Positive feedback loop via astrocytes causes chronic inflammation in virus-associated myelopathy
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Human T-lymphotropic virus type 1-associated myelopathy/tropical spastic paraparesis (HAM/TSP) is a rare neurodegenerative disease characterized by chronic inflammation in the spinal cord. We hypothesized that a positive feedback loop driven by chemokines may be responsible for the chronic inflammation in HAM/TSP. We aimed to determine the identity of these chemokines, where they are produced, and how they drive chronic inflammation in HAM/TSP. We found that patients with HAM/TSP have extraordinarily high levels of the chemokine CXCL10 (also known as IP-10) and an abundance of cells expressing the CXCL10-binding receptor CXCR3 in the cerebrospinal fluid. Histological analysis revealed that astrocytes are the main producers of CXCL10 in the spinal cords of patients with HAM/TSP. Co-culture of human astrocytoma cells with CD4+ T cells from patients with HAM/TSP revealed that astrocytes produce CXCL10 in response to IFN-γ secreted by CD4+ T cells. Chemotaxis assays results suggest that CXCL10 induces migration of peripheral blood mononuclear cells to the central nervous system and that anti-CXCL10 neutralizing antibody can disrupt this migration. In short, we inferred that human T-lymphotropic virus type 1-infected cells in the central nervous system produce IFN-γ that induces astrocytes to secrete CXCL10, which recruits more infected cells to the area via CXCR3, constituting a T helper type 1-centric positive feedback loop that results in chronic inflammation.
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Introduction

The rise of chronic inflammatory disorders has prompted researchers to reconsider the classical concept of inflammation, which dates back to ancient Roman times when inflammation was first defined as redness, swelling, heat and pain in response to injury or infection. In general, inflammation is an adaptive immune response to tissue malfunction that ideally neutralizes the source of the disturbance and restores tissue homeostasis. Paradoxically, a prolonged state of inflammation has been implicated in the pathogenesis of various diseases characterized by the loss of homeostasis, such as autoimmune diseases, cancers and neurodegenerative diseases (Libby, 2002; Mantovani et al., 2008; Medzhitov, 2008, 2010). To produce effective therapies for these debilitating disorders, we must first elucidate the mechanisms by which this maladaptive chronic inflammatory state develops.

Although there are many chronic inflammatory disorders for which the initiating trigger is ill-defined or unknown, human T-lymphotropic virus type 1 (HTLV-1)-associated myelopathy/tropical spastic paraparesis (HAM/TSP) is a rare neurodegenerative chronic inflammatory disease clearly caused by HTLV-1 retroviral infection (Gessain et al., 1985; Osame et al., 1986). In other words, the HTLV-1-infected cells in patients with HAM/TSP represent a useful starting point from which to investigate the origins of chronic inflammation.

HTLV-1 infects 10–20 million people worldwide, some of whom develop serious conditions such as adult T cell leukemia (Hinuma et al., 1981) and up to 2–3% of whom develop the debilitating inflammation in the spinal cord that characterizes HAM/TSP (Gessain et al., 1985; Osame et al., 1986). Evidence has accumulated to support the theory that infected CD4+ T cells (as opposed to infected neuronal cells or non-infected peripheral blood mononuclear cells) are primarily responsible for this transition to the HAM/TSP disease state: HTLV-1 primarily infects CD4+ T cells (Richardson et al., 1990); levels of infected CD4+ T cells circulating in the blood of patients with HAM/TSP are higher than those in the blood of asymptomatic carriers (Nagai et al., 1998; Yamano et al., 2002), the levels in the CSF surrounding the spinal cord are higher still (Nagai et al., 2001a); and these infected CD4+ T cells have also been detected in the spinal cord lesions themselves (Moritoyo et al., 1996; Matsuoka et al., 1998). There are many cell types capable of producing an inflammatory response upon contact with viral antigens, and it is true that the cases where these antigen-specific cells are most abundant are indeed in patients with HAM/TSP, but there is a large range of overlap in which patients with HAM/TSP and asymptomatic carriers have the same amount of antigen-specific cells in their peripheral blood mononuclear cells (Jacobson et al., 1990; Jeffery et al., 1999; Kubota et al., 2000; Yamano et al., 2002). Therefore, we hypothesized that their presence may not be the key factor that determines a patient’s fate to experience the disease or not, and that perhaps there might be another cell type responsible for initiating the chronic inflammation in HAM/TSP through a more unique pathway. Research shows that infected CD4+ T cells are indeed capable of migrating across the blood–brain barrier into the CNS (Furuya et al., 1997) and secreting proinflammatory cytokines such as interferon-gamma (IFN-γ) (Hanon et al., 2001; Yamano et al., 2005, 2009). We guessed that these cells might even be capable of producing IFN-γ spontaneously due only to intracellular activation of transcription factors by the invading HTLV-1 virus, which has been shown to be capable of such potent effects (Waldmann, 2006).

