The neural basis of impaired self-awareness after traumatic brain injury
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Self-awareness is commonly impaired after traumatic brain injury. This is an important clinical issue as awareness affects long-term outcome and limits attempts at rehabilitation. It can be investigated by studying how patients respond to their errors and monitor their performance on tasks. As awareness is thought to be an emergent property of network activity, we tested the hypothesis that impaired self-awareness is associated with abnormal brain network function. We investigated a group of subjects with traumatic brain injury (n = 63) split into low and high performance-monitoring groups based on their ability to recognize and correct their own errors. Brain network function was assessed using resting-state and event-related functional magnetic resonance imaging. This allowed us to investigate baseline network function, as well as the evoked response of networks to specific events including errors. The low performance-monitoring group underestimated their disability and showed broad attentional deficits. Neural activity within what has been termed the fronto-parietal control network was abnormal in patients with impaired self-awareness. The dorsal anterior cingulate cortex is a key part of this network that is involved in performance-monitoring. This region showed reduced functional connectivity to the rest of the fronto-parietal control network at ‘rest’. In addition, the anterior insulae, which are normally tightly linked to the dorsal anterior cingulate cortex, showed increased activity following errors in the impaired group. Interestingly, the traumatic brain injury patient group with normal performance-monitoring showed abnormally high activation of the right middle frontal gyrus, putamen and caudate in response to errors. The impairment of self-awareness was not explained either by the location of focal brain injury, or the amount of traumatic axonal injury as demonstrated by diffusion tensor imaging. The results suggest that impairments of self-awareness after traumatic brain injury result from breakdown of functional interactions between nodes within the fronto-parietal control network.
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Introduction

Traumatic brain injury (TBI) frequently produces impairments of awareness (Prigatano and Altman, 1990; Vanderploeg et al., 2007). These can range from coma to more subtle problems with self-awareness, which are common in the chronic stages of the disease (Prigatano and Altman, 1990; Fleming et al., 1996; O’Keeffe et al., 2007). Persistently impaired self-awareness can be a major clinical problem as it potentially limits rehabilitation (Sherer et al., 2005), and is associated with poor functional outcomes (Sherer et al., 2003; O’Keeffe et al., 2007). An objective measure of self-awareness can be obtained by studying patients’ abilities to monitor and respond to their own errors (Hart et al., 1998; O’Keeffe et al., 2004; Modirrousta and Fellows, 2008; Ornstein et al., 2009). Healthy adults normally rapidly identify and correct their own errors (Rabbitt, 1966, 1968), whereas impairments in performance monitoring are common after TBI (Lanson et al., 2007; Ornstein et al., 2009).

Recent work has clarified the brain regions involved in performance monitoring (Ullsperger and von Cramon, 2004; Ham et al., 2012). Structures within the medial prefrontal cortex, particularly the dorsal anterior cingulate cortex, respond to many types of errors (Dehaene et al., 1994; Ridderinkhof et al., 2004; Sharp et al., 2006) and seem to be necessary for rapid on-line error processing (Modirrousta and Fellows, 2008). The dorsal anterior cingulate cortex is tightly linked, both structurally and functionally, to the anterior insulae, which together are often referred to as the salience network (Seeley et al., 2007; Ullsperger et al., 2010; Ham et al., 2013). These regions also form part of a larger fronto-parietal control network (FPCN), which includes bilateral inferior frontal gyri and inferior parietal lobes (Vincent et al., 2008; Spreng, 2012). Activity within the salience network is linked post-error slowing (Li et al., 2008), and we have previously shown that changes in the interactions between the dorsal anterior cingulate cortex and insulae correlate with the magnitude of this behavioural adaptation (Ham et al., 2013). One prominent theory proposes that the insulae encode neural representations of aspects of the ‘self’. This is updated moment-to-moment by input from the dorsal anterior cingulate cortex, allowing the integration of motivation, social and cognitive processing (Craig, 2009).

Right frontal and parietal lobe damage after stroke commonly produces spatial neglect (Husain et al., 1997; Corbetta and Shulman, 2011). This is associated with reduced interaction between frontal and parietal regions (functional connectivity), consistent with awareness being an emergent property of long-distance interactions between brain regions (Mesulam, 1990; Taylor, 1997; He et al., 2007). In contrast, relatively little is known about the neural basis of impaired self-awareness after TBI. The location and extent of focal brain injuries are not reliable predictors of self-awareness impairment (Sherer et al., 2005). This may reflect the importance of network disruption as a causative mechanism, which until recently has been difficult to study (Sharp and Ham, 2011; Ham and Sharp, 2012). The one previous functional MRI study provides preliminary evidence that self-awareness impairment after TBI may be associated with abnormal activity in the right prefrontal cortex (Schmitz et al., 2006).

