 kDa, corresponding to Aβ pentamers) disappeared from the soluble samples including Aβ alone, yet were still clearly present when Aβ was incubated with AChE-R. After 20 h of incubation, no soluble oligomeric forms of Aβ were found regardless of the presence of AChE-R (Fig. 2A). This reflected the generation of insoluble fibrils, which became apparent from SDS–PAGE of the insoluble Aβ aggregates, resuspended in 8 M urea. Thus, after 6 and 7 h of incubation, the samples containing AChE-R included considerably less insoluble Aβ than those without it (Fig. 2B). By 20 h of incubation, no differences were found between the insoluble fractions with Aβ alone and with AChE-R, indicating that AChE-R delayed but did not completely inhibit the formation of heavy Aβ oligomers. Interestingly, after 20 h of incubation, intact AChE-R largely shifted from the soluble fraction (Fig. 2A) into the insoluble fraction (Fig. 2B), indicating its direct contact with the amyloid fibrils.

In addition to the full-length recombinant AChE-R, two shorter peptides are also detectable by silver staining.
Immuno-blot analysis using an antibody directed to the unique C-terminus of AChE-R confirmed the identity of these bands as an extended C-terminal AChE-R fragments (Fig. 2A). Importantly, unlike intact AChE-R, these peptides did not shift from the soluble fraction into the insoluble fraction, suggesting that interaction with the fibrils requires intact AChE-R. Together, these analyses suggested that AChE-R production might serve to attenuate amyloid fibrils formation and called for challenging this prediction in an in vivo context.

AChE-R reduces plaque burden in double transgenic APPsw/AChE-R mice
To test the ability of AChE-R to attenuate amyloid fibrils assembly in vivo, we generated double-transgenic APPsw/AChE-R mice by mating APPsw mice (Hsiao et al., 1996) with AChE-R overexpressing ones (Pick et al., 2006) and comparing their cortical plaque burden with that of single transgenic APPsw mice. First, we wished to verify that AChE-R levels are selectively higher in APPsw/AChE-R mice as compared with the APPsw single transgenics. Therefore, we fractionated hippocampal homogenates to a LS fraction, enriched with soluble AChE-R and a LSD fraction, enriched with membrane-anchored AChE-S. Predictably, AChE-R but not AChE-S activity was higher in APPsw/AChE-R mice (Fig. 3A and B). Nevertheless, APPsw and APPsw/AChE-R mice showed similar summed total AChE activity (364 ± 57 and 318 ± 105 nmol/min mg, respectively), suggesting that the overall cholinergic balance is maintained in these animals. Immuno-blot analysis further validated that AChE-R overexpression did not change APP levels in hippocampal homogenates (Fig. 3C). Compatible with our in vitro results, APPsw/AChE-R mice showed considerably lower cortical Aβ plaque burden than parent strain APPsw mice, as demonstrated by labelling with the 6E10 anti Aβ antibody, Congo red and thioflavin-S stainings (Fig. 3D and E, P<0.05, Mann–Whitney test in

Fig. 1 AChE-R attenuates while AChE-S facilitates Aβ fibrils formation in vitro. (A) Scheme: AChE-S and AChE-R are produced from alternatively spliced transcripts of the single ACHE gene. Exons 2, 3 and 4 (light grey) encode the common core of these variants. AChE-R mRNA includes pseudo-intron 4 (4’) and exon 5, whereas AChE-S mRNA includes exon 6. Their protein products deviate in their C-terminal domains. Kinetics of Aβ fibrils formation was monitored using thioflavin T (ThT) fluorescence over time. (B) Shown is dose dependent effect of recombinant AChE-S protein on Aβ1-40 fibrils formation. (C) Dose-dependent effect of recombinant AChE-R protein on Aβ1-40 fibrils formation. (D) Dose-dependent effect of recombinant AChE-S protein on Aβ1-42 fibrils formation. (E) Dose-dependent effect of recombinant AChE-R protein on Aβ1-42 fibrils formation. (F) Simultaneous presence of both AChE-S and AChE-R at different molar ratios. Note a dominant effect of AChE-R over AChE-S, resulting in inhibition of Aβ1-40 fibrils formation. (G) Pre-incubation of AChE-R with a specific antibody directed to the C-terminal domain (anti-R) results in loss of inhibitory effect.
Moreover, immuno-blotting of SDS-insoluble pellets demonstrated lower levels of insoluble Aβ in hippocampal homogenates of APPsw/AChE-R mice (Fig. 3F). This phenotype was a mirror image of that we previously observed in APPsw/AChE-S mice (Rees et al., 2005), substantiating our working hypothesis that these two AChE isoforms, which only differ in their C-terminal peptides (Meshorer and Soreq, 2006), exert inverse in vivo effects on Aβ fibrils formation both in vitro and in the mouse brain.