Studies have indicated that among the CD4+ T cell subtypes, immune responses by CD4+ T helper type 1 (Th1)-like cells may be dominant in patients with HAM/TSP (Goon et al., 2002; Narikawa et al., 2005), leading to the theory that the Th1 axis should be the primary focus in the study of HAM/TSP. These Th1 cells express both the CC chemokine receptor type 5 (CCR5) and CXC motif receptor 3 (CXCR3), which respond to the presence of CC motif ligand (CCL) 3, 4 and 5 and CXC motif ligand (CXCL) 9, 10 and 11, respectively. These ligands are chemokines, a subclass of cytokines that stimulate directed chemotaxis in responsive cells, and it is known that chemokine receptor–ligand interactions play an important role in recruiting immune cells to inflammatory sites (Luster, 1998; Qin et al., 1998). Of particular interest are the CXCR3 agonists, which are regulated by the aforementioned proinflammatory cytokine IFN-γ and carry this relationship in the alternative nomenclature: monokine induced by gamma interferon (MIG/CXCL9), IFN-γ-inducible protein 10 (IP-10/CXCL10), and interferon-inducible T cell alpha chemoattractant (I-TAC/CXCL11) (Proost et al., 2001, 2003). We and others have shown that CCL5, CXCL9, and especially CXCL10, are elevated in the CSF of patients with HAM/TSP (Teixeira et al., 2004; Narikawa et al., 2005; Tanaka et al., 2008; Sato, in press).

We hypothesized that these chemokines play a key role in the pathogenesis of HAM/TSP by recruiting more cells infected with HTLV-1 to the inflammation site and potentially initiating a positive feedback loop. We first compared the levels of several chemokines in the serum and CSF of patients with HAM/TSP and asymptomatic carriers and found that CXCL10 was the most closely associated with known features of HAM/TSP pathogenesis, namely increased CSF cell count. We then analysed samples of peripheral blood mononuclear cells and CSF cells along with images of the spinal cord tissue to demonstrate that CD4+ cells expressing CXCL10-binding CXCR3, namely cells of the Th1 subtype, are indeed infected with HTLV-1, do migrate across the blood–brain barrier into the CNS, and do produce IFN-γ in patients with HAM/TSP. We demonstrated that this IFN-γ production can occur in the absence of external stimuli. Immunohistochemical analysis of the spinal cord tissue not only confirmed that CXCL10 production is elevated in patients with HAM/TSP but also revealed that astrocytes may be the main producers of CXCL10 in the spinal cord. We used novel techniques to demonstrate that these astrocytes likely represent the missing piece of the puzzle in the positive feedback loop: infected CD4+ T cells produce IFN-γ, which stimulates astrocytes to produce CXCL10, which recruits more CD4+CXCR3+ Th1 cells to the CNS. Finally, chemotaxis assays were used to compare the inhibitory potentials of anti-CXCL10 and anti-CXCR3 neutralizing antibodies on this positive feedback loop as the first step toward the development of an effective therapy.
Materials and methods

Patient selection and sample preparation

Written informed consent was obtained from all patients before the study, which was reviewed and approved by the Institutional Ethics Committee (St. Marianna University) and conducted in compliance with the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki. The study included 26 HTLV-1 non-infected healthy donors (14 females and 12 males; mean age, 49 years), 29 asymptomatic carriers (21 females and eight males; mean age, 50 years), 17 patients with adult T cell leukaemia with no history of chemotherapy (eight females and nine males; mean age, 68 years), and 58 patients with HAM/TSP (47 females and 12 males; mean age, 62 years). Diagnosis of adult T cell leukaemia was based on the criteria established by Shimoyama (1991). HTLV-1 seropositivity was determined by a particle agglutination assay (Serodia-HTLV-1) and confirmed by western blot (SRL Inc.). HAM/TSP was diagnosed according to WHO guidelines (Osame, 1990).

Samples of peripheral blood mononuclear cells were prepared using density gradient centrifugation (Pancoll; PAN-Biotech) and viably cryopreserved in liquid nitrogen with freezing medium (Cell Banker 1; Mitsubishi Chemical Medien Corporation). Plasma and serum samples were obtained from 16 healthy donors, 26 asymptomatic carriers, 30 patients with HAM/TSP and 14 patients with adult T cell leukaemia (six smouldering type and eight chronic type). Multiple serum and CSF samples were taken within a 1-h window for each of 32 patients with HAM/TSP. A Fuchs–Rosenthal chamber (Hauser Scientific Company) was used for CSF cell counts, after which the cells were isolated by centrifugation and cryopreserved in the aforementioned freezing medium. A medulla oblongata tissue sample from one patient with HAM/TSP as well as thoracic spinal cord tissues from four patients with HAM/TSP and six control individuals with no spinal cord lesions (numbered controls 1–6; one female and five males; mean age, 67 years) were obtained post-mortem, fixed in 10% formalin, and centrifuged and cryopreserved in the aforementioned freezing medium (Cryostor, PAN-Biotech). Tissue sections were deparaffinized in xylene and rehydrated in a series of ethanol solutions (95%, 85%, 75%, and 50% in distilled water) and then washed twice with distilled water before staining. The cryopreserved samples were taken within a 1-h window for each of 32 patients with HAM/TSP and were fixed in 10% formalin, centrifuged, and cryopreserved in liquid nitrogen with freezing medium (Cell Banker 1; Mitsubishi Chemical Medien Corporation). The samples were then cultured for an additional 24 h before collecting the culture supernatants.

