Heterogeneous Spine Loss in Layer 5 Cortical Neurons after Spinal Cord Injury
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A large thoracic spinal cord injury disconnects the hindlimb (HL) sensory-motor cortex from its target, the lumbar spinal cord. The fate of the synaptic structures of the axotomized corticospinal neurons is not well studied. We evaluated the density of spines on axotomized corticospinal neurons at 3, 7, and 21 days after the injury in adult mice expressing yellow fluorescence protein in a subset of layer 5 neurons. Spine density of the dendritic segment proximal to the soma (in layer 5) declined as early as 3 days after injury, far preceding the onset of somatic atrophy. In the distal segment (in layer 2/3), spine loss was slower and less severe than in the proximal segment. Axotomy of corticospinal axons in the brainstem (pyramidotomy) induced a comparable reduction of spine density, demonstrating that the loss is not restricted to the neurons axotomized in the thoracic spinal cord. Surprisingly, in both forms of injury, the spine density of putative non-axotomized layer 5 neurons was reduced as well. The spine loss may reflect fast rearrangements of cortical circuits after axotomy, for example, by a disconnection of HL cortical neurons from synaptic inputs that no longer provide useful information.
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Introduction

A thoracic spinal cord bilateral dorsal hemisection transects the main corticospinal tract (CST) and the ascending dorsal column pathways, resulting in dysfunctional hindlimbs (HLs). The HL sensory-motor cortex is deprived of the touch and proprioceptive information from the HLs (Asanuma and Arissian 1982; Kaas et al. 2008), and the corticospinal neurons cannot deliver their output. Within 7 days after bilateral dorsal hemisection in adult rats, the forelimb sensory representation enlarges and invades the HL area (Ghosh et al. 2010). Axotomized corticospinal neurons can survive the injury but become atrophic after 2–4 weeks (Barron et al. 1988; Merline and Kalil 1990; Wannier et al. 2005; Carter et al. 2008) and whether all the corticospinal neurons survive is contentious (Hains et al. 2003; Nielson et al. 2010). Nevertheless, the role of the injured neurons in the CNS remains largely unclear, but some of the neurons were shown to contact new targets and assume novel functions to control the injury-spared body parts (Fouad et al. 2001; Bareyre et al. 2004; Ghosh et al. 2010). How the axotomy influences the synaptic structures of the lesioned corticospinal neurons has not been explored.

The large dendritic trees of corticospinal neurons, like other layer 5 pyramidal neurons, are endowed with spines that receive most of the excitatory inputs. The dendrites traverse through and make synapses in the more superficial layers and also in layer 5. The presence, number, and shape of spines can be used as an indicator of synaptic changes (Yuste and Bonhoeffer 2001; Fiala et al. 2002). Interestingly, after a spinal cord injury that interrupts both sensory and motor pathways in mice, a transitory reduction in spine density has been reported in layer 5/6 cells of the motor cortex, but the quantifications were restricted to the basal dendrites which largely project in the same layer (Kim et al. 2006). This may not reflect the true changes in the corticospinal cells as they make up only about 25% of the layer 5 population (McComas and Wilson 1968; Akintunde and Buxton 1992; Kaneko et al. 2000). Furthermore, it is not known whether the change in spine density of the dendrites in layer 5 differs from the dendrites located in the more superficial layers.

In this study, we determined the dendritic spine density from a subset of layer 5 neurons along the entire 420-μm-long apical dendrite traveling through cortical layers 5 to 2/3. We focused on the HL sensory-motor cortex in mice before and 3, 7, and 21 days after thoracic spinal cord injury. By using retrograde labeling from the injury site, axotomized and putative non-axotomised cortical neurons were identified in the adult animals expressing yellow fluorescence protein (YFP) in layer 5 neurons (Feng et al. 2000). The YFP allowed for a “Golgi-like” visualization of the dendritic tree and the synaptic structures. Our findings show that several factors influence the loss of dendritic spines: the state of the axon, the location of the cell in the HL field, and the layer in which the dendritic region resides. Importantly, spine loss also occurred on the neighboring putative intact neurons, possibly reflecting major changes in intracortical connectivity. By using CST lesion at the brain stem (pyramidotomy), we show that the spines are as vulnerable when the axons are injured closer to the soma. Taken together, our results show that the axotomy of corticospinal neurons induces spine loss in the sensory-motor cortex, in both the injured and putative intact layer 5 projection neurons. These changes may lead to the disconnection of cortical output neurons from the injury-affected network.

