A Drosophila Nonvisual Arrestin Is Required for the Maintenance of Olfactory Sensitivity
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Abstract

Nonvisual arrestins are a family of multifunctional adaptor molecules that regulate the activities of diverse families of receptors including G protein–coupled receptors, frizzled, and transforming growth factor-β receptors. These activities indicate broad roles in both physiology and development for nonvisual arrestins. Drosophila melanogaster has a single nonvisual arrestin, kurtz, which is found at high levels within the adult olfactory receptor neurons (ORNs), suggesting a role for this gene in modulating olfactory sensitivity. Using heat-induced expression of a krz cDNA through development, we rescued krz1 lethality. The resulting adults lacked detectable levels of krz in the olfactory system. The rescued krz1 homozygotes have an incompletely penetrant antennal structural defect that was completely rescued by the neural expression of a krz cDNA. The krz1 loss-of-function adults without visible antennal defects displayed diminished behavioral responsiveness to both aversive and attractive odors and also demonstrated reduced olfactory receptor potentials. Both the behavioral and electrophysiological phenotypes were rescued by the targeted expression of the krz cDNA within postdevelopmental ORNs. Thus, krz is required within the nervous system for antennal development and is required later in the ORNs for the maintenance of olfactory sensitivity in Drosophila. The reduced receptor potentials in krz1 antenna indicate that nonvisual arrestins are required for the early odor-induced signaling events within the ORNs.
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Introduction

For many species, odors are a rich source of information on their immediate environment. Odors may lead an animal to food sources or mating partners, and they may allow the animal to recognize potential hazards such as toxins or predators. The amount of information conveyed by these odors is frequently dependent on the ability to detect gradients of dilute odors. Thus, effective odor detection for these animals requires a high sensitivity maintained across a wide range of odor concentrations.

In both vertebrates and invertebrates, odors are detected by a large family of G protein–coupled receptors (GPCRs; Buck and Axel, 1991; Clyne et al., 1999). Most GPCRs have an extremely high gain with enormous sensitivity (Menini et al., 1995; Lamb, 1996). A broad and dynamic range for signaling by GPCRs is typically sustained by the process of agonist-dependent desensitization. This process limits the magnitude of signaling through GPCRs, keeping weak stimuli from saturating the cellular responses. Agonist-dependent desensitization occurs through the successive activities of G protein–coupled receptor kinases (GRKs) and nonvisual arrestins (Ferguson et al., 1996; Krupnick and Benovic, 1998). The agonist-bound GPCR is phosphorylated by a GRK; the phosphorylated GPCR is then bound by the non-visual arrestin. The arrestin will sterically inhibit any further interactions with the heterotrimeric G protein, desensitizing the receptor. The nonvisual arrestins will then shuttle the activated GPCR to a coated pit, promoting the internalization of this receptor. The internalized receptor will then move from the clathrin-coated vesicle through the early endosome and frequently recycle back to the cell surface, returning the cell to its prior level of responsiveness (Pitcher et al., 1995; Krueger et al., 1997). Despite the tremendous progress in understanding the mechanism and regulation of GPCR agonist-dependent desensitization, much less is known of the physiological requirements for this process in living animals, especially in the context of odor perception.
shortly before eclosion, can rescue these defects.

In the *Drosophila* genome, there are 60 odorant receptor (OR) genes (Clyne *et al.*, 1999; Gao and Chess, 1999; Vosshall *et al.*, 1999). The mechanism of *Drosophila* OR transduction is presently unknown; however, vertebrate ORs are known to couple to heterotrimeric G proteins. The *Drosophila* ORs are inserted into the cilia membranes of the olfactory receptor neurons (ORNs). These cilia are located in sensilla that are distributed on the third antennal segment and the maxillary palps. The sensilla contain the cilia from one to four ORNs, each of which expresses one OR. ORNs project back to the antennal lobes where they synapse with antennal lobe projection neurons and local inhibitory neurons within the glomeruli (Stocker, 1994). *Drosophila* has a single nonvisual arrestin, *kurtz* (*krz*; Roman *et al.*, 2000). Herein, we show that *krz* is expressed throughout the antenna, including within the ORNs. The absence of *krz* within the antenna of loss-of-function *krz* mutants leads to a marked decrease in olfactory sensitivity, measured both behaviorally and electrophysiologically. The targeted expression of *krz* within the ORNs, beginning shortly before eclosion, can rescue these defects.

