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The CDC, National Institutes of Health, and the HIV Medicine Association of the Infectious Diseases Society of America have developed guidelines for treatment of opportunistic infections (OIs) among adults and adolescents infected with human immunodeficiency virus (HIV). These guidelines are intended for clinicians and other health-care providers who care for HIV-infected adults and adolescents, including pregnant women; they complement companion guidelines for treatment of OIs among HIV-infected children and previously published guidelines for prevention of OIs in these populations. They include evidence-based guidelines for treatment of 28 OIs caused by protozoa, bacteria, fungi, and viruses, including certain OIs endemic in other parts of the world but that might be observed in patients in the United States. Each OI section includes information on epidemiology, clinical manifestations, diagnosis, treatment recommendations, monitoring and adverse events, management of treatment failure, prevention of recurrence, and special considerations in pregnancy. Tables address drugs and doses, drug toxicities, drug interactions, adjustment of drug doses in persons with reduced renal function, and data about use of drugs in pregnant women.

INTRODUCTION

Opportunistic infections (OIs) continue to cause morbidity and mortality in patients with human immunodeficiency virus (HIV)-1 infection throughout the world. Potent combination antiretroviral therapy (ART) has reduced the incidence of OIs for certain patients with access to care. However, certain patients in the developed and developing world do not have access to care and have OIs. Other patients do not have a sustained response to antiretroviral agents for multiple reasons, including poor adherence, drug toxicities, drug interactions, or initial acquisition of a drug-resistant strain of HIV-1. Therefore, OIs will continue to cause substantial morbidity and mortality in patients with HIV-1 infection.

The therapy of OIs has changed substantially during the AIDS epidemic. As more information about efficacy, toxicity, and interactions of the drugs to treat and prevent OIs has emerged, management strategies have evolved. New drugs have also become available that occupy important roles in our therapeutic armamentarium.

These guidelines and the accompanying guidelines, Treating Opportunistic Infections Among HIV-Exposed and Infected Children, join two previous guidelines, The United States Public Health Service-Infectious Diseases Society of America Guidelines for the Prevention of Opportunistic Infections in Persons Infected with the Human...
Immunodeficiency Virus and The Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS) Guidelines for the Use of Antiretroviral Agents in HIV-Infected Adults and Adolescents. The current guidelines share key features with their companion guidelines:

- They are labeled as guidelines, indicating that the recommendations should be considered in the context of the individual patient situation and the community where the patient is being managed.
- They are evidence based. For each therapeutic recommendation, the strength and quality of the evidence supporting it are indicated using the rating system of the IDSA.
- They have been developed by a broadly based panel that included representatives from academic medical centers, federal governmental agencies, community-based practices, and consumer advocates. Representatives from Europe, Latin America, Africa, and Asia also took part in the process.
- They are available in print media and on the Internet.
- They are written for physicians and other health-care providers who care for HIV-1-infected persons in the United States and Western Europe where access is available to a full range of up-to-date medical services; however, these recommended strategies might not be feasible or appropriate in all settings where the spectrum of HIV-1-related complications and diagnostic capacity differ from those observed in the United States and Western Europe.
- The guidelines were reviewed by respective members of each panel to ensure the recommendations were complete and in agreement, where possible and appropriate.
- They are endorsed by CDC, the National Institutes of Health, the HIV Medicine Association of the Infectious Diseases Society of America, the Swiss Society for Infectious Diseases, and the European AIDS Clinical Society.
- They are intended to complement more comprehensive textbooks, journals, and other relevant informational materials.
- They will require periodic updating; this will be done primarily on the Internet-based version.
- Information is summarized in 10 tables (Tables 1–10).

HOW TO USE THE INFORMATION IN THIS REPORT

For each of the diseases covered in this report, specific recommendations are provided. Recommendations are rated by the IDSA rating system. In this system, the letters A through E signify the strength of the recommendation for or against a treatment measure, and Roman numerals I through III indicate the quality of evidence supporting the recommendation (Box).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Both strong evidence for efficacy and substantial clinical benefit support recommendation for use. <strong>Should always be offered.</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Moderate evidence for efficacy – or strong evidence for efficacy but only limited clinical benefit – support recommendation for use. <strong>Should generally be offered.</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Evidence for efficacy is insufficient to support a recommendation for or against use. Or evidence for efficacy might not outweigh adverse consequences (e.g. drug toxicity, drug interactions) or cost of the treatment under consideration. <strong>Optional.</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>Moderate evidence for lack of efficacy or for adverse outcome supports a recommendation against use. <strong>Should generally not be offered.</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>Good evidence for lack of efficacy or for adverse outcome supports a recommendation against use. <strong>Should never be offered.</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Quality of evidence supporting the recommendation**

I  Evidence from at least one properly designed randomized, controlled trial.

II Evidence from at least one well-designed clinical trial without randomization, from cohort or case-controlled analytic studies (preferably from more than one center), or from multiple time-series studies. Or dramatic results from uncontrolled experiments.

III Evidence from opinions of respected authorities based on clinical experience, descriptive studies, or reports of expert committees.

**EFFECT OF ANTIRETROVIRAL THERAPY ON THE INCIDENCE AND MANAGEMENT OF OIs**

Data from both randomized controlled trials and observational cohort studies document that antiretroviral therapy (ART) reduces the incidence of OIs and improves survival, independent of the use of antimicrobial prophylaxis, and reduces overall mortality among persons with HIV-1 infection [1–7]. Potent ART does not replace the need for antimicrobial prophylaxis among patients with severe immune suppression. However, ART is the cornerstone of the overall strategy to reduce morbidity attributed to HIV-1-related infections and other HIV-1-related processes.

The clinical benefit of ART in reducing the risk for OIs over
the short term has been best demonstrated for those with a CD4+ T lymphocyte count <200 cells/μL. Studies also support benefit in patients with CD4+ T lymphocyte counts >200 cells/μL, although the overall benefit of starting ART in this population is uncertain. Improvements in specific measures of immune function, including pathogen-specific immunity, have been well documented among patients who initiated ART at CD4+ T lymphocyte counts >200 cells/μL [8–10]. Whether such measures correlate with clinical protection against infection or other HIV-1-related complications remains to be determined.

In addition to preventing OIs, ART can lead to resolution or improvement of certain OIs, most notably for those where specific treatment is not available. Treatment of patients with ART in the setting of an acute OI can result in an exuberant inflammatory reaction that might require the use of anti-inflammatory agents for clinical management. Finally, patients who receive potent ART can have atypical presentations of OIs either early after the initiation of ART or after prolonged treatment.

Specific guidelines for the management of ART in the presence of acute OIs have not previously been developed. Two principal circumstances to consider include the initiation of ART in the setting of an acute OI, and the management of ART when an acute OI occurs in a patient who is already receiving ART. The management in each circumstance will vary depending on the degree of virologic and immunologic disease progression before initiation of ART and the virologic and immunologic benefit resulting from ART, the duration of HIV-1 disease before and since starting ART, and the potential for drug-drug interactions between the ART regimen and the treatment needed for the OI.

INITIATION OF ART IN THE SETTING OF AN ACUTE OI (TREATMENT-NAÏVE PATIENTS)

The benefits of ART in the setting of an acute OI include the improvement in immune function that would potentially contribute to faster resolution of the OI. The beneficial effect of initiating ART during an acute OI has been best demonstrated for OIs for which limited or no effective therapies are available. Reports detailing the resolution of cryptosporidiosis, microsporidiosis, progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy (PML), and Kaposi sarcoma after the initiation of potent ART provide evidence that improving immune function can lead to improved outcome in the setting of an acute OI [11–14]. Another benefit of immediate initiation of potent ART during an acute OI is the reduction in risk for a second OI.

Arguments against the immediate initiation of ART concurrent with the diagnosis of an OI include drug toxicities including additive toxicities, distinguishing toxicities caused by antiretrovirals (ARVs) from toxicities related to drugs used to manage OIs, the potential for drug interactions between OI therapies and ART, and the potential for inflammatory immune reconstitution syndromes to complicate the management of the OI in this setting. Much simpler ART regimens are available for the treatment of HIV-1 disease, diminishing the argument to delay therapy for reasons of complexity. However, overlapping toxicities exist between OI treatments and ART regimens that can complicate the ability to identify drug specific toxicity. Drug interactions pose the biggest problem for the treatment of patients with tuberculosis (TB), but ART regimens compatible with TB treatment are available.

Immune reconstitution syndromes have been described for mycobacterial infections (including disease caused by Mycobacterium avium complex [MAC] and Mycobacterium tuberculosis, Pneumocystis jiroveci pneumonia (PCP), toxoplasmosis, hepatitis B and hepatitis C viruses, cytomegalovirus (CMV) infection, varicella-zoster virus (VZV) infection, cryptococcal infection and PML [12, 15–25]. Immune reconstitution syndromes are characterized by fever and worsening of the clinical manifestations of the OI or new manifestations weeks after the initiation of ART. Determining the absence of recrudescence of the underlying OI and new drug toxicity or a new OI is important. If the syndrome does represent an immune reactivation syndrome, adding nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory agents or corticosteroids to alleviate the inflammatory reaction is appropriate. The inflammation might take weeks or months to subside.

The largest number of published reports of immune reconstitution syndromes is among patients with TB disease. Patients can experience high fevers, worsening lymphadenopathy or transient-to-severe worsening of pulmonary infiltrates, and expanding central nervous system lesions [19, 26, 27]. Such “paradoxical reactions” might be more common among HIV-1-infected patients with TB disease who were started on potent ART compared with those not started on ART and among patients with TB disease who were not HIV-1-infected [19]. Reduction of HIV-1 RNA levels and marked increases in CD4+ T lymphocyte counts have been associated with the occurrence of paradoxical reactions in patients with TB disease or MAC [15, 17, 19, 26]. Although the majority of reactions occur within the first few weeks after initiation of ART, some have occurred up to several months after the initiation of TB therapy or ART.

No randomized controlled trials exist that demonstrate that initiating ART improves outcome for patients being treated with specific therapy for their acute OI. In addition, no data demonstrate that initiation of ART in the setting of an acute OI worsens the prognosis or treatment for that OI. Trials are underway to evaluate the most appropriate timing for initiation of ART in this context.
MANAGEMENT OF ACUTE OIs IN THE SETTING OF ART

OIs that develop after patients have been started on potent ART can be categorized into three groups. The first group includes OIs that occur shortly after initiating ART (within 12 weeks). These cases are thought to be subclinical infections that have been unmasked by early immune reconstitution and are not considered to be early failure of ART [10, 15, 17, 28–31].

The second group includes reports of OIs occurring >12 weeks after initiation of ART among patients with suppressed HIV-1 RNA levels and sustained CD4+ T lymphocyte counts >200 cells/μL [32, 33]. Two cases of spinal MAC among patients with nadir CD4+ T lymphocyte counts <50 cells/μL who had sustained CD4+ T lymphocyte count increases to >200 cells/μL are examples. Determining whether these represent a form of immune reconstitution syndrome as opposed to incomplete immunity with the occurrence of a new OI is difficult. The presence of organisms by stain and culture suggests that, in either situation, specific therapy is indicated.

The third group includes OIs that develop among patients who are experiencing virologic and immunologic failure while on potent ART. These represent clinical failure of ART.

WHEN TO INITIATE ART IN THE SETTING OF AN OI

No consensus has been reached about the optimal time to start ART in the presence of a recently diagnosed OI. The decision to start potent ART should take into consideration the availability of effective therapy for the OI, the risk for drug interactions, overlapping drug toxicities, the risk for and consequences of the development of an inflammatory immune reconstitution syndrome, and the willingness and ability of patients to take and adhere to their regimens.

In cases of cryptosporidiosis, microsporidiosis, PML, and Kaposi sarcoma, the early benefits of potent ART outweigh any increased risk, and potent ART should be started as soon as possible (AIII). In the setting of TB disease, MAC, PCP, and cryptococcal meningitis, awaiting a response to OI therapy is usually warranted before initiating ART (CIII). When an OI occurs within 12 weeks of starting ART, treatment for the OI should be started, and ART should be continued (AIII). When an OI occurs despite complete virologic suppression (i.e., late OI), therapy for the OI should be initiated, potent ART should be continued, and if the CD4+ T cell response to ART has been suboptimal, modification of the ART regimen may be considered (CIII). When an OI occurs in the setting of virologic failure, OI therapy should be started, antiretroviral resistance testing should be performed, and the ART regimen should be modified if possible to achieve better virologic control (AI).

SPECIAL CONSIDERATIONS DURING PREGNANCY

No large studies have been conducted on the epidemiology or manifestations of HIV-1-associated OIs among pregnant women. No data demonstrate that the spectrum differs from that among nonpregnant women with comparable CD4+ T lymphocyte counts. CD4+ T lymphocyte counts characteristically drop during pregnancy, probably because of dilutional effects of the increased plasma volume. CD4+ T lymphocyte percentages are generally more stable and should be used for determining degree of immune suppression during pregnancy [34–36].

Physiologic changes occur during pregnancy that might impact the presentation of acute OIs and the considerations for implementing OI treatment or antiretroviral therapies. These changes include [37]:

- Increased cardiac output by 30%–50% with concomitant increase in glomerular filtration rate, and renal clearance.
- Increased plasma volume by 45%–50% while red cell mass increases only by 20%–30%, leading to dilutional anemia.
- Increased tidal volume and pulmonary blood flow, possibly leading to increased absorption of aerosolized medications. Changes in late pregnancy might affect distribution of aerosolized medication. The tidal volume increase of 30%–40% should be considered if ventilatory assistance is required.
- Placental transfer of drugs, increased renal clearance, altered gastrointestinal absorption, and metabolism by the fetus might affect maternal drug levels.
- Limited pharmacokinetic data are available about the effects of pregnancy on levels of OI therapy drugs. Use usual adult doses based on current weight, monitor levels if available, and consider the possible need to increase doses if the patient is not responding as expected.

Pregnancy also impacts decisions about diagnostic testing. Fetal risk is not increased with cumulative radiation doses below 5 rads. Teratogenesis is observed in animals at doses of 100–200 rads. In humans, the primary risk associated with high dose radiation exposure is growth restriction, microcephaly, and developmental disabilities. The most vulnerable period is 8–15 menstrual weeks of gestation with minimal risk before 8 weeks and after 25 weeks. The apparent threshold for development of mental retardation is 20–40 rads, with risk increasing linearly with increasing exposures above this level. Among children, risk for carcinogenesis might be increased approximately one per 1,000 or less per rad of in utero radiation exposure [38].

The majority of radiographic and nuclear medicine studies result in radiation exposure to the fetus that is much lower than the 5 rad recommended limit; therefore, pregnancy should not preclude usual diagnostic evaluation when an OI is suspected (Table 1) [38–40]. Abdominal shielding should be used...
when feasible to further limit radiation exposure to the fetus. Experience with use of magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) in pregnancy is limited. Although no adverse fetal effects have been reported, the National Radiological Protection Board advises against use of MRI in the first trimester [38].

Other procedures necessary for diagnosis of suspected OIs should be performed in pregnancy as indicated for nonpregnant patients. Pregnant women who are >20 weeks of gestation should not lie flat on their backs but should have the left hip elevated with a wedge to displace the uterus off of the great vessels and prevent supine hypotension. Adequate oxygenation should be maintained.

Because of the serious nature of OIs among HIV-1-infected persons, diagnostic procedures and indicated therapy should not be withheld during pregnancy; the therapy with the least potential toxicity should be selected (Table 2). The predictive value of animal data for effects in humans is unclear. In addition, reproductive studies among animals usually include only one drug at a time, and HIV-1-infected pregnant women might be using multiple antiretroviral, OI, and other drugs concurrently. The potential for enhanced toxicity of combinations of drugs has not been evaluated.

For pregnant women who have had an OI diagnosed and are not on ART, immediate initiation of ART with OI therapy should be encouraged (AIII) [41]. Decisions about immediate versus delayed initiation of ART in pregnancy should take into account gestational age, maternal HIV-1 RNA levels and clinical condition, and potential toxicities and interactions between ART and OI drugs.