Measurement of chemokines, IFN-γ, IL-17A and sIL-2 receptor

The concentrations of four chemokines (CCL4, CCL5, CXCL9 and CXCL10) in the serum and CSF samples and levels of CXCL10, IFN-γ and IL-17A in the culture supernatants were measured by a cytometric bead array kit (BD Biosciences) using a FACSCalibur flow cytometer (BD Biosciences) according to the manufacturer's instructions. It should be noted that the cytometric bead array kit measures the total concentrations of all chemokine isoforms irrespective of any terminal variation (Proost et al., 2001, 2003). The sIL-2R in the serum was measured using an ELISA (Cell-free N IL-2R, Kyowa Medex).

Flow cytometric analysis

Peripheral blood mononuclear cells and CSF cells, which were obtained on the same day, were immunostained with various combinations of the following fluorescence-conjugated antibodies: anti-CD3 (UCHT1), anti-CD4 (OKT4), anti-CD8 (RPA-T8), anti-CD19 (HI919), anti-CD14 (61D3) (all from eBioscience), and anti-CXCR3 (1C6; BD Biosciences). The cells were stained with a saturating concentration of antibody in the dark (4°C, 30 min) and washed twice before analysis using FACS Canto II (BD Biosciences). Data were processed using FlowJo software (TreeStar). For cell sorting, JSAN (Bay Bioscience,) was used, and the purity exceeded 95%.

Real-time polymerase chain reaction

The HTLV-1 proviral DNA load was measured using ABI Prism 7500 SDS (Applied Biosystems) as described previously (Yamano et al., 2002). In brief, DNA was extracted and 100 ng samples were analysed per well. The proviral DNA load was calculated using the following formula: copy number of HTLV-1 (pX) per 100 cells = (copy number of pX) / (copy number of β-actin / 2) × 100.

Tissue staining

Formalin-fixed thoracic spinal cord and medulla oblongata tissue sections were deparaffinized in xylene and rehydrated in a series of
graded alcohols and distilled water. The antigenicity of the tissue sections was recovered using a standard microwave heating technique. For immunohistochemistry, the slides were incubated with anti-CXCL10/IP-10 antibody, followed by detection with streptavidin–biotin–horseradish peroxidase and diaminobenzidine (DakoCytomation Japan Co. Ltd.). The CXCL10+ cells in the spinal cord were also counted under the microscope; the data show the mean number of cells in three random 1-mm² fields per sample. Haematoxylin and eosin staining was conducted to detect inflammatory cells that had invaded the tissue samples. For immunofluorescence (thoracic spinal cord sections only), the slides were incubated in phosphate-buffered saline with 10% goat serum for 1 h at room temperature, in anti-CXCR3 antibody (Abcam), anti-CXCL10/IP-10 antibody (Santa Cruz Biotechnology), and anti-glial fibrillary acidic protein (GFAP) antibody (DakoCytomation Japan Co. Ltd) overnight at 4°C, labelled with Alexa Fluor 488 or Alexa Fluor 594 conjugated secondary antibody (Invitrogen), and examined under a fluorescence microscope (Nikon eclipse E600 with fluorescence filter Nikon F-FL; Nikon Instech) with rabbit or mouse immunoglobulin G (IgG) as the negative control.

**Chemotaxis assay**

Peripheral blood mononuclear cells from patients with HAM/TSP were washed and then suspended (at 1 x 10⁶ cells/ml) in 37°C serum-free RPMI 1640 medium containing 1 mg/ml bovine serum albumin (Wako Pure Chemical Industries, Ltd.), hereafter ‘chemotaxis medium’. The lower wells of a 96-well chemotaxis chamber (MBA96; Neuroprobe) were filled with chemotaxis medium containing 0.25 µg/ml recombinant human CXCL10 protein (266-IP; R&D Systems). For the negative control, the lower wells were filled with only the chemotaxis medium. For chemotaxis assays using neutralizing monoclonal antibodies, peripheral blood mononuclear cells were pretreated (room temperature, 30 min) with 10 µg/ml of anti-CXCL10 antibody (MAB266; R&D Systems), 10 µg/ml of anti-CXCR3 antibody (MAB160; R&D Systems), or 10 µg/ml of isotype control antibody (MAB002; R&D Systems). A polyvinylpyrrolidone-free micropore polycarbonate filter (PFDS5; Neuroprobe) with 5-µm pores was placed over the lower chamber. The upper wells were filled with 1 x 10⁶ peripheral blood mononuclear cells in 100 µl of chemotaxis medium. The chamber was incubated for 120 min at 37°C in a humidified 5% CO₂ atmosphere. After incubation, the fluid in the lower chambers was collected and cell counts were determined using FACSCalibur. To compare results across all chemotaxis assays, a chemotactic index was calculated using the following formula (Nie et al., 2009):

