Mapping Surface Variability of the Central Sulcus in Musicians

We employed a sulcal geometry-based approach to investigate the morphology of the central sulcus (CS) in musicians (pianists). The anterior and posterior walls of the CS were first manually outlined from high-resolution magnetic resonance (MR) images of 41 right-handed subjects (20 musicians and 21 controls), followed by a surface reconstruction and parameterization procedure to ensure the anatomical correspondence of surface locations across subjects. The intrasulcal length, surface area, and local variability of the CS were measured. There were no significant differences in either the intrasulcal length or surface area of the anterior or posterior walls between the 2 groups. However, we observed that the controls had a pronounced left-larger-than-right asymmetry that was reduced in the musicians. Importantly, we found that the musicians showed greater local variability in the middle section (i.e., somatotopic hand area) of the right CS and the lower section of the left CS as compared with the controls. Further analysis revealed significantly negative correlations between the variability of the middle section of the right CS and the age of commencement of musical training. Our findings suggest that the musicians might be associated with plastic changes in the 3D morphology of the CS in response to long-term motor skill training.
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Previous studies have demonstrated that both sensory stimulation and the acquisition of motor skills are accompanied by changes in functional and structural organization of the human sensorimotor areas (Pascual-Leone et al. 1993, 1995; Schlaug et al. 1994; Karni et al. 1995; Herve et al. 2005). These studies are important for understanding adaptations or plasticity in the neural circuitry of the brain. Musicians represent an ideal model to explore the plastic changes in brain structures (Munte et al. 2002) because they are skilled in performing complex motor tasks after extensive, long-term training. Several functional neuroimaging studies have shown that musicians had different patterns of neuronal activation in the primary motor and sensory cortices areas in comparison with controls (Schlaug et al. 1994; Elbert et al. 1995; Hund-Georgiadis and Von Cramon 1999; Jäncke et al. 2000; Krings et al. 2000; Schlaug 2001; Lotze et al. 2003). There has also been increasing evidence for structural changes in musicians, such as increased gray matter density or volume in the primary sensorimotor cortical areas (Schlaug 2001; Gaser and Schlaug 2003; Schlaug et al. 2005).

The central sulcus (CS), also known as the fissure of Rolando, is "a double S-shaped fissure that extends obliquely upward and backward on the lateral surface of each cerebral hemisphere of the brain and is located at the boundary between the frontal and parietal lobes" (The American Heritage Medical Dictionary 2007). The 3D anatomy of the CS includes motor and sensory maps, somatotopically organized according to Penfield’s classical “homunculus” (Penfield and Rasmussen 1950). Investigation of the 3D sulcal anatomy of the CS was thought to be crucial for revealing characteristic morphological features and matching anatomy and function (Sastre-Janer et al. 1998). Several neuroimaging studies have shown that the 3D morphology of the CS could be associated with handedness (Amunts et al. 2000), gender (Davatzikos and Bryan 2002; Cykowski et al. 2008), genetics (Goualher et al. 2000), and normal aging (Cykowski et al. 2008). However, according to our knowledge, only 2 prior studies have examined changes in the 3D morphology of the CS in musicians. In the first study, Amunts et al. (1997) analyzed the intrasulcal length of the precentral gyrus (ILPG), defined as the length of the posterior wall of the precentral gyrus bordering the CS in horizontal sections, and found that the musicians exhibited a relatively symmetrical ILPG, whereas the right-handed controls had a pronounced left-larger-than-right asymmetry, suggesting that the changes in the 3D morphology of the CS are related to musical training. In the second study, Bangert and Schlaug (2006) visually inspected the Omega Sign of the CS, an anatomical landmark of the precentral gyrus that approximately corresponds to the middle section of CS and is thought to be associated with hand/finger movement representation (Yousry et al. 1997; Boling et al. 1999). They found that the musicians had more pronounced Omega Sign expression than nonmusicians. Although these 2 studies provided a means, based on surface characterization, to explore the morphological variations at a global level, they did not investigate local and subtle changes in the CS in response to motor skills. The sulcal mapping approaches (Thompson et al. 1996; Goualher et al. 2000; Davatzikos and Bryan 2002) provide a framework for identifying local changes across the interior surface anatomy of the brain. By establishing parametric meshes of the sulcus, this kind of approach can allow us to place a coordinate frame on the sulcal surface, which would enable further shape analysis, such as the encoding of statistical properties in local anatomical variations within individual sulci. Recently, sulcal mapping-based approaches have been applied to studies of normal
populations and brain disorders (Thompson et al. 1998; Goualher et al. 2000; Davatzikos and Bryan 2002; Levitt et al. 2003; Cykowski et al. 2008).