Pregnant women with active OIs who receive drugs for which information about their use in pregnancy is limited should have additional evaluation of fetal growth and well-being. After first trimester exposure to agents of uncertain teratogenic potential, a detailed ultrasound examination at 18–20 weeks should be conducted to detect major anomalies, although the ultrasound will not detect all anomalies. For women who receive drugs throughout pregnancy or in the third trimester for which information about their use in pregnancy is limited, an ultrasound should be conducted every 4–6 weeks to assess fetal growth and fluid volume. Pregnant women in the third trimester should be instructed in daily fetal movement counting to detect decreased activity that might indicate fetal compromise. Weekly fetal nonstress testing should be initiated at 32 weeks of gestation unless indicated sooner based on clinical or ultrasound findings [42].

**DISEASE SPECIFIC RECOMMENDATIONS**

**Pneumocystis jiroveci Pneumonia**

**Epidemiology.** *Pneumocystis jiroveci* pneumonia (PCP) is caused by *Pneumocystis jiroveci*, a ubiquitous organism classified as a fungus but that shares biologic characteristics with protozoa. The taxonomy of the organism has been changed; *Pneumocystis carinii* now refers only to the pneumocystis that infects rodents, and *Pneumocystis jiroveci* refers to the distinct species that infects humans. The abbreviation PCP is still used to designate *Pneumocystis* pneumonia. Initial infection with *P. jiroveci* usually occurs in early childhood; two thirds of healthy children have antibody to *P. jiroveci* by age 2–4 years [43]. PCP is a result either of reactivation of latent infection or new exposure to the organism. Rodent studies and case clusters among immunosuppressed patients indicate that spread among persons can occur by the airborne route. Disease probably occurs by new acquisition and by reactivation [44, 45].

Before the widespread use of primary PCP prophylaxis and effective ART, PCP occurred in 70%–80% of patients with AIDS [46]. The course of treated PCP was associated with a mortality of 20%–40% in persons with profound immunosuppression. Approximately 90% of cases occurred among patients with CD4+ T lymphocyte counts of <200/μL. Other factors associated with a higher risk of PCP included CD4+ T lymphocyte percentage <15%, previous episodes of PCP, oral thrush, recurrent bacterial pneumonia, unintentional weight loss, and higher plasma HIV-1 RNA [47, 48].

Incidence of PCP has declined substantially with widespread use of prophylaxis and effective ART; recent incidence rates among patients with AIDS in Western Europe and the U.S. are 2–3 cases per 100 person-years [49]. The majority of cases occur among patients who are unaware of their HIV-1 infection or are not receiving ongoing HIV care [50] or among those with advanced immunosuppression (CD4+ T lymphocyte counts <100 cells/μL) [51].

**Clinical manifestations.** The most common manifestations of PCP among HIV-1-infected persons are the subacute onset of progressive exertional dyspnea, fever, nonproductive cough, and chest discomfort that worsens over a period of days to weeks. The fulminant pneumonia observed among non-HIV-1-infected patients is less common [52, 53].

In mild cases, pulmonary examination is usually normal at rest. With exertion, tachypnea, tachycardia, and diffuse dry (“cellophane”) rales might be observed [53]. Oral thrush is a common co-infection. Fever is apparent in the majority of cases and might be the predominant symptom among some patients. Extrapulmonary disease is rare but can present in any organ and has been associated with use of aerosolized pentamidine prophylaxis.

Hypoxemia, the most characteristic laboratory abnormality, might range from mild-to-moderate (room air arterial oxygen [pO2] of >70 mm/Hg or alveolar-arterial O2 difference, [A-a] DO2 <35 mm/Hg) to severe levels (pO2 <70 mm/Hg or [A-a] DO2 >35 mm/Hg). Oxygen desaturation with exercise is indicative of an abnormal A-a gradient but is nonspecific [54].
Elevation of lactate dehydrogenase levels to >500 mg/dL is common but nonspecific [55].

The chest radiograph typically demonstrates diffuse, bilateral, symmetrical interstitial infiltrates emanating from the hila in a butterfly pattern [53]; however, patients with early disease might have a normal chest radiograph [56]. In addition, atypical presentations with nodules, asymmetric disease, blebs and cysts, upper lobe localization, and pneumothorax occur. Cavitation or pleural effusion is uncommon in the absence of other pulmonary pathogens or malignancy, and the presence of a pleural effusion might indicate an alternative diagnosis. Approximately 13%–18% of patients with documented PCP have another concurrent cause of pulmonary dysfunction (e.g., TB, Kaposi sarcoma, or bacterial pneumonia) [57, 58]. Pneumothorax in a patient with HIV-1 infection should raise the suspicion of PCP [59, 60].

Thin-section computerized tomography (CT) demonstrating patchy ground-glass attenuation [61] or a gallium scan showing increased pulmonary uptake [62] increases the likelihood that a diagnostic study such as bronchoscopy would demonstrate PCP in patients with mild-to-moderate symptoms and a normal chest radiograph and might be useful in adjunctive studies. However, a negative thin-section CT scan does not rule out PCP.

**Diagnosis.** Because the clinical presentation, blood tests, or chest radiographs are not pathognomonic for PCP and the organism cannot be routinely cultivated, histopathologic demonstration of organisms in tissue, bronchoalveolar lavage fluid, or induced sputum [57, 58, 63, 64] samples is required for a definitive diagnosis. Spontaneously expectorated sputum has low sensitivity and should not be submitted to the laboratory to diagnose PCP. Cresyl violet, Giemsa, Diff-Quik, and Wright stains detect both the cyst and trophozoite forms but do not stain the cyst wall; Gomori Methenamine Silver, Gram-Weigert and toluidine blue stain the cyst wall. Certain laboratories prefer direct immunofluorescent staining. Nucleic acid tests are being developed, but their use remains experimental [65, 66].

Previous studies of stained respiratory tract samples obtained by various methods indicate the following relative diagnostic sensitivities: induced sputum <50 to >90% (the sensitivity and specificity depend heavily on the quality of the specimens and the experience of the microbiologist or pathologist), bronchoscopy with bronchoalveolar lavage 90%–99%, transbronchial biopsy 95%–100%, and open lung biopsy 95%–100%.

Because of the potential for certain processes to have similar clinical manifestations, a specific diagnosis of PCP should be sought rather than relying on a presumptive diagnosis. Treatment can be initiated before making a definitive diagnosis because organisms persist in clinical specimens for days or weeks after effective therapy is initiated [64].

**Treatment recommendations.** Trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole (TMP-SMX) is the treatment of choice [67, 68] (AI). The dose must be adjusted for abnormal renal function. Multiple randomized clinical trials indicate that TMP-SMX is as effective as parenteral pentamidine and more effective than other regimens. Adding leucovorin to prevent myelosuppression during acute treatment is not recommended because of questionable efficacy and some evidence for a higher failure rate [69] (DII). Oral outpatient therapy of TMP-SMX is highly effective among patients with mild-to-moderate disease [68] (AI).

Mutations associated with resistance to sulfa drugs have been documented, but their effect on clinical outcome is uncertain [70]. Patients who have PCP despite TMP-SMX prophylaxis are usually effectively treated with standard doses of TMP-SMX (BIII).

Patients with documented PCP and severe disease, as defined by room air pO2 <70 mm Hg or arterial- alveolar O2 gradient >35 mm Hg, should receive corticosteroids as early as possible, and certainly within 72 hours after starting specific PCP therapy [71–75] (AI). If steroids are started at a later time, their benefits are unclear, although the majority of clinicians would use them in such circumstances for patients with severe disease (BIII). The preferred corticosteroid dose and regimen is prednisone 40 mg by mouth twice a day for days 1–5, 40 mg daily for days 6–10, and 20 mg daily for days 11–21 [72, 73] (AI). Methylprednisolone at 75% of the respective prednisone dose can be used if parenteral administration is necessary.

Alternative therapeutic regimens include 1) dapsone and TMP for mild-to-moderate disease [69, 76] (BI) (this regimen may have similar efficacy and fewer side effects than TMP-SMX but is less convenient because of the number of pills); 2) primaquine plus clindamycin [77–79] (BI) (this regimen is also effective in mild-to-moderate disease, and the clindamycin component can be administered intravenously for more severe cases; however, primaquine is only available orally; 3) intravenous pentamidine [80–82] (AI) (generally the drug of second choice for severe disease); 4) atovaquone suspension [67, 83] (BI) (this is less effective than TMP-SMX for mild-to-moderate disease but has fewer side effects); and 5) trimetrexate with leucovorin [84] (BI) (this is less effective than TMP-SMX but can be used if the latter is not tolerated and an intravenous regimen is needed). Leucovorin must be continued 3 days after the last trimetrexate dose. The addition of dapsone, sulfamethoxazole, or sulfadiazine to trimetrexate might improve efficacy on the basis of the sequential enzyme blockade of folate metabolism, although no study data exist to confirm this (CIII). Aerosolized pentamidine should not be used for the treatment of PCP because of limited efficacy and more frequent relapse [82, 85, 86] (DII).

The recommended duration of therapy for PCP is 21 days [52] (AII). The probability and rate of response to therapy
depends on the agent used, number of previous episodes, severity of illness, degree of immunodeficiency, and timing of initiation of therapy.

Although the overall prognosis of patients whose degree of hypoxemia requires intensive care unit (ICU) admission or mechanical ventilation remains poor, survival in up to 40% of patients requiring ventilatory support has been reported in recent years [87–89]. Because long-term survival is possible for patients in whom ART is effective, patients with AIDS and severe PCP should be offered ICU admission or mechanical ventilation when appropriate (e.g., when they have reasonable functional status) (AII).

Because of the potential for additive or synergistic toxicities associated with anti-PCP and antiretroviral therapies, certain health-care providers delay initiation of ART until after the completion of anti-PCP therapy, despite some suggestion of potential benefit for early ART [88] (CIII). An immune recovery inflammatory syndrome has been described for PCP [90] and might complicate the concurrent administration of anti-PCP treatment and ART.

**Monitoring and adverse events.** Careful monitoring during therapy is important to evaluate response to treatment and to detect toxicity as soon as possible. Follow-up after therapy includes assessment for early relapse, especially when therapy has been with an agent other than TMP-SMX or was shortened for toxicity. PCP prophylaxis should be initiated promptly and maintained until the CD4+ T lymphocyte count is >200 cells/μL. If PCP occurred at a CD4+ T lymphocyte count >200 cells/μL, maintaining PCP prophylaxis for life regardless of the CD4+ T cell response might be prudent; however, data about the most appropriate approach in this setting are limited.

Adverse reaction rates among patients with AIDS are high for TMP-SMX (20%–85%) [67, 68, 76, 78, 80, 81, 84, 91–93]. Common adverse effects are rash (30%–55%) (including Stevens-Johnson syndrome), fever (30%–40%), leukopenia (30%–40%), thrombocytopenia (15%), azotemia (1%–5%), hepatitis (20%), and hyperkalemia. Supportive care for common adverse effects should be attempted before discontinuing TMP-SMX (AIII). Rashes can often be “treated through” with antihistamines, nausea can be controlled with antiemetics, and fever can be managed with antipyretics.

The most common adverse effects of alternative therapies include methemoglobinemia and hemolysis with dapsone or primaquine (especially in those with G-6-PD deficiency), rash, and fever with dapsone [68, 76]; azotemia, pancreatitis, hypoglycemia, leukopenia, fever, electrolyte abnormalities, and cardiac dysrhythmia with pentamidine [80–83]; anemia, rash, fever, diarrhea, and methemoglobinemia with primaquine and clindamycin [68, 77, 78]; headache, nausea, diarrhea, rash, and fever, and transaminase elevations with atovaquone [67, 91]; and bone marrow suppression, fever, rash, and hepatitis with trimetrexate [84].

**Management of treatment failure.** Clinical failure is defined by the lack of improvement or worsening of respiratory function documented by arterial blood gases after at least 4–8 days of anti-PCP treatment. Treatment failure attributed to treatment-limiting toxicities occurs in up to one third of patients [69]. Failure attributed to lack of drug efficacy occurs in approximately 10% of those with mild-to-moderate disease. Adding or switching to another regimen is the appropriate management for treatment-related toxicity (BII). No convincing clinical trials exist to base recommendations for the management of treatment failure attributed to lack of drug efficacy. It is important to wait at least 4–8 days before switching therapy for lack of clinical improvement (BIII). In the absence of corticosteroid therapy, early and reversible deterioration within the first 3–5 days of therapy is typical, probably because of the inflammatory response caused by antibiotic-induced lysis of organisms in the lung. Other concomitant infections must be excluded as a cause for such deterioration [57, 58]. Bronchoscopy with bronchoalveolar lavage should be strongly considered even if it was conducted before initiating therapy.

If TMP-SMX has failed or must be avoided for toxicity in moderate-to-severe disease, the common practice is to use parenteral pentamidine, primaquie combined with clindamycin, or trimetrexate (with or without oral dapsone) plus leucovorin [78, 80, 84] (BII). For mild disease, atovaquone is a reasonable alternative (BII). Although one meta-analysis concluded that the combination of clindamycin and primaquine might be the most effective regimen for salvage therapy [79], no prospective clinical trials have evaluated the optimal approach to patients who fail therapy with TMP-SMX.

**Prevention of recurrence.** Patients who have a history of PCP should be administered secondary prophylaxis (chronic maintenance therapy) for life with TMP-SMX unless immune reconstitution occurs as a result of ART [94] (AII). For patients who are intolerant of TMP-SMX, alternatives are dapsone, dapsone combined with pyrimethamine, atovaquone, or aerosolized pentamidine.

Secondary prophylaxis should be discontinued for adult and adolescent patients whose CD4+ T lymphocyte cell count has increased from <200 cells/μL to >200 cells/μL for at least 3 months as a result of ART [94–97] (AII). Secondary prophylaxis should be re-introduced if the CD4+ T lymphocyte count decreases to <200 cells/μL (AIII) or if PCP recurs at a CD4+ T lymphocyte count of >200 cells/μL (CIII).

**Special considerations during pregnancy.** Diagnostic considerations during pregnancy are the same as for nonpregnant women. Indications for therapy are the same as for nonpregnant women. The preferred initial therapy during pregnancy is TMP-SMX, although alternate therapies can be used if patients...
are unable to tolerate or are unresponsive to TMP-SMX [98] (A). Neonatal care providers should be informed of maternal sulfa or dapsone therapy if used near delivery because of the theoretical increased risk for hyperbilirubinemia and kernicterus [99].

Pentamidine is embryotoxic but not teratogenic among rats and rabbits [100]. Trimetrexate should not be used because of teratogenicity at low doses in multiple animal studies, fetopathy in humans associated with use of the biochemically similar agents methotrexate and aminopterin, and the potential negative effects on placental and fetal growth [101] (EII). Adjunctive corticosteroid therapy should be used as indicated in nonpregnant adults [102–105] (AIII). Maternal fasting and postprandial glucose levels should be monitored closely when corticosteroids are used in the third trimester because the risk for glucose intolerance is increased.

Rates of preterm labor and preterm delivery are increased with pneumonia during pregnancy. Pregnant women with pneumonia after 20 weeks of gestation should be monitored for evidence of contractions (BII).

**Toxoplasma gondii Encephalitis**

Toxoplasmic encephalitis (TE) is caused by the protozoan *Toxoplasma gondii*. Disease occurs almost exclusively because of reactivation of latent tissue cysts [106–109]. Primary infection occasionally is associated with acute cerebral or disseminated disease. Seroprevalence varies substantially among different communities (e.g., approximately 15% in the United States and 50%–75% in certain European countries) [109, 110]. In the pre-ART era, for patients with advanced immunosuppression who were seropositive for *T.* gondii and not receiving prophylaxis with drugs active against *T.* gondii, the 12-month incidence of TE was approximately 33%. The incidence and associated mortality in Europe and the United States has decreased substantially with the initiation of ART and the broad use of prophylaxis regimens active against *T.* gondii [111–113].