We investigated for the first time whether self-awareness deficits are associated with network dysfunction after TBI. Two groups of patients with high and low levels of performance monitoring (high-PM/low-PM) were carefully defined on the basis of their ability to correct errors made on a simple cognitive task. This measure has previously provided a robust ‘on-line’ measure of performance monitoring (O’Keeffe et al., 2007; Modirrousta and Fellows, 2008). Given the prominence of dorsal anterior cingulate cortex and insulae responses to errors, their key role within an extended FPCN, and the importance of functional disconnection in the aetiology of spatial neglect, we predicted that a breakdown of functional connectivity within the FPCN would be observed in patients with impaired performance-monitoring. Therefore, we focused our analysis on the FPCN, and tested the specific hypothesis that impaired performance monitoring is associated with altered functional connectivity within the FPCN. Advanced multi-modal neuroimaging allowed investigation of the structure and function of this network in the absence of a specific task (‘rest’), and during error processing. Functional MRI was used to measure the interactions between nodes in these networks and their response to errors. Diffusion tensor imaging and structural MRI were used to assess the integrity of brain regions and structural connections within the networks.

Materials and methods

Patients demographic and clinical details

Seventy-three patients with TBI were recruited from a neurology clinic where they had been referred for persistent neurological symptoms. Patients with a range of injury severities were recruited to provide variability of cognitive disabilities. Ten patients were excluded: two had distorted magnetic resonance images; six could not perform the cognitive tasks adequately; and two had premorbid psychiatric illness. The 63 patients included in the main analysis (46 males, mean age 38.0 ± 12 years, range 18–66 years) were in the post-acute/chronic phase post-injury (mean 29 ± 74 months, range 2–578 months) (see Supplementary Table 1 for clinical details).

TBI severity was assessed according to the Mayo Classification (Malec et al., 2007). This integrates the duration of loss of consciousness; length of post-traumatic amnesia; lowest recorded Glasgow coma scale in the first 24h; and neuroimaging. Using this system 89% (56 patients) were classified moderate/severe; 8% (five patients) mild (probable); and 3% (two patients) symptomatic (possible) TBI. All patients with impaired performance monitoring were of moderate/severe severity. Exclusion criteria included neurosurgery, except for invasive intracranial pressure monitoring (n = 1); psychiatric or
neurological illness before their head injury; significant previous TBI; current or previous drug or alcohol abuse; or contraindication to MRI. Subjects had no neurological, major medical or psychiatric disorders before TBI. All participants gave written consent. Hammersmith and Queen Charlotte’s and Chelsea research ethics committee approved the study.

Standard clinical imaging

Patients were assessed using standard T₁ and T₂ FLAIR MRI, and gradient echo imaging to improve sensitivity to micro-bleeds, a surrogate marker of diffuse axonal injury (Scheid et al., 2003). A senior neuroradiologist reviewed MRI scans. Abnormalities on initial CT imaging or follow-up MRI were seen in 89% of patients. Of the 51 initial CT reports available, 56% had at least one contusion; 53% had skull fractures; 33% had subarachnoid haemorrhages; 25% had subdural haematomas; 24% had extra-dural haematomas; and 6% had evidence of cerebral oedema. As expected, many patients had multiple abnormalities on standard clinical MRI performed for the study (Supplementary Table 1).

Neuropsychological assessment

A battery of neuropsychological tests assessed the type of cognitive dysfunction commonly experienced after TBI. This included assessment of premorbid intelligence, current verbal and spatial reasoning, processing speed and verbal fluency (Supplementary material). A subset of patients (n = 39) completed the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale assessments. Both patients and their relatives completed the Frontal Systems Behaviour questionnaire (Grace et al., 1999). The Frontal Systems Behaviour questionnaire provides a measure of pre-TBI and post-TBI dysexecutive symptoms assessed by the patient and their relative. The disparity between observers’ and patients’ scores provides a ‘meta-cognitive’ measure of self-awareness (Reid-Arndt et al., 2007), which we compared to the ‘on-line’ measure derived from the stop-change task (see below).

Experimental overview

A high-level description of our methods is provided in Fig. 1.

Control groups

Separate control groups were used for separate branches of the study (Fig. 1). One group of control subjects was used to define the FPCN and a visual network in an unbiased way (see below) (19 subjects, 12 male, mean age 24.5 ± 2.75 years). A further four groups were used to assess: (i) neuropsychological function (20 subjects, 11 male, mean age 35.75 ± 11 years); (ii) stop-change task behaviour (11 subjects, four males, mean age 30.4 ± 7.7 years) (Fig. 1A); (iii) resting state functional connectivity and diffusion tensor imaging analyses.
(24 subjects, 16 males, mean age 36.2 ± 10.2 years) (Fig. 1B and D); and (iv) stop-signal task functional MRI (25 subjects, 17 males, mean age 34.8 ± 9.6 years) (Fig. 1E).