Materials and Methods

Animals

Founders of our colony of transgenic mice expressing the YFP transgene driven by thy1 promoter were obtained from Jackson Labs (Bar Harbor, ME). Twenty-one adult (6–7 weeks old) C57BL/6 thy1-YFP (line H) heterozygous female mice were used in this study (Feng et al. 2000). Animals were housed in small groups (3–5) and in female-only cages after weaning. The cages were individually ventilated and covered with a filter top. All experimental procedures performed were in adherence to the guidelines of the Veterinary office of the Canton of Zurich, Switzerland.

Spinal Cord Injury and Pyramidotomy

Mice were anesthetized by an intraperitoneal injection of Hypnorm (Jansen Pharmaceutica, Beerse, Belgium) and Dormicrom (Roche...
Imaging—Spine Count and Soma Volume
Images were acquired using a confocal microscope (Leica DMi6000, CLSM-Model SP5). The excitation wavelengths were 405 and 488 nm, for Fast Blue and YFP, respectively. Cells of interest were identified on 512 × 512 pixel images (pixel size: 283.22 × 283.22 nm) and spines were quantified at 1024 × 1024 pixel images (pixel size: 141.47 × 141.47 nm, Z-step 150.60 nm). Spines were marked using Image J running the View5D plug-in (Rainer Heintzmann, King’s College London, London, UK). A custom-written Matlab (MathWorks, Princeton, NJ) script was used to reconstruct the data from multiple cross sections. This plugin allowed us to view the spines in 3D and the background in the gray images was set at 15 (on a 255 scale—8 bit). A maximum of 5 and a minimum of 4 markers were used to describe each spine; one placed at the base, one on the tip, one at the point of bending (when the spine appeared bent), and 2 on the spine head capturing its width (see Supplementary Fig.). The distance of the spine from the soma was calculated in 3D using the markers placed at the base of the spine; the markers were used to construct a stick figure of the dendrite from each cross section (see Supplementary Fig.)—several stick figures belonging to the same dendrite were connected to reconstruct 420-μm-long segments. The diameter of the dendrite was measured at a distance of 30 μm from the soma using 2 markers; placed on the cross section to span the diameter. All spines and dendrites were measured by an observer (SP) blind to the experimental conditions.

For volume measurements, the cell body was reconstructed in 3D using Imaris (Bitplane AG, Zurich, Switzerland)—based on the YFP signal—and the soma volume was estimated using Imaris MeasurementPro (Bitplane AG, Zurich, Switzerland) from the generated isosurface. The soma was cropped in 3D to exclude the basal and apical dendrites and the axon. Forty neurons—belonging to intact and spinal cord injured conditions (10 per group)—were selected for these measurements. Cells were selected such that the entire soma was within a single 75-μm-thick coronal section.

Cell Selection
Axotomized YFP+ neurons were selected for the spine counts based on the following: 1) soma well filled with Fast Blue were preferred over neurons with patchy filling and 2) only cells with apical dendrite that could be followed through 2 or more brain slices (upto 420 μm distal to the soma) were considered. Putative non-axotomized cells were similarly selected, except that they were Fast Blue+. Thus, we identified and studied the 2 groups of cells 1) YFP+ and Fast Blue+—axotomized neurons and 2) YFP+ and Fast Blue—putative non-axotomized neurons. A third cell group was Fast Blue only (axotomized but YFP+) and it was not considered for the measurements. Neurons belonging to each experimental condition (n = 10 cells per group except in the non-axotomized—7 days after spinal cord injury group where n = 20) were from at least 3 animals (see Supplementary Table). For instance, in the control condition, the 10 rostral neurons were from 3 animals—with 2 animals contributing to 3 cells each and 4 neurons were gathered from one animal.