In the current study, we aimed to employ 3D statistical analysis of sulcal mapping to investigate CS morphology in musicians. We hypothesized that the musicians would show plastic geometrical changes in the sensorimotor cortex after long-term musical training. To test this hypothesis, we first outlined the anterior and posterior walls of the CS from individual high-resolution structural magnetic resonance (MR) images, followed by a 3D surface reconstruction and parameterization. Then, we calculated the intrasulcal length, surface area, and local sulcal variability according to the parameterized surface maps. Finally, the significances of the differences in the features between the musicians and controls were statistically evaluated.

**Materials and Methods**

**Subjects**

This study included 20 musicians (piano players) (12 females; age [mean ± standard deviation]: 22.3 ± 2.6 years) and 22 age- and gender-matched controls (12 females; age [mean ± standard deviation]: 22.4 ± 2.6 years) (Han et al. 2008; Lv et al. 2008). All subjects were right-handed Han Chinese people (Li 1983). The musicians were college students in music school. They began their musical training at the age of 5.5–17 (12.2 ± 3.5) years and had an average of 10.4 ± 4.2 years of piano training by the day of magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) scanning. The control group comprised medical school students (n = 18) and people who lived near the hospital (n = 4). The scan of 1 control was excluded from further analysis due to imaging artifacts. None of the individuals in the control group had previously been trained to play a musical instrument or to be a professional typist. All subjects had no history of neurological, psychiatric, or movement disorders. Written informed consent was obtained from each participant. This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of HuaXi Hospital.

**Image Acquisition**

MR imaging was carried out on a 3-T MR scanner (GE EXCITE, Milwaukee, WI). High-resolution 3D T1-weighted images were axially acquired by spoiled gradient-recalled sequence: repetition time = 8.5 ms; echo time = 3.4 ms; field of view = 240 × 240 mm; matrix = 512 × 512; 156 axial slices; and thickness/gap = 1 mm/0 mm.

**Three-Dimensional Extraction of the CS**

All native MR images were first spatially normalized into the Talairach space (Talairach and Tournoux 1988) using a linear transformation (http://afni.nimh.nih.gov/afni/). The resulting images were then treated with the MRICro software (http://www.mricro.com) to extract the CS. Briefly, the left and right CS were first identified, according to a prior neuroanatomical atlas (Duvernoy 1999). The boundaries of the CS (including anterior and posterior boundaries) in each section were then drawn as a line starting at the surface of the brain, outlining the posterior wall of the precentral gyrus (the anterior wall of the CS) and the anterior wall of the postcentral gyrus (the posterior wall of the CS).

Figure 1A shows the outlined anterior and posterior walls of the CS in the horizontal section in 1 representative subject. To estimate the reliability of measures based on the manual outlining, the 2 raters, who were blinded for the sides (left and right) of the brain, traced both walls of the CS on 6 randomly selected brain volumes. Interrater correlation coefficients for the intrasulcal lengths of both walls were 0.96 and 0.94 for the 2 raters. Of note, there were interruptions found in the manual outlines of the CS in the image slices of 4 subjects (2 musicians and 2 controls). To ensure the integrity of the CS, we directly linked these interrupted points as a simple approximation (Supplementary Fig. 1).
variability of the jth vertex in the ith subject as (Yushkevich et al. 2003):

\[ f_{ij} = \sum_{k \in N(j)} (x_{ij} - \bar{x}_j)^T N_j \Delta A_k \]

where \( N(j) \) is the 4-neighbor mesh grid of the jth vertex, \( x_j \) and \( N_j \) are the position vector and approximate unit normal of the jth vertex in the average surface, and \( \Delta A_k \) is the area element, computed as the area of each mesh that was adjacent to the jth vertex in the average surface. If this measure has a positive value, it indicates that the individual surface mesh has outward deformation with respect to the average surface mesh and vice versa.