**Behavioural assessment: stop-change task**

Performance monitoring was investigated behaviourally using the stop-change task, which was performed outside the scanner to define with high-PM and low-PM groups (Fig. 1A). The stop-change task is a timed choice-reaction task where subjects respond to an arrow cue with either a right or left button press (Bekker et al., 2005; Verbruggen and Logan, 2008). On an unpredictable subset of trials subjects are signalled to withhold their initial response and respond with the alternate button press by a switch in the direction of the arrow (change-trials) (Fig. 2A). The delay between the initial presentation of the arrow and the change of its direction varied using a staircase procedure to produce an error rate of ~50% in each subject. If subjects fail to inhibit their initial response they are instructed to correct themselves by subsequently pressing the correct button (Supplementary material). This correction response provides a rapid on-line performance-monitoring measure, as it will only be made if subjects are aware of making an error. Patients were split into low-PM and high-PM groups based on the number of errors they corrected on the stop-change task compared to healthy control subjects (see ‘Results’ section).

**Structural and functional magnetic resonance imaging acquisition**

Anatomical and functional MRI was acquired using standard procedures (Supplementary material). The majority of patients were assessed in two sessions: session one involved task-based functional MRI, acquired whilst they performed the stop-signal task; session two involved resting-state functional MRI, T1, gradient echo and diffusion tensor imaging. During the resting-state functional MRI patients were instructed to close their eyes and try to relax.

**Functional connectivity analysis of ‘resting’ brain networks**

We used a dual regression, independent component analysis to study functional connectivity in resting-state functional MRI (Zuo et al., 2010) (Fig. 1C and D). This provides a voxel-wise measure of functional connectivity that reflects the correlation between the activity of each voxel and the rest of the network being tested. The first step involved generating reference brain networks based on 19 healthy control subjects who were not included in subsequent analyses. A temporal concatenation independent component analysis was performed on the healthy control subjects’ resting-state data, with the number of components constrained to facilitate comparison with previous work (Damoiseaux et al., 2006). The probabilistic independent component analysis output included 11 well-recognized brain networks, as well as components that represented physiological noise and movement artefacts (Beckmann et al., 2005; Smith et al., 2009) (see Supplementary Fig. 1 for individual networks). Because of its involvement in performance monitoring we focused our analysis on the FPCN component, which contained the dorsal anterior cingulate cortex and anterior insulae as well as other frontal and parietal regions (Vincent et al., 2008; Spreng et al., 2010) (Supplementary Fig. 1A and Supplementary Table 3). In addition, we investigated a visual network, where we did not expect to see major functional abnormalities, to demonstrate that any effects were specific to the FPCN. The visual network included occipital regions and regions within the dorsal and ventral visual pathways in the superior parietal and inferior temporal lobes (Supplementary Fig. 1B and Supplementary Table 3).

Functional connectivity was compared in the two patient groups and a control group as follows: (i) the networks chosen from the independent component analysis were used as weighted seed regions to derive individual time courses for those regions for each subject; and (ii) these time courses were then re-regressed onto each subject’s data resulting in a subject-specific spatial map of functional connectivity (Filippini et al., 2009). Spatial maps were tested for voxel-wise between-group differences using non-parametric permutation testing (Smith et al., 2004). Voxel-wise estimates of the probability of grey matter membership intensity were included as a covariate to control for the effects of atrophy and cortical damage associated with TBI. To correct for multiple comparisons, results were cluster corrected using threshold-free cluster extraction and a family-wise error rate of <0.05.

In addition to the voxel-wise analyses, regions of interest were used to probe the relationship between performance-monitoring and functional connectivity in theoretically motivated nodes of the FPCN (Fig. 1D). To avoid bias, we used peaks of the independent component analysis derived networks defined from the young control subjects. Peaks from the FPCN and visual network were defined as the dorsal anterior cingulate cortex, right insula, and left insula. The visual network was used to define regions in bilateral occipital lobe and precuneus.