The HL corticospinal maps derived from the spinal cord-injured animals at 7 and 21 days after injury were used to define the rostral and central HL regions in all the animals. The maps were constructed based on the Fast Blue+ cell positions relative to bregma, and the cells were marked using the software NeuroLucida (MicroBrightField, Williston, VT) mounted on a conventional fluorescence microscope. The rostral cells originated in front of the bregma and were from an area enclosed in a 0.2 mm radius semicircle drawn at X: 1.2 mm (lateral to bregma) and Y: 0 mm (from the bregma line). The central cells were selected from an area enclosed in a 0.2 mm radius circle drawn at X: 1.1 mm and Y: −0.6 mm.

Statistical Analysis
Our data set did not allow us to assume normal distribution and therefore nonparametric statistical tests were used. Data sets consisting of more than 2 groups were first evaluated using the Kruskal-Wallis nonparametric analysis of variance followed by the pairwise Mann-Whitney test. When comparing 2 groups, the Mann-Whitney test alone was used. The threshold P value was 0.05 in all the tests.

Results
Retrograde Identification of Axotomized Corticospinal Neurons
We retrogradely labeled axotomized corticospinal neurons from the site of axonal injury with the tracer Fast Blue in thy1-YFP mice (Line H). The dye was applied at the time of the axonal injury in the cord or brain stem. YFP expression was limited to cortical layer 5b—the deeper sublayer of layer 5 (Fig. 1.4, insert)—about 25% of the retrogradely labeled cells in the HL sensory-motor cortex expressed YFP. Moreover, non-tufted neurons were not visible suggesting that the vast majority of the callosal neurons originating from layer 5 do not express YFP (Hubener and Bolz 1998, Supplementary Fig.). Importantly, callosal cells do not project to the spinal cord (Catsman-Berrevoets et al. 1980) and as corticospinal neurons originate from layer 5b, the Line H mice were suitable for our study. After a bilateral dorsal hemisection of the thoracic spinal cord, the HL field was mapped by reconstruction of the positions of the retrogradely labeled cells in the sensory-motor cortex (Fig. 1.B).

Three different cell types were identified in the injured animals 1) putatively intact and not a corticospinal neuron—Fast Blue and YFP2, 2) axotomized corticospinal neuron—Fast Blue2 and YFP, and 3) axotomized corticospinal neurons with visible morphology—Fast Blue and YFP2 (Fig. 1.C). The morphology was evaluated only in the neurons belonging to category “1” and “3” as the YFP was expressed in the entire cell and Fast Blue filled primarily the soma. A fourth category of cells, intact neuron, and Fast Blue may be ruled out as there was no evidence of cells labeled in the forelimb cortex—which would have resulted from the dye diffusing into the higher spinal segments to label the intact neurons. Moreover, using Fast Blue, it was not possible to label intact corticospinal axons passing through the densely packed dorsal funiculus by topical application alone. This is in agreement with the general notion that retrograde tracer uptake is enhanced by axonal injury in the CNS (see Discussion on the notion in Haase and Payne 1990).