Asymmetry Score

In this study, we also calculated the asymmetry of the global and local measures of the CS. An asymmetry score was obtained for each measure according to \((R - L)/0.5 \times (R + L)\), where R and L are the measurements of the right and left hemispheres, respectively. Negative values indicate leftward asymmetry, whereas positive values indicate rightward asymmetry.

**Results**

### Intrasulcal Length and Surface Area of the Prioran and Posterior Walls of the CS

Table 1 presents the values for intrasulcal length and surface area of the anterior and posterior walls of the CS. "Intrasulcal Length": A 2-way repeated-measure ANOVA for the intrasulcal length in the anterior walls of the CS showed no effect of group \((F_{1, 39} = 0.005; \text{nonsignificant [NS]}\) but a significant effect of side \((F_{1, 39} = 5.81, P = 0.021)\) with no interaction between the 2 factors \((F_{1, 39} = 1.26, \text{NS})\). The results in the posterior walls of the CS were similar to those found in the anterior walls \((F_{1, 39}[\text{group}] = 0.30, \text{NS}; F_{1, 39}[\text{side}] = 17.17, P = 0.0002; F_{1, 39}[\text{group-by-side}] = 0.98, \text{NS})\). "Surface Area": A 2-way repeated-measure ANOVA showed no significant effects for the surface area in the anterior walls of the CS \((F_{1, 39}[\text{group}] = 0.001; F_{1, 39}[\text{side}] = 2.15, \text{NS}; F_{1, 39}[\text{group-by-side}] = 0.62, \text{NS})\). A 2-way repeated-measure ANOVA for the surface area in the posterior walls of the CS showed no effect of group \((F_{1, 39} = 0.08, \text{NS})\) but a significant effect of side \((F_{1, 39} = 8.93, P = 0.005)\) with no interaction between the 2 factors \((F_{1, 39} = 0.51, \text{NS})\).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Musicians</th>
<th>Controls</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Anterior walls of the CS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intrasulcal length ((\times 10^3 \text{mm}))</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left</td>
<td>4.83 ± 0.56</td>
<td>4.89 ± 0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right</td>
<td>4.77 ± 0.38</td>
<td>4.72 ± 0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surface area ((\times 10^3 \text{mm}^2))</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left</td>
<td>2.51 ± 0.36</td>
<td>2.53 ± 0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right</td>
<td>2.49 ± 0.22</td>
<td>2.47 ± 0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Posterior walls of the CS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intrasulcal length ((\times 10^3 \text{mm}))</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left</td>
<td>4.61 ± 0.42</td>
<td>4.71 ± 0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right</td>
<td>4.46 ± 0.36</td>
<td>4.47 ± 0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surface area ((\times 10^3 \text{mm}^2))</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left</td>
<td>2.41 ± 0.32</td>
<td>2.46 ± 0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right</td>
<td>2.33 ± 0.22</td>
<td>2.32 ± 0.22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2 presents the asymmetry indices of intrasulcal length and surface area of the anterior and posterior walls of the CS. "Intrasulcal Length": The controls showed a significant leftward asymmetry in both the walls of the CS (anterior: $t(20) = -2.97, P = 0.008$; posterior: $t(20) = -3.30, P = 0.004$; 1-sample t-tests). In the musician group, there was significant leftward asymmetry in the posterior walls but not in the anterior walls of the CS (anterior: $t(19) = -0.59, \text{NS}$; posterior: $t(19) = -2.46, P = 0.024$; 1-sample t-tests). "Surface Area": The controls showed significant leftward asymmetry or a trend toward leftward asymmetry in both walls of the CS (anterior: $t(20) = -1.97, P = 0.063$; posterior: $t(20) = -2.72, P = 0.013$; 1-sample t-tests). In the musician group, there was no significant asymmetry in both walls of the CS (anterior: $t(19) = -0.11, \text{NS}$; posterior: $t(19) = -1.41, \text{NS}$; 1-sample t-tests). Taken together, the data indicate that the controls had pronounced leftward asymmetry in both the intrasulcal length and surface area of the anterior or posterior walls of the CS, but this asymmetry was obviously reduced in the musicians. Further statistical analysis revealed no significant between-group differences in these asymmetry indices (all $P > 0.20$, 2-sample t-tests; Table 2).