**Stop-signal task**

We investigated error processing in patients with high and low performance-monitoring abilities in a subgroup of 48 patients using functional MRI analysis of the stop-signal task (37 males, mean age 35.7 ± 10.9, range 18–54 years) (Fig. 1E). The stop-signal task allowed us to investigate phasic responses to behaviourally salient events. For methodological reasons we did not use the stop-change
task in the scanner. When subjects are aware of an error on the stop-change task and correct it, they make two motor responses. In contrast, when subjects are unaware of an error they make only one response. The effect of different numbers of motor responses on the FPCN and other networks cannot be easily separated in functional MRI studies. Therefore, in the scanner we used a simpler variant of the stop-change task, the stop-signal task (Logan et al., 1984) (Fig. 2B). The stop-signal task is designed to produce a fixed number of errors in all subjects, and we have previously used it to investigate attention, response inhibition and error processing in healthy control subjects and patients with TBI (Sharp et al., 2010; Bonnelle et al., 2011, 2012). Like the stop-change task, an arrow signals the direction of response on go-trials. On an unpredictable subset of trials, a stop-signal appears and subjects have to withhold but not change their response (stop-trials) (Supplementary material). Like the stop-change task the delay between the appearance of the arrow and the stop-signal varied to produce errors on ~50% of trials. Unlike the stop-change task, the stop-signal task only requires response inhibition, rather than response inhibition and correction.

Functional magnetic resonance imaging analysis of the stop-signal task

Standard functional MRI analysis was performed using FMRIB Software Library (Supplementary material). Lesion maps were used to improve brain registration to standard space (Brett et al., 2001). The following contrast images were generated: incorrect stop-signal versus go-trials and correct stop-signal versus go-trials. The two stop-signal task runs were first analysed separately and then combined by using fixed effects analysis. Group effects were analysed by using FLAME (Oxford Centre for Functional MRI of the Brain (FMRIB) Local Analysis of Mixed Effects). Mean changes in activation patterns were then compared between groups. The final statistical images were thresholded by using Gaussian random field-based cluster inference with a height threshold of Z > 2.3 and a cluster significance threshold of P < 0.05. Individual grey matter density maps were included in the FMRI Expert Analysis Tool (FEAT) GLM as a confound regressor (Supplementary material) (Oakes et al., 2007).

Structural lesion analysis

We next investigated whether the pattern of focal injury or traumatic axonal injury related to impaired self-awareness (Fig. 1B).

Focal lesions

Cortical lesions were defined manually on high-resolution T1 images using FSLview. White matter and cortical lesions were registered to a standard MNI 152 T1 1 mm template using FLIRT (Jenkinson and Smith, 2001; Jenkinson et al., 2002). Lesion overlap images were then created and compared using FSLmaths.

Structural connectivity: diffusion tensor imaging

Diffusion tensor imaging analysis was performed to test whether self-awareness problems after TBI were associated with white matter damage within the FPCN. Sixty-four direction diffusion tensor images were acquired (Supplementary material) and standard diffusion tensor imaging preprocessing methods were used with the FMRIB software library (Smith et al., 2004). We then used probabilistic tractography to create masks of the white matter tracts connecting peak regions within the reference networks. Tracts within the FPCN connected dorsal anterior cingulate cortex to right and left insula and tracts within the visual network connected the right and left precuneus to the respective lateral occipital cortices (Supplementary material). The white matter skeleton produced by Tract Based Spatial Statistics (Smith et al., 2006) constrained the analysis of white matter to the central parts of white matter tracts. Although this results in an incomplete assessment of the white matter, it provides major methodological advantages such as minimizing partial-volume errors. We have shown that constraining the sampling of white matter damage by the white matter skeleton more accurately estimates white matter integrity after TBI than alternative approaches (Squarcina et al., 2012). Using these masks, translated into each subject’s brain space, fractional anisotropy values for each tract of interest were determined.

Results

Using the stop-change task to define self-awareness

During the stop-change task subjects were instructed to correct any perceived errors, providing an explicit measure of ‘on-line’ performance monitoring. Our control group consistently corrected their errors during the stop-change task (98.4 ± 0.96%), demonstrating that errors on this task are usually easily identified and corrected. In contrast, patients with TBI were highly variable in the proportion of errors they corrected. Most patients (n = 40) had a similar range to control subjects for error correction (<2 standard deviations (SD) from the mean of the control group). These patients formed the high-PM group. In contrast, a significant minority of patients (n = 23) performed outside this range, correcting significantly fewer errors than control subjects (68.8 ± 5.6%). These patients formed our low-PM group (Fig. 3A).

The Low-PM group showed abnormal performance on other aspects of the stop-change task in addition to the number of errors they corrected. The time taken for the low-PM group to correct an error was significantly slower than the high-PM group (420 versus 278 ms, t = 5.73, df = 61, P < 0.001) and control subjects (420 versus 250 ms; t = 4.30, df = 32, P < 0.001); as was the reaction time on go-trials compared to high-PMs (533 versus 387 ms; t = 6.34, df = 61, P < 0.001) and control subjects (533 versus 400 ms; t = 3.47, df = 31, P = 0.002). Intra-individual variability of reaction times on go-trials was also significantly greater in the low- than the high-PM group (0.227 versus 0.174; t = 4.33, df = 61, P < 0.001) and control subjects (0.227 versus 0.155; t = 3.78, df = 32, P = 0.001). In contrast, the high-PM group did not differ significantly from control subjects in any of these measures. These results are consistent with impaired performance monitoring being part of a more general attentional deficit in the low-PM group.