Clinical disease is rare among patients with CD4+ T lymphocyte counts >200 cells/μL. The greatest risk is among patients with a CD4+ T lymphocyte count <50 cells/μL [106–108]. Primary infection occurs after eating undercooked meat containing tissue cysts or ingestion of oocysts that have been shed in cat feces and have sporulated in the environment (which requires at least 24 hours). No transmission of the organism occurs by person-to-person contact.

**Clinical manifestations.** The most common clinical presentation of *T.* gondii infection among patients with AIDS is a focal encephalitis with headache, confusion, or motor weakness and fever [106–108]. Physical examination might demonstrate focal neurological abnormalities, and in the absence of treatment, disease progression results in seizures, stupor, and coma. Retinchoroiditis, pneumonia, and evidence of other multifocal organ system involvement can be seen after dissemination of infection but are rare manifestations in this patient population.

CT scan or MRI of the brain will typically show multiple contrast-enhancing lesions, often with associated edema [106, 107, 114–116]. Positron emission tomography (PET) [115] or single-photon emission computed tomography (SPECT) scanning [116] might be helpful for distinguishing between TE and primary central nervous system (CNS) lymphoma, but no imaging technique is completely specific.

**Diagnosis.** HIV-1-infected patients with TE are almost uniformly seropositive for anti-toxoplasma IgG antibodies [106–108, 117]. The absence of IgG antibody makes a diagnosis of toxoplasmosis unlikely but not impossible. Anti-toxoplasma IgM antibodies are usually absent. Quantitative antibody titers are not diagnostically useful.

Definitive diagnosis of TE requires a compatible clinical syndrome; identification of one or more mass lesions by CT, MRI, or other radiographic testing; and detection of the organism in a clinical sample. For TE, this requires a brain biopsy, which is most commonly performed by a stereotactic CT-guided needle biopsy. Organisms are demonstrable with hematoxylin and eosin stains, though immunoperoxidase staining by experienced laboratories might increase sensitivity [118]. Detection of *T.* gondii by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) in cerebrospinal fluid has produced disappointing results; although specificity is high (96%–100%), sensitivity is low (50%) and the results usually are negative once specific anti-toxoplasma therapy has been started [119, 120].

In the presence of neurologic disease, the differential diagnosis [121] includes CNS lymphoma, mycobacterial infection (especially TB), fungal infection (e.g. cryptococcosis), Chagas disease, bacterial abscess, and rarely PML, which can be distinguished on the basis of imaging studies (PML lesions typically involve white matter rather than gray matter, are non-contrast enhancing, and indicate no mass effect).

Certain clinicians rely initially on an empiric diagnosis, which can be established as an objective response, on the basis of clinical and radiographic improvement, to specific anti-*T.* gondii therapy in the absence of a likely alternative diagnosis. Brain biopsy is reserved for patients failing to respond to specific therapy.

**Treatment recommendations.** The initial therapy of choice consists of the combination of pyrimethamine plus sulfadiazine plus leucovorin [122–125] (A). Pyrimethamine penetrates the brain parenchyma efficiently even in the absence of inflammation [126]. Use of leucovorin prevents the hematologic toxicities associated with pyrimethamine therapy [127, 128]. The preferred alternative regimen for patients unable to tolerate or who fail to respond to first-line therapy is pyrimethamine plus clindamycin plus leucovorin [122, 123] (A).

TMP-SMX was reported in a small (77 patient) randomized
trial to be effective and better tolerated than pyrimethamine-sulfadiazine [129]. On the basis of less in vitro activity and less experience with this regimen, pyrimethamine plus sulfadiazine with leucovorin is the preferred therapy (BI). For patients who cannot take an oral regimen, no well-studied options exist. No parenteral formulation of pyrimethamine exists; the only widely available parenteral sulfonamide is the sulfamethoxazole component of TMP-SMX. Therefore, certain specialists will treat severely ill patients requiring parenteral therapy initially with oral pyrimethamine plus parenteral TMP-SMX or parenteral clindamycin (CIII).

At least three regimens have activity in the treatment of TE in at least two, nonrandomized, uncontrolled trials, although their relative efficacy compared with the previous regimens is unknown: 1) atovaquone (with meals or oral nutritional supplements) plus pyrimethamine plus leucovorin [130] (BII); 2) atovaquone combined with sulfadiazine or, for patients intolerant of both pyrimethamine and sulfadiazine, as a single agent [130] (BII) (if atovaquone is used alone, measuring plasma levels might be helpful given the high variability of absorption of the drug among different patients; plasma levels of $\geq 18.5 \mu g/mL$ are associated with an improved response rate) [131–133]; and 3) azithromycin plus pyrimethamine plus leucovorin daily [134, 135] (BII).

The following regimens have been reported to have activity in the treatment of TE in small cohorts of patients or in case reports of one or a few patients: clarithromycin plus pyrime-thamine [136] (CIII); 5-fluoro-uracil plus clindamycin [137] (CIII), dapsone plus pyrimethamine plus leucovorin [138] (CIII); and minocycline or doxycycline combined with either pyrimethamine plus leucovorin, sulfadiazine, or clarithromycin [139, 140] (CIII). Although the clarithromycin dose used in the only published study was 1 g twice a day, doses $>500$ mg twice a day have been associated with increased mortality in HIV-1-infected patients treated for disseminated MAC. Doses $>500$ mg twice a day should not be used (DIII).

Acute therapy should be continued for at least 6 weeks, if there is clinical and radiologic improvement [106–109] (BII). Longer courses might be appropriate if clinical or radiologic disease is extensive or response is incomplete at 6 weeks. Adjunctive corticosteroids (e.g., dexamethasone) should be administered when clinically indicated only for treatment of a mass effect associated with focal lesions or associated edema (BIII). Because of the potential immunosuppressive effects of corticosteroids, they should be discontinued as soon as clinically feasible. Patients receiving corticosteroids should be closely monitored for the development of other OIs, including cytomegalovirus retinitis and TB disease.

Anticonvulsants should be administered to patients with a history of seizures (AIII), but should not be administered prophylactically to all patients (DIII). Anticonvulsants, if adminis-tered, should be continued at least through the period of acute therapy.

**Monitoring and adverse events.** Changes in antibody titers are not useful for monitoring responses to therapy. Patients should be routinely monitored for adverse events and clinical and radiologic improvement (AIII). Common pyrimethamine toxicities include rash, nausea, and bone-marrow suppression (neutropenia, anemia, and thrombocytopenia) that can often be reversed by increasing the dose of leucovorin to 50–100 mg/day administered in divided doses (CIII).

Common sulfadiazine toxicities include rash, fever, leukopenia, hepatitis, nausea, vomiting, diarrhea, and crystalluria. Common clindamycin toxicities include fever, rash, nausea, diarrhea (including pseudomembranous colitis or diarrhea related to *Clostridium difficile* toxin), and hepatotoxicity. Common TMP-SMX toxicities include rash, fever, leukopenia, thrombocytopenia, and hepatotoxicity. Drug interactions between anticonvulsants and antiretroviral agents should be carefully evaluated and doses adjusted according to established guidelines.

**Management of treatment failure.** A brain biopsy, if not previously performed, should be strongly considered for patients who fail to respond to initial therapy (BII) as defined by clinical or radiologic deterioration during the first week despite adequate therapy or lack of clinical improvement within 2 weeks. For those who undergo brain biopsy and have confirmed histopathologic evidence of TE, a switch to an alternative regimen as previously described should be considered (BIII). Recurrence of disease during secondary maintenance therapy following an initial clinical and radiographic response is unusual if patients adhere to their regimen.

**Prevention of recurrence.** Patients who have successfully completed a 6-week course of initial therapy for TE should be administered lifelong secondary prophylaxis (i.e., chronic maintenance therapy) [141–143] unless immune reconstitution occurs because of ART (AI). Adult and adolescent patients appear to be at low risk for recurrence of TE when they have successfully completed initial therapy for TE, remain asymptomatic with respect to signs and symptoms of TE, and have a sustained (i.e., $\geq 6$ months) increase in their CD4+ T lymphocyte counts to $>200$ cells/$\mu L$ on ART [144, 145]. The numbers of such patients who have been evaluated remain limited. On the basis of these observations and inference from more extensive data about safety of discontinuing secondary prophylaxis for other OIs during advanced HIV-1 disease, discontinuing chronic maintenance therapy among such patients is a reasonable consideration (CIII). Certain health-care providers would obtain an MRI of the brain as part of their evaluation to determine whether discontinuation of therapy is appropriate and might be reluctant to stop therapy if any mass lesion or contrast enhancement persists (CIII). Secondary prophylaxis
should be started again if the CD4+ T lymphocyte count decreases to <200 cells/μL (AIII).

**Special considerations during pregnancy.** Documentation of maternal *T. gondii* serologic status should be obtained during pregnancy. Indications for treatment of *T. gondii* during pregnancy should be based on confirmed or suspected symptomatic disease in the mother. Pediatric care providers should be informed if the HIV-1-infected mother is seropositive for *T. gondii* infection to allow evaluation of the neonate for evidence of congenital infection. Pregnant HIV-1-infected women with suspected or confirmed primary *T. gondii* infection during pregnancy should be managed in consultation with a maternal-fetal medicine or other appropriate specialist [146] (BIII).

Treatment should be the same as in nonpregnant adults (BIII). Although pyrimethamine has been associated with birth defects in animals, limited human data have not suggested an increased risk for defects and, therefore, it can be administered to pregnant women [147, 148]. Pediatric providers should be notified if sulfadiazine is continued until delivery because its use might increase the risk for neonatal hyperbilirubinemia and kernicterus [148].

Although perinatal transmission of *T. gondii* normally occurs only with acute infection in the immunocompetent host, case reports have documented occurrences of transmission with reactivation of chronic infection in HIV-1-infected women with severe immunosuppression [147, 149]. Because the risk for transmission with chronic infection appears low, routine evaluation of the fetus for infection with amniocentesis or cordocentesis is not indicated. Detailed ultrasound examination of the fetus specifically evaluating for hydrocephalus, cerebral calcifications, and growth restriction should be done for HIV-1-infected women with suspected primary or symptomatic reactivation of *T. gondii* during pregnancy.

**Cryptosporidiosis**

**Epidemiology.** Cryptosporidiosis is caused by *Cryptosporidium* species, a group of protozoan parasites that infect the small bowel mucosa, and in immunosuppressed persons, the large bowel and extraintestinal sites. Those at greatest risk for disease are patients with advanced immunosuppression (i.e., CD4+ T lymphocyte counts generally <100 cells/μL) [150]. The three most common species infecting humans are *C. hominis* (formerly *C. parvum* genotype 1 or human genotype), *C. parvum* (formerly *C. parvum* genotype 2 or bovine genotype), and *C. meleagridis*. In addition, infections with *C. canis*, *C. felis*, *C. muris*, and *Cryptosporidium* pig genotype have been reported in immunocompromised patients. Preliminary analyses indicate that some zoonotic species might have a stronger association with chronic diarrhea than *C. hominis*. However, whether the different *Cryptosporidium* species are associated with differences in severity of disease or response to therapy is unknown.

In developed countries with low rates of environmental contamination where potent ART is widely available, cryptosporidiosis occurs at an incidence rate of <1 per 100 person-years among persons with AIDS. Transmission occurs through ingestion of *Cryptosporidium* oocysts. *C. hominis* infects only humans, and *C. parvum* infects humans and other large mammals (e.g., cows and sheep). *C. meleagridis* infects avians (e.g., turkeys and chickens) and humans. Feces from infected animals, including humans, can contaminate water supplies and recreational water with viable oocysts despite standard chlorination [90]. Person-to-person transmission, primarily among men who engage in oral-anal sex, also has been observed. Young children with cryptosporidial diarrhea also might infect adults, especially during diapering. Scrupulous handwashing, use of barriers during anal sex, and other hygiene measures might help prevent person-to-person transmission.

**Clinical manifestations.** The most common presentation of cryptosporidiosis is the acute or subacute onset of profuse, nonbloody watery diarrhea, frequently accompanied by nausea, vomiting, and lower abdominal cramping [151]. Fever is present in approximately one third of patients. Malabsorption is often present. The epithelium of both the biliary tract and the pancreatic duct can be infected with *Cryptosporidium*. Cholangitis and pancreatitis occur among patients with prolonged disease [152].

**Diagnosis.** *Cryptosporidium* species cannot be cultivated in vitro. Diagnosis of cryptosporidiosis is primarily based on microscopic identification of the oocysts in stool or tissue. Oocysts stain red with varying intensities with a modified acid-fast technique; this technique allows for differentiation of the *Cryptosporidium* oocysts from yeasts that are similar in size and shape but are not acid fast. Oocysts also can be detected by direct immunofluorescent or enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays [153].

No consensus exists on the optimal oocyst detection method in fecal samples. The modified acid-fast stain and a fluorescein labeled monoclonal antibody technique indicate comparability for diarrheal samples, but the immunofluorescent method is probably preferable for formed stool specimens. *Cryptosporidium* species and genotype identification requires molecular methods (e.g., PCR followed by sequencing).

Cryptosporidial enteritis can be diagnosed on small intestinal biopsy sections by identification of developmental stages of *Cryptosporidium* organisms, found individually or in clusters, on the brush border of the mucosal epithelial surfaces. Organisms project into the lumen because of their intracellular but extracytoplasmic characteristics and appear basophilic with hematoxylin and eosin staining. Electron microscopy allows resolution of cellular detail.
Among persons with profuse diarrheal illness, a single stool specimen is usually adequate for diagnosis. Among persons with less severe disease, repeat stool sampling is recommended, although no controlled studies have demonstrated the utility of three consecutive stool samples as is the case in *Giardia duodenalis* infection.

**Treatment recommendations.** ART with immune restoration (an increase of CD4⁺ T lymphocyte count to >100 cells/μL) is associated with complete resolution of cryptosporidiosis [154, 155], and all patients with cryptosporidiosis should be offered ART as part of the initial management of their infection (AII). No consistently effective pharmacologic or immunologic therapy directed specifically against *C. parvum* exists. Approximately 95 interventional agents have been tried for the treatment of cryptosporidiosis with no consistent success.

Paromomycin, a nonabsorbable aminoglycoside that is indicated for the treatment of intestinal amebiasis, is effective in high doses for the treatment of cryptosporidiosis in animal models [156]. A meta-analysis of 11 published paromomycin studies in humans reported a response rate of 67%. However, relapse was common in certain studies, with long-term success rates of only 33%. Two randomized controlled trials have compared paromomycin with placebo among patients with AIDS and cryptosporidiosis; modest, but statistically significant improvement in symptoms and oocyst shedding was demonstrated in one, but no difference from placebo was observed in the other [157, 158]. A small open-label study suggested a substantial benefit of paromomycin when used in combination with azithromycin, but few cures were noted [159]. Therefore, efficacy data do not support a recommendation for the use of paromomycin for therapy, although the drug appears to be safe (CIII).

Nitazoxanide, an orally administered nitrothiazole benzamidine, has in vivo activity against a broad range of helminths, bacteria, and protozoa, including cryptosporidia [160–162]. A short-term study among patients with HIV-1 infection documented increased cure rates compared with controls (based on clearance of organisms from stool and reduced rates of diarrhea) among patients with CD4⁺ T lymphocyte counts >50 cells/μL, but not in those with CD4⁺ T lymphocyte counts <50 cells/μL [161]. Available data do not warrant a definite recommendation for use of this agent in this setting, but the drug has been approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for use in children and is expected to be approved for use in adults (CIII).

Treatment of persons with cryptosporidiosis should include symptomatic treatment of diarrhea (AIII). Rehydration and repletion of electrolyte losses by either the oral or intravenous route is important. Severe diarrhea, which might be >10 L/day among patients with AIDS, often requires intensive support. Aggressive efforts at oral rehydration should be made with oral rehydration solutions that contain glucose, sodium bicarbonate, potassium, magnesium, and phosphorus (AIII).