**AChE-R exerts in vivo and ex vivo neuroprotection from Aβ toxicity**

We next examined whether the reduction in Aβ plaque burden in APPsw/AChE-R mice reflected decreased neurotoxicity. The density of reactive astrocytes surrounding Aβ plaques, an indicator of the inflammatory reaction (Matsuoka et al., 2001), was quantified by assessing GFAP labelling. Fewer reactive astrocytes appeared in the vicinity of Aβ plaques in the frontal cortex of double-transgenic APPsw/AChE-R mice compared to their single-transgenic littermates (Fig. 4A and B, P < 0.05). Since Aβ plaques were shown to associate with dendritic abnormalities (Tsai et al., 2004), we further immunolabelled the microtubule-associated protein MAP2 as a marker of dendritic integrity. Single-transgenic APPsw mice showed reduced immuno-reactivity of frontal cortex MAP2, an effect which was
ameliorated in double-transgenic APPsw/AChE-R mice (Fig. 4C and D, P < 0.05). Importantly, GFAP labelling was significantly and positively correlated with plaque burden, whereas MAP2 labelling was inversely correlated with this hallmark (Fig. 4B and D, P < 0.05). This bimodal association validated the use of GFAP and MAP2 as biomarkers, suggesting that AChE-R overexpression actively delayed the Aβ-induced damages in the frontal cortex for over 75 weeks of age.

To yet more directly challenge the hypothesis that AChE-R suppresses Aβ neurotoxicity, synthetic Aβ1-40 was pre-incubated with or without recombinant human AChE-R, at a 1:100 ratio (AChE-R:Aβ), and mixtures were applied to cultures of SH-SY5Y neuroblastoma cells. Aβ, but not AChE-R increased lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) exclusion and decreased surviving cell counts (Fig. 4E and F). Importantly, when added to Aβ, AChE-R attenuated its toxic effects, sustaining control LDH exclusion values and cell survival as compared with Aβ effects (Fig. 4E and F).

Alzheimer’s disease patient hippocampi show increased AChE-R mRNA but reduced AChE-S protein levels

The finding that AChE-R promotes neuroprotection against Aβ-induced damages called for testing if the mRNA expression and/or levels of this protein change in the Alzheimer’s disease brain. We therefore examined the expression levels of AChE variants in human hippocampal tissues from Alzheimer’s disease patients and NDCs. First, we explored AChE expression at the mRNA level using real-time RT–PCR and FISH on hippocampal homogenates and paraffin sections. RT–PCR analysis showed that AChE-R mRNA constitutes ~7% of AChE-S mRNA levels in the hippocampus of NDCs (51921 ± 14312 and 3781 ± 686 copies/ng total RNA, respectively), close to the 1:20 ratio we previously observed in newborn brain by cDNA screening (Ben Aziz-Aloya et al., 1993). Importantly, we found an ~3-fold decrease in the level of the AChE-S mRNA variant (P < 0.05) which was accompanied by unchanged AChE-R mRNA levels in AD as compared with NDC hippocampi (Fig. 5A and B). Because RNA extracted from these tissue samples reflects a variety of hippocampal cell types, we further used variant-specific cRNA probes to label AChE variant mRNAs in hippocampal neurons. Given that different probes have unique hybridization characteristics, labelling intensity could only be compared between samples labelled with a specific probe. Extending the RT–PCR results, dentate gyrus (DG) neurons of Alzheimer’s disease patients revealed significantly reduced AChE-S mRNA levels (Fig. 5C and D, P < 0.05). However, unlike the RT–PCR tests, the FISH analysis detected a significant increase in AChE-R mRNA within DG neurons of Alzheimer’s disease patients (Fig. 5C and D, P < 0.05) as compared with its levels in NDCs. Similar effects were also observed in CA1 neurons (data not shown). Thus, AD hippocampal neurons produce less AChE-S mRNA but more AChE-R mRNA as compared with matched controls.
Second, we measured AChE activity in hippocampal homogenates to determine the total hydrolytic activities of all AChE variants. An ~30% reduction was predictably found in AChE activity in our Alzheimer’s disease samples compared to NDCs (Fig. 6A). Extraction of AChE-S enriched, LS detergent hippocampal fraction, confirmed that this reduction mainly results from reduced AChE-S levels. Thus, the activity of AChE-S was compatibly reduced by 20% in Alzheimer’s disease samples. Immuno-blot analysis of hippocampal homogenates using polyclonal antibodies that capture all AChE variants (Fig. 6, scheme) further confirmed parallel reduction protein level, while demonstrating several faster migrating bands reflecting apparent proteolysis of hippocampal AChE (Fig. 6B). Importantly, an antibody selective for the AChE-R variant, revealed a drastic reduction of intact AChE-R levels, to about 20% of NDC levels (Fig. 6C, P < 0.01). Additionally, the anti-AChE-R antibody selectively detected substantially shortened AChE-R fragments in the hippocampus of Alzheimer’s disease patients. Several of these fragments migrated similarly to those observed with the common antibody, suggesting that AChE-R in the Alzheimer’s disease hippocampus is particularly susceptible to the disease-associated activation of proteases in the Alzheimer’s disease brain (Saito et al., 1993; Su et al., 2001). Together, these results demonstrated increased neuronal AChE-R mRNA levels, which could potentially lead to overproduction of this neuroprotective protein by alternative splicing shift from the AChE-S form to AChE-R. However, protein analysis showed almost completely diminished AChE-R protein in the Alzheimer’s disease brain, suggesting apparent malprotection.