In other ways, the high- and low-PM groups were similar. The groups performed equally well on tests of memory, verbal and non-verbal reasoning. Both groups had similar scores on the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale questionnaire assessment of anxiety and depression. There was also no significant difference between groups in: (i) age, [low-PM 39.4 ± 12.8 years (range 20–67 years) versus high-PM 37.3 ± 11.6 years (range 18–56 years)]; (ii) time since injury [low-PM 46.9 ± 115.3 months (range 2–563 months) vs. control 12.8 years (range 20–67 years)].
versus high-PM 19.7 ± 30.1 months (range 2–168 months)); or (iii) injury severity (low-PM 100% moderate/severe versus high-PM 82.5% moderate/severe). There were significantly more males in the high-PM group than the low-PM group (59% versus 82%, \( \chi^2 = 5.0, df = 1, P = 0.025 \)) (Supplementary Table 1). The subgroup of patients (n = 48) who had functional MRI consisted of low-PM (n = 18) and high-PM patients (n = 30). These groups were also matched for age, gender, time since injury, and injury severity.

**Post-error slowing on the stop-change task**

Importantly, the low-PM group did not show post-error slowing after errors they failed to correct. This supports the inference that low-PM patients were unaware of their uncorrected errors. Conversely, compared to the high-PM group they showed greater post-error slowing after correct errors (86 versus 48 ms; \( t = 2.94, df = 59, P = 0.005 \)), and a trend towards greater post-error slowing compared with control subjects (86 versus 49 ms; \( t = 1.79, df = 29.7, P = 0.084 \)) (Supplementary material). The increase in post-error slowing after corrected errors indicates that the low-PM group were engaged in the task, and were able to appropriately adapt to errors if they were initially recognized. More generally, the low-PM group also performed more poorly than the high-PM group and control subjects on several tasks of processing speed and executive function (Supplementary Table 2).

**Impaired error processing is a marker of more general self-awareness problems**

The Frontal Systems Behaviour questionnaire provided additional evidence that the low-PM group had a general impairment of self-awareness (Fig. 3B). Both patient groups reported similar levels of disability on the Frontal Systems Behaviour questionnaire. However, the carers of the low-PM group made more severe assessments of severity than the carers of high-PM patients (\( P = 0.01 \)), providing evidence for a general impairment of self-awareness in the low-PM group.

**Impaired performance monitoring is associated with reduced functional connectivity within the fronto-parietal control network**

The low-PM group showed reduced functional connectivity within the FPCN, compared with the high-PM group and control subjects. Whole-brain analysis comparing patient groups showed the dorsal anterior cingulate cortex, right inferior frontal gyrus, and right middle frontal gyrus were significantly less functionally connected to the rest of the FPCN in the low-PM group (Fig. 4A and Supplementary Table 4). A targeted region of interest analysis also assessed the main nodes of the FPCN and visual network. This revealed that the low-PM group also showed reduced dorsal anterior cingulate cortex functional connectivity compared with control subjects (\( t = -2.3, df = 45, P < 0.028 \)), although this result was not significant on whole-brain analysis (Fig. 4B). In contrast, no other nodes within the FPCN showed reduced functional connectivity in low-PM patients, and there were no abnormalities in the functional connectivity of the visual network on whole-brain or region of interest analysis (Fig. 4C).

**Stop-signal task functional magnetic resonance imaging analysis**

**Stop-signal task behavioural results**

Overall, the groups performed the tasks well. The low- and high-PM groups were equally accurate on stop (49.8% versus 52.0%)
and go-trials (97.8% versus 96.7%), and these accuracies were not significantly different to control subjects. Similar to their performances on the stop-change task, the low-PM group was slower (624 versus 460 ms; \( t = 4.37, \text{df} = 46, P < 0.001 \)), with greater intra-individual variability on go-trials compared with the high-PM group (0.210 versus 0.174; \( t = 2.58, \text{df} = 46, P = 0.013 \)) and control subjects (0.210 versus 0.170; \( t = 3.51, \text{df} = 40, P = 0.001 \)). Interestingly, the low-PM group showed greater post-error slowing following errors on the stop-signal task than the high-PM group (87 versus 32 ms; \( t = 3.01, \text{df} = 46, P = 0.004 \)) and control subjects (87 versus 25 ms; \( t = 3.06, \text{df} = 40, P = 0.004 \)). This was similar to the enhanced post-error slowing observed after corrected errors in the stop-change task, and suggests that the low-PM group was aware of at least a proportion of these errors.