**Mean Surface Variability of the Anterior and Posterior Walls of the CS**

**Mean Surface Variability**

Table 3 presents the values for mean surface variability of the anterior and posterior walls of the CS. A 2-way repeated-measures ANOVA for the mean surface variability in the anterior walls of the CS showed an effect of group ($F_{1,39} = 5.93, P = 0.020$) and side ($F_{1,39} = 11.90, P = 0.001$) without interaction between the factors ($F_{1,39} = 0.79, \text{NS}$). The results for the posterior walls of the CS were similar to those found for the anterior walls ($F_{1,39}[\text{group}] = 6.19, P = 0.017$; $F_{1,39}[\text{side}] = 14.47, P = 0.0005$; $F_{1,39}[\text{group-by-side}] = 1.19, \text{NS}$).

Table 4 presents the asymmetry indices of mean surface variability of the anterior and posterior walls of the CS. In the controls, there was a leftward asymmetry in the anterior walls of the CS but not in the posterior walls (anterior: $t(20) = -2.31, P = 0.032$; posterior: $t(20) = -1.63, \text{NS}$; 1-sample t-tests). In the musicians, there was a significant leftward asymmetry in both walls (anterior: $t(19) = -3.48, P = 0.003$; posterior: $t(19) = -3.23, P = 0.004$; 1-sample t-tests). There were no significant differences found in the asymmetry indices between the 2 groups (all $P > 0.40$, 2-sample t-tests; Table 4).

Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Musicians</th>
<th>Controls</th>
<th>$P$ value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Anterior walls of the CS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intrasulcal length</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$-0.31 \pm 0.07$ ($P = 0.564$)</td>
<td>$-0.03 \pm 0.05$ ($P = 0.008$)*</td>
<td>0.223</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surface area</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$-0.002 \pm 0.09$ ($P = 0.912$)</td>
<td>$-0.03 \pm 0.06$ ($P = 0.063$)</td>
<td>0.325</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Posterior walls of the CS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intrasulcal length</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$-0.03 \pm 0.06$ ($P = 0.024$)*</td>
<td>$-0.05 \pm 0.07$ ($P = 0.004$)</td>
<td>0.308</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surface area</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$-0.03 \pm 0.10$ ($P = 0.176$)</td>
<td>$-0.06 \pm 0.09$ ($P = 0.013$)*</td>
<td>0.395</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: The italic fonts represent the $P$ values from 1-sample t-tests (asymmetry scores vs. zero; *$P < 0.05$). The last column indicates the $P$ values from 2-sample t-tests for between-group differences in the asymmetry scores.

Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Musicians</th>
<th>Controls</th>
<th>$P$ value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Anterior walls of the CS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean surface variability</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left</td>
<td>1.66 ± 0.86</td>
<td>1.27 ± 0.66</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right</td>
<td>1.17 ± 0.38</td>
<td>0.97 ± 0.34</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Posterior walls of the CS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean surface variability</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left</td>
<td>1.71 ± 0.74</td>
<td>1.27 ± 0.58</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right</td>
<td>1.21 ± 0.44</td>
<td>0.96 ± 0.32</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Musicians</th>
<th>Controls</th>
<th>$P$ value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Anterior walls of the CS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean sulcal variability</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$-0.32 \pm 0.41$ ($P = 0.003$)*</td>
<td>$-0.22 \pm 0.44$ ($P = 0.023$)*</td>
<td>0.470</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Posterior walls of the CS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean sulcal variability</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$-0.31 \pm 0.42$ ($P = 0.004$)*</td>
<td>$-0.19 \pm 0.54$ ($P = 0.120$)</td>
<td>0.461</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: The italic fonts represent the $P$ values from 1-sample t-tests (asymmetry scores vs. zero; *$P < 0.05$). The last column indicates the $P$ values from 2-sample t-tests for between-group differences in the asymmetry scores.

**Vertex-Based Local Variability in the Anterior and Posterior Walls of the CS**

We next examined between-group differences in local variability of each vertex through the 2 walls of the CS. We found that the musicians showed significantly ($P < 0.05$) greater local variability in the middle section of the anterior walls of the right CS and the lower section of the left CS as compared with the controls (Fig. 2A and Supplementary Video 1). The changing patterns of the posterior walls of the CS were similar to those found in the anterior walls (Fig. 2B and Supplementary Video 2).