Chemotactic index = (number of migrated cells in a test sample well)/(number of migrated cells in a negative control well)

To determine the inhibitory effect of neutralizing antibodies, an inhibitory efficiency scale was calculated using the following formula:

Inhibitory efficiency (% inhibition) =

\[
\frac{[(\text{chemotactic index of isotype control}) - 1] - [(\text{chemotactic index of neutralizing antibody}) - 1]}{[(\text{chemotactic index of isotype control}) - 1]} \times 100
\]

**Proliferation assay**

The migrating cells in the lower chamber after the chemotaxis assay were collected and washed with RPMI 1640 medium supplemented with 5% foetal bovine serum and 1% penicillin/streptomycin. Those cells were then plated on 96-well round-bottom plates and cultured in the same medium without any mitogenic stimuli in 5% CO₂ at 37°C. Cell proliferation was measured using a ³H-thymidine incorporation assay as described previously (Yamano et al., 2009).

**Statistical analysis**

Correlation analysis was assessed using Spearman’s rank test. The paired t-test was used for within-group comparisons, and the t-test or the Mann–Whitney U-test was used for comparisons between groups. One-way ANOVA was used for multiple comparisons followed by Tukey’s test. The Friedman test was used for paired multiple comparisons, followed by the Dunn test. Statistical analyses and graphs were performed using Graphpad Prism 5 and Prism statistics (GraphPad Software, Inc), and statistical significance was set at P < 0.05.

**Results**

**Significantly higher levels of cerebrospinal fluid CXCL10 compared with serum CXCL10 in patients with HAM/TSP**

To determine whether the aforementioned chemokines were involved in the migration of cells to the CNS, we first compared the levels of these chemokines with CSF cell counts in patients with HAM/TSP (n = 29). CSF cell counts significantly correlated with levels of CXCL10 and CXCL9 but not with those of CCL5 or CCL4, the negative control (Fig. 1A). In addition, the correlation was clearly stronger with CXCL10 than with CXCL9. Following this, we compared the CSF and serum levels of these chemokines. Interestingly, only CXCL10 levels were higher in the CSF than the serum, although serum CXCL10 levels were also high to some extent (Fig. 1B, P < 0.0001). Next, to investigate whether these high CXCL10 levels were a HAM/TSP-specific phenomenon within HTLV-1-associated disorders, we tested for a correlation between CXCL10 and soluble interleukin-2 receptor (sIL-2R), a marker for adult T cell leukaemia (Yasuda et al., 1988). As expected, serum sIL-2R levels were the highest in patients with adult T cell leukaemia. By contrast, plasma CXCL10 levels were significantly higher in patients with HAM/TSP than in those with adult T cell leukaemia, asymptomatic carriers or healthy donors (Supplementary Fig. 1A). This higher concentration of plasma CXCL10 in patients with HAM/TSP was observed even when compared to asymptomatic carriers with equivalently high proviral loads (Supplementary Fig. 1B).

**Existence of abundant CXCR3+ cells in the spinal cords of patients with HAM/TSP**

Because CXCL10 is a ligand of CXCR3, we investigated the possibility of CXCL10 recruiting proinflammatory CXCR3+ cells into the CSF by measuring the presence of CXCR3+ cells in the CSF and spinal cord lesions of patients with HAM/TSP (Fig. 2A–C). Flow cytometric analysis revealed that the average percentage of
CXCR3+ cells among CSF cells was 92.4 ± 7.0%, whereas the average percentage of CXCR3+ cells among peripheral blood mononuclear cells was 9.9 ± 8.2% (P < 0.0001, Fig. 2B). Immunofluorescence staining revealed abundant CXCR3+ cell infiltrate around small vessels in the leptomeninges of spinal cord lesions in patients with HAM/TSP (Fig. 2C). We examined the types of CXCR3+ cells in the CSF using flow cytometry and found that CSF CXCR3+ cells mainly consist of CD3+ cells (>90%) and small populations of CD14+ and CD19+ cells (Fig. 2D, left). Uniquely, the percentage of CXCR3+ cells was extremely high in all CSF cell populations under study, especially CD4+ (94.33 ± 2.95%), CD8+ (98.64 ± 1.05%), and even CD14+ (84.97 ± 18.49%) and CD19+ (76.38 ± 17.35%) cells (Supplementary Fig. 2). Our data show that the ratio of CD4+ to CD8+ cells in the CSF was ~1:1 in patients with HAM/TSP (Fig. 2D, right). In both these cell populations, the rate of CXCR3 positivity was higher in CSF than in peripheral blood mononuclear cells (Supplementary Fig. 2). The percentage of CXCR3+ cells in peripheral blood mononuclear cells of patients with HAM/TSP was lower than those in peripheral blood mononuclear cells of asymptomatic carriers as well as healthy donors; however, there were no significant differences between patients with adult T cell leukaemia and patients with HAM/TSP (Supplementary Fig. 3A). This lower percentage of CXCR3+ cells in patients with HAM/TSP was observed even when compared with asymptomatic carriers with equivalently high proviral loads (Supplementary Fig. 3B). Finally, to support our hypothesis that HTLV-1-infected T cells (the majority of which are known to be CD4+) migrate from the circulating blood to the spinal cord tissue through CXCL10–CXCR3 interaction, we confirmed that there does exist a subset of peripheral CD4+ CXCR3+ T cells infected with HTLV-1 (Fig. 2E).