**Figure 4** Impaired performance monitoring is associated with decreased functional connectivity of the dorsal anterior cingulate cortex.

(A) Comparison of the functional connectivity within the FPCN of the high-PM and low-PM groups. The image was thresholded using threshold free cluster enhancement (TFCE) (family-wise error correction <0.05). (B and C) Region of interest analyses comparing the functional connectivity of key regions within the FPCN (B), and control visual network (C) across the three subject groups. *P < 0.05 significant difference between the high and low-PM groups. **P < 0.05 significant difference between the low-PM and healthy control groups. Error bars indicate SEM. Regions of interest were the dorsal anterior cingulate cortex (dACC), right insula (RI), left insula (LI), left precuneus (LPRE), right precuneus (RPRE), left occipital lobe (LOCC) and right occipital lobe (ROCC).

**Impaired performance monitoring is associated with increased insulae activation after errors**

The direct contrast between neural activity on incorrect stop-trials and go-trials allows an investigation of the neural correlates of error processing. As expected, errors were associated with activation in a network of regions including the dorsal anterior cingulate cortex, bilateral insulae, the frontal poles, lateral prefrontal cortex, and supramarginal gyrus in all groups (Supplementary Fig. 2). Whole-brain analysis revealed that the low-PM group showed greater activation than control subjects in both bilateral insulae and parietal operculum in response to errors (Fig. 5A and Supplementary Table 4). A similar effect was seen when comparing low- and high-PM groups, although the increases in insula and parietal operculum activation were lateralized to the left hemisphere (Fig. 5B and Supplementary Table 4). These abnormal activity patterns overlapped with the FPCN defined from analysis of ‘resting’ functional MRI (blue background Fig. 5). Dorsal anterior cingulate cortex activation after errors was similar across the groups. No regions showed increased activity in the high-PM group or control subjects compared with the low-PM group after errors.

**Normal performance monitoring after traumatic brain injury associated with increased activation in the right middle frontal gyrus**

The contrast of incorrect stop-trials and go-trials showed the high-PM group had greater activation than control subjects in the right
Successful response inhibition is associated with similar brain activity regardless of the level of performance monitoring

The neural correlates of successful response inhibition, rather than error processing, were studied by comparing correct stop-trials with go-trials. The high- and low-PM groups both showed increased activity in the posterior cingulate cortex/precuneus compared with control subjects. However, there was no significant difference in activity between patient groups. Comparison of go-trials to rest-trials revealed a predictable pattern of brain activation with bilateral sensory, motor, and superior parietal regions, as well as in the supplementary motor area, occipital regions and the putamen. Task related activation was similar for both patient groups and control subjects, no differences were seen on whole-brain statistical comparison between the groups, suggesting an absence of general, non-specific changes in brain physiology after TBI.

Lesion location and extent does not relate to performance-monitoring deficits

There was no obvious relationship between the location or extent of focal injuries and performance-monitoring ability. There were few locations where more than two patients in either group had common focal lesions (Fig. 6). Therefore formal statistical analysis of the relationship between lesion location and the likelihood of impaired performance monitoring was not possible. In the high-PM group the greatest overlap was $<1 \text{ cm}^3$ (964 mm$^3$), centred in the orbitofrontal cortex (peak $-2, -54, -26$), where 12.5% (5/40) of the subjects shared a lesion. In the low-PM group the greatest overlap was $\sim 3 \text{ cm}^3$ (3005 mm$^3$), centred in the right inferior temporal gyrus (peak $52, -59, -4$), where 8.7% (2/23) of the subjects shared a lesion. There was no difference in lesion volume between groups (low-PM 12 960 mm$^3$ versus high-PM 19 634 mm$^3$; $P = 0.67$). We also used diffusion tensor imaging measures of white matter integrity to investigate whether the fractional anisotropy of tracts connecting key regions of the FPCN and visual network were different between groups (i.e. dorsal anterior cingulate cortex to left and right anterior insulae, and bilateral precuneus to occipital cortex). In both patient groups, all tracts showed significantly greater white matter damage than control subjects. However, no tracts showed significantly different fractional anisotropy values between high- and low-PM groups.

Subanalysis of moderate/severe patients only

To exclude the possibility that wide variations in injury severity confounded any of the results, we repeated the analyses using only moderate/severe patients. This did not affect the composition of the low-PM group, but resulted in the exclusion of seven high-PM patients. The re-analysis did not significantly alter any of the results (Supplementary material).