Treatment with antimotility agents can play an important adjunctive role in therapy, but these agents are not consistently effective (BIII). Loperamide or tincture of opium will often palliate symptoms. Octreotide, a synthetic octapeptide analog of naturally occurring somatostatin that is approved for the treatment of secreting tumor induced diarrhea, is no more effective than other oral antidiarrheal agents, and is generally not recommended [162] (DII).

**Monitoring and adverse events.** Patients should be closely monitored for signs and symptoms of volume depletion, electrolyte and weight loss, and malnutrition and should receive supportive treatment. Total parenteral nutrition might be indicated in certain patients (CIII).

**Management of treatment failure.** Supportive treatment and optimizing ART to achieve full virologic suppression are the only feasible approaches to the management of treatment failure (CIII).

**Prevention of recurrence.** No drug regimens are proven to be effective in preventing the recurrence of cryptosporidiosis.

**Special considerations during pregnancy.** As with nonpregnant woman, initial treatment efforts should rely on rehydration and initiation of ART. Pregnancy should not preclude the use of ART.

**Microsporidiosis**

**Epidemiology.** Microsporidia organisms are protists related to fungi, defined by the presence of a unique invasive organelle consisting of a single polar tube that coils around the interior of the spore [163, 164]. They are ubiquitous organisms and are likely zoonotic and/or waterborne in origin [165]. The microsporidia reported as pathogens in humans include *Encephalitozoon cuniculi*, *Encephalitozoon hellem*, *Encephalitozoon (Septata) intestinalis*, *Enterocytozoon bieneusi*, *Trachipleistophora hominis*, *Trachipleistophora anthropophthera*, *Pleistophora*, *P. ronnecaffiy*, *Vittaforma (Nosema) corneae*, *Microsporidium sp.*, *Nosema ocularum*, *Brachiola (Nosema) conori*, *Brachiola vesiculatum*, and *Brachiola (Nosema) algerae* [163–169].

In the pre-ART era, reported prevalence rates of microsporidiosis varied between 2% and 70% among HIV-1-infected patients with diarrhea, depending on the diagnostic techniques employed and the patient population described [163–166]. The incidence of microsporidiosis has declined dramatically with the widespread use of effective ART. In the immunosuppressed host, microsporidiosis is most commonly observed when the CD4⁺ T lymphocyte count is <100 cells/μL [163–166].

**Clinical manifestations.** The most common manifestation of microsporidiosis is gastrointestinal tract infection with diarrhea; however, encephalitis, ocular infection, sinusitis, myositis, and disseminated infection are also described [163–166].
Clinical syndromes might vary by infecting species. *Entero-
cytotoxoon bieneusi* is associated with malabsorption, diarrhea, and cholangitis. *Encephalitozoon caniculi* is associated with hep-
atitis, encephalitis, and disseminated disease. *Encephalitozoon (Septata) intestinalis* is associated with diarrhea, disseminated infection, and superficial keratoconjunctivitis. *Encephalitozoon hellem* is associated with superficial keratoconjunctivitis, si-
nusitis, respiratory disease, prostatic abscesses, and dissemi-
nated infection. *Nosema, Vittaforma*, and *Microsporidium* are associated with stromal keratitis following trauma in immu-
nodependent hosts. *Pleistophora, Brachiola*, and *Trachipleisto-
phora* are associated with myositis. *Trachipleistophora* is asso-
ciated with encephalitis and disseminated disease.

**Diagnosis.** Although microsporidia belonging to the genera *Encephalitozoon*, *Brachiola* (*B. algerae*), *Vittaforma* (*V. corneae*), and *Trachipleistophora* have been cultivated in vitro, *E. bieneusi* has not been successfully cultivated in vitro. Effective morphologic demonstration of microsporidia by light microscopy can be accomplished by staining methods that produce differential contrast between the spores of the microsporidia and the cells and debris in clinical samples (e.g., stool). In addition, because of the small size of the spores (1–5 mm), adequate magnification (e.g., 1,000x) is required for visualization. Chromotrope 2R, calcifluor white (fluorescent brightener), and Uvitex 2B (fluorescent brightener) are useful as selective stains for microsporidia in stool and other body fluids [167–169].

In biopsy specimens, microsporidia can be visualized with Giemsa, Brown-Hopps Gram stain, acid-fast staining, Warthin-Starry silver staining, hematoxylin and eosin, or Chromotrope 2A [169]. In gastrointestinal disease, examination of three stools with chromotrope and chemofluorescent stains is often sufficient for diagnosis. If stool examination is negative and microsporidiosis is suspected, a small bowel biopsy should be performed. If the etiologic agent is encephalitozoonidae or *Trachipleistophora*, examination of urine often reveals the organ-
ism. Determination of the species of microsporidia causing disease can be made by the morphology of the organism demon-
strated by transmission electron microscopy or by PCR using species or genus specific primers [169].

**Treatment recommendations.** ART with immune restora-
tion (an increase of CD4+ T lymphocyte count to >100 cells/ 
µL) is associated with resolution of symptoms of enteric mi-
crosporidiosis, including that caused by *E. bieneusi* [170–172].

All patients should be offered ART as part of the initial man-
agement of their infection (AII). Nevertheless, data indicate that microsporidia are suppressed but not eliminated [171].

No specific therapeutic agent is active against *E. bieneusi* infection. A controlled clinical trial suggests that *E. bieneusi* might respond to oral fumagillin (60 mg/day), a water insoluble antibiotic made by *Aspergillus fumigatus* [173, 174] (BII). However, fumagillin is not available for systemic use in the United States [173, 174]. One report indicates that 60 days of nita-
zoxanide might resolve chronic diarrhea caused by *E. bieneusi* in the absence of ART [175]. However, the effect might be minimal among patients with low CD4+ T cell counts. Nita-
zoxanide is approved for use among children and is expected to be approved by the FDA for use among adults.

Albendazole and fumagillin have demonstrated consistent activity against other microsporidia in vitro and in vivo [176–181]. Albendazole, a benzimidazole that binds to b-tubulin, has activity against many species of microsporidia, but it is not effective for *Entero cytotoxoon* infections, although fumagillin has activity in vitro and in vivo.

Albendazole is recommended for initial therapy of intestinal and disseminated (not ocular) microsporidiosis caused by mi-
crosporidia other than *E. bieneusi* [178, 181] (AII). Itraconazole also might be useful in disseminated disease when combined with albendazole especially in infections caused by *Trachipleisto-
phora* or *Brachiola* (CIII).

Ocular infections caused by microsporidia should be treated with topical Fumidil B (fumagillin bicyclohexylammonium) in saline (to achieve a concentration of 70 mg/mL of fumagillin) [180] (BII). Topical fumagillin is the only formulation available for treatment in the United States and is investigational. Al-
though clearance of microsporidia from the eye can be demon-
strated, the organism often is still present systemically and can be detected in the urine or in nasal smears. In such cases, the use of albendazole as a companion systemic agent is re-
commended (BIII).

Metronidazole and atovaquone are not active in vitro or in animal models and should not be used to treat microsporidiosis (BII). Fluid support should be offered if diarrhea has resulted in dehydration (AIII). Malnutrition and wasting should be treated with nutritional supplementation (AIII).

**Monitoring and adverse events.** Albendazole side effects are rare but hypersensitivity (rash, pruritis, fever), neutropenia (reversible), CNS effects (dizziness, headache), gastrointestinal disturbances (abdominal pain, diarrhea, nausea, vomiting), hair loss (reversible), and elevated hepatic enzymes (reversible) have been reported. Albendazole is not carcinogenic or mutagenic. Topical fumagillin has not been associated with substantial side effects. Oral fumagillin has been associated with thrombocy-
topenia, which is reversible on stopping the drug.

**Management of treatment failure.** Supportive treatment and optimizing ART to attempt to achieve full virologic sup-
pression are the only feasible approaches to the management of treatment failure (CIII).

**Prevention of recurrence.** Treatment for ocular micro-
sporidiosis should be continued indefinitely because recurrence or relapse might follow treatment discontinuation (BIII).

Whether treatment can be safely discontinued after immune restoration with ART is unknown, although it is reasonable,
on the basis of the experience with discontinuation of secondary prophylaxis (chronic maintenance therapy) for other opportunistic infections during advanced HIV-1 disease, to discontinue chronic maintenance therapy if patients remain asymptomatic with regard to signs and symptoms of microsporidiosis and have a sustained (e.g., ≥6 months) increase in their CD4+ T lymphocyte counts to levels >200 cells/µL after ART (CIII).

Special considerations during pregnancy. Among animals (i.e., rats and rabbits), albendazole is embryotoxic and teratogenic at dosages of 30 mg/kg body weight. Therefore, albendazole is not recommended for use among pregnant women (DIII). However, well-controlled studies in human pregnancy have not been performed. Systemic fumagillin has been associated with increased resorption and growth retardation in rats. No data on use in human pregnancy are available. However, because of the antiangiogenic effect of fumagillin, this drug should not be used among pregnant women (EIII). Topical fumagillin has not been associated with embryotoxic or teratogenic effects among pregnant women and might be considered when therapy with this agent is appropriate (CIII).

*Mycobacterium tuberculosis* Disease

**Epidemiology.** In the United States, overall case rates of TB disease are declining with approximately 15,000 new cases reported in 2002 [182]. HIV testing is recommended for suspected or confirmed cases of TB, but this is not uniformly practiced. Therefore, the percentage of TB patients with HIV-1 infection in the United States can only be estimated. In 1999, approximately 10% of all TB cases in the United States were known to be HIV-1 infected.

The World Health Organization (WHO) estimates that TB is the cause of death for 11% of all AIDS patients [183]. The percentage and absolute number of patients with TB disease who are HIV-1 infected is declining in the United States because of improved infection-control practices and better diagnosis and treatment of both HIV-1 infection and TB. With increased voluntary counseling and testing and the increasing use of treatment for latent TB infection, TB disease will probably continue to decrease among HIV-1-infected persons in the United States and Western Europe [184].

Persons at high risk for TB in the United States include injection-drug users, persons from high prevalence countries, and those who live or work in congregate settings. TB disease occurs among HIV-1-infected persons at all CD4+ T lymphocyte counts. The clinical manifestations might be altered depending on the degree of immunosuppression. Those with more advanced immunosuppression (CD4+ T lymphocyte count <200 cells/µL) are more likely to have extrapulmonary or disseminated disease. In areas where TB is endemic, certain patients have higher CD4+ T lymphocyte counts at the time HIV-1-related TB disease develops; in countries with low rates of TB disease (e.g., United States and countries in Western Europe), more patients have advanced HIV-1 disease at the time TB develops.

TB disease in persons with HIV-1 infection can develop immediately after exposure (i.e., primary disease) or as a result of progression after establishment of latent TB infection (i.e., reactivation disease). Primary TB has been reported in certain group outbreaks, particularly in persons with advanced immune suppression, and might account for one third or more of cases of TB disease in the HIV-infected population [185].

Progression to disease among those with latent TB infection is more likely among HIV-1-infected than in HIV-uninfected persons [186]. HIV-uninfected persons with a positive tuberculin skin test (TST) result have a 5%–10% lifetime risk for developing TB, compared with a 7%–10% annual risk in the HIV-1-infected person with a positive TST result. Patients with TB disease have higher HIV-1 viral loads and a more rapid progression of their HIV-1 illness than comparable HIV-1-infected patients without TB [187].

**Clinical manifestations.** The clinical, radiographic, and histopathologic presentation of HIV-1–related TB disease is heavily influenced by the degree of immunodeficiency [188, 189]. With CD4+ T lymphocyte counts >350 cells/µL, HIV-1-related TB appears like TB among HIV-uninfected persons. The majority of patients have disease limited to the lungs, and common chest radiographic manifestations include upper lobe fibronodular infiltrates with or without cavitation [190]. However, extrapulmonary disease is more common in HIV-1-infected persons than in non-HIV–infected persons. When extrapulmonary disease occurs in HIV-1-infected persons, clinical manifestations are not substantially different from those described in HIV-uninfected patients.

With increasing degrees of immunodeficiency, extrapulmonary TB, with or without pulmonary involvement, becomes more common. At CD4+ T lymphocyte counts ≤50 cells/µL, extrapulmonary TB (pleuritis, pericarditis, and meningitis) is common.

Among severely immunocompromised patients, TB can be a severe systemic disease with high fevers, rapid progression, and sepsis syndrome. The chest radiographic findings of TB disease in advanced AIDS are markedly different compared with those among patients with less severe HIV-1 infection; lower lobe, middle lobe, and miliary infiltrates are common and cavitation is less common. Patients with HIV-1 infection and pulmonary TB can have sputum smears and culture results positive for acid-fast bacilli (AFB) or *M. tuberculosis*, respectively, even with a normal chest radiograph.

Histopathological findings are also affected by the degree of immunodeficiency. Patients with relatively intact immune function have typical granulomatous inflammation associated with
TB disease. With progressive immunodeficiency, granulomas become poorly formed or can be completely absent [189].

**Diagnosis.** Suspicion of TB, and assiduous efforts to obtain appropriate diagnostic specimens are important in diagnosing HIV-1-related TB disease. The evaluation of suspected HIV-1-related TB should always include a chest radiograph. Sputum samples for AFB smear and culture should be obtained from patients with pulmonary symptoms, cervical adenopathy, or chest radiographic abnormalities. Sputum samples from a substantial fraction of cases of pulmonary TB are negative by direct smear microscopy.

Nucleic-acid amplification (NAA) tests are useful in providing rapid identification of *M. tuberculosis* from sputum smear-positive specimens, but false-negative results can occur among patients with TB disease. The positive predictive value of NAA tests is decreased in persons who have sputum smear-negative results.

Among patients with signs of extrapulmonary TB, needle aspiration of skin lesions, nodes, pleural, or pericardial fluid might allow for rapid diagnosis, culture, and susceptibility testing. Tissue biopsy is helpful among patients with negative fine-needle aspirates. Among patients with signs of disseminated disease, mycobacterial blood culture might allow a definitive diagnosis. Mycobacterial blood culture is more sensitive for diagnosis of TB among severely immunodeficient patients.

Among patients with relatively intact immune function, the yield of sputum smear and culture examinations is similar to that of HIV-uninfected adults, with positive smear results being more common among patients with cavitary pulmonary involvement [191]. TST is positive in the majority of patients with pulmonary disease and CD4+ T lymphocyte counts >200 cells/μL. Among patients with more severe immunodeficiency, sputum smear and culture examinations become somewhat less sensitive, and TST has limited diagnostic value because it is often negative [192]. However, the yield of mycobacterial stain and culture of specimens from extrapulmonary sites (node aspirates and pleural and pericardial fluid) is higher among patients with advanced immunodeficiency compared with HIV-uninfected adults [193–195]. Smear-positive specimens from these sites probably represent a high burden of organisms resulting from lack of effective immune response to mycobacteria and inability to limit mycobacterial replication and kill the organisms.

A positive smear result in any of these specimens (sputum, needle aspirate, tissue biopsy) represents some form of mycobacterial disease but does not always represent TB. However, because TB is the most virulent mycobacterial pathogen and can be spread from person to person if pulmonary involvement is present, patients with smear-positive results should be treated for TB disease until definitive mycobacterial species identification is made.

Drug susceptibility testing and adjustment of the treatment regimen based on the results are critical to the successful treatment of TB and to prevention of transmission of drug-resistant *M. tuberculosis* in the community. Therefore, for all patients with TB disease, testing for susceptibility to first line agents (isoniazid [INH], rifampin [RIF], and ethambutol [EMB]) should be performed, regardless of the source of the specimen. Pyrazinamide (PZA) susceptibility testing should be performed on an initial isolate if there is a sufficiently high prevalence of PZA resistance in the community. Second-line drug susceptibility testing should be performed only in reference laboratories and should be limited to specimens from patients who have had previous therapy, who are contacts of patients with drug-resistant TB disease, who have demonstrated resistance to rifampin or to other first-line drugs, or who have positive cultures after >3 months of treatment [185].