We cannot be certain that the Fast Blue+ neurons are in fact all intact (hence termed as putatively intact) as the retrograde tracer is not expected to label 100% of the axotomized cells. According to the cell counts, 3 days after pyramidotomy, 43% of YFP+ neurons from the center of the HL sensory-motor cortex were retrogradely labeled (Fig. 1.D) and YFP is presumably expressed in a random selection of layer 5b neurons. Considering the YFP+ neurons to be representative of the...
entire layer 5b population, we estimate that 43% of layer 5b neurons in the HL area are corticospinal. Seven days of survival after the tracer injection was required to obtain a maximal number of labeled cells after a thoracic spinal cord injury (Fig. 1). Remarkably, a previous report found that 50% of the layer 5b Nissl-stained neurons were retrogradely labeled from the spinal cord (Kaneko et al. 2000); in the report, the authors do not mention 5b but their sample only included the Nissl-stained neurons "in the vicinity of" the retrogradely labeled cells and corticospinal neurons project only from layer 5b. Another older study estimated labeled corticospinal neurons constitute of about 23% of the neurons projecting to subcortical targets mainly from layer 5 (Akintunde and Buxton 1992). Moreover, in an electrophysiological study, 31% of the neurons encountered at depths between 0.9 and 1.3 mm—presumably layer 5—were identified as pyramidal tract neurons (McComas and Wilson 1968). The 2 later studies do not reveal what proportion of layer 5b neurons are corticospinal, but as the size of 5a is roughly similar to 5b (Fig. 1A, insert), about 50–60% of the 5b neurons must be corticospinal. Taken together, we suggest that we were able to label and detect axotomized corticospinal cells using Fast Blue with a close to 80% certainty; this also implies that the likelihood of Fast Blue− neurons being intact is very high.

One reason for the better than expected rate of retrograde identification could be laser scanning confocal microscopy as it is more efficient in detecting labeled cells than conventional fluorescence microscopy. For example, cell counts from a 75-μm-thick section revealed 202 cells after confocal imaging compared with just 67 cells using the conventional microscope.

**Dendritic Spine Density in Intact Animals**

We assessed the synapse density in the HL sensory-motor cortex along the apical dendrite trunk of layer 5b neurons as they passed through layer 5a to reach layer 2/3 (Fig. 2). In intact animals, we selected 10 YFP+ pyramidal cells from the center of the HL field (Fig. 2A,B) and 10 HL cells that were located in the rostral rim (Fig. 2C), in proximity to the forelimb (FL) area; in mice and rats, the FL area mainly lies rostral to the HL area (Ayling et al. 2009; Brown et al. 2009; Ghosh et al. 2009). In all the neurons, spines were rarely observed on the apical dendrite close to the soma—in the first 30 μm—and in the next 40 μm, the density was low (rostral rim cells, median of 0.6 spine/μm ± 0.09 standard error of the mean [SEM]; center cells, 0.5 spine/μm ± 0.10 SEM). Spine density increased by approximately 3-fold (rostral cells, 1.7 spine/μm ± 0.06 SEM; center cells, 2.1 spines/μm ± 0.10 SEM) as the dendrite traveled through layer 5a (130–210 μm from the soma, referred to as the proximal segment in Fig. 2D). Compared with the proximal segment, the spine densities were about 1.5-fold less on the dendrite passing through layer 2/3 at 330–410 μm from the soma (referred to as the distal segment in Fig. 2D). rostral cells,
1.1 spine/µm ±0.04 SEM; center cells, 1.4 spine/µm ±0.06 SEM). Notably, in both proximal and distal segments, cells located in the rostral rim had fewer spines (Fig. 2B–E). We would like to stress here that there was no retrograde labeling from the spinal cord in the intact animals and there is about a 45% possibility for each neuron to be corticospinal.

**Loss of Dendritic Spines in the HL Cortex after Spinal Cord Injury**

To determine the changes in spine density after spinal cord injury, we compared the axotomized corticospinal neurons to the cells evaluated in the intact mice (Fig. 3). At 3 days after thoracic spinal cord injury, in the center of the HL field, there was a small but significant loss of spine density on the proximal dendritic segments of the axotomized neurons (Fig. 3B,F). At 7 days, however, spine density was strongly reduced by about 50% (1.1 spine/µm ±0.09 SEM compared with 2.1 spine/µm ±0.10 SEM in intact animals; Fig. 3C,F). The density remained low at 21 days after injury (Fig. 3D,F). In the distal segment, spine loss was less drastic (Fig. 3B–E). At 21 days after injury, spine densities were reduced by about 40% (0.9 spine/µm ±0.09 SEM compared with 1.4 spine/µm ±0.06 SEM in intact animals).