Materials and methods

**Fly stocks and genetics**

All the stocks were raised at room temperature on standard cornmeal, sucrose, and yeast food. The flies used for the behavioral assays were raised at 25°C, 60% humidity, and with 12 h of light per day during the first 4 days and then followed by twice daily 37°C heat shocks in a cycling incubator for 10 days. Each heat shock lasted 1.5 h. After this period, the bottles were placed at room temperature, and the flies were allowed to eclose. The generated flies were harvested immediately after eclosion, their antennae checked for structural defects, and placed at 18°C for 4 days before the behavioral assay, electroantennogram (EAG) measurement, and immunohistochemistry. Flies with detectable structural defects were not used for behavior or electrophysiology. These same conditions were also used for all control genotypes. The *krz* allele, the b5.8T4 or b5.8T12 genomic *krz* transgenes, and the UAS*krz*T12 eDNA transgene were originally described in Roman *et al.* (2000). The third multiple 3 (TM3) P{hs-hid}14, P{hsGal4*489-2-1}, and c155^Gαlo*Gal4* lines were obtained from Bloomington Stock Center. The P{Or83b-Gal4:vp16} lines were obtained from Dean Smith (University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center). The P{Or83b-Gal4:vp16} lines drive expression from upstream activation sequence (UAS) transgenes in approximately 70% ORNs (Kalidas and Smith, 2002). In order to generate adult flies that are deficient in *krz* expression, we used heat shock Gal4-induced *krz* activity to rescue the developmental lethality of the *krz* allele. The *krz* homozygotes (*krz*; P{UAS*krz*}T12/+; *krz*^+/+; P{hsGal4*}/*krz*^+^) were generated from the cross of P{UAS*krz*}T12; *krz*^f/TM3 hshid by *krz*^+^, P{hsGal4*489-2-1}/TM3 hshid. The c155-rescued homozygotes (c155; *krz*^+/+; P{UAS*krz*}T12/+; *krz*^+/+^) were generated from the cross of c155^Gal4*; *krz*^f/TM3 hshid by P{UAS*krz*}T12; *krz*^f/TM3 hshid. The Or83b-Gal4-rescued homozygotes were generated from either Or83bGal4; *krz*^+/+^, P{hsGal4*489-2-1}/TM3 by P{UAS*krz*}T12; Or83b, *krz*^+/+^/TM3 hshid by *krz*^+/+^, P{hsGal4*489-2-1}/TM3 hshid (Or83b on the second chromosome: P{UAS*krz*}T12/+; Or83bGal4; *krz*^+^) or P{UAS*krz*}T12; Or83b, *krz*^f/TM3 hshid by *krz*^f^, P{hsGal4*489-2-1}/TM3 hshid (Or83b on the third chromosome: P{UAS*krz*}T12/+; Or83bGal4; *krz*^f^).

**Behavioral assay**

The behavioral responses of the flies to different aversive and attractive odors were examined in an olfactory T-maze (Tully and Quinn, 1985). The testing was performed under dim red light at 24–25°C and 63–68% relative humidity. Odors were diluted in 10 ml of light mineral oil to the stated concentrations. In the T-maze apparatus, the odor was delivered into one arm after the airstreams were bubbled through the odorant diluted in mineral oil; fresh air was blown into the other arm after bubbling through light mineral oil. The airflow in these experiments was maintained at 500 ml/min. Groups of ~30 flies were placed between arms and allowed 2 min to move to a preferred side. The response index was calculated as the number of flies in the odorant-containing arm minus that of flies in the air arm and then divided by the total number of flies found in both arms (Devaud *et al.*, 2001). The flies trapped in the central compartment were counted but not included in the calculation. A minimum of 16 groups were assayed for each data point. The odorants 4-methylcyclohexanol (MCH), benzaldehyde (BEN), 1-butanol, propionic acid (PA), isoamyl acetate (IA), and ethyl acetate (EA) were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (St Louis, MO). Fluka brand 3-octanol was also obtained from Sigma-Aldrich. The maximum purity available was used.

Several changes were made for the test of behavioral responsiveness EA. The odorant was diluted in 40 ml of mineral oil instead of 10 ml. The flies were given 3 min to choose between arms in this experiment. Statistical analysis was carried out by using StatView v5.01 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC). One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to analyze the mean avoidance responses of different genotypes in the T-maze behavioral assay. Significant genotype effects in these ANOVAs were followed by Bonferroni–Dunn post hoc analysis.
EAG measurements

The entrainment of flies and the method of recording were as described previously (Krishnan et al., 1999; Tanoue et al., 2004). Odor stimulation was achieved with diluted MCH (1:10^4) or octanol (OCT) (1:10^6). For measuring the kinetics of recovery, the response of each fly to a 1-s pulse of MCH or OCT was measured at the beginning of each experiment. The fly was then exposed to an adapting stimulus of a 30-s pulse of MCH or OCT. Recovery from adaptation was assessed at 5, 30, and 60 s and every 1 min thereafter, by measuring EAG response to a 1-s pulse of the odorant. The percentage of recovery with respect to the preadaptation stimulus was calculated for each time point. Statistical analysis for differences between time and genotype (two-way ANOVA) was performed using StatView software v5.01 (SAS Institute Inc.).

Immunohistochemistry

Two polyhistidine-tagged KRZ fusion proteins were made by cloning kroz cDNA fragments into PRSETB (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA). One fusion protein (BEARR) was made by cloning the BamHI–EcoRI p478c fragment into the corresponding sites of PRSETB, and the second (SEARR) was made by cloning the SalI–EcoRI fragment into the XhoI–EcoRI sites of PRSETB. These fusion proteins were produced and purified as previously described (Roman et al., 1998). One New Zealand White female rabbit was injected for each fusion protein. Both fusion proteins were also coupled to Reacti-Gel 6X CDI-agarose according to the manufacturer’s recommendations (Pierce, Rockford, IL). These agarose-coupled fusion proteins were used to purify antibodies according to the methods of Smith and Fisher (1984).