**Relationship between the CS Measures and Age of Commencement of Musical Training**

In the musician group, there were no significant correlations between the global measures (intrasulcal length, surface area, and mean local variability) of the CS and the age of commencement of musical training. There were also no significant correlations found between the local variability of the left CS and the age of commencement. However, we noted that, in the right CS, the local variability of the middle section of both walls showed significantly ($P < 0.05$) negative correlations with the age of commencement of musical training (anterior: Fig. 3A and Supplementary Video 4; posterior: Fig. 3B and Supplementary Video 5).

**Discussion**

In this study, we employed a sulcal geometry-based approach to investigate the plastic changes in the 3D morphology of the CS in musicians. The morphological measures including the global intrasulcal length, surface area, and local surface variability were computed based on reconstructed surface meshes. Compared with the controls, the musicians had no significant changes in either intrasulcal length or surface area in the CS. However, we found that the musicians showed significantly greater local variability in the middle section of both walls of the right CS, and these changes were associated with the age of commencement...
controls, see Supplementary Video 3. For individual 3D maps of the CS from one representative musician and videos 1 and 2 for the results in the anterior and posterior walls of the CS, an anterior wall of the CS. (A) The posterior wall of the CS. Also see Supplementary Videos 1 and 2 for the results in the anterior and posterior walls of the CS, respectively. For individual 3D maps of the CS from one representative musician and controls, see Supplementary Video 3A,B.

Figure 2. Statistical differences of local sulcal variability between the musicians and controls. Compared with the controls, the musicians showed significantly (1| > 2.0, 0.05) greater local variability in the middle section (i.e., somatotopic hand area) of the right CS and the lower section of the left CS. The results were overlapped onto the mean CS surface that was obtained by averaging the position vector of the anterior or posterior walls of the CS from all the subjects. The surfaces in the figures are shown from the posterior view. L, left hemisphere; R, right hemisphere. (A) The anterior wall of the CS. (B) The posterior wall of the CS. Also see Supplementary Videos 1 and 2 for the results in the anterior and posterior walls of the CS, respectively. For individual 3D maps of the CS from one representative musician and controls, see Supplementary Video 3A,B.

of musical training. Together, our results provide evidence for the plasticity of structural morphology of the CS in musicians after long-term motor skill training.

Morphometric Differences in the CS between the Musicians and the Controls

Intrasulcal Length and Surface Area. We found that there were no significant differences in either the intrasulcal length or the surface area of the anterior or posterior walls of the CS between the musicians and the controls. However, the right-handed controls showed prominent leftward asymmetry in the 2 global measures, but this asymmetry was obviously diminished in the musicians (Table 2). Several previous studies have examined the anatomical asymmetry of the CS in right-handed subjects, but the findings are still a point of controversy. For instance, White et al. (1997b) employed quantitative histological and imaging techniques to evaluate the lateral asymmetry in the depths of the human CS; they found that preferred use of the right hand occurred without a gross lateral asymmetry of the primary sensorimotor system. However, using a parametric ribbon approach, Davatzikos and Bryan (2002) observed that the CS was deeper and larger in the right (nondominant) hemisphere than in the left (dominant) hemisphere. Nonetheless, Amunts et al. (1997) found that the right-handed subjects had a leftward asymmetry in the ILPG of the CS; this asymmetry was decreased in musicians as compared with controls. Using a sulcal-based morphometry approach, Mangin et al. (2004) also found that the CS was larger in the dominant hemisphere of the human brain. The findings of Amunts et al. (1997) and Mangin et al. (2004) were in line with our study. Evidence from animal (rat) experiments has suggested that the asymmetric use of only 1 forelimb in the postweaning period can induce a larger neuropil volume and a lower cell packing density in the contralateral "forelimb" motor cortex (Diaz et al. 1994). Based on these observations, one could therefore speculate that the more symmetric anatomical morphology of the CS in the musicians shown in the present study might be associated with the pronounced proficiency of the left hand that is controlled by the right (nondominant) hemisphere.