The detailed analysis done in this study allowed us to search for correlations on the single cell level. Interestingly, the loss of spine was not uniform along the length of the same dendrite: There was no significant relationship between the spine densities in the proximal and distal segments at any time point after injury (at 3 days, \( r^2 = -0.07 \ P = 0.85 \); at 7 days, \( r^2 = 0.39 \ P = 0.26 \); at 21 days, \( r^2 = 0.36 \ P = 0.31 \)). In agreement to previous studies, we found no loss in the somatic volume of axotomized neurons at 3 or 7 days after the injury (Ganchrow and Bernstein 1981; Barron et al. 1988). The median cell body volume of axotomized CST neurons dropped from 2800 µm³ ±280 SEM in intact animals to 1963 µm³ ±212 SEM at 21 days after injury (\( n = 10 \) neurons). We also addressed whether the atrophied cells carried fewer spines and found no significant correlation between the proximal dendritic segment’s spine density and the somatic volume (\( r^2 = -0.36 \ P = 0.15, \) at 21 days \( n = 10 \)).

**Figure 2.** Spine density on the apical dendrites of the HL sensory-motor cortex in intact animals. (A) In the thy1-YFP mouse, spines could be easily visualized by confocal microscopy. Scale bar, 5 µm. (B,C) Spine density profile along the apical dendrite in 10 layer 5b neurons from the center (shaded area, B) or the rostral rim (C) of the HL field. A column depicts a single neuron. (D,E) Spine density distribution in the proximal (130–210 µm from the soma, (E) or distal (330–410 µm from the soma, (D) segment of apical dendrites. Statistics as in previous figure, \( n = 10 \) neurons.
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Axotomized HL neurons located in the rostral rim of the HL field (Fig. 3G–L) showed a consistent spine loss in the proximal segment starting at 3 days after injury (1.2 spine/μm ± 0.05 SEM compared with 1.7 spine/μm ± 0.06 SEM in intact mice). In contrast to the cells in the center of the HL field, the distal segment of rostral rim neurons revealed no significant reduction in spine density after injury (Fig. 3K). In summary, in the HL CST axotomized neurons, the spine loss was more drastic in the proximal dendritic segment than in the distal segment and spine loss in the distal segment was attenuated at the rostral rim of the HL cortex.

We restricted our analysis of the putative non-axotomized neurons to 7 and 21 days after injury (Fig. 4A–E); at these time points due to the large proportion of layer 5b neurons that were retrogradely labeled, it was safer to assume that neurons without Fast Blue were non-axotomized. At 7 days, the spine densities on the proximal segments of the center field neurons were reduced (Fig. 4B, E); however, this reduction was less than in axotomized neurons (1.5 spine/μm ± 0.06 SEM in non-axotomized neurons compared with 1.1 spine/μm ± 0.09 SEM in axotomized neurons, *P < 0.001). There was no significant reduction in spine density on the distal segments (Fig. 4D). At 21 days, the proximal segments of the putative non-axotomized neurons showed reduced spine density (Fig. 4C–E), however, less drastic than in axotomized neurons (proximal segment, 1.5 spine/μm ± 0.08 SEM in putative
non-axotomized neurons compared with 1.2 spine/μm ±0.1 SEM in axotomized neurons, P < 0.05).

Loss of Spines after Selective Axotomy of Corticospinal Neurons in the Pyramid

We performed a unilateral pyramidotomy, which transects majority (~95%) of the CST neurons projecting to the hemi-cord at the level of the brain stem (Brosamle and Schwab 1997; Fig. 4F–I). Spine loss occurred at 3 days after pyramidotomy in the proximal segment of both axotomized and putative non-axotomized neurons (1.5 spine/μm ±0.17 SEM in axotomized neurons, 1.4 spine/μm ±0.13 SEM in non-axotomized compared with 2.1 spine/μm in intact animals). The loss of spine in the proximal segment of the axotomized neurons was similar to spinal cord injury (1.7 spine/μm ±0.13 SEM at 3 days after spinal cord injury) and the distal spines were not significantly influenced at this time point. These results show that the vulnerability of the proximal spines and the preservation of the distal spines are not unique to spinal cord injury and that a similar pattern can be induced by an injury at a much higher level. Interestingly, the distal spine density of the putatively non-axotomized population was reduced after pyramidotomy (1.1 spine/μm ±0.04 SEM compared with 1.4 spine/μm ±0.06 SEM in intact animals).
Discussion