The immunohistochemistry experiments were performed as previously described with some modifications (Roman et al., 1998). Fly heads were mounted in “Heisenberg collars,” frozen in optimal cutting media (Sakura Finetek, Torrence, CA), and cut into 12-µm frontal cryosections. The sections were placed onto Superfrost Plus slides (Fisher Scientific, Hampton, NH) and warmed for 5 min on a slide warmer. Sections were then fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde in phosphate buffered saline, high salt with Tween 20 (PBHT) for 20 min at room temperature. The fixed sections were rinsed with three consecutive 10-min incubations in PBHT. The sections were first blocked by 5% normal goat sera in PBHT for 3 h and then incubated with primary antibodies diluted in PBHT plus 5% normal goat sera overnight at room temperature. Unbound antibodies were washed off by three successive 10-min PBHT incubations. The secondary antibodies were incubated at room temperature for 3 h. The affinity-purified anti-KURTZ rabbit polyclonal antibody was used at a 1:200 dilution, and the monoclonal anti-elav (early embryonic abnormal vision; DSHB, University of Iowa) was used at a 1:25 dilution. The anti-rabbit–Alexa594 and anti-mouse–Alexa488 (Molecular Probes, Portland, OR) secondary antibodies were used at a dilution of 1:200. For immunohistochemistry on paraffin sections shown in Figure 3C,D, paraffin was removed from the sections with 2-min incubations in xylene (Fisher Scientific). The sections were next hydrated by successive 5-min incubations in a series of decreasing ethanol concentrations (100%, 80%, 60%, 40%, 20%, 0% ethanol). It was also necessary to perform antigen retrieval in order to detect kroz. To uncover the KRZ antigen, the hydrated slides were placed in 1× phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) heated to 95°C for 5 min. The slides were then removed and placed into 1× PBS at room temperature for 10 min prior to immunoblocking.

Results

KURTZ is highly expressed in the olfactory system

In order to determine the expression pattern of the kroz gene product and to measure the requirement of kroz in olfaction, we needed adult kroz loss-of-function flies. Since the kroz mutation results in lethality prior to pupa formation, we used a heat shock Gal4 driver to induce kroz expression during development. After eclosion, the flies were transferred to 18°C for 4 days to reduce the hspGal4 driver activity and thereby turn off kroz expression. Most of the kroz–rescued homozygotes (P[UASkroz]T12/+; kroz¹, P[hspegal4]/kroz¹) generated with this procedure have normal external sensory structures (Roman et al., 2000). Many broods, however, produce flies with a range of antennal structural defects (Figure 1). The flies with the most severe defects generally emerged much later than the homozygotes with normal antenna. These defects were never found in the heterozygotes, the b5.8T; kroz¹ genomic–rescued flies, or the c155; kroz¹ pan-neuronal–rescued flies, but similar defects were found in the kroz¹ homozygotes carrying either of the tested Or83bGal4 drivers. Thus, the antennal developmental defect stems from a neural requirement of kroz, but expression driven by the OR83bGal4 driver is insufficient to rescue this phenotype.

We next examined the levels of the kroz gene product within the antennae of kroz¹–rescued homozygotes (P[UASkroz]T12/+; kroz¹, P[hspegal4]/kroz¹) and control genotypes. KRZ immunoreactivity was expressed throughout the sensory systems of the second and third antennal segments in wild-type flies (Figures 2A and 3). The second antennal segment contains Johnston’s organ, the primary auditory organ for adult Drosophila, while the third antennal segment is the primary olfactory organ of the adult fly (Miller, 1950). KRZ was present in all cells of the third
antennal segment (see Figures 2A and 3C and compare to Figure 2C). In the ORNs, kurtz expression can be seen in the axonal tracks entering the lumen of the third antennal segment; KRZ was also found at low levels in the olfactory sensilla of wild-type antennae (Figures 2A and 3). KRZ immunoreactivity was rarely detected in the heat shock–rescued krz1 homozygotes, and when found, it was limited to very few cells; the expression of the elav nuclear neuronal marker remained unchanged, indicating that the neuron fate was not grossly altered (Figures 2B and 3D). The absence of detectable kurtz expression within the antenna indicates that these krz1 homozygous adults, which have been rescued through development by the induced expression of a kurtz cDNA, can be used as severe reduction-of-function mutants for behavioral analysis. Interestingly, the expression level of KRZ did not change 3 h after a 1-h heat shock in the rescued krz1 mutant antennae, indicating that the hspGal4 driver did not induce krz transcription in the mature antenna (data not shown). The c155 pan-neuronal–rescued krz1 homozygotes expressed high levels of KRZ within the neurons of the second and third antennal segments (Figure 2C). The P {Or83b-Gal4}-containing heat shock–rescued krz1 flies exhibited a strong KRZ immunoreactivity in the ORNs of the third antennal segment but not in the second antennal segment or within neurons of the central brain (Figure 2D; data not shown). KRZ immunoreactivity is more clearly seen in the ORN axonal tracks and cilia of both the c155-rescued krz1 homozygotes and the P {Or83b-Gal4}-containing heat shock–rescued krz1 flies than in the wild-type antenna.