**Numerous CXCL10-producing cells in inflamed spinal cords of patients with HAM/TSP**

To quantitatively compare the level of expression of CXCL10, we microscopically counted the number of CXCL10+ cells in the spinal cord tissue and found a larger number of CXCL10+ cells in the spinal cord lesions of patients with HAM/TSP than in control patients (Fig. 3A, P = 0.0095). In addition, we compared tissue sections from the thoracic spinal cord (a region of high inflammation) and the medulla oblongata (comparatively very low inflammation) from a single patient with HAM/TSP, and we observed a much larger CXCL10 presence in the thoracic spinal cord region (Supplementary Fig. 4).

**Astrocytes as the main producers of CXCL10 in the spinal cords of patients with HAM/TSP**

To identify which cell populations are the main CXCL10 producers, we immunostained thoracic spinal cord tissues from patients with
Figure 2 Abundant CXCR3+ cells in the CSF and spinal cord tissue of patients with HAM/TSP. (A) Representative dot plots of CD3 and CXCR3 expression in peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs, left) and CSF cells (right) from a patient with HAM/TSP measured using flow cytometry. (B) Comparison of the percentages of CXCR3+ cells in peripheral blood mononuclear cells and CSF cells, samples of which were obtained from 12 patients with HAM/TSP such that all samples from a given patient were taken within a 1-h window of the first sample taken from that patient. Statistical analysis was performed using the paired t-test. See also Supplementary Fig. 2. (C) Representative images of immunofluorescent detection of CXCR3, shown in green (upper panels), and haematoxylin-eosin (HE) staining for inflammatory cells, shown in blue (lower panel), in the thoracic spinal cords of patients with HAM/TSP. Rabbit IgG antibody used as the negative control (NTC). (D) Left: Percentages of CD3+, CD19+, and CD14+ cells in CSF cells derived from patients with HAM/TSP (n = 6). Right: Percentages of CD4+ cells and CD8+ cells. Statistical analysis was performed using the Mann–Whitney U-test. Error bars represent the mean ± SD. (E) The HTLV-1 proviral DNA loads of CD4+ CXCR3+ T cells with peripheral blood mononuclear cells as the control. This result confirms the non-negligible existence of HTLV-1-infected CD4+ CXCR3+ T cells, which may migrate to the CNS. Cells are from patients with HAM/TSP (n = 5). Statistical analysis was performed using the paired t-test.
HAM/TSP \((n = 4)\) and control individuals \((n = 6)\). CXCL10-positive staining was mainly observed in star-shaped cells with extensive and radiating cytoplasmic processes, indicating that CXCL10 is expressed in activated astrocytes in the thoracic spinal cord of patients with HAM/TSP (Fig. 3B). We also used immunofluorescence to confirm that CXCL10 is mainly expressed in astrocytes (GFAP \(^+\) cells) (Fig. 3C).

**Co-culture with CD4\(^+\) T cells from patients with HAM/TSP enhances CXCL10 production in U251 human astrocytoma cells**