**Treatment recommendations.** Treatment of HIV-1-related TB disease should follow the general principles developed for TB treatment among non-HIV–infected persons (AI). Early diagnosis and treatment are critical. Because of the severity of TB disease among immunocompromised patients, directly observed therapy (DOT) is strongly recommended for patients with HIV-1-related TB (AI). Multiple drugs and DOT are used to provide effective therapy, to prevent acquired drug resistance during treatment, and to allow cure with a relatively short course of treatment (6–9 months).

HIV-1-infected patients have other social and medical needs and treatment success is enhanced by a case-management approach, which incorporates assistance with all of these needs (enhanced DOT) in addition to providing DOT.

Multiple concerns should be considered in the treatment of HIV-1-associated TB disease. First, treatment is effective, but the optimal duration of treatment is uncertain. Second, acquired drug resistance is unusual with the use of DOT, but does occur among HIV-1-infected persons. Third, the risk for acquired rifamycin resistance has led to specific recommendations about dosing frequency. Finally, the use of potent ART among patients being treated for TB is complicated by overlapping drug toxicity profiles, drug-drug interactions, and an increase in TB manifestations during immune reconstitution (paradoxical reactions). Recent studies indicate that, with careful attention to these complicating factors, the prognosis of HIV-1-associated TB disease can be substantially improved with the provision of potent ART (AII), although the optimal relative timing between anti-TB and HIV therapy is uncertain.

Treatment of drug susceptible TB disease in HIV-1-infected adults should include the use of a 6-month regimen consisting of an initial phase of INH, RIF or rifabutin, PZA, and EMB given for 2 months followed by INH and RIF (or rifabutin) for 4 months when the disease is caused by organisms known or presumed to be susceptible to first-line anti-TB drugs [185].
(AI). When the organism is susceptible to INH, RIF, and PZA, EMB should be discontinued (AI).

The optimal duration of therapy for HIV-1-related TB disease remains controversial. Studies in developing countries have shown that patients with HIV-1-related TB respond well to standard 6-month treatment regimens, with rates of treatment failure and relapse similar to those of HIV-uninfected patients [196]. However, it is unclear whether these results are applicable to patients with advanced HIV-1 disease and TB. While awaiting definitive randomized comparisons in HIV-1-infected patients with TB disease, 6 months of therapy is probably adequate for the majority of cases, but prolonged therapy (up to 9 months) is recommended (as in HIV-negative patients) for patients with a delayed clinical or bacteriological response to therapy (symptomatic or positive culture results at or after 2 months of therapy, respectively) or perhaps with cavitary disease on chest radiograph (BII).

Intermittent dosing (twice- or thrice-weekly) facilitates DOT by decreasing the total number of encounters required between the patient and the provider, making observed therapy more practical to deliver. However, once- or twice-weekly dosing has been associated with an increased rate of acquired rifamycin resistance among patients with advanced HIV-1 disease (CD4 T lymphocyte count <100 cells/μL). Acquired rifamycin resistance was relatively common with once-weekly rifapentine plus INH and also occurred in trials of twice-weekly rifabutin plus INH and twice-weekly RIF plus INH [197–199]. Therefore, once-weekly rifapentine is contraindicated among HIV-1-infected patients (EI), and it is recommended that RIF- and rifabutin-based regimens be given at least three times a week for patients with TB and advanced HIV-1 disease (CD4 T lymphocyte count <100 cells/μL) (AII). Although treatment approaches to this population need to be further evaluated in prospective trials, a prudent management strategy consists of daily DOT during the first 2 months of therapy and thrice-weekly DOT during the continuation phase of anti-TB therapy [198] (BII).

Monitoring and adverse events. Close follow-up, consisting of clinical, bacteriological, and occasionally, laboratory and radiographic evaluations, is essential to ensure treatment success. In patients with pulmonary TB, at least one sputum specimen for microscopic examination and culture should be obtained at monthly intervals until two consecutive specimens are negative on culture (AII). Drug susceptibility tests should be repeated on isolates from patients who have positive cultures after 3 months of treatment. Patients who have positive cultures after 4 months of treatment should be considered as having failed therapy and managed accordingly. For patients with extrapulmonary TB, the frequency and types of evaluations will depend on the sites involved and the ease with which specimens can be obtained.

A detailed clinical assessment should be performed at least monthly to identify possible medication intolerance and to assess adherence. As a routine, monitoring blood tests for patients being treated with first-line drugs unless baseline abnormalities were identified is unnecessary (AII). More frequent clinical and laboratory monitoring is indicated for patients with underlying liver disease, including hepatitis C co-infection.

INH, RIF, and PZA all can cause drug-induced hepatitis, and the risk might be increased in patients taking other potentially hepatotoxic agents or in persons with underlying liver dysfunction. However, because of the effectiveness of these drugs (particularly INH and RIF), they should be used, if at all possible, even in the presence of preexisting liver disease (AIII). Frequent clinical and laboratory monitoring should be performed to detect any exacerbation.

Independent of HIV status for all patients with TB disease, multiple treatment options exist if serum aminotransaminases are >3 times the upper limit of normal before the initiation of treatment, and the abnormalities are not thought to be caused by TB disease. One option is to use standard therapy with frequent monitoring. A second option is to treat with RIF, EMB, and PZA for 6 months, avoiding INH (BII). A third option is to treat with INH and RIF for 9 months, supplemented by EMB for the first 2 months, thereby avoiding PZA (BII). Among patients with severe liver disease, a regimen with only one hepatotoxic agent, generally RIF plus EMB, can be given for 12 months, preferably with another agent, such as a fluorquinolone, for the first 2 months (CIII). As previously indicated, treatment might need to be lengthened for patients who are HIV-1-infected. For patients who develop worsening hepatic function on treatment, a specialist should be consulted.

Tests to monitor hepatotoxicity (aminotransferases, bilirubin, and alkaline phosphatase), renal function (serum creatinine), and platelet count should be obtained for all patients started on treatment for TB. At each monthly visit, patients taking EMB should be asked about possible visual disturbances including blurred vision or scotomata. Monthly testing of visual acuity and color discrimination is recommended for patients taking doses that, on a milligram per kilogram basis, are greater than those listed in recommended doses and for patients receiving the drug for ≥2 months.

Patients with TB disease caused by strains of M. tuberculosis resistant to at least INH and RIF (multidrug-resistant [MDR]) are at high risk for treatment failure and further acquired drug resistance. Such patients should be referred to or have consultation obtained from specialized treatment centers as identified by the local or state health departments or CDC. Although patients with strains resistant to RIF alone have a better prognosis than patients with MDR strains, they are also at increased risk for treatment failure and additional resistance and should be managed in consultation with an expert.
Antiretroviral therapy in the management of TB disease and paradoxical reactions. Rifamycin drugs are essential components of short-course regimens for treatment of TB disease. However, substantial adverse pharmacologic interactions occur between rifamycins and commonly used antiretroviral drugs (e.g., PIs and NNRTIs) as a result of changes in drug metabolism resulting from induction of the hepatic cytochrome P-450 (CYP450) enzyme system [200, 201]. Of the available rifamycins, RIF is the most potent CYP450 inducer and rifabutin has substantially less inducing activity. Despite such interactions, a rifamycin should generally not be excluded from the TB treatment regimen among patients receiving potent ART, except in unusual circumstances (AII).

Either RIF or rifabutin can be used with NRTIs [199, 200]. Rifabutin can be used with certain PIs or NNRTIs (other than delavirdine) and has fewer problematic drug interactions than does rifampin (Table 5). Adjustments in rifabutin or elements of the ART regimen might be necessary with certain combinations. Two antituberculous drug regimens have been associated with a favorable outcome when administered with RIF: efavirenz (potentially using an increased dose of 800 mg/day) plus 2 NRTIs and ritonavir (600 mg twice daily) plus 2 NRTIs. Serum concentrations of nevirapine might be adequate even in the presence of concentrations of RIF associated with enzyme induction, but clinical data are lacking. RIF should not be used with nelfinavir, saquinavir, indinavir, amprenavir, atazanavir, or dual PI combinations using low dose ritonavir (≤200 mg twice daily) for which dosing guidelines are not available (AII).

The optimal time for initiating ART during TB treatment is unknown. Because of the risk for prolonged airborne transmission of M. tuberculosis, initiation of treatment for TB disease should never be delayed (AII). Early initiation of ART (within the first 2–4 weeks after the start of TB therapy) might decrease HIV-1 disease progression but might be associated with a relatively high incidence of side effects and paradoxical reactions (some severe enough to warrant discontinuation of both antitubercosal and anti-TB drugs). Delaying the initiation of ART for 4–8 weeks after starting antituberculous therapy has the potential advantages of being better able to ascribe a specific cause for a drug side effect, decreasing the severity of paradoxical reactions, and decreasing the adherence challenge for the patient. Until controlled studies are conducted to evaluate the optimal time for starting ART in patients with HIV-1-associated TB disease, this decision should be individualized on the basis of the patient’s initial response to TB therapy, occurrence of side effects, and acceptance of multidrug ART. For these considerations, health-care providers should avoid beginning the simultaneous administration of both potent ART and combination chemotherapy for TB; most health-care providers would wait at least 4–8 weeks (BIII). Patients already receiving ART at the time treatment for TB is started require a careful assessment of the ART regimen and, if necessary, changes to ensure optimum treatment of the HIV-1 infection in the setting of TB therapy.

Because of the difficulties associated with the accurate diagnosis of an adverse drug reaction and in determining the responsible agent, the first-line anti-TB drugs should not be stopped permanently without strong evidence that the anti-TB drug was the cause of the reaction. In such situations, consultation with an expert in treating TB in persons with HIV-1 infection is recommended.

Patients might experience temporary exacerbation of symptoms, signs, or radiographic manifestations of TB disease after beginning anti-TB treatment. This phenomenon is termed a paradoxical (or immune reconstitution) reaction. This reaction occurs among non-HIV-1-infected persons, but it is more common among those with HIV-1 infection, particularly those treated with ART. These reactions presumably develop as a consequence of reconstitution of immune responsiveness brought about by ART or perhaps by treatment of TB itself [202–206]. Signs of a paradoxical reaction can include high fevers, increase in size and inflammation of involved lymph nodes, new lymphadenopathy, expanding central nervous system lesions, worsening of pulmonary parenchymal infiltrations, and increasing pleural effusions. Such findings should be attributed to a paradoxical reaction only after a thorough evaluation has excluded other possible causes, especially TB therapy failure.

A paradoxical reaction that is not severe should be treated symptomatically with nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory agents without a change in anti-TB or antiretroviral therapy (BIII). Approaches to the management of severe reactions (e.g., high fever, airway compromise from enlarging lymph nodes, enlarging serosal fluid collections, and sepsis syndrome) have not been studied. However, case reports have documented improvements with the use of prednisone or methylprednisolone used at a dose of approximately 1 mg/kg body weight and gradually reduced after 1–2 weeks [202–206] (CIII).

Management of drug resistance and treatment failure. If resistance to INH (with or without resistance to streptomycin) is detected, INH and streptomycin, if used, should be discontinued and the patient treated with a 6-month regimen of RIF, PZA, and EMB, which is nearly as effective as the conventional INH-containing regimen (BII). Alternatively, treatment with RIF and EMB for 12 months can be used, preferably with PZA during at least the initial 2 months (BII).

Treatment regimens for TB disease caused by RIF mono-resistant strains are less effective, and patients infected with these strains are at increased risk for relapse and treatment failure. A minimum of 12–18 months of treatment with INH, EMB, and a fluoroquinolone (e.g., levofloxacin) with PZA administered during the first 2 months is recommended (BIII).
An injectable agent (e.g., amikacin or capreomycin) might be included in the first 2–3 months for patients with severe disease. Patients with MDR-TB are at high risk for treatment failure and relapse and require especially close follow-up during (and often after) treatment. Treatment regimens for MDR-TB should be individualized, taking into account the resistance pattern, relative activities of available anti-TB agents, the extent of disease, and presence of co-morbid conditions. The management of MDR-TB is complex and should be undertaken only by an experienced specialist or in close consultation with specialized treatment centers (AIII).

Prevention of recurrence. Secondary prophylaxis (chronic maintenance therapy) for patients who have successfully completed a recommended regimen of treatment for TB disease is unnecessary (DII). However, reinfection can occur.

Special considerations during pregnancy. HIV-1-infected pregnant women who do not have documentation of a negative TST result during the preceding year should be tested during pregnancy. The frequency of anergy is not increased during pregnancy, and routine anergy testing for HIV-1-infected pregnant women is not recommended [207–210]. The diagnostic evaluation for TB disease in pregnant women is the same as for nonpregnant adults. Chest radiographs with abdominal shielding result in minimal fetal radiation exposure. An increase in pregnancy complications, including preterm birth, low birthweight, and intrauterine growth retardation, might be observed among pregnant women with either pulmonary or extrapulmonary TB not confined to the lymph nodes, especially when treatment is not begun until late in pregnancy [207–213].

Therapy of TB disease during pregnancy should be the same as for the nonpregnant adult, but with attention given to the following considerations (BIII):

• INH is not teratogenic in animals or humans. Hepatotoxicity might occur more frequently in pregnancy and the postpartum period [214]. Certain health-care providers recommend monthly monitoring of transaminases during pregnancy and the postpartum period (CIII).

• RIF is not teratogenic in humans. Because of a potential increased risk for RIF-related hemorrhagic disease among neonates born to women receiving anti-TB therapy during pregnancy, prophylactic vitamin K, 10 mg, should be administered to the neonate (BIII).

• PZA is not teratogenic among animals. Experience is limited with use in human pregnancy. Although WHO and the International Union Against Tuberculosis and Lung Diseases [215, 216] have made recommendations for the routine use of PZA in pregnant women, it has not been recommended for general use during pregnancy in the United States because data characterizing its effects in this setting are limited [217]. If PZA is not included in the initial treatment regimen in pregnant women, the minimum duration of therapy should be 9 months.

• EMB is teratogenic among rodents and rabbits at doses that are much higher than those used among humans. No evidence of teratogenicity has been observed among humans. Ocular toxicity has been reported among adults taking EMB, but changes in visual acuity have not been detected in infants born after exposure in utero.

Experience during pregnancy with the majority of the second line drugs for TB is limited. MDR-TB in pregnancy should be managed in consultation with an expert. Therapy should not be withheld because of pregnancy (AIII). The following concerns should be considered when selecting second-line anti-TB drugs for use among pregnant women:

• Although no longer a first line agent, streptomycin use has been associated with a 10% rate of VIII nerve toxicity in infants exposed in utero; its use during pregnancy should be avoided if possible (DIII).

• Hearing loss has been detected in approximately 2% of children exposed to long-term kanamycin therapy in utero; like streptomycin, this agent should generally be avoided if possible (DIII). There is a theoretical risk of ototoxicity in the fetus with in utero exposure to amikacin and capreomycin, but this risk has not been documented, and these drugs might be alternatives when an aminoglycoside is required for treatment of MDR-TB (CIII).

• Because arthropathy has been noted in immature animals with the use of quinolones during pregnancy, quinolones are generally not recommended in pregnancy and among children aged <18 years (CIII). However, >200 cases of ciprofloxacin use in pregnancy have been reported to various pregnancy registries, and its use has not been associated with arthropathy or birth defects after in utero exposure. Thus, quinolones can be used in pregnancy for drug-resistant TB, if required based on susceptibility testing (CIII).

• Para-aminosalicylic acid (PAS) has been associated with occipital bone defects when administered during pregnancy to rats [217, 218]. PAS is not teratogenic among rats or rabbits. A possible increase in limb and ear anomalies was reported among 143 pregnancies with first trimester exposure in one study [218]. No specific pattern of defects and no increase in rate of defects have been detected in other human studies, indicating that this agent can be used with caution if needed (CIII).

• Ethionamide has been associated with an increased risk for several anomalies among mice, rats, and rabbits following high dose exposure; no increased risk for defects was noted with doses similar to those used among humans, but experience is limited with use during human pregnancy.