Discussion

The neural basis for awareness has been unclear. Impaired awareness is common after TBI, and here we show that low self-awareness is associated with dysfunction within the FPCN. Awareness is likely to be an emergent property of interactions within large-scale distributed brain networks. In keeping with this hypothesis, we found that patients with impaired self-awareness showed reduced functional connectivity from the dorsal anterior cingulate cortex and other frontal regions to the rest of the FPCN. This change was accompanied by an abnormal response to errors within the anterior insulae, which are normally tightly linked to the dorsal anterior cingulate cortex. The results are in keeping with the proposal that interactions between the anterior insulae and dorsal anterior cingulate cortex support the internal monitoring important for self-awareness.
The functional abnormalities we observed within the anterior insulae and dorsal anterior cingulate cortex suggest that self-awareness may be particularly dependent on a sub-network within the FPCN, the salience network. A large body of literature supports an important role for the salience network in performance-monitoring (Oliveira et al., 2007), error detection (Ullsperger et al., 2010; Ham et al., 2012, 2013), and self-reflection (Johnson et al., 2002). Behaviourally salient events usually enter conscious awareness, and it has been proposed that the activity of the anterior insulae and its connections to the dorsal anterior cingulate cortex provide a neural substrate for awareness (Craig, 2009). In this model the dorsal anterior cingulate cortex and insulae interact to continually update ones representation of self. Our results are broadly in keeping with this as we have demonstrated a ‘baseline’ reduction in functional connectivity within the FPCN of low-PM patients. In particular, the dorsal anterior cingulate cortex is less coupled to other parts of the network including the anterior insulae.

Behaviourally important events normally trigger a tightly coupled response within the salience network, and the right anterior insulae seems to provide the networks driving input (Sridharan et al., 2008; Ham et al., 2013). Actions occur in the context of background brain activity, and these background fluctuations have been shown to influence behavioural responses and associated brain activity (Fox et al., 2006; Sharp et al., 2011), as well as to bias perceptual processing (Boly et al., 2007; Hesselmann et al., 2008). Therefore, it is not unexpected that abnormalities in the ‘baseline’ functional connectivity of one node of the FPCN might be associated with phasic abnormalities within another part of the network. At first glance an increased phasic activation in response to errors in the impaired patients may seem unexpected. However, evoked activation is often greater in a damaged system. In other contexts increased event-related functional MRI responses have been related to impaired or inefficient neural processing (Baltes, 1993; Cabeza et al., 2002; Ward et al., 2006). Therefore, we speculate that in the low-PM group: (i) there is a degree of sustained functional disconnection within the salience network, measured by the ‘resting’ functional connectivity; (ii) this results in inefficiency in the interaction between nodes in the network, including the anterior insulae and dorsal anterior cingulate cortex; leading to (iii) abnormally high phasic responses to within the anterior insulae to errors, which we have previously shown to provide the input to the salience network (Ham et al., 2013).

An important caveat in interpreting our event-related analysis of error processing is that it is unclear whether subjects were aware of their errors on the stop-signal task. We used the stop-change task to define patient groups because error correction on this task provides an explicit indication of awareness. However, for methodological reasons we used the stop-signal task, which is a simpler version of the stop-change task, in the neuroimaging part of the study. The stop-signal task does not involve an explicit correction of errors, but has the advantage that it provides a measure of performance monitoring not complicated by the initiation and execution of a second motor response. An indication that low-PM patients were aware of at least a proportion of the errors on the stop-signal task is provided by the post-error slowing data. When errors on the stop-change task were not rapidly corrected there was no post-error slowing, supporting our inference that the subjects were not aware of these errors. In contrast, when stop-change task errors were rapidly corrected, there was abnormally large post-error slowing. This demonstrates that subjects were...
capable of making adjustments to their behaviour, and that subjects were generally engaged by the task. During the stop-signal task post-error slowing was increased overall. This suggests that subjects were aware of at least a proportion of the errors they made. Therefore, it is likely that increased anterior insulae activity in response to errors in the low-PM group is related to inefficient processing of errors that, at least in some cases, reach conscious awareness.

In patients with preserved performance monitoring (high-PM group) we also observed abnormally high activity in the right middle frontal gyrus following errors. This enhanced activation may represent a ‘top–down’ attentional compensation that the low-PM patients might be unable to engage. In the context of spatial neglect patients, the deficit can be overcome, at least temporarily, by both externally (Robertson et al., 1998) and internally signalled changes in attention (Soto et al., 2009). The increased activity observed in the FPCN may be the neurological correlate of this top-down attentional drive in the high-PM group. In the low-PM group, prolonged reaction times and increased behavioural variability indicate broad attentional problems in addition to impaired performance monitoring. These general problems with engaging or maintaining attention may interact with more specific problems with performance monitoring to produce the behavioural deficits we observed.