CXCL10, also known as an IFN-\(\gamma\)-inducible protein 10, is mainly produced in response to IFN-\(\gamma\) stimulation (Muller et al., 2010). We used this fact to investigate the events leading to CXCL10 production by astrocytes in the spinal cords of patients with HAM/TSP. First, we compared the capacities of several purified cell populations within peripheral blood mononuclear cells to produce IFN-\(\gamma\) spontaneously, i.e. without any stimulation. We found that CD4\(^+\) T cells exhibited the highest production of IFN-\(\gamma\) among peripheral blood mononuclear cells isolated from patients with HAM/TSP, and CD4\(^+\) T cells from patients with HAM/TSP produced more IFN-\(\gamma\) than those from asymptomatic carriers (Fig. 4A and B left). No peripheral blood mononuclear cells isolated from healthy donors displayed any detectable level of IFN-\(\gamma\) production (data not shown). Interestingly, CD4\(^+\) T cells from patients with HAM/TSP did not produce IL-17A, a proinflammatory cytokine known to play a key role in the pathogenic inflammatory response that characterizes multiple sclerosis (Fig. 4B, right) (Matusevicius et al., 1999). Next, we used a co-culture system to confirm that CD4\(^+\) T cells induce astrocytes to produce CXCL10 by releasing IFN-\(\gamma\). CD4\(^+\) T cells from patients with HAM/TSP induced CXCL10 production in U251 astrocytoma cells in a cell number-dependent manner (Fig. 4C), whereas CD4\(^+\) T cells from healthy donors did not induce CXCL10 production (data not shown). Importantly, in the presence of anti-IFN-\(\gamma\) neutralizing antibodies, the supernatant from HAM/TSP patient CD4\(^+\) T cell cultures stimulated significantly less CXCL10 production in U251 cells (Fig. 4D).

**Chemotaxis of peripheral blood mononuclear cells from patients with HAM/TSP due to CXCL10 and inhibition of chemotaxis by anti-CXCL10 neutralizing antibodies**

To investigate the potential role of CXCL10 or CXCR3 as a therapeutic target for inhibiting the migration of proinflammatory cells into the CNS, we assessed whether neutralizing antibodies against CXCL10 or CXCR3 could inhibit the migration of peripheral blood mononuclear cells in patients with HAM/TSP through the use of an in vitro chemotaxis assay system. Human CXCL10 increased the chemotactic activity of peripheral blood mononuclear cells from patients with HAM/TSP by \(\sim 1.7\)-fold (Fig. 5A). Compared with isotype control monoclonal antibodies, the chemotactic activity due to CXCL10 was inhibited by anti-CXCL10 neutralizing antibodies (Fig. 5A; 65.9% inhibition, \(P < 0.01\)) but not by anti-CXCR3 antibodies (Fig. 5A; 9.2% inhibition, \(P > 0.05\): not
significant). Next, we investigated whether or not this decreased migration would also be reflected in the absolute number of HTLV-1-infected cells among migrated cells. Chemotaxis assays revealed that the addition of human CXCL10 (0.25 μg/ml) increased the absolute number of HTLV-1-infected cells by 2.1-fold (Fig. 5B) compared with isotype control monoclonal antibodies, and that this increase was largely inhibited by anti-CXCL10 neutralizing antibodies (Fig. 5B; 101.1% inhibition, P < 0.01) but only slightly by anti-CXCR3 antibodies (Fig. 5B; 65.7% inhibition, P > 0.05; not significant). Finally, we evaluated the degree to which the migrated cells were proliferating spontaneously, where spontaneous proliferation is defined as proliferation in the absence of exogenous antigens or stimulants (Itoyama et al., 1988; Ijichi et al., 1989). Significantly less 3H-thymidine uptake, an assay for cell proliferation, was detected in the lower chemotaxis assay chamber following administration of anti-CXCL10 antibody than isotype control antibodies (Fig. 5C; 33.8% inhibition, P < 0.05).

**Discussion**

Previous studies of HAM/TSP pathogenesis have revealed that chronic inflammation occurs in the spinal cords of patients with HAM/TSP (Saito and Bangham, 2012; Yamano and Sato, 2012);
However, the precise mechanisms by which these inflammatory lesions are formed and maintained remain unclear. We hypothesized that a positive feedback loop driven by chemokines may be responsible for the chronic inflammation associated with HAM/TSP. We identified CXCL10 as the principal chemokine responsible for inducing this chronic inflammation. We found for the first time astrocytes to be the main producers of CXCL10. Our data suggest that these astrocytes are stimulated to produce CXCL10 initially by IFN-γ released by infected T cells, where the infection appears to have produced changes in the cells that promote spontaneous IFN-γ production. In short, we inferred that spinal cord lesions found in patients with HAM/TSP arise when IFN-γ produced by HTLV-1-infected T cells induces astrocytes to secrete CXCL10, which attracts CXCR3+ T cells, including more T cells infected with HTLV-1, thereby continuing the cycle. Furthermore, we demonstrated that an interruption of this pathway represents a promising strategy for treating HAM/TSP.