**kurtz is required for the normal behavioral responsiveness to odors**

The expression of the krz gene product in the ORNs of the third antennal segment suggested that it may have a role in regulating olfactory responses. We tested this hypothesis by measuring the behavioral responses to six different odorants at both lower and higher concentrations. The four genotypes, krz1, krz1+/+, hspGal4/+, and b5.8; krz1, were generated and tested under the same conditions. The heterozygous flies controlled for any possible dominant effects of the Gal4 and P {UASkrz} transgenes found within the krz1 homozygous mutants. The hspGal4/+ flies were used as the wild-type controls. The b5.8T4 (or T12); krz1 flies are genomic-transgene–rescued krz1 homozygotes; these genomic fragments rescue both the krz lethality and melanotic tumor phenotypes (Roman et al., 2000). All four genotypes exhibited aversion to MCH at 0.01%, 0.05%, 0.1%, and 0.15% (Figure 4A). The krz1 flies were significantly less sensitive to all odor concentrations than both hspGal4/+ and krz1+/+ flies. The heterozygous controls were not statistically different from wild type, demonstrating the krz1 odor avoidance phenotype was recessive and therefore not due to effects of the rescuing P elements. In addition, the b5.8T12; krz1 flies had higher avoidance scores than the krz1 flies at every concentration, although significant differences were found only at 0.05% and 0.1% MCH. Similar results were obtained when we tested for avoidance to BEN, OCT, IA, and PA where at all concentrations the krz1 flies displayed
Figure 2  KURTZ is highly expressed in the olfactory system. KURTZ is shown to be expressed in the ORNs in the second and third antennal segments in the wild-type (A) (w1118), heat shock–rescued (B) (krz¹), pan-neuron–rescued (C) (c155; krz¹), and olfactory receptor–rescued homozygotes (D) (Or83bGal4, krz¹). Affinity-purified α-KURTZ antibody was detected with goat anti-rabbit–Alexa488 and is shown in green. α-elav antibody is a pan-neuron nuclear marker shown in magenta and was detected with goat anti-mouse–Alexa594. Overlapping expression is shown in white. The genotypes of the flies are (A) w¹¹¹⁸ = w¹¹¹⁸; +; +; (B) krz¹ = P(UASkrz)T12/+; krz¹; P{hspGal4}/krz¹; (C) c155; krz¹ = c155/+; P{UASkrz}T12/+; krz¹/krz¹; and (D) Or83bGal4, krz¹ = P(UASkrz)T12/+; krz¹, P{hspGal4}/krz¹, Or83bGal4 (III).
Figure 3  KURTZ is found in axonal tracts and at low levels in sensilla. (A) A higher magnification of the w^{1118} antenna shown in Figure 2A. KRZ immunoreactivity is shown in green, and the nuclear elav protein is shown in magenta. The white arrowheads point to lightly stained sensilla. (B) A higher magnification of the c155; krz^{1} antenna shown in Figure 2C. \(\alpha\)-KRZ labels all cells in wild-type third antennal segments but only a subset in the c155; krz^{1} antenna. (C) KRZ immunoreactivity is shown in brown in this paraffin section of a w^{1118} antenna. The staining is found throughout the third antennal segments, including the axonal tracts leaving the third segment, which are indicated by the black arrowheads. (D) \(\alpha\)-KRZ antibody does not stain paraffin sections of krz^{1} (P[UASkrz]T12/+; krz^{1}, P[hspGal4]krz^{1}) antenna.

Figure 4  krz^{1} mutants demonstrate reduced olfactory avoidance. All genotypes were raised at the same conditions and examined for avoidance of different aversive odorants at the listed concentrations. A minimum of 16 groups were assayed for each data point. Significant effects of genotype were found for each odorant and concentration. In almost all cases, the krz^{1} exhibited a lower avoidance to the tested odorants than other groups. The b5.8; krz^{1} -rescued flies had significantly higher avoidance scores than krz^{1} at most concentrations of each odorant tested, with the exception of 0.01% MCH, 0.005% BEN, and both concentrations of PA where no significant difference was found. The asterisk (*) indicates a significant difference with the hspGal4/+ control according to Bonferroni–Dunn post hoc analysis. The dagger (†) indicates where the b5.8; krz^{1} -rescued flies are significantly different from the hspGal4/+ genotype. The b5.8; krz^{1} -rescued flies were significantly different from the krz^{1}/+ heterozygous flies at both concentrations of BEN but not for any other odor. The data demonstrate the olfactory deficit is due to the loss-of-kurtz function. The tested genotypes are as follows: 1. krz^{1} = P[UASkrz]T12/+; krz^{1}, P[hspGal4]krz^{1}; 2. krz^{1}/+ = P[UASkrz]T12/+; krz^{1}/P[hspGal4]; 3. hspGal4/+ = P[hspGal4]/+; and 4. b5.8; krz^{1} = P[b5.8T12]; krz^{1} (in A, B, C) or P[b5.8T4]; krz^{1} (in D, E, F).
a significantly lower avoidance compared to hspGal4/+ wild type (Figure 4). The krz genomic transgenes completely rescued the krz'/krz' phenotype for OCT, butanol, and IA avoidance at both higher and lower concentrations. These genomic transgenes did not effectively rescue the avoidance to BEN and PA, perhaps due to the reduced or incomplete krz expression resulting from position effects of the rescuing transgene. No significant difference was found between the heterozygous flies and the hspGal4/+ wild-type control in these experiments. The rescued krz'/krz' homozygotes were also tested for defects in odor attraction (Figure 5). EA is a relatively attractive odorant for flies at lower concentrations and becomes increasingly repellant at higher concentrations. The rescued krz'/krz' homozygotes again displayed a shift toward reduced sensitivity. At 0.02% and 0.2% EA, the krz'/krz' flies demonstrated attraction and avoidance, respectively, that was not significantly different than the control genotypes (Figure 5). However, at the lowest and highest concentrations of EA, the krz'/krz' flies demonstrated significantly reduced responses to EA. This phenotype was not observed in the heterozygous flies or in the b5.8T4-rescued krz'/krz' homozygotes, demonstrating that this behavioral defect is due to the loss of krz function. Thus, krz is required for normal sensitivity to both attractive and aversive odors.

**kurtz expression in ORNs is required for normal odor avoidance**

By expressing the krz cDNA in different neurons of krz' homozygotes, we have determined the cells responsible for the reduced sensitivity to odors. The lethality of krz' can be rescued by the pan-neuronal expression of a krz cDNA (Roman et al., 2000). These flies (c155/+; P[UASkrz]/T12/+; krz'/krz') express KRZ throughout the nervous system, with no detectable expression outside of the nervous system (Figure 2C; data not shown). The neural expression in the c155; krz'/krz' flies results in significantly greater avoidance to MCH and BEN than in the krz' homozygotes (Figure 6). The c155; krz'/krz' flies avoided both odors similarly to the c155; krz'/krz' flies and the hspGal4/+; P[UASkrz]/T12/+; krz'/krz' heterozygous control flies. These data demonstrate a neural requirement for krz for normal olfactory sensitivity.