Local Variability

Using a sulcal geometry-based analysis, we demonstrated that the musicians had greater mean surface variability in both walls of the CS as compared with the controls (Table 3). Thompson et al. (1996) suggested that the variability in the surface geometry of the CS was the product of an almost infinite variety of evolutionary, developmental, and experiential processes. Thus, our findings of larger surface variations in both walls of the CS in musicians might be associated with long-term training and motor skill learning. Furthermore, we found that the middle section of the right CS exhibited significant differences in local variability between the musicians and the controls. Many studies have suggested that the middle section of the CS is mainly composed of the somatotopic hand area (White et al. 1997a; Yousry et al. 1997; Sastre-Janer et al. 1998; Boling et al. 1999). Functional neuroimaging studies have suggested that this region showed neuronal activation during hand motor tasks (Yousry et al. 1997; Sastre-Janer et al. 1998; Boling et al. 1999). Specifically, Gaser and Schlaug (2003) found that, compared with amateur pianists, professional pianists had increased gray matter volume in the hand area of the CS; this difference was even greater when musicians were contrasted with nonmusician controls. Thus, our findings are compatible with the results of previous studies. The plastic changes in the 3D morphology could be attributable to variations in microstructure, such as increased number of synapses and glial cells per neuron (Black et al. 1990; Isaacs et al. 1992; Anderson et al. 1994). In this study, we also noticed that the changes in the local variability of the hand area of the right CS were negatively correlated with the age of commencement of musical training, suggesting that the earlier the training, the larger the structural adaptations of the brain structure. The changes could be attributable to more skillful use of the nondominant (left) hand in the musicians as compared with the controls. It is notable that a previous study from Bangert and Schlaug (2006) also showed prominent changes in the hand area of the CS in musicians (pianists) by visually inspecting the Omega expression (a gross anatomical landmark of the precentral gyrus). However, they observed that the changes were mainly located in the dominant (left) hemisphere, a finding that is inconsistent with our study. The discrepancies could be due to different analytical methods and populations used in the 2 studies. In the present investigation, we also observed that the musicians showed greater local variability in the lower section of the left CS. To date, however, there have been few studies reporting on
the training-related changes in the brain structure. Using functional MRI, Fesl et al. (2003) demonstrated that the inferolateral segment of the CS was mainly associated with the primary motor/sensory tongue area. The relationship between the lower section of the CS and musical training still needs to be further studied in the future.

It needs to be noted that the musicians had similar changing patterns of local variability between the anterior and posterior walls of the CS. The anterior walls of the CS are associated with the primary motor cortex, and the posterior walls are associated with the primary sensory cortex. It is well known that pianists generally need precise sensory-motor coordination while performing musical tasks. We therefore speculate that the similar changes in the 2 brain structures could appear in a coordinated manner. Nonetheless, there have been very few reports on the structural and functional changes in the posterior walls of the CS in musicians. Gaser and Schlaug (2003) found that professional pianists had larger gray matter volume in the primary sensory area as compared with amateur pianists. Using magnetoencephalography, Elbert et al. (1995) showed that the fingers of the left hand of violinists had stronger representation by means of signal amplitude in the primary sensory cortex than those of a control group. Lotze et al. (2003) found that, compared with amateur violinists, professional violinists exhibited more functional activation in the primary sensory cortices. Here, we provided the evidence that the musicians had associations with the 3D morphological changes in the posterior walls of the CS in response to long-term musical training. Taken together, the present study demonstrated that the musicians had geometric changes in the 3D morphology of both the anterior and posterior walls of the CS. These changes could reflect structural and/or functional reorganization of the sensorimotor cortex following sensory stimulation or the acquisition of new motor skills.

**Sulcal Mapping Approaches**

To map the changes in surface variability in the musicians, sulcal extraction was the first step to be conducted. In the present study, we manually outlined the contours of the CS, as shown in previous studies (Amunts et al. 1997; Sastre-Janer et al. 1998; Davatzikos and Bryan 2002). However, this process was time consuming and investigator dependent. Several researchers have suggested that the sulcus of the human brain can be extracted automatically through computational approaches. For instance, Goualher et al. (2000) extracted the median surface of the CS by using the Active Ribbon method. Mangin et al. (1995, 2004) developed an observer-independent sulcal extraction and recognition algorithm, achieving a correct recognition rate greater than 96% for the CS. In the future, it would be interesting to apply the automated sulcus reconstruction method to the morphometric analysis of the CS in musicians.