• No data are available from animal studies or reports of cycloserine use in humans during pregnancy.
Disseminated *Mycobacterium avium* Complex Disease

**Epidemiology.** Organisms of the *Mycobacterium avium* complex (MAC) are ubiquitous in the environment [219–224]. *M. avium* is the etiologic agent in >95% of patients with AIDS who develop disseminated MAC disease [219–224]. An estimated 7%–12% of adults have been previously infected with MAC, although rates of disease vary in different geographic locations [220, 221, 224]. Although certain epidemiologic associations have been identified, no environmental exposure or behavior has been consistently associated with the subsequent development of MAC disease in susceptible persons.

The mode of transmission for MAC infection is thought to be through inhalation, ingestion, or inoculation through respiratory or gastrointestinal tract portals of entry. Household or close contacts of those with MAC disease do not appear to be at increased risk for experiencing disease, and person-to-person transmission is unlikely.

In the absence of effective combination ART or chemoprophylaxis in those with advanced immunosuppression, the incidence of disseminated MAC disease among persons with AIDS ranges from 20%–40% [220–222]. For those with a CD4+ T lymphocyte count <200 cells/μL who are receiving effective prophylaxis or those who have responded to ART with a sustained increase in CD4+ T lymphocyte count to levels >100–200 cells/μL, the overall incidence rate has been estimated at 2 cases per 100 person-years. Most cases of MAC disease occur among persons with CD4+ T lymphocyte counts <50 cells/μL. Other factors that are associated with increased susceptibility to MAC disease are high plasma HIV-1 RNA levels (>100,000 copies/mL), previous opportunistic infections (particularly CMV disease), previous colonization of the respiratory or gastrointestinal tract with MAC, and reduced in vitro lymphoproliferative immune responses to *M. avium* antigens, possibly reflecting defects in T-cell repertoire.

**Clinical manifestations.** MAC disease among patients with AIDS, in the absence of ART, is generally a disseminated multiorgan infection [225–229]. Early symptoms might be minimal and might precede detectable intermittent or continuous mycobacteremia by several weeks. Symptoms include fever, night sweats, weight loss, fatigue, diarrhea, and abdominal pain.

Immune reconstitution inflammatory syndrome, characterized by focal lymphadenitis with fever, is a systemic inflammatory response with signs and symptoms that are clinically indistinguishable from active infection and is similar to paradoxical reactions observed with TB disease [230–232]. Bacteremia is absent. The syndrome has been described among patients with subclinical or established MAC disease and advanced immunosuppression who begin ART and have a rapid and marked increase in CD4+ T lymphocyte count (≥100 cells/μL). This syndrome might be benign and self-limited or might be severe and require systemic anti-inflammatory therapy to alleviate clinical symptoms.

Other localized manifestations of MAC disease have been reported most commonly among persons who are receiving and who have responded to ART. Localized syndromes include cervical or mesenteric lymphadenitis, pneumonitis, pericarditis, osteomyelitis, skin or soft tissue abscesses, genital ulcers, or CNS infection.

Laboratory abnormalities particularly associated with disseminated MAC disease include anemia (often out of proportion to that expected for stage of HIV-1 disease) and elevated liver alkaline phosphatase [219–225, 233–235]. Hepatomegaly, splenomegaly, or lymphadenopathy (paratracheal, retropertioneal, para-aortic, or less commonly peripheral) might be identified on physical examination or by radiographic or other imaging studies. Other focal physical findings or laboratory abnormalities might occur in the context of those localized disease syndromes previously described.

**Diagnosis.** A confirmed diagnosis of disseminated MAC disease is based on compatible clinical signs and symptoms coupled with the isolation of MAC from cultures of blood, bone marrow, or other normally sterile tissue or body fluids [233–239]. Use of an Isolator® (Wampole Laboratories, Cranbury, New Jersey) or a similar blood culture system and inoculation of blood into Bactec 12B liquid medium, or direct inoculation of specimens into Bactec 13A bottles (Bectec; Becton Dickinson, Sparks, Maryland), followed by radiometric detection of growth, are recommended [237]. Species identification should be performed using specific DNA probes, high performance liquid chromatography, or biochemical tests.

Other ancillary studies provide supportive diagnostic information, including AFB smear and culture of stool or biopsy material obtained from tissues or organs, radiographic imaging of the abdomen or mediastinum for detection of lymphadenopathy, or other studies aimed at isolation of organisms from focal infection sites.

**Treatment recommendations.** Initial treatment of MAC disease should consist of two antimycobacterial drugs to prevent or delay the emergence of resistance [240–255] (AI). Clarithromycin is the preferred first agent [250] (AI); it has been studied more extensively than azithromycin and appears to be associated with more rapid clearance of MAC from the blood [240, 250, 254, 255]. However, azithromycin can be substituted for clarithromycin when drug interactions or clarithromycin intolerance preclude the use of clarithromycin (AII). Ethambutol is the recommended second drug [250] (AI). Some clinicians would add rifabutin as a third drug (GI). One randomized clinical trial demonstrated that the addition of rifabutin to the combination of clarithromycin and ethambutol for the treatment of disseminated MAC disease improved survival, and in two randomized clinical trials, this approach re-
duced emergence of drug resistance [246, 251]. These studies were completed before the availability of effective ART. The addition of rifabutin should be considered in persons with advanced immunosuppression (CD4+ T lymphocyte count <50 cells/μL), high mycobacterial loads (>2 log10 colony forming units/mL of blood), or in the absence of effective ART, settings in which mortality is increased and emergence of drug resistance are most likely (CIII). If rifabutin cannot be used because of drug interactions or intolerance (Table 5), a third or fourth drug may be selected from among either the fluoroquinolones (ciprofloxacin or levofloxacin) or parenteral amikacin (Table 6), although data supporting a survival or microbiologic benefit when these agents are added have not been compelling [240–253] (CIII).

Patients who have had disseminated MAC disease diagnosed and who have not previously been treated with or are not receiving potent ART should generally have ART initiated simultaneously or within 1–2 weeks of initiation of antimycobacterial therapy for MAC disease (CIII). If ART has already been instituted, it should be continued and optimized for patients with disseminated MAC disease, unless drug interactions preclude the safe concomitant use of antiretroviral and antimycobacterial drugs (CIII).

Persons who have symptoms of moderate-to-severe intensity because of an immune recovery inflammatory syndrome in the setting of ART should receive treatment initially with nonsteroidal, anti-inflammatory agents (CIII). If symptoms fail to improve, short-term (4–8 weeks) systemic corticosteroid therapy, in doses equivalent to 20–40 mg of oral prednisone QD, has been successful [256, 257] (CIII).

**Monitoring and adverse events.** Improvement in fever and a decline in quantity of mycobacteria in blood or tissue can be expected within 2–4 weeks after initiation of appropriate therapy. However, for those with more extensive disease or advanced immunosuppression, clinical response might be delayed. A repeat blood culture for MAC should be obtained 4–8 weeks after initiation of antimycobacterial therapy for patients who fail to have a clinical response to their initial treatment regimen (i.e., little or no reduction in fever or systemic symptoms).

Adverse effects with clarithromycin and azithromycin include nausea, vomiting, abdominal pain, abnormal taste, and elevations of liver transaminase levels or hypersensitivity reactions. Doses of clarithromycin >1 g per day for treatment of disseminated MAC disease have been associated with increased mortality and should not be used [258] (EI). Rifabutin doses of >450 mg/day have been associated with higher risk for adverse drug interactions when used with clarithromycin or other drugs that inhibit cytochrome p450 isoenzyme 3A4 and might be associated with a higher risk for experiencing uveitis or other adverse drug reactions [259, 260].

**Management of treatment failure.** Treatment failure is defined by the absence of a clinical response and the persistence of mycobacteremia after 4–8 weeks of treatment. Testing of MAC isolates for susceptibility to clarithromycin and azithromycin is recommended for patients who fail to microbiologically respond to initial therapy, relapse after an initial response, or develop MAC disease while receiving clarithromycin or azithromycin for prophylaxis; testing for susceptibility to clarithromycin, azithromycin, ethambutol, and rifabutin might be helpful in this setting, although the predictive value for ethambutol and rifabutin with regard to response to therapy has not been established. The majority of patients who failed clarithromycin or azithromycin primary prophylaxis in clinical trials had isolates susceptible to these drugs at the time MAC disease was detected [237, 240, 241, 251, 261]. Bactec® radiometric broth macrodilution is the recommended method for testing *M. avium* for susceptibility to antimicrobial agents [237, 250, 261]. Minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs) of ≥32 μg/mL for clarithromycin or ≥256 μg/mL for azithromycin are the suggested thresholds for determination of resistance based on the Bactec® method for radiometric susceptibility testing [237, 251, 261].

Because the number of drugs with demonstrated clinical activity against MAC is limited, results of susceptibility testing should be used to construct a new multidrug regimen consisting of at least two new drugs not previously used and to which the isolate is susceptible from among the following: ethambutol, rifabutin, ciprofloxacin or levofloxacin, or amikacin (CIII). Whether continuing clarithromycin or azithromycin in the face of resistance provides additional benefit is unknown (CIII). Clofazimine should not be used on the basis of the lack of efficacy demonstrated in randomized trials and the association with increased mortality [247, 249] (EI). Other second-line agents (e.g., ethionamide, thiacetazone [not available in the United States], or cycloserine) have been anecdotally combined with these drugs as salvage regimens. However, their role in this setting is not well defined. Among patients who have failed initial treatment for MAC disease or who have antimycobacterial drug resistant MAC disease, optimizing ART is an important adjunct to second-line or salvage therapy for MAC disease (AIII).

Adjunctive treatment of MAC disease with immunomodulators has not been thoroughly studied, and data are insufficient to support a recommendation for use (DIII). Interferon-gamma, tumor necrosis factor-alpha, granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor, and interleukin-12, either alone or in combination with other cytokines, appear to inhibit intracellular replication or enhance in vitro intracellular killing of *M. avium* [256, 257, 262, 263]. Use of these immunomodulators would be a logical adjuvant treatment for those who fail conventional antimycobacterial therapy.
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**Prevention of recurrence.** Adult and adolescent patients with disseminated MAC disease should receive lifelong secondary prophylaxis (chronic maintenance therapy) [AII], unless immune reconstitution occurs as a result of ART [250, 264–268]. Patients are at low risk for recurrence of MAC when they have completed a course of ≥12 months of treatment for MAC, remain asymptomatic with respect to MAC signs and symptoms, and have a sustained increase (e.g., ≥6 months) in their CD4+ T lymphocyte counts to >100 cells/μL after ART. Although the numbers of patients who have been evaluated remain limited and recurrences could occur, on the basis of these observations and on inference from more extensive data indicating the safety of discontinuing secondary prophylaxis for other opportunistic infections during advanced HIV-1 disease, discontinuing chronic maintenance therapy among such patients is reasonable [250, 253, 267, 268] (BII). Certain health-care providers recommend obtaining a blood culture for MAC, even for asymptomatic patients, before discontinuing therapy to substantiate that disease is no longer active, but it is not clear how often a positive culture will be obtained in such patients. Secondary prophylaxis should be reintroduced if the CD4+ T lymphocyte count decreases to <100 cells/μL (AIII).

**Special considerations during pregnancy.** Diagnostic considerations and indications for treatment are the same as among nonpregnant adults. Azithromycin is preferred over clarithromycin as the second agent with ethambutol or rifabutin because of the occurrence of birth defects in mice and rats associated with clarithromycin [269–272] (BIII). Limited data among humans do not indicate an increased risk for defects among 122 women taking clarithromycin during the first trimester, although an increased rate of spontaneous abortions was noted [271]. Limited data are available on the use of azithromycin during the first trimester in humans [271, 272].

**Bacterial Respiratory Disease**

**Epidemiology.** Bacterial pneumonia is a common cause of HIV-1 related morbidity [273, 274]. Incidence of approximately 100 cases per 1,000 HIV-1-infected persons per year have been reported, a rate much higher than in the noninfected population [273]. In a study comparing rates among cohorts with similar other risk factors for bacterial pneumonia, those with HIV-1 infection were 7.8 times more likely to develop bacterial pneumonia than HIV-seronegative persons [274]. For certain persons, bacterial pneumonia is a symptom of HIV-1 disease. Patients can develop serious pneumococcal infections with relatively preserved CD4+ T lymphocyte counts.

The high rates of bacterial pneumonia and other pyogenic respiratory tract infections probably result from multiple factors including qualitative B-cell defects that impair the ability to produce pathogen-specific antibody, impaired neutrophil function or numbers or both, and non-HIV-related factors (e.g., cigarette smoking, use of crack cocaine, IDU, alcoholism, or liver disease). The most consistent predictor of bacterial infections is the CD4+ T lymphocyte count [275–279].

The etiology of bacterial pneumonia among patients with HIV-1 infection has been reported [275–285]. Consistent among these has been the relative prominence of *Streptococcus pneumoniae*, followed by *Haemophilus influenzae*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, and *Staphylococcus aureus*. In the majority of studies, the pathogens of atypical pneumonia (*Legionella pneumophila*, *Mycoplasma pneumoniae*, and *Chlamydia pneumoniae*) are rarely encountered.

On the basis of data derived from studies of pneumococcal bacteremia, infection with *S. pneumoniae* is 150–300 times more common among patients with HIV-1 infection than in age-matched HIV-uninfected populations [282]. Recurrent pneumococcal pneumonia, either with the same or unrelated serotype, is also more common among HIV-1-infected patients, with a rate of 8%–25% within 6 months [282, 283]. Reinfection with a different strain is more common than relapse.

In the majority of series, *H. influenzae* (usually nontypeable) is generally the second most common cause of bacterial pneumonia [284]. In patients with advanced immunosuppression, *S. aureus* and *P. aeruginosa* can cause particularly aggressive invasive pneumonias, sometimes associated with bacteremia and frequent relapses after cessation of therapy [285].

As reported in pneumonia studies of non-HIV-1-infected patients, a high proportion (up to 33%) of patients with HIV-1 infection will have no specific microbiologic etiology defined. Many of these undefined cases are believed to be of possible bacterial etiology based on reviews of clinical and laboratory data, including response to antibacterial therapy.

**Clinical manifestations.** HIV-1-infected patients with bacterial pneumonia generally present in a similar fashion to those without HIV-1 infection (i.e., acute illness characterized by chills, rigors, pleuritic chest pain, and purulent sputum). Physical findings consist of fever, tachypnea, tachycardia, rales or rhonchi, and other signs of consolidation.

Lobar consolidation on chest radiograph is commonly observed and is a predictor of bacterial pneumonia, although atypical presentations with multilobar, nodular, or reticulonodular patterns are occasionally described [275–285]. Patients ill over a period of weeks to months are more likely to have *P. jiroveci* pneumonia, TB disease, or an endemic chronic fungal infection [286].

**Diagnosis.** The pace of the respiratory disease, the underlying CD4+ T lymphocyte count, the circulating neutrophil count, and the appearance of the infiltrate should guide the diagnostic evaluation for bacterial pneumonia. At a minimum, a chest radiograph, blood cultures, a white blood cell count and, if available, a Gram’s stain and culture of an adequate
expectorated sputum sample, should be obtained before anti-
biotic administration.

Because PCP is a common HIV-1-related respiratory infec-
tion and might co-exist with bacterial pneumonia, an induced
sputum examination for *P. jiroveci* staining should be per-
formed in the appropriate clinical settings. These would include
known CD4+ T lymphocyte count <250 cells/μL, other signs
of advanced immunodeficiency (e.g., thrush), a previous history
of PCP or other AIDS-related condition, or diffuse infiltrates
on chest radiograph.

For both clinical and infection-control purposes, sputum
samples (either expectorated or induced) for AFB staining and
TB cultures should be obtained on all HIV-1-infected hospi-
talized patients with pulmonary infiltrates in the appropriate
epidemiologic setting. A possible exception would be the pa-

tient who has an acute onset of an illness consistent with bac-
terial pneumonia, has no exposure to TB, has a previous neg-
ative TST, and who has not lived in or been exposed to
high-prevalence areas for TB.