The neural focus of krz activity for olfaction was further defined with a pair of OR83b promoter-Gal4 drivers. These OR83bGal4 P elements drive the expression of krz specifically in approximately 70% of ORNs (Kalidas and Smith, 2002). These two Gal4 drivers were incorporated into the krz' genotype (e.g., P[UASkrz]/T12/+; krz', P[hspGal4]/krz', Or83bGal4), thereby providing KRZ expression within the ORNs, in an otherwise krz' nervous system (Figure 2D; data not shown). The resulting ORN-rescued krz'/krz' flies displayed significantly greater olfactory avoidance to MCH than the krz'/krz' homozygotes and is not significantly different from the control genotypes (Figure 7). Interestingly, both of these krz', ORN-rescued genotypes displayed the same range of antennal defects seen with the krz'/krz' homozygotes (Figure 1). The ability of the OR83bGal4 drivers to rescue the behavioral deficit, but not the developmental defect, led us to ask when, during development, this driver activates transcription within the ORNs. Both of these drivers did not begin to activate green fluorescent protein (GFP) reporter expression until approximately 82 h after puparium formation (APF) in almost mature ORNs (Figure 8). This is consistent with the OR83b gene, in which message
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**Figure 5** krz' mutants demonstrate reduced olfactory attraction. The krz' homozygotes and control genotypes were tested for attraction and avoidance to different concentrations of EA. The control genotypes were attracted to lower concentrations of EA (0.01% and 0.02%) but avoided higher concentrations (0.2% and 0.4%). The krz' mutants demonstrated a significantly less attraction to 0.01% EA and significantly less avoidance to 0.4% EA than the other genotypes. The asterisk (*) indicates a significant difference between krz' and all other genotypes according to Bonferroni–Dunn post hoc analysis.

![Expression of kurtz in the nervous system rescues the deficit in olfactory avoidance](image-url)

**Figure 6** Expression of kurtz in the nervous system rescues the deficit in olfactory avoidance. Flies were tested for avoidance to 0.005% BEN (white bars) and 0.05% MCH (gray bars). The c155; krz' homozygotes expressing a krz cDNA within the nervous system have significantly higher avoidance scores than heat shock–rescued krz' homozygotes. The level of KURTZ present within the c155-rescued antenna can be seen in Figure 2C. The minimum n for MCH = 9 and for BEN = 16. Significant effects of genotype were found for both odors. The asterisk (*) indicates a significant difference from c155; krz' according to Bonferroni–Dunn post hoc analysis. The exact genotypes used are as follows: krz' = P(UASkrz)/T12/+; krz', P[hspGal4]/krz'; c155; krz' = c155/+; P(UASkrz)/T12/+; krz'/krz'; and c155; krz'/+ = c155/+; P(UASkrz)/T12/+; krz'/+.
Figure 7  *kurtz* is required in ORNs for normal olfaction. The avoidance to 0.05% MCH of ORN-rescued *krz*° homozygotes and control genotypes is shown. Both the chromosome 2 (A) and chromosome 3 (B) *P*(Or83b-Gal4) *P* elements were used independently to drive *krz* expression within the ORNs of the *krz*° homozygotes. The level of KURTZ present within P elements was as follows: *P*(Or83b-Gal4)–rescued ORNs. Both the chromosome 2 (III); OR83b, *P*(Or83b-Gal4)–rescued *krz*° homozygotes have significantly higher avoidance scores than heat shock–rescued *krz* homozygotes. The minimum *n* = 16 for panel (A) and 18 for panel (B). Significant effects of genotype were found in both experiments. The asterisk (*) indicates a significant difference of *krz*° from all the other genotypes according to Bonferroni–Dunn post hoc analysis. These data indicate that *kurtz* is required in ORNs for normal MCH sensitivity. The complete genotypes were as follows: *krz*° = *P*(UASkrz)T12/+; *krz*°, *P*(hspGal4)/*krz*°. In panel (A): Or83b; *krz*° = *P*(UASkrz)T12P[Or83b-Gal4]; *krz*°, *P*(hspGal4)/*krz*°, Or83b; *krz*°/+ = *P*(Or83b-Gal4)/+; *krz*°/+; T12/Or83b; *krz*°/+ = *P*(UASkrz)T12/P[Or83b-Gal4]; *krz*°, *P*(hspGal4)/+; in panel (B): Or83b, *krz*° = *P*(UASkrz)T12/+; *krz*°, *P*(hspGal4)/*krz*°, Or83bGal4 (III); Or83b, *krz*°/+ = *P*(UASkrz)T12/+; *P*(hspGal4)/*krz*°, Or83bGal4; *krz*°/+ = *P*(UASkrz)T12/+; *krz*°/P[hspGal4].

becomes detectable within the ORNs at 80 h APF; a time lag between the transcription of Gal4 in the OR83bGal4 drivers and the activation of transcription of the UAS responder would be expected (Larsson et al., 2004). Therefore, *krz* expression within essentially mature ORNs is sufficient for normal avoidance of MCH in the *krz*° mutants, indicating that for wild-type behavioral responses to odors the requirement for *krz* may entirely lie within the ORNs.