Discussion

Alternative splicing serves to increase the repertoire of protein products from single genes (Maniatis and Tasic, 2002). Often, splice variants of specific genes play inverse roles in cell fate and function (Shin and Manley, 2004). Our current study demonstrates that this is the case also for
AChE splice variants, in the context of their inverse roles in Alzheimer’s disease neuropathology. Compatible with previous findings, we found facilitated amyloid fibrils formation by AChE-S (Inestrosa et al., 1996; Rees et al., 2005). However, unlike AChE-S, we observed physiologically relevant and dose-dependent inhibition of Aβ fibrils formation by AChE-R in vitro, ex vivo and in vivo, in double-transgenic APPsw/AChE-R mice. Moreover, AChE-R limited the cellular toxicity of Aβ, reduced cortical astrocytes activation and protected dendritic integrity, all inverse to the capacity of AChE-S to increase the neurotoxic effect of Aβ (Alvarez et al., 1998). Finally, we observed hippocampal loss of AChE-R in Alzheimer’s disease patients, suggesting physiological relevance for Aβ neuropathology in the Alzheimer’s disease brain. The two splice variants of AChE therefore exert multileveled inverse effects on both Aβ fibrils formation and neurotoxicity. Of note, the observed increase of AChE-R mRNA by in situ hybridization of hippocampal neurons and not by RT–PCR of the entire tissue suggests that this increase may only take place within neurons.

In mammals, AChE-R overexpression is induced by multiple stress stimuli as well as by aging (Mehler and Soreq, 2006). Accordingly, we found an increase in the neuronal levels of AChE-R mRNA in Alzheimer’s disease hippocampi, compatible with the stress and anxiety involved in Alzheimer’s disease progression (Masugi et al., 1989; Green et al., 2006). In addition, neuronal increase of AChE-R mRNA may also result from a feedback mechanism following the degradation of the AChE-R protein and/or other Alzheimer’s disease-related processes.

However, to fully exert its protective effects, AChE-R mRNA must yield the intact AChE-R protein. This does not occur in the Alzheimer’s disease brain: unlike normal aging, the AChE-R protein is substantially downregulated in Alzheimer’s disease, suggesting that an Alzheimer’s disease-related process is responsible. It is noted worthy that both AChE-R mRNA and the AChE-R protein are less stable than the corresponding AChE-S mRNA and AChE-S protein (Chen et al., 1998; Cohen et al., 2003). Therefore, alternative 3’ splicing of the AChE pre-mRNA transcript likely operates to increase the levels of the neuroprotective AChE-R but the protein product does not accumulate, likely due to exacerbated proteolysis. Interestingly, AChE fragments corresponding in size to those found in Alzheimer’s disease hippocampal homogenates were also detected, albeit faintly, in NDCs by both anti-AChE and anti-AChE-R antibodies. However, a drastic increase in the immunoreactivity of these fragments was only detected by the anti-AChE-R antibody. Therefore, it appears that selective proteolysis of the AChE-R protein, which accounts for roughly 10% of total AChE, is induced in the Alzheimer’s disease hippocampus. Moreover, it has been previously shown in a 1-year follow-up study (Darreh-Shori et al., 2004) that untreated Alzheimer’s disease patients exhibit a selective decline in AChE-R levels over time.