To address the synaptic alterations in corticospinal neurons after spinal cord injury, we took advantage of retrograde labeling from the thoracic spinal cord lesion site in a transgenic mouse line that allowed a Golgi-like visualization of layer 5b pyramidal neurons. Spine loss was unequally distributed among the analyzed neuronal sample, more pronounced on the proximal part of the apical dendrites, and larger in the center of the H1 field. A comparable spine loss was also present in the putative non-axotomized neurons and upon lesion of the corticospinal neurons in the brainstem (pyramidotomy). The synaptic loss after spinal cord injury may be a key event for rewiring the dysfunctional cortical circuits and the vulnerability of the proximal spines is not restricted to the neurons axotomized in the thoracic spinal cord.

YFP+ neurons without the retrograde label Fast Blue were identified as putative non-axotomized neurons in the injured mice. The following observations rule out that there were a significant number of unlabelled axotomized neurons due to the lack of tracer uptake: Confocal imaging was able to detect cell bodies sparsely filled with the retrograde tracer that were invisible in a conventional fluorescent microscope. Therefore, the described inefficiency of retrograde labeling is certainly less when using confocal imaging. Confocal imaging has been previously used to detect retrogradely labeled corticospinal neurons with a similar, higher than expected, efficiency (Kaneko et al. 2000). About 40% of YFP+ cells were retrogradely labeled from the spinal injury site, close to the estimate of the proportion of corticospinal neurons in a population of Nissl-stained layer 5b population (Kaneko et al. 2000). Moreover, the extent, location, and time course of spine loss was distinct in the population of neurons that we identified as putatively non-axotomized compared with the axotomized population. For example, at 7 days after spinal cord injury, the spine loss on the proximal segments was significantly lower in the putative non-axotomized neurons than in the axotomized cells.

To assess injury-induced synaptic alterations, we compared the spine densities on the axotomized corticospinal neurons—identified using Fast Blue—in the injured groups to the layer 5b YFP+ neurons in intact animals. In the intact group, the layer 5b population probably consisted of neurons projecting to the midbrain, pons, and medulla oblongata, in addition to the spinal cord–projecting neurons. This raises the possibility that our comparisons in part reflect different cell populations rather than the impact of axonal injury. The similarities between the spine density profiles in the center of the H1 field in intact animals and in axotomized corticospinal neurons 3 days after spinal cord injury, when spine loss was still low (proximal segment) or absent (distal segment), do not support the notion that dendritic spine density is dramatically distinct in corticospinal neurons. Our claim of spine loss after spinal cord injury is strengthened by the observation that spine density in the retrogradely labeled neurons is lower at 7 or 21 days than at 3 days after injury—in this case, the comparison is between corticospinal neurons.

In our study, we did not find a correlation between spine loss and somatic atrophy in axotomized neurons at 21 days after injury, albeit our finding is from 10 neurons. The distinction between a somatic and a spine pathway is supported by the observation that somatic atrophy is not accompanied by dendritic atrophy in axotomized corticospinal neurons (Tseng and Prince 1996). In optic nerve lesions, the length of the remaining axon is an important factor linked to the likelihood of cell death and expression of growth-related genes (Doster et al. 1991; Wu et al. 2010). However, in our study, we find the proximal and distal spines of axotomized corticospinal neurons to be similarly vulnerable irrespective of the level of injury, that is, at the thoracic spinal cord versus the brainstem. In addition, dendritic spines were lost in the putative non-axotomized neurons after both spinal cord injury and pyramidotomy. Taken together, we suggest that mechanisms not directly related to the axonal injury or axotomy-induced trophic factor loss must be involved, particularly in the non-axotomized population. The differential extent of spine loss on the same apical dendrite in proximal versus distal segments in axotomized and putative non-axotomized neurons after spinal cord injury points toward the involvement of mechanisms that distinctly influence the dendrites dependent on the layer that they pass through. These mechanisms could be cortical activity dependent. In the adult primary sensory cortex, sensory inputs can influence the connectivity at the level of dendritic spines by altering their motility (Trachtenberg et al. 2002; Keck et al. 2008). Moreover, deprivation from sensory inputs results in the reduction of spine density in the whisker barrel and visual cortices (Globus et al. 1973; Kossut 1998). The thoracic spinal cord injury used here results in sensory deprivation due to the transected dorsal funiculus, and a significant loss of sensory fibers cannot be ruled out in neighborhood of the pyramidotomy as shown in Starkey et al. (2005).