First, we identified the key chemokine involved in inducing the migration of cells to sites of inflammation. We compared the CSF and serum levels of several chemokines and demonstrated for the first time that CXCL10 is the only chemokine of those studied that is present at a significantly higher concentration in the CSF than in the sera of patients with HAM/TSP. Although previous reports indicate that CCL5 and CXCL9 levels are also elevated in the CSF of patients with HAM/TSP, we showed that these two chemokines exhibit a concentration gradient in the opposite direction. Peripheral blood mononuclear cells used were collected from patients with HAM/TSP; n = 7. Error bars represent the mean ± SD. Statistical analyses were performed using the Friedman test followed by the Dunn test for multiple comparison. NS = not significant. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001. NTC = negative control; Cont Ab = isotype control monoclonal antibody; α-CXCL10 Ab = anti-CXCL10 monoclonal antibody; α-CXCR3 Ab = anti-CXCR3 monoclonal antibody.
In the present study, we found a positive correlation between CSF CXCL10 levels and CSF cell counts (Fig. 1A), a high percentage of CXCR3-positive cells in the CSF (Fig. 2A and B; 92.4 ± 7.0%), and perivascular accumulation of CXCR3+ cells in spinal cord lesions of patients with HAM/TSP (Fig. 2C). These results strongly indicate that a high concentration of CXCL10 in the spinal cord attracts CXCR3+ cells that include proinflammatory cells (Qin et al., 1998; Sallusto et al., 1998; Thomas et al., 2003). Intriguingly, the percentage of CXCR3+ cells among peripheral blood mononuclear cells from patients with HAM/TSP was significantly lower than that observed in asymptomatic carriers and healthy donors, but not patients with adult T cell leukaemia (Supplementary Fig. 3). CXCR3+ peripheral blood mononuclear cells are relatively few in patients with adult T cell leukaemia, perhaps because of an increase in CCR4+CXCR3+ tumour cells in the peripheral blood (Ishida et al., 2003). Although the precise mechanism by which peripheral CXCR3+ cells in patients with HAM/TSP become diminished remains unclear, we believe that many of these cells migrate into the CNS and contribute to the formation of spinal cord lesions. Other possible mechanisms include migration to lymphoid organs such as lymph nodes or the spleen. Because lymph nodes are important organs for CXCL10–CXCR3 interactions in patients suffering from various diseases (Groom et al., 2012; Sung et al., 2012), future studies analysing the lymph nodes of patients with HAM/TSP may provide a more complete understanding of HAM/TSP pathogenesis.

The discovery that CXCL10–CXCR3 interactions represent an important pathway for recruiting cells to the CNS in patients with HAM/TSP prompted us to search the spinal cord lesions of patients with HAM/TSP and identify the CXCL10-producing cells. Firstly, we confirmed that CXCL10-producing cells are more numerous in the spinal cords of patients with HAM/TSP than control individuals (Fig. 3A). We also compared high and low inflammatory regions within a single patient with HAM/TSP and found more CXCL10-producing cells in the more inflamed region (Supplementary Fig. 4), although the limitation of sampling from only a single individual prevents us from extrapolating too freely on the significance of this result. Although CXCL10 is secreted by several cell types such as monocytes, endothelial cells, fibroblasts and astrocytes in response to IFN-γ (Luster and Ravetch, 1987; Lee et al., 2009), our study demonstrated that astrocytes are the major CXCL10-producing cells in thoracic spinal cord lesions in patients with HAM/TSP (Fig. 3). Notably, the astrocytes examined in this study were star-shaped with radiating cytoplasmic processes, indicating high cytological activity (Fig. 3B and C). In the CNS, CXCL10 is mainly produced by astrocytes; however, CXCL9 is primarily a product of microglial cells (Muller et al., 2010). Therefore, the finding that CXCL10 production is substantially higher than CXCL9 production in the CSF (Fig. 1) suggests that astrocytes are very active in HAM/TSP. This finding supports a previous finding that gliosis is one of the main pathological features of HAM/TSP (Iwasaki, 1990; Izumo et al., 1992).