*kurtz* is required for normal electrophysiological responses to odors

The localization of the *krz* olfactory defect to the ORNs suggested that these cells may have defects in the perception and initial transduction of olfactory information. To address this question, we measured the initial odor-induced electrophysiological responses in *krz*° homozygotes with EAGs (Figure 9). The *krz*° homozygotes displayed significantly lower EAG amplitudes to both MCH and OCT than the control hspGal4/+ line. Like the *krz*° behavioral defect, the reduced EAG amplitude was receptive and reversed by the targeted expression of the *krz* cDNA within the ORNs (Figure 9). Interestingly, there is a 40% reduction in EAG amplitude found in the *krz*° homozygotes for 0.01% MCH, while the behavioral avoidance to this concentration results in a 49% reduction in the avoidance index of *krz*° homozygotes avoiding the odor (Figure 3A). Even so, direct comparisons between the strength of a behavioral avoidance of an odorant and the electrophysiological response to that odor found in an EAG should be interpreted carefully as the context for these different responses are very different and they may also have different detection thresholds or nonlinear components that can be saturated. In summary, the loss-of-*kurtz* function reduces the summed receptor potentials elicited by odors; this defect in the early stages of olfactory transduction can, at least partially, account for the behavioral defects of the *krz*° homozygotes.

We next wanted to see if *krz* mutants experienced deficits in olfactory adaptation. Olfactory adaptation is a short-term reduction in olfactory sensitivity brought about by an exposure to high concentrations of odorants. In *Drosophila*, the exposure to high concentrations of odorants brings about a significant reduction in olfactory responsiveness as measured by EAGs, which lasts for several minutes after the initial exposure (Stortkuhl et al., 1999). In *Caenorhabditis elegans*, the behavioral adaptation to high odor concentrations is controlled by the nonvisuax arrestin (Palmitessa et al., 2005). In newts, the primary molecular event that controls olfactory adaptation acts downstream of cyclic adenosine 3':5' monophosphate (cAMP) production and therefore after OR activation (Kurahashi and Menini, 1997). In these vertebrates, olfactory adaptation appears to occur through the negative feedback of Ca++ on the affinity of the cyclic nucleotide-gated Ca++ channel. If *krz* is responsible for the desensitization of activated ORs within the cilia, then the loss of *krz* activity would increase the magnitude and duration of OR activation. From this we can make two predictions regarding olfactory adaptation. If adaptation in *Drosophila* results from events downstream of the OR activation similar to vertebrates, then *krz*° mutant antenna should show increases in the magnitude of adaptation, that is, the loss of *krz* activity would resemble stronger odor stimuli, leading to greater adaptation. Alternatively, if adaptation is dependent on the agonist-dependent desensitization similar to *C. elegans*, then the *krz*° mutants will fail to display adaptation. We tested these two predictions by examining the reduction and recovery of receptor potentials after a prolonged stimulus in the *krz*° homozygotes and hspGal4/+ flies (Figure 10). In these experiments, a 30-s stimulus of odorant was applied to induce adaptation. Following this stimulus, EAGs elicited by a 1-s pulse of the same odorant were recorded at defined intervals (Figure 10). Even though the *krz*° homozygotes were less sensitive to odorants in general, they still displayed adaptation of the EAG responses. In these experiments, we found significant differences between the *krz*° homozygotes and hspGal4/+ flies with both OCT and MCH. However, the differences were limited and
not consistent between odorants. For example, 5 s after the adapting stimulus, the krz1 homozygotes were significantly less sensitive to OCT, but they were more sensitive to MCH than the control flies. These data suggest that, while krz has a dramatic effect on maintaining olfactory sensitivity, this gene does not have a major role in regulating a decreased sensitivity and recovery rate after strong and persistent exposure to odors.

Discussion

Herein we have demonstrated that there are at least two requirements in olfaction for the krz nonvisual arrestin: one developmental and the other postdevelopmental. The adult rescued loss-of-function krz mutations have an incompletely penetrant structural defect in the antenna. This recessive phenotype is rescued by the expression of a krz cDNA in the nervous system but not by the late expression of krz within the ORNs. The krz1 mutants without the visible structural defects have a blunted behavioral responsiveness to odorants. This latter phenotype is a general shift toward reduced sensitivity at several concentrations and affects both aversive and attractive odorants. The defect in olfactory sensitivity was shown to be recessive and was mapped to the krz locus both by a genomic transgene and through the targeted expression of a krz cDNA within the ORNs. The behavioral defect is also accompanied by a reduced odor-induced receptor potential within the third antennal segment. The reduced EAG amplitude was also recessive and can be rescued by late expression of the krz cDNA within the ORNs. Thus,
**Post hoc** Bonferroni–Dunn type for OCT and MCH. The asterisk (*) indicates significant difference by the adapting stimulus. There were significant effects of both time and genotype of either (A) OCT or (B) MCH. The percentage of EAG recovery is shown as the amplitude elicited by 1-s pulses of odor at the indicated times following the adapting stimulus. There were significant effects of both time and genotype for OCT and MCH. The asterisk (*) indicates significant difference by Bonferroni–Dunn post hoc analysis. Independent flies were used for the two odors. $n = 8$ females of each genotype in each experiment.

**Figure 10** Olfactory adaptation and recovery in $krz^1$ homozygotes. The EAGs of $krz^1$ homozygotes (P(UAS$krz$)T12/+; $krz^1$, P(hspGal4)$krz^1$) and hspGal4/+ flies were measured prior to and following a 30-s adapting stimulus of either (A) OCT or (B) MCH. The percentage of EAG recovery is shown as the amplitude elicited by 1-s pulses of odor at the indicated times following the adapting stimulus. There were significant effects of both time and genotype for OCT and MCH. The asterisk (*) indicates significant difference by Bonferroni–Dunn post hoc analysis. Independent flies were used for the two odors. $n = 8$ females of each genotype in each experiment.