In the absence of clinical improvement after initiation of
antibiotic therapy and depending on the clinical history and
radiographic findings, the following supplemental tests might
be useful: urine antigen testing for *L. pneumophila* and histo-
plasmosis; IgM and IgG serology for *M. pneumoniae* and *C.
pneumoniae*; serum cryptococcal antigen; CT scanning of the
chest; and bronchoscopy with bronchoalveolar lavage and
biopsy.

*Treatment recommendations.* Therapy for HIV-1-related
bacterial pneumonia should target the most commonly iden-
tified pathogens, particularly *S. pneumoniae* and *H. influenzae.*
Treatment guidelines appropriate for HIV-1-uninfected patients
are applicable to those with HIV-1 infection [287, 288].

Specific recommended regimens include either an extended
spectrum cephalosporin (e.g., cefotaxime or ceftriaxone) or a
fluoroquinolone with activity against *S. pneumoniae* (e.g., lev-
ofloxacn, moxifloxacn, or gatifloxacin) (AII). Combination
therapy with a macrolide or quinolone plus a cephalosporin
should be considered for those with severe illness (AIII).

For high-level penicillin-resistant isolates (MIC ≥4.0 mg/
ml), therapy should be guided by susceptibility results. De-
termining whether meningitis is present is important because
the recommended fluoroquinolones do not reliably attain ade-
quate cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) levels for treating pneumo-
coccal meningitis.

Among patients with severe immunodeficiency (CD4+ T lym-
phocyte counts <100/mL), a known history of previous *Pseu-
dononas* infection, bronchiectasis, or relative or absolute neu-

tropenia, broadening empiric coverage to include *P. aeruginosa*
and other gram-negative bacilli should be considered. Possible
options for therapy include cefazidime, cefepime, piperacillin-
tazobactam, a carbapenem, or high dose ciprofloxacin or lev-
ofloxacn. For ceftazidime and ciprofloxacin, other antimicro-
bial agents would be needed to provide optimal coverage for
gram-positive infections.

*Monitoring and adverse events.* A clinical response (i.e., a
reduction in fever and improvement in laboratory studies,
physical findings, and respiratory symptoms) are generally ob-
erved 48–72 hours after initiation of appropriate therapy. Ra-
diographic improvement might require additional time for de-
monstrable improvement.

*Management of treatment failure.* HIV-1-infected patients
who fail to respond to appropriate antimicrobial therapy, as
determined by a lack of reduction in fever, failure of the total
WBC to return toward normal, persistent or worsening pul-
monary signs, symptoms or radiographic abnormalities, pro-
gressive hypoxemia or other evidence of progressive disease,
should undergo further evaluation, especially bronchoalveolar
lavage or transbronchial biopsy, to search for other infectious
and noninfectious causes of pulmonary dysfunction. Broader
spectrum antimicrobial therapy might be required while ad-
ditional diagnostic testing is pursued. Management in consul-
tation with an infectious disease specialist is recommended.

*Prevention of recurrence.* The strategy most effective in
preventing bacterial pneumonia in HIV-1-infected patients is
to optimize ART (AII). No well-documented benefit has been
determined for secondary prophylaxis (chronic maintenance
therapy) after successful completion of antibiotic treatment for
bacterial respiratory tract infections.

Adults and adolescents who have a CD4+ T lymphocyte count
of ≥200 cells/μL should be administered a single dose of 23-
valent polysaccharide pneumococcal vaccine if they have not
received it during the preceding 5 years (BII). Annual admin-
istration of influenza vaccine might be useful in preventing
pneumococcal superinfection of influenza respiratory tract in-
fecions (BII).

Administration of antibiotic chemoprophylaxis to HIV-1-
infected patients who have frequent recurrences of serious bac-
terial respiratory infections should be considered (CIII). TMP-
SMX, administered for PCP prophylaxis and clarithromycin or
azithromycin, administered for MAC prophylaxis, are appro-
perate for drug-sensitive organisms. However, caution is re-
quired when using antibiotics solely for preventing the recur-
rence of serious bacterial respiratory infections because of the
potential for development of drug-resistant microorganisms
and drug toxicity.

*Special considerations during pregnancy.* The diagnosis of
bacterial respiratory tract infections among pregnant women
is the same as for nonpregnant adults, with appropriate shield-
ing of the abdomen during radiographic procedures. Bacterial
respiratory tract infections should be managed as in the non-
pregnant adult, with certain exceptions. Clarithromycin should
be avoided because of the occurrence of birth defects associated
with its use among mice and rats (DIII). Because arthropathy has been observed among immature animals with the use of quinolones during pregnancy, quinolones are generally not recommended in pregnancy and among children aged <18 years. However, >200 cases of ciprofloxacin use in pregnancy have been reported to various pregnancy registries, and its use has not been associated with arthropathy or birth defects after in utero exposure in humans. Therefore, quinolones can be used in pregnancy for drug-resistant disease when other alternatives are not available (CIII).

Pneumococcal and influenza vaccine can be administered during pregnancy, and influenza vaccine is recommended for all women who will be in the second or third trimester of pregnancy during the peak of influenza season (AIII). Because administration of vaccines might be associated with a transient rise in plasma HIV-1 RNA levels, vaccination of pregnant women is best done after ART has been initiated to minimize increases in plasma HIV-1 RNA levels that might increase the risk for perinatal HIV-1 transmission.

**Bacterial Enteric Disease**

**Epidemiology.** The three most common causes of bacterial diarrhea among patients with HIV-1 infection in developed countries are *Salmonella*, *Campylobacter*, and *Shigella* species. Patients with HIV-1 infection are at increased risk for developing salmonellosis. Two studies in the United States and Europe reported incidence rates 20–100-fold higher than the incidence in the general population without HIV-1 infection [289–292]. As with non-HIV-associated salmonellosis, the probable source for *Salmonella* infection is ingestion of contaminated food, in particular undercooked poultry and eggs [290]. Acquisition of the infection might be facilitated by HIV-1-associated gastric achlorhydria.

*Campylobacter jejuni* has a reported incidence among HIV-1-infected persons, particularly men who have sex with men (MSM), up to 39 times higher than in the general population [293, 294]. Persons with HIV-1 infection, particularly sexually active MSM, appear to be at increased risk for developing shigellosis. A population-based surveillance study conducted in 1996 found the following incidence ratios compared with the HIV-seronegative and heterosexual population: MSM and HIV-seronegative 4.9 (95% confidence interval [CI] = 2.7–8.1); heterosexual and HIV-1 infected 30.6 (95% CI = 12.8–63.0); and MSM and HIV-1 infected 35.7 (95% CI = 25.1–50.4) [295]. *Shigella* bacteremia is more common among HIV-1-infected persons and might occur in both mild and severe cases of clinical shigellosis [296]. Relapses in gastroenteritis and bacteremia after appropriate treatment have also been reported [296].

**Clinical manifestations.** The three major clinical syndromes of salmonellosis among patients with HIV-1 infection include a self-limited gastroenteritis; a more severe and prolonged diarrheal disease, associated with fever, bloody diarrhea, and weight loss; and *Salmonella* septicemia, which might present with or without gastrointestinal symptoms. Bacteremia can occur with each of these syndromes and is more likely to occur among those with advanced immunosuppression [289–292].

In the United States, the majority of cases of *Salmonella* septicemia are caused by nontyphoidal strains, in particular *S. enteritidis* and *S. typhimurium*. Because nontyphoidal *Salmonella* bacteremia is rare in immunocompetent hosts, its diagnosis should prompt consideration of HIV testing.

An additional important feature of *Salmonella* bacteremia among patients with AIDS is its propensity for relapse. On the basis of data from early in the AIDS epidemic, the rate of recurrent bacteremia was approximately 45% unless chronic suppressive therapy was administered [289].

*Campylobacter* disease among those with severe or progressive immunodeficiency is often associated with more prolonged diarrhea, invasive disease, bacteremia, and extraintestinal involvement [293, 294]. The development of antimicrobial resistance during therapy, often associated with clinical deterioration or relapse, is also reported more frequently among HIV-1-infected persons [297, 298].

Shigellosis among persons with HIV-1 infection generally causes an acute, febrile, diarrheal illness with prominent upper and lower gastrointestinal symptoms. Bloody diarrhea is more commonly observed with *Shigella* infection than with *Salmonella* infection [295, 296].

**Diagnosis.** The diagnosis of bacterial enteric infection is established through cultures of stool and blood. Because of the high rate of bacteremia associated with *Salmonella* gastroenteritis, in particular among patients with advanced HIV-1 disease, blood cultures should be obtained from any HIV-1-infected patient with diarrhea and fever.

Persons with HIV-1 are also at risk for disease caused by nonjejuni *Campylobacter* species, including *C. fetus, C. upsalensis, C. laridis, C. cineadi,* and *C. fennelliae*. Although blood culture systems will generally grow these organisms, routine stool cultures performed by most laboratories will fail to identify these more fastidious *Campylobacter* species. Endoscopy can be diagnostically useful. If lower endoscopy is performed, ulcerations similar to those seen with cytomegalovirus colitis might be evident and can only be distinguished through histopathologic examination and culture.

**Treatment recommendations.** Immunocompetent hosts without HIV-1 infection often do not require treatment for *Salmonella* gastroenteritis; the condition is self-limited and treatment might prolong the carrier state. Although no treatment trials have examined this strategy among patients with HIV-1 infection, the risk for bacteremia is sufficiently high that...
the majority of specialists recommend treatment of all HIV-1-associated Salmonella infections (BIII).

The initial treatment of choice for Salmonella infection is a fluoroquinolone [299] (AIII). Ciprofloxacin is the preferred agent [299] (AII); it is likely that other fluoroquinolones (levofloxacin, gatifloxacin and moxifloxacin) also would be effective in treatment of salmonellosis among HIV-1-infected persons, but these have not been well evaluated in clinical studies (BIII).

The length of therapy for HIV-1-related Salmonella infection is poorly defined. For mild gastroenteritis without bacteremia, 7–14 days of treatment is reasonable in an effort to reduce the risk for extraintestinal spread (BIII). Among patients with advanced HIV-1 disease (CD4+ T lymphocyte count <200/mL) or who have Salmonella bacteremia, at least 4–6 weeks of treatment is often recommended (BIII). Depending on antibiotic susceptibility, alternatives to the fluoroquinolone antibiotics for Salmonella spp. include TMP-SMX or expanded spectrum cephalosporins (e.g., ceftriaxone or cefotaxime) (BIII).

As with non-HIV-infected patients, the optimal treatment of campylobacteriosis among persons with HIV-1 infection is poorly defined. Among patients with mild disease, certain clinicians might opt to withhold therapy unless symptoms persist for more than several days. Increasing resistance to fluoroquinolones makes the choice of therapy especially problematic. For mild-to-moderate disease, initiating therapy with a fluoroquinolone (ciprofloxacin) or a macrolide (azithromycin), pending susceptibility test results, and treating for 7 days is a reasonable approach (BIII). Patients with bacteremia should be treated for at least 2 weeks (BIII), and adding a second active agent (e.g., an aminoglycoside) might be prudent (CIII).

Therapy for shigellosis is indicated both to shorten the duration of illness and to prevent spread of the infection to others [299] (AII). The recommended treatment is with a fluoroquinolone for 3–7 days (AIII). Alternatives to this treatment include TMP-SMX for 3–7 days or azithromycin for 5 days (BIII). Cases of Shigella acquired internationally have high rates of TMP-SMX resistance; in addition, HIV-1-infected persons have higher rates of adverse effects related to this agent. As a result, fluoroquinolones are preferred as first-line.

Treatment of patients who have Shigella bacteremia is less well defined. Depending on the severity of infection, it might be reasonable to extend treatment to 14 days, using the agents described previously (AIII).

**Monitoring and adverse events.** Patients should be monitored closely for response to treatment, as defined clinically by improvement in systemic signs and symptoms and resolution of diarrhea. A follow-up stool culture to demonstrate clearance of the organism is not generally required if a complete clinical response has been demonstrated but should be considered for those who fail to clinically respond to appropriate antimicrobial therapy, or when public health considerations dictate the need to ensure microbiologic cure (e.g., health-care or food service workers).

**Management of treatment failure.** Treatment failure is defined by the lack of improvement in clinical signs and symptoms of diarrheal illness and the persistence of organisms in stool, blood, or other relevant body fluids or tissue after completion of appropriate antimicrobial therapy for the recommended duration. Certain patients with Salmonella bacteremia might remain febrile for 5–7 days despite effective therapy. Therefore, careful observation is required to determine the adequacy of the response.

Treatment should be guided by drug susceptibility testing of isolates recovered in culture. An evaluation of other factors that might contribute to failure or relapse, such as malabsorption of oral antibiotics, a sequestered focus of infection (e.g., an undrained abscess), or adverse drug reactions that interfere with antimicrobial activity, should be undertaken as indicated.

**Prevention of recurrence.** HIV-1-infected persons who have Salmonella bacteremia should receive long-term secondary prophylaxis (chronic maintenance therapy) to prevent recurrence. Fluoroquinolones, primarily ciprofloxacin, are usually the drugs of choice for susceptible organisms (BII). Chronic suppressive or maintenance therapy is not generally recommended for Campylobacter or Shigella infections among persons with HIV-1 infection (EIII). Household contacts of HIV-1-infected persons who have salmonellosis or shigellosis should be evaluated for persistent asymptomatic carriage of Salmonella or Shigella so that strict hygienic measures or antimicrobial therapy can be instituted and recurrent transmission to the HIV-1-infected person can be prevented (CII).

**Special considerations during pregnancy.** The diagnosis of bacterial enteric infections among pregnant women is the same as among nonpregnant women. Bacterial enteric infections should be managed as in the nonpregnant adult, with several considerations. Because arthropathy has been observed among immature animals with the use of quinolones during pregnancy, quinolones are generally not recommended in pregnancy and among children aged <18 years. Therefore, expanded spectrum cephalosporins, TMP-SMX or azithromycin, depending on the organism and the results of susceptibility testing, should generally be considered as first-line therapy (CIII). However, >200 cases of ciprofloxacin use in pregnancy have been reported to various pregnancy registries, and its use has not been associated with arthropathy or birth defects after in utero exposure in humans. Therefore, quinolones can be used in pregnancy for drug-resistant disease (CII). Neonatal-care providers should be informed of maternal sulfa therapy if used near delivery because of the theoretical increased risk to the newborn of hyperbilirubinemia and kernicterus.
Bartonellosis

**Epidemiology.** Bacillary angiomatosis, first recognized in 1983, and associated illnesses (e.g., peliosis hepatica) are caused by bacteria of the genus *Bartonella,* most commonly *Bartonella henselae* and *Bartonella quintana* [300, 301]. Seven other *Bartonella* species exist and several have been associated with bacteremia and endocarditis, but none are seen with increased frequency in HIV-1-infected persons [300–302]. Cases of bacillary angiomatosis in patients with HIV-1 infection have been linked to cat exposure. *Bartonella quintana,* previously known as *Rochalimaea quintana,* is associated with louse infestation, causes trench fever [303], and is increasingly frequent among the homeless and under conditions of poor sanitation.

Bacillary angiomatosis occurs most often late in HIV-1 infection in patients with a median CD4+ T lymphocyte count of <50 cells/μL in the majority of case series [300, 303]. Bartonellosis is often a chronic illness with disease lasting for months to years in the majority of patients.

**Clinical manifestations.** *Bartonella* species have been associated with infections involving every organ system, but the characteristic presentation is bacillary angiomatosis of the skin. Bacillary angiomatosis resembles Kaposi sarcoma. Lesions are often papular, red, with smooth or eroded surfaces, are vascular and bleed if traumatized. Nodules might be observed in the subcutaneous tissue and can erode through the skin. Bone infection has been reported, and such infections are notable in that they are lytic and painful [304]. *Bartonella* infection of the liver produces hepatic bacillary peliosis, characterized by vascular masses in the liver or spleen.