Next, we investigated the mechanism by which astrocytes produce CXCL10 in patients with HAM/TSP. CXCL10 is generally not detectable in most non-lymphoid tissues under physiological conditions; however, its synthesis is easily induced by cytokines, particularly IFN-γ. Therefore, it was important to determine the source of IFN-γ that stimulates astrocytes to produce CXCL10 in patients with HAM/TSP. Interestingly, we have shown that CD4+ T cells from patients with HAM/TSP spontaneously produce IFN-γ and induce CXCL10 production by U251 human astrocytoma cells via IFN-γ (Fig. 4), whereas CD4+ T cells from healthy donors do not induce CXCL10 production (data not shown). These results support the hypothesis that there are interactions between HTLV-1-infected CD4+ T cells and astrocytes in patients with HAM/TSP in vivo that may possibly initiate the first wave of CXCL10 production. Moreover, this CXCL10 production may further induce the trafficking of peripheral CXCR3+ T cells. Importantly, we demonstrated that a number of peripheral CXCR3+ T cells are infected with HTLV-1 (Fig. 2E), indicating that migration of peripheral CXCR3+ T cells into the CNS can induce further secretion of IFN-γ that continues the vicious cycle. In fact, HTLV-1-infected CD4+ T cells and IFN-γ-producing T cells have been detected in HAM/TSP spinal cord lesions (Umehara et al., 1994; Morotoyo et al., 1996; Matsuoka et al., 1998). Notably, more than half of the CXCR3+ T cells in the CSF of patients with HAM/TSP are CD8+ T cells (Fig. 2D). It has been shown that CD8+ cytotoxic T lymphocytes (CTLs), particularly HTLV-1-specific CTLs, have a high potential for secreting IFN-γ (Kubota et al., 2000; Hanon et al., 2001) and are abnormally elevated in the CSF and spinal cord lesions (Nagai et al., 2001a, b; Matsuura et al., 2010). Therefore, CXCL10 production by astrocytes may further boost the trafficking of CXCR3+-infected CD4+ T cells as well as CXCR3+CD8+ CTLs that secrete IFN-γ, leading to a positive feedback-driven chronic inflammatory loop. The results of the present study and other studies show that the pathology of HAM/TSP is unique among immune disorders. Unlike other inflammatory disorders such as multiple sclerosis or rheumatoid arthritis that exhibit Th17 as well as Th1 involvement (Matusевичius et al., 1999; Kirkham et al., 2006), HAM/TSP pathogenesis appears to be dominated by the Th1 axis, particularly CXCL10–CXCR3 interactions. Our research indicates that the characteristics of HTLV-1-infected T cells may be responsible for the emphasis on the Th1 axis in HAM/TSP pathogenesis. We have reported that cultured CD4+ T cells from patients with HAM/TSP clearly exhibit detectable production of IFN-γ (a Th1 cytokine) but not IL-17 (a Th17 cytokine) (Fig. 4B), and we previously demonstrated that HTLV-1-infected T cells in patients with HAM/TSP exhibit elevated IFN-γ and reduced IL-17 production (Yamano et al., 2009). Furthermore, HAM/TSP peripheral blood contained more CXCL10 (Supplementary Fig. 1B) and fewer CXCR3+ cells (Supplementary Fig. 3B) than asymptomatic carrier blood, suggesting that a greater number of CXCR3+ cells had migrated out of the periphery due at least in part to chemotaxis induced by elevated CXCL10 production in the CNS. As the proviral loads of all the samples used in the above experiment were roughly identically high, it can be assumed that these characteristics are indeed features of HAM/TSP pathogenesis as opposed to simple consequences of having a high proviral load.

We suspect that a genetic predisposition for higher IFN-γ or CXCL10 production in response to HTLV-1 may exist. Recently, systems biology approaches were used to show that a subset of IFN-γ-stimulated genes, including the gene encoding CXCL10, is overexpressed in peripheral blood mononuclear cells of patients with HAM/TSP compared with asymptomatic carriers.
(Tattermusch et al., 2012). It will be important to test for an association between genetic polymorphisms in interferon-associated genes and the presence of HAM/TSP in future studies. The existence of this genetic predisposition would strengthen the argument for Th1-dominance and explain why some infected individuals develop HAM/TSP, whereas others remain life-long asymptomatic carriers. Because it is well-known that interferons and products of interferon-stimulated genes mediate antiviral responses (Randall and Goodbourn, 2008), IFN-γ and CXCL10 production in HTLV-1-infected patients (Supplementary Fig. 1) may be considered a normal immune response. However, once the production levels surpass threshold and a CXCL10–CXCR3 amplification loop develops, it may begin to cause tissue damage. Possible reasons for CXCL10 overproduction in HAM/TSP include the presence of a high number of HTLV-1-infected T cells (Nagai et al., 1998; Yamano et al., 2002) and a genetic predisposition for higher IFN-γ and/or CXCL10 production in response to HTLV-1.

The ideal therapeutic strategy for treating HAM/TSP would be eradication of HTLV-1-infected cells, but this has yet to be proven possible. Another promising approach would be a receptor blockade using anti-CXCR3 neutralizing antibody, which has been reported to be effective at blocking CXCR3 activity (Van den Steen et al., 2008). Although we were unable to validate this effect using our commercially available antibody, this certainly does not rule out a receptor blockade as a therapeutic candidate. Our relative success at disrupting inflammatory cell migration using anti-CXCL10 neutralizing antibodies (Fig. 5) suggests that targeting CXCL10 to interrupt the positive feedback loop may be the more promising new strategy for effectively treating HAM/TSP. A noteworthy potential advantage of anti-CXCL10 over anti-CXCR3 is that it may yield less severe side effects as only interactions with CXCL10 rather than all CXCR3 agonists would be blocked.

In conclusion, our data revealed novel insights into the pathogenic processes of HAM/TSP. Our results suggest that CXCL10 plays a pivotal role in the development of chronic inflammatory lesions where HTLV-1-infected T cells produce IFN-γ, which induces astrocytes to secrete CXCL10. This further boosts the trafficking of CXCR3+ infected T cells that secrete IFN-γ, leading to a virus-induced CXCL10–CXCR3 inflammatory loop. Thus, HAM/TSP represents a pathological consequence of interactions that occur between the immune system and CNS. Understanding these complex interactions should provide new insights into the functional regulation of both systems and help uncover new therapeutic targets.
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