The sulcal mapping method used in this study included surface reconstruction, surface parameterization, and local anatomical variability analysis, as described by Thompson et al. (1996). This method has been found to be capable of characterizing variations in sulcal geometry and was recently applied to cortical sulcal analysis in brain disorders such as...
Alzheimer's disease (Thompson et al. 1998) and autism (Levitt et al. 2003). Notably, these studies showed that there were directional trends of the 3D spatial variability of a sulcus along the 3 orthogonal axes of the stereotaxic space and that the variability in position was not isotropic. However, several other studies (Pizer et al. 1999; Yushkevich et al. 2003; Styner et al. 2004), including 1 of ours (Li et al. 2007), have also suggested that variations in object geometric shape can be characterized along the normal direction. By using the components along the normal direction of the mean shape, in this study, we found significant differences in the 3D morphology of the CS between the musicians and the controls. However, there were no significant differences found when we employed the measures of the 3D displacement and its 3 components along each orthogonal axis. Thus, we suspected that the changes in local variability of the CS in musicians could appear along the normal direction of each vertex in response to long-term musical training.

**Future Considerations**

Several issues need to be further addressed. First, the musicians in this study had a wide range of age of commencement of musical training (an average age of 12.2 years [range: 5.5–17]). The wide age range can allow us to explore the relation between the morphology of the CS and the age of commencement of musical training. However, Hallett (1995) suggested that the most pronounced plasticity effects could occur during the first years of life and that the plasticity could tend to decrease with increasing age. Watanabe et al. (2007) found that there might be a sensitive period (<7 years) in childhood where enriched motor training through musical practice results in long-lasting benefits for performance later in life. Thus, it would be also interesting to explore the changes in the 3D morphology of CS in musicians with an early age of commencement of musical training (e.g., <7 years old). We expect that an early musical training started in childhood could lead to a large effect size of training-induced plasticity. Second, in this study, we only correlated the changes in local variability of the CS with the age of commencement of musical training. It would also be helpful for understanding the effect of training on brain morphology if the surface variability of CS could be correlated with the intensity of training (e.g., the “cumulative life time practice”) (Ericsson et al. 1993). However, in this study, we did not collect information on the intensity of musical training. We hope that in future studies this information can be collected to further address the relationship between changes in brain morphology and musical training. Third, we reported an uncorrected result in musician-related changes in local variability (Figs. 2 and 3). To correct the multiple comparisons, we performed a false discovery rate procedure at a q value of 0.05 (Genovese et al. 2002). Using this procedure, we observed that there were still significant differences in local variability of the posterior wall of the left CS between the musicians and controls (456 vertices around the red areas in the left panel of Fig. 2B). However, there were no significant differences or correlations found in the other results of local variability after the correction. Therefore, our results could be mainly considered exploratory in nature. Further studies can be implemented to explore the plastic changes in the 3D morphology of the CS by increasing the sample size to enhance statistical power, or by performing a region of interest analysis in the hand area. Finally, the present study used cross-sectional data to investigate the relationship between specialized skills and the morphology of the CS. Therefore, we cannot exclude the possibility that these morphometric differences observed in the CS between musicians and controls might be innate. However, many histological studies in animals (Anderson et al. 1994, 2002; Klein et al. 1996) have provided direct evidence that microstructural changes (including increased number of synapses per neuron, glial cells, and capillary density) of the primary motor cortex are related to motor skill learning and continuous motor activity. Neuroimaging studies in humans (Amunts et al. 1997; Gaser and Schlaug 2003) have also demonstrated the association between morphology of the primary motor cortex and musician status. In the present study, we also found that the 3D morphology of the hand area of the CS showed not only significant between-group differences but also associations with the age of commencement of musical training. Thus, we speculate that our findings on the morphometric differences of the CS between the 2 groups are likely to represent the effects of the long-term motor training rather than innate predisposition. In the future, longitudinal studies must be conducted to further investigate the structural plasticity in response to long-term musical training.

**Conclusion**

In this study, we employed a sulcal geometry-based statistical analysis approach to investigate the morphology of the CS in musicians. The major advantage of this sulcal mapping method was that it was capable of capturing subtle structural adaptations in the morphology of the CS in musicians compared with controls. Using this approach, we demonstrated that the musicians had significant changes in the 3D structure of the somatotopic hand area of the right CS; these changes were associated with the age of commencement of musical training. Our results strongly suggest that musician status is associated with plastic changes in geometric morphology of the CS, thus providing insight into the structural reorganization in musicians in response to long-term motor skill training.

**Supplementary Material**

Supplementary Figures 1 and 2 and Videos 1–5 can be found at http://www.cercor.oxfordjournals.org/.
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