This hypothesis is also consistent with our observation that the later a fly ecloses, the more severe is the antennal phenotype. During metamorphosis, most of the rescued $krz$ homozygotes die shortly after the heat shock and none will eclose (Roman et al., 2000). Therefore, a more thorough dissection of the timing of this $krz$ requirement is difficult. Nevertheless, since the pan-neuronal (c155)–rescued $krz$ homozygotes have completely normal antenna, the foci for this developmental requirement is neuronal. The activation of $krz$ activity in the ORN with the OR83bGal4 drivers is too late to rescue the developmental defect. It is also not clear whether the ORNs are responsible for the $krz$-defined developmental requirement.

The $krz$ gene product is present in adult ORNs, suggesting a continued physiological requirement for this gene. The rescue of both the olfactory avoidance and the reduced receptor potential phenotypes with the OR83bGal4 driver places the earliest time point for a $krz$ requirement for these responses at just before eclosion. By this time ($\sim 85$ h APF), the ORNs have all differentiated, the antennal nerve has stopped expanding, ORN axons have found their targets in the antennal lobe, and at least some of the ORs have begun to accumulate in the cell bodies awaiting transfer to the cilia (Stocker et al., 1995; Clyne et al., 1999; Elmore and Smith, 2001; Jefferis et al., 2004). The OR83b gene is required to shuttle most ORs into the cilia, allowing for proper subcellular expression of these receptors (Larsson et al., 2004). The $krz$ gene product may function in the maturation of the dendritic component of the ORN, and it may have a function that would be persistently required for odor sensitivity. However, since the $krz^1$ mutants can respond to odors, this gene is not essential for the odor response, indicating that either there are redundant functions for this gene or $krz$ acts as a modifier of the olfaction-signaling pathway.

The earliest step in odor-induced signal transduction within the *Drosophila* ORNs is thought to involve the activation of the ORs within the cilia membranes. These ORs are members of a GPCR family, although it is not known if they, in fact, couple to G proteins. In *Xenopus* oocytes, however, the OR43a protein was capable of coupling with a human G$i\zeta$5-containing G protein, suggesting that these receptors may also couple to heterotrimeric G proteins *in vivo* (Wetzel et al., 2001). The vertebrate nonvisual arrestins regulate the activity of GPCRs in two ways: first, by binding to phosphorylated, agonist-bound receptors and thereby inhibiting further interactions of the activated GPCR with heterotrimeric G proteins and, second, by drawing the receptor into the endocytotic machinery where the GPCR is dephosphorylated and can be recycled back to the plasma membrane. Nonvisual arrestins promote the endocytosis of activated GPCRs through the clathrin-binding domain and an adaptor protein (AP)-2–binding domain. The interaction of nonvisual arrestins with the $\beta_3$-adaptin subunit of the AP-2 appears to be the rate-limiting step in bringing the GPCR–arrestin complex into clathrin-coated pits (Kirchhausen

$kraz$—the only nonvisual arrestin in *Drosophila*—is required essentially postdevelopmentally for generating normal receptor potentials after olfactory stimulation, and this reduced responsiveness to odors may account for most of the reduced sensitivity in olfactory behavioral responses.

An important question arising from this study is when $krz$ is required for these two requirements. The $krz$ gene is broadly expressed in the late third instar antennal imaginal discs, consistent with a role for this gene during development of this organ (Roman et al., 2000). The variable penetrance and expressivity of the structural antennal defect in the rescued $krz^1$ homozygotes are most likely due to differences in the induced expression of $krz$ between individuals during development, which may relate to how old they are when $krz$ induction ceases. The induction of $krz$ activity in wandering third instar homozygotes results in a very low rate of antennal defects (Roman et al., 2000; data not shown). However, in our preparation of $krz$ mutants, some homozygotes will receive the final heat shock induction of $krz$ activity as a late L2 to an early L3 instar and, as a consequence, may have less $krz$ gene product available during early to mid metamorphosis, when the antenna structure develops, than the older flies.
et al., 1997). Most nonvisual arrestin–GPCR complexes dissociate at the coated pit, but in a few cases, the complex persists through internalization (Pitcher et al., 1995; Krueger et al., 1997; Oakley et al., 1999). The activity of nonvisual arrestins is not limited, however, to members of the GPCR superfamily but includes the ability to bind to and internalize a larger group of membrane receptors (Lefkowitz and Shenoy, 2005).

Since the primary step in olfactory signal transduction is the activation of ORs within the cilia of the ORNs, an evident hypothesis is that nonvisual arrestins have the specific role of regulating the responsiveness of these neurons through agonist-dependent desensitization of these receptors. In rat olfactory membranes, pretreatment with neutralizing antibodies against GRK3 or βarrestin-2 lead to dramatic increases in odor-induced cAMP production, which indicates that the GRK3 and βarrestin-2 are most probably desensitizing the OR within these sensory neurons (Dawson et al., 1993; Schleicher et al., 1993). In contrast, the loss of GRK activity in mutants results in a diminished sensitivity to odorants. The deletion of the GRK3 gene results in mice that have reduced odor-induced cAMP production and hence most probably reduced olfactory sensitivity (Peppel et al., 1997). Mutants of the grk-2 gene in C. elegans also have decreased behavioral and physiological responses to odorants (Fukuto et al., 2004). The reduced sensitivity to odorants in these mutants would suggest that the chronic loss of GRK activity leads to a compensatory mechanism that downregulates early events in olfactory signal transduction. It is not presently clear what this mechanism may be. The phenotypes of the mouse and C. elegans GRKs are analogous to those that we report here for the krz gene of Drosophila. It is interesting that loss-of-function mutants in the only arrestin in C. elegans displaying wild-type behavioral sensitivity to odorants, suggesting that the grk-2 gene product can regulate ORs in an arrestin-independent manner (Fukuto et al., 2004; Palmitessa et al., 2005).