We defined our patient groups on their ability to rapidly correct errors. Although this only measures one facet of self-awareness, low-PM patients also showed evidence of more generally impaired awareness. Self-awareness has been quantified in a number of ways (Fleming et al., 1996; O’Keeffe et al., 2007). Questionnaires have been widely used to identify discrepancies between assessments of disability made by the patient and others, providing a ‘meta-cognitive’ measure of self-awareness (O’Keeffe et al., 2007). Although useful, this type of assessment is limited by its subjective nature and the inconsistency of observer evaluations (Fleming et al., 1996). To control for these problems we used an ‘on-line’ measure of performance monitoring as the primary objective measure self-awareness (Hart et al., 1998; O’Keeffe et al., 2004). On-line measures of this type have previously been shown to vary with other measures of self-awareness such as questionnaire assessments (Hart et al., 1998; O’Keeffe et al., 2004, 2007). In our study, low-PM patients also showed evidence of ‘metacognitive’ impairments of awareness, which impacted on their behaviour.

Using the Mayo classification of TBI, all patients in the low-PM group had moderate/severe TBI. This classification system incorporates neuroimaging data, and as a result some of the low-PM patients would have been labelled as mild TBI using a more traditional approach to classification. The failure to monitor behaviour efficiently can be a disabling problem that influences many facets of recovery from TBI. For example, patients with impaired performance monitoring can be particularly difficult to rehabilitate (Sherer et al., 2005), as rehabilitation involves learning or re-learning skills, which requires accurate monitoring and appropriate behavioural adaptation in response to errors. In a related way, impairments of self-awareness also contribute to diminished capacity, which is common after TBI (Dreer et al., 2012; Martin et al., 2012). A failure to appreciate one’s disability will lead to an impaired ability to make accurate judgements, diminishing patients’ capacity (Triebel et al., 2012). Because of its widespread impact, understanding the neural basis for self-awareness impairments are clinically relevant, and may ultimately allow neural measures to complement behavioural assessments in these areas.

The differences between our patient groups were not the result of differences in the amount of structural brain injury. We did not find a relationship between impaired performance monitoring and either patterns of focal brain damage or more diffuse white matter injury. White matter damage was assessed using diffusion tensor imaging, which is a sensitive technique for investigating traumatic axonal injury (Sharp and Ham, 2011). As expected, our patients showed evidence of traumatic axonal injury, but contrary to our predictions this did not explain the performance-monitoring impairment. In particular, there was no relationship between the functional connectivity between FPCN nodes and structural damage to the white matter tracts connecting these nodes. We have previously shown that damage to the tract connecting the right anterior insulae to the dorsal anterior cingulate cortex/ pre-supplementary motor area is associated with attentional impairment (Bonnelle et al., 2012) but in the case of performance-monitoring we observed no significant relationship. This could be because our techniques are not sensitive enough to identify subtle damage to these tracts, or perhaps because impairments of self-awareness emerge in a complex way from the interactions of multiple brain networks and reflect the integrity of a large number of white matter tracts (Thompson and Varela, 2001; Ham and Sharp, 2012). Multivariate approaches to analysing traumatic axonal injury may be well suited to identifying these complex relationships (Hellyer et al., 2012). It is likely to be informative in future work to take a more comprehensive approach to analysing the structure/function relationships underlying impairments of awareness, perhaps starting from a point of equivalent dimensionality between the two modalities.

There are some potential limitations to our study. First, some of our patients with TBI had focal cortical lesions that might have affected the registration process required to compare across individuals. However, this is unlikely to have produced major errors in our analysis. In general, patients did not have large lesions and the results of registration were checked carefully, resulting in the exclusion of two subjects. In addition, masks of the lesion location were used to improve registration (Brett et al., 2001) and lesion masks were used as a covariate in the functional MRI analyses. A second potential limitation is that we focused the ‘resting’ state and diffusion tensor imaging analyses on the connections of the FPCN, using the visual network as a control. This choice was motivated by large amounts of literature, suggesting that the dorsal anterior cingulate cortex and anterior insulae are critical for performance monitoring (Carter et al., 1998; Botvinick et al., 2004). In keeping with a key role for these regions in performance monitoring, the event-related analysis of error response, which was not constrained to the FPCN, also showed abnormalities within the anterior insulae. However, future work should investigate in more detail the potential contribution of regions outside the FPCN to impairments of self-awareness.

In summary, we have used detailed behavioural assessment and multi-modal neuroimaging to investigate the neural basis of...
impaired self-awareness after TBI. Functional abnormality with the FPCN is associated with impaired performance-monitoring. This finding is consistent with the principle that awareness is an emergent property of multiple brain regions, and that intact connectivity of the dorsal anterior cingulate cortex and anterior insulae are necessary for rapid error processing.
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