Therefore, preliminary evidence suggests that the decline in AChE-R levels correlates with the disease progression. In the same study, rivastigmine administered for 1 year induced CSF AChE-R expression and a higher AChE-R/ AChE-S ratio correlated with sustained cognition and improved behaviour in these Alzheimer’s disease patients. Together, this supports the role of AChE-R as a neuroprotective agent. Interestingly, tacrine treatment resulted in a general upregulation of AChE, suggesting that AChE inhibitors exert different effects on the expression of specific AChE variants and that inhibitors which selectively increase AChE-R levels may be more potent drugs operating in a dual mode of action.

Amyloid fibrils formation involves generation of different intermediates with distinct toxic effects, which precede the formation of mature fibrils and aggregates (Ross and Poirier, 2004; Lesne et al., 2006). Our cross-linking findings suggest that AChE-R interferes with the earliest stages of Aβ formation, providing the grounds for mechanistic explanation of the AChE-R-dependent reduction in Aβ toxicity. In these experiments, the AChE-R attenuation effect was limited in its duration. However, enforced increase of AChE-R by ~3-fold sufficed to reduce plaque burden in 75-week-old mice. This difference between the in vitro and in vivo outcome of AChE-R excess may be due to the distinct experimental conditions: In vitro, we used highly purified AChE-R and synthetic Aβ; in vivo, many other proteins could have affected fibrils formation.

AChE-S and AChE-R have identical enzymatic characteristics including $K_m$ (0.33 ± 0.092, 0.29 ± 0.035 for AChE-R and AChE-S, respectively) and substrate inhibition (Farchi et al., 2007). It is therefore expected that the different effects of AChE-S and AChE-R arise from non-enzymatic properties such as protein–protein interactions of their unique C-termini and/or their differential location in the synapse in vivo (membrane anchored and soluble, respectively).

Structural studies suggest that both AChE-S and AChE-R include the peripheral anionic site (PAS) reported to promote Aβ fibril formation (Alvarez et al., 1998). However, they differ in their C-termini, suggesting that this region is responsible for the variant-specific effects on fibrils formation. For comparison, the AChE-homologous enzyme BChE, exerts a similarly effective attenuation of Aβ fibrils formation via its C-terminal domain, in a process involving an impaired amphipathicity due to a protruding aromatic tryptophan residue (Diamant et al., 2006). AChE-R’s C-terminus includes two tryptophan residues that may be functionally involved in Aβ fibril interactions (Wang and Hecht, 2002; Gazit, 2005). However, the C-terminal peptide of BChE likely resumes a helical structure, whereas the C-terminal peptide of AChE-R is a naturally unfolded peptide (Circular dichroism spectroscopy, data not shown). Further research will be required to determine the structure resumed by this naturally unfolded peptide when interacting with Aβ.
That overexpression of AChE-R protects neurons from both aging (Sternfeld et al., 2000) and Aβ-induced neuropathologies, and that it elevates hippocampal long-term potentiation (Nijholt et al., 2004) supports the notion that the selective loss of AChE-R has a pivotal role in the Aβ-induced toxicity in AD. Thus the ability of AChE-R to protect against aging-dependent neurodeterioration also in mice lacking amyloid pathology further suggests that AChE-R can protect neurons by additional mechanism(s) apart from delaying Aβ fibrils formation. Compatible with this hypothesis, AChE-R excess also protects dopaminergic neurons from MPTP neurotoxicity (Ben-Shaul et al., 2006). Therefore, AChE-R loss may contribute by itself to neuronal toxicity in Alzheimer’s disease. Failure to overproduce AChE-R at need is also likely to impair cognitive stress reactions (Meshorer and Soreq, 2006). Supporting this suggestion, transgenic downregulation of AChE-R results in failure to terminate neuronal stress reactions accompanied by impaired dendritic formation (Sklan et al., 2006).

Taken together, our findings reveal a novel linkage between the cholinergic system and amyloid pathology while changing the common understanding of AChE’s involvement in Alzheimer’s disease. The inverse in vitro, ex vivo and in vivo effects of the AChE-S and AChE-R splice variants on amyloid fibril formation, further suggest alternative splicing-induced differences as the underlying mechanism for these distinct effects. Our findings of a neuroprotective effect of AChE-R may further foreshadow a putative future therapeutic treatment involving the AChE-R protein.
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