Visual arrestins differ from nonvisual arrestins both in their patterns of expression and structurally (Craft and Whitmore, 1995). The vertebrate visual arrestins are specialists; they are located almost exclusively in photoreceptor cells and function primarily to desensitize the opsins (Wilden et al., 1986). The vertebrate βArr1 and βArr2 nonvisual arrestins are generalists; they are expressed in most tissues and can desensitize a large number of GPCRs and non-GPCRs (Parruti et al., 1993; Sterne-Marr et al., 1993; Gurevich et al., 1995; Lefkowitz and Shenoy, 2005). The vertebrate and Drosophila visual arrestins do not have obvious clathrin-binding domains or AP-2–binding domains similar to those located near the carboxyl end of the nonvisual arrestins (Krupnick et al., 1997; Laporte et al., 1999). These two arrestin classes are similar in how they bind to activated GPCRs but differ in the events subsequent to binding (Palczewski et al., 1989; Gurevich et al., 1995; Pitcher et al., 1995). The visual arrestins are released from rhodopsin after this receptor is dephosphorylated on the disc membrane of the rod outer segment (Palczewski et al., 1989; King et al., 1994).

In Drosophila, there are two visual arrestins: arr1 and arr2 (Merrill et al., 2002, 2004). A third Drosophila gene, CG32683, is identified as an arrestin by significant sequence similarity in both the amino- and carboxy-terminal domains, though it is about twice the size of the other arrestins; this atypical arrestin also lacks both the clathrin-binding and AP-2 adaptor–binding domains that structurally and functionally differentiate the nonvisual arrestins from the visual arrestins, suggesting that it may operate as a third visual arrestin (Krupnick et al., 1997; Laporte et al., 1999). The arr1 and arr2 genes are expressed in the antenna and maxillary palps in addition to the photoreceptor neurons, while the expression of CG32683 is presently uncharacterized. Loss-of-function mutations in either of the two characterized visual arrestins have variably reduced EAG amplitudes (Merrill et al., 2002, 2005). The individual arr1 and arr2 mutants displayed different electrophysiological responses to different odors and odor concentrations; these responses were frequently more severe in the arr1, arr2 double mutant, suggesting a functional redundancy between the two genes. Additionally, these mutants had minor differences in olfactory adaptation as measured by EAGs (Merrill et al., 2005). Together, these results indicate a role for these two visual arrestins in modulating olfactory responsiveness.

Although our data demonstrate a role for krz in regulating the early events in olfactory signal transduction, it is not clear whether krz affects ORs through the canonical desensitization–resensitization cycle of vertebrate nonvisual arrestins. Even though KRZ is found, albeit at low levels, within the sensilla and therefore may directly interact with the activated ORs, we did not find that krz had a considerable effect on olfactory adaptation to OCT or MCH; the kinetics of desensitization and resensitization of the receptor potentials in the krz/− homozygotes were similar to wild-type controls. The reduced agonist-dependent desensitization of ORs predicted in krz/− homozygotes would be expected to lead to a prolonged activation of downstream effectors. The resulting increase in secondary messengers should lead to increased adaptation (Kurahashi and Menini, 1997). The absence of a prominent and general effect on olfactory adaptation in the krz/− homozygotes could be reconciled with the krz-dependent desensitization of ORs model either if there is a functional redundancy with the visual arrestins or if a separate compensating mechanism may mask this effect. The visual arrestins are also expressed in the ORNs and may provide functional redundancy. Moreover, as stated above, the differences between the effects of short-term inhibition of GRK3 and the GRK3 mutations suggest the presence of such a compensating mechanism in the rodent ORNs, which may also exist in the Drosophila ORNS (Dawson et al., 1993; Schleicher et al., 1993; Peppel et al., 1997; Merrill et al., 2002). It also remains possible that
**krz** is not required for the agonist-dependent desensitization of ORs but has a different role in regulating early events in olfactory signal transduction.

It is not known if *Drosophila* ORs are internalized after odor presentation. In catfish, agonist-bound metabotropic glutamate receptors are internalized into the dendrites and cell bodies of ORNs through a clathrin- and dynamin-dependent pathway, indicating that the machinery for internalization of ORs is present in these cells (Rankin et al., 1999). Nevertheless, the internalization and resensitization of desensitized ORs seems unlikely to occur within the olfactory cilia through the clathrin-dependent internalization pathway. The *Drosophila* olfactory cilia are long, branched processes that are as thin as 50 nm in diameter within the large basiconic sensilla (Shanbhag et al., 1999). Clathrin-coated vesicles appear to come in two sizes: 60 or 100 nm in diameter (Stoorvogel et al., 1996). Thus, even if a single clathrin-coated vesicle was internalized within a basiconic cillum, it would likely occlude protein trafficking and perhaps inhibit signaling from distal parts of the dendrite. It seems unlikely therefore that arrestin-dependent internalization of ORs would take place within the cilia. It would likely occlude protein trafficking and perhaps inhibit signaling from distal parts of the dendrite. The *Drosophila* olfactory cilia are long, branched processes that are as thin as 50 nm in diameter within the large basiconic sensilla (Shanbhag et al., 1999). Clathrin-coated vesicles appear to come in two sizes: 60 or 100 nm in diameter (Stoorvogel et al., 1996). Thus, even if a single clathrin-coated vesicle was internalized within a basiconic cillum, it would likely occlude protein trafficking and perhaps inhibit signaling from distal parts of the dendrite.

The arrestin superfamily: cone arrestins are a fourth family. FEBS Lett., 362, 247–255.
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