The documented spine loss in the injury inflicted H1 cortex after thoracic spinal cord injury must be compared with a previous study on the forelimb motor cortex after cervical lesion (Kim et al. 2006). The cervical lesion resulted in a 10% reduction of overall spine density at 7 days the injury determined from short segments of basal dendrites, in both layer 5/6 and layer 3 pyramidal neurons. Axotomized cells were not identified; these constitute a maximum of 15% of the layer 5/6 population. The reduction was transient and by 28 days the spine density recovered to baseline levels. In the present study, we did not observe any recovery of spine density at 21 days after injury, even in the putative non-axotomized neurons. This discrepancy may be due to several reasons that include different classes of dendrites evaluated, sensitivity of the methods, location of the injury, and cortical area of interest. Another reason may be that our examination included specifically layer 5b neurons, whereas the cells evaluated in the previous study could belong to any of the sublayers of layer 5 and layer 6. Nevertheless, both the studies suggest an impact on the neurons that are not axotomized.

How does the spine loss affect the cortical circuitry? It must be noted here that the spine loss detected using fluorescence microscopy is very likely to reflect synaptic loss; this is supported by key experiments that imaged sensory corticospinal spine loss in vivo using thy1-GFP mice followed by electron microscopy (Trachtenberg et al. 2002). On the other hand, at least some of the spared spines must be functional as axotomized H1 corticospinal neurons can respond to forelimb sensory inputs (Ghosh et al. 2010). The layer 5b pyramidal neurons in the injury affected H1 cortex had about 20–50% fewer spines at 21 days after thoracic injury than in intact animals. It has been previously shown that by 3–4 weeks after a thoracic spinal cord injury, corticospinal neurons from the
HL sensory-motor cortex can sprout new collaterals into the cervical spinal cord accompanied by the appearance of forelimb movements upon HL cortical stimulation (Fouad et al. 2001; Ghosh et al. 2010). Interestingly, HL corticospinal neurons respond faster to forelimb sensory input at 1 week after thoracic spinal cord injury than in intact animals, even though our current data show a dramatic spine loss at this time point (Ghosh et al. 2010). Thus, the synaptic reorganization could enable the HL cortex to rewire and participate in new functions, for example, forelimb functions, after a loss of its original target. This speculation is further strengthened by our observation that spine loss is attenuated in the rostral rim of the HL field, which is in close proximity to the intact forelimb.

In hippocampal pyramidal neurons, the synapses proximal to the soma receive local inputs, whereas the distant inputs project to the more distal spines (Spruston 2008). Whether the local–distal input segregation is also true for the sensory-motor cortex is not known, but under the assumption that this is a general feature of the cortex, the preservation of distal spines after thoracic spinal cord injury may allow the injured hind limb cortical neurons to maintain or form new connections with distant functional circuits—for instance, with the forelimb area. On the other hand, the lost proximal spines may reflect disconnection from the dysfunctional neighborhood.

Here, we have documented that spine loss occurs on the apical dendrite of axotomized and with a slower time course also of non-axotomized layer 5b neurons in the denervated HL cortex after spinal cord injury. The reduced spine density after axonal injury probably results in cortical circuits with diminished connectivity. These changes and the synapses that remain may play a critical role in the functional reorganization of the adult cortex after spinal cord injury.
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