Although isolated organ systems might be the principle focus of disease, infection results from hematogenous dissemination, and systemic symptoms of fever, sweats, fatigue, malaise, weight loss, and other symptoms might accompany localized syndromes.

**Diagnosis.** Diagnosis is confirmed by histopathologic examination of tissue biopsy specimens [300–306]. Lesions produce vascular proliferative histopathology; modified silver stain demonstrates numerous bacilli. Tissue Gram stain or acid-fast staining is negative.

Serologic tests exist and are available through CDC [307]. Serologic tests are often positive for many years before the development of symptoms, underscoring the chronicity of infection or indicating reactivation disease in the setting of immunosuppression.

*Bartonella* spp. can be isolated from blood by using lysis centrifugation [301, 303, 305]. The organisms are difficult to isolate from tissue. Growth requires at least 3 weeks in 5% CO2. PCR methods have been developed for the identification and speciation of *Bartonella* but are only available as research tools.

**Treatment recommendations.** No randomized, controlled clinical trials have evaluated antimicrobial treatment of bartonellosis. Erythromycin and doxycycline have been used successfully to treat bacillary angiomatosis, peliosis hepatica, bacteremia, and osteomyelitis and are considered first-line treatment for bartonellosis on the basis of reported experience in case series [300–307] (AII). Therapy should last at least 3 months (AII). Doxycycline is the treatment of choice for central nervous system bartonellosis (AIII). Clarithromycin or azithromycin have been associated with clinical response in certain cases and are considered second-line alternatives (BII), although treatment failures have been reported with both drugs.

The beta-lactams (penicillins and first-generation cephalosporins) have no appreciable in vitro activity and are not recommended for treatment of bartonellosis (DII). Quinolones have variable in vitro activity and clinical response in case reports; as a result, they are not generally recommended as first-line therapy but might be tried as second-line alternatives (CIII).

**Management of treatment failure.** Among patients who fail to respond to initial treatment, one or more of the second-line alternative regimens should be considered (AIII). Among patients who relapse, lifelong therapy is recommended (AIII).

**Prevention of recurrence.** Relapse or reinfection with *Bartonella* has sometimes followed a course of primary treatment. Although no firm recommendation can be made about secondary prophylaxis (chronic maintenance therapy) in this setting, long-term suppression of infection with erythromycin or doxycycline should be considered (CIII).

**Special considerations during pregnancy.** Pregnancy has been associated with a more severe course and possible increased risk for death with acute infection caused by *B. bacilliformis* in immunocompetent patients [308]. No data are available on the potential impact of pregnancy on *Bartonella* infections among HIV-1-infected persons. Similarly, *B. bacilliformis* infections during pregnancy might increase the risk for spontaneous abortion and stillbirth and can be transmitted to the fetus. No data are available on the effect of other *Bartonella* infections on pregnancy outcome.

Diagnosis of *Bartonella* infections in pregnant women should be the same as in nonpregnant adults. Treatment during pregnancy should be with erythromycin rather than tetracyclines because of the increased hepatotoxicity and staining of fetal teeth and bones associated with the use of tetracyclines during pregnancy (AIII). Cephalosporins are not recommended.

**Syphilis**

**Epidemiology.** Recent reports indicate a resurgence of infections with *Treponema pallidum,* the etiologic agent of syphilis, among men in several U.S. cities and in Western Europe, possibly because of relaxed safer sex practices of those who view HIV-1 infection as a disease manageable if not curable with
effective ART [309–314]. HIV-1 infection appears to alter the diagnosis, natural history, management, and outcome of T. pallidum infection [315–318]. This section focuses on specific guidelines for the management of syphilis among HIV-1-infected patients. A more comprehensive review of the recommendations for the treatment of syphilis is available [319].

Clinical manifestations. The impact of HIV-1 infection on syphilis pathogenesis, disease severity, response to treatment, and long-term sequelae is not well documented. As among HIV-uninfected persons, primary syphilis commonly presents as a single painless nodule at the site of contact that rapidly ulcerates to form a classic chancre; however, among HIV-infected persons, multiple or atypical chancres occur, and primary lesions might be absent or missed.

Progression to secondary syphilis generally follows 2–8 weeks after primary inoculation and reflects ongoing replication and dissemination of T. pallidum in the absence of an effective host immune response. Although more rapid progression or severe disease might be present among HIV-1-infected persons with advanced immunosuppression, the clinical manifestations are similar to those among HIV-uninfected persons. The manifestations of secondary syphilis are protean, involving virtually all organ systems. The most common manifestations appear to be macular, maculopapular, or pustular skin lesions (or condyloma lata in moist genital or intertriginous areas), usually beginning on the trunk and spreading peripherally, characteristically involving palms and soles and accompanied by generalized lymphadenopathy and constitutional symptoms of fever, malaise, anorexia, arthralgias, and headache [317–319]. Secondary syphilis, particularly acute syphilitic meningitis, must be distinguished from acute primary HIV-1 infection. The previously described constitutional symptoms, along with nonfocal CNS symptoms and CSF abnormalities (e.g., lymphocytic pleocytosis with a mildly elevated CSF protein) are common to both [320–322].

The signs and symptoms of secondary syphilis might persist from a few days to several weeks before resolving or evolving to latent or later stages. As among HIV-uninfected patients, latent syphilis is not associated with overt clinical signs and symptoms, but relapse of manifestations of secondary syphilis might occur, most commonly in the first 1–4 years following infection.

Manifestations of “late” syphilis generally include neurosyphilis, cardiovascular syphilis, and gummatous syphilis, but might present as slowly progressive disease that can affect any organ system. Certain manifestations of neurologic complications or neurosyphilis progress more rapidly or occur earlier in the course of disease among persons with HIV-1 infection and are not truly late complications or manifestations. Asymptomatic neurosyphilis, which might be the most commonly described syndrome, is defined as the absence of symptoms but with one or more abnormalities of CSF (i.e., elevated protein, lymphocytic cellular infiltrate, or positive serologic tests).

Manifestations of symptomatic neurosyphilis (i.e., meningitis or meningoencephalitis and parenchymatous disease) among HIV-1-infected persons will probably be similar to those in the HIV-uninfected population. However, concomitant uveitis and meningitis might be more common among HIV-1-infected patients with syphilis.

Diagnosis. The diagnosis of syphilis depends on a variety of tests that either directly detect the organism (e.g., darkfield microscopy or direct fluorescent antibody-Treponema pallidum (DFA-TP) or serum antibodies against it (e.g., FTA-ABS and TP-PA), or indirectly indicate the presumptive presence of T. pallidum by detecting non-antibodies generated during infection (e.g., VDRL and RPR) [317, 319, 323]. Clinical experience indicates that concurrent HIV-1 infection probably does not change the performance of standard tests for the diagnosis of syphilis, but this concern has not been formally studied.

Early-stage disease (i.e., primary, secondary and early-latent syphilis) among HIV-1-infected patients is confirmed by the identical procedures used for the HIV-uninfected populations (darkfield microscopy of a mucocutaneous lesion sample and standard nontreponemal serologic tests). HIV-1 infection does not decrease the sensitivity or specificity of darkfield microscopy. Responses to nontreponemal serologic tests (i.e., VDRL and RPR) might be atypical (i.e., higher, lower, or delayed) among HIV-1-infected versus HIV-uninfected patients with early-stage syphilis, but no data indicate that treponemal tests perform differently among HIV-1-infected compared with uninfected patients. Similar to HIV-uninfected persons, false-negative serologic tests have been reported among HIV-1-infected patients with documented T. pallidum infection. Therefore, if the clinical suspicion of syphilis is high and serologic tests do not confirm the diagnosis, other diagnostic procedures (e.g., biopsy, darkfield examination, or direct fluorescent antibody staining of lesion material) should be pursued.

By definition, patients presenting with latent syphilis have serological evidence of disease in the absence of clinical or other laboratory abnormalities (i.e., normal CSF profiles). Patients with early-latent syphilis by definition have documented infection of <1 year; patients with late-latent syphilis have documented infection for ≥1 year, or the duration of infection is not known. The diagnostic testing for detection of late-stage disease (e.g., cardiovascular and gummatous syphilis) among HIV-1-infected patients is the same as for the HIV-uninfected population.

Diagnosis of neurosyphilis is established by examination of the CSF, which might indicate mild mononuclear pleocytosis (10–200 cells/μL), normal or mildly elevated protein concentration, or a reactive CSF-VDRL [319, 324]. The CSF-VDRL...
is specific but not sensitive, and a reactive test establishes the diagnosis of neurosyphilis but a nonreactive test does not exclude the diagnosis. In comparison, CSF treponemal tests (e.g., the CSF FTA-ABS) are sensitive but not specific, and a nonreactive test excludes the diagnosis of neurosyphilis, but a reactive test does not establish the diagnosis. Calculated indices (e.g., ITPA-index) are of limited value in establishing the diagnosis of neurosyphilis. PCR-based diagnostic methods are not recommended as a diagnostic test for neurosyphilis.

A reactive CSF-VDRL and a CSF WBC ≥ 10 cells/μL support the diagnosis of neurosyphilis; the majority of specialists would not base the diagnosis solely on elevated CSF protein concentrations in the absence of these other abnormalities. HIV-1 infection itself might be associated with mild mononuclear CSF pleocytosis (5–15 cells/μL), particularly among persons with peripheral blood CD4+ T lymphocyte counts > 500 cells/μL. Establishing the diagnosis of neurosyphilis might be more difficult among such persons. If neurosyphilis cannot be excluded by a nonreactive CSF treponemal test, such persons should be treated for neurosyphilis, despite the acknowledged uncertainty of the diagnosis.

**Treatment recommendations.** Management of HIV-1-infected patients with syphilis is similar to the management of HIV-uninfected persons with the disease [319, 325, 326]. However, closer follow-up is recommended to detect potential treatment failures or disease progression. All patients with syphilis, regardless of disease stage, should be evaluated for clinical evidence of CNS or ocular involvement. Those with neurologic or ocular symptoms or signs should undergo CSF examination to rule out neurosyphilis. HIV-1-infected patients with late-latent syphilis, including those with syphilis of unknown duration, also should undergo CSF examination. Certain specialists recommend CSF examination for all HIV-1-infected patients with syphilis, regardless of stage. Similar to the HIV-uninfected population, HIV-1-infected patients with active tertiary syphilis (i.e., aortitis and gumma) or who fail treatment for non-neurologic syphilis should undergo CSF examination. Patients with CSF abnormalities consistent with neurosyphilis should be treated for neurosyphilis.

HIV-1-infected persons with early-stage (i.e., primary, secondary, or early latent) syphilis should receive a single intramuscular (IM) injection of 2.4 million units of benzathine penicillin G (AII). Alternative therapies, including oral doxycycline, ceftriaxone, and azithromycin, have not been sufficiently evaluated in HIV-1-infected patients to warrant use as first-line treatment. If the clinical situation requires the use of an alternative to penicillin, treatment should be undertaken with close clinical monitoring (BIII). In a randomized clinical trial, amoxicillin administered with probenecid, which increases CSF amoxicillin levels, did not improve clinical outcome of early stage disease and is not recommended [325] (DII).

In HIV-1-infected patients with late-latent syphilis for whom the CSF examination excludes the diagnosis of neurosyphilis, treatment with three weekly intramuscular injections of 2.4 million units benzathine penicillin G is recommended (AIII). Alternative therapy with doxycycline 100 mg by mouth twice a day for 28 days has not been sufficiently evaluated in HIV-1-infected patients to warrant use as first-line treatment. If the clinical situation requires the use of an alternative to penicillin, treatment should be undertaken with close clinical monitoring (BIII).

HIV-1-infected patients with clinical evidence of late-stage (tertiary) syphilis (cardiovascular or gummatous disease) should have a CSF examination to rule out neurosyphilis before initiating therapy (AIII). The complexity of tertiary syphilis management is beyond the scope of these guidelines and providers treating tertiary disease are advised to consult an infectious disease specialist (AIII).

HIV-1-infected patients with clinical or laboratory evidence of neurosyphilis (i.e., CNS involvement including otic and ocular disease, even with a normal CSF) should receive intravenous aqueous crystalline penicillin G, 18–24 million units daily, administered 3–4 million units IV every 4 hours or by continuous infusion for 10–14 days (AII) or procaine penicillin 2.4 million units IM once daily plus probenecid 500 mg orally four times a day for 10–14 days [319, 327, 328] (BII). HIV-1-infected patients who are allergic to sulfa-containing medications should not be administered the IM alternative because they are very likely to be allergic to probenecid (DIII). IM procaine penicillin without probenecid does not achieve sufficient penicillin levels in CSF to treat neurosyphilis.

Because neurosyphilis treatment regimens are of shorter duration than those used in late-latent syphilis, certain specialists recommend following neurosyphilis treatment with 3 weeks of benzathine penicillin, 2.4 million units IM weekly. However, no consensus has been reached about the need for this practice (CIII). Among penicillin allergic patients, penicillin desensitization followed by one of the penicillin regimens listed previously is the preferred approach (BIII). However, limited data indicate that ceftriaxone (2 g daily IV for 10–14 days) might be an alternative regimen (CIII).

**Monitoring and adverse events.** Clinical and serologic responses to treatment of early stage (i.e., primary, secondary, and early-latent) disease should be monitored at 3, 6, 9, 12, and 24 months after therapy. Serologic responses to treatment might differ among HIV-1-infected patients compared with HIV-uninfected persons, including temporal pattern of response and proportion of subjects achieving serologically defined treatment success (at least a fourfold decrease in titer).

After successful treatment for syphilis among HIV-1-infected and uninfected patients, some might remain “serofast,” meaning that serum non-treponemal test titers remain reactive at low
and unchanging titers, generally \( \leq 1:8 \), for extended periods of time (up to the lifetime of the patient). The clinical significance of the serofast state is unclear, but it probably does not represent treatment failure. Serologic detection of potential re-infection should be based on at least a fourfold increase in titer above the established serofast baseline.

Response to therapy of late-latent syphilis should be monitored using nontreponemal serologic tests at 3, 6, 12, 18, and 24 months to ensure at least a fourfold decline in titer. Two retrospective studies reported that concomitant HIV-1 infection was associated with poorer CSF and serologic responses to neurosyphilis therapy [326, 327]. Repeat CSF examination should be performed at 3 and 6 months after completion of therapy and then every 6 months until the CSF white blood cell count is normal and the CSF-VDRL is nonreactive. Because of the complex nature of neurosyphilis, treatment should be undertaken in consultation with an infectious disease specialist.

**Management of treatment failure.** Re-treatment of patients with early stage syphilis should be considered for those who 1) do not experience at least a fourfold decrease in serum nontreponemal test titers 6–12 months after therapy, 2) have a sustained fourfold increase in serum nontreponemal test titers after an initial reduction after treatment, or 3) have persistent or recurring clinical signs or symptoms of disease (BIII). If CSF examination does not confirm the diagnosis of neurosyphilis, such patients should receive 2.4 million units IM benzathine penicillin G administered at 1-week intervals for 3 weeks (BIII). Certain specialists have also recommended a course of aqueous penicillin G IV or procaine penicillin IM plus probenecid, as described for treatment of neurosyphilis above, in this setting (CIII). If titers fail to respond appropriately after re-treatment, repeat CSF evaluation or re-treatment might not be beneficial (CIII).

Patients with late-latent syphilis should have a repeat CSF examination and be retreated if they have clinical signs or symptoms of syphilis, have a fourfold increase in serum nontreponemal test titer, or experience an inadequate serologic response (less than fourfold decline in nontreponemal test titer) within 12–24 months of therapy (BII). If the CSF examination is consistent with CNS involvement, re-treatment should follow the neurosyphilis recommendations (AIII); those without a profile indicating CNS disease should receive a repeat course of benzathine penicillin, 2.4 million units IM weekly for 3 weeks (BIII), although certain specialists recommend following the neurosyphilis recommendations in this setting (CIII). Re-treatment of neurosyphilis should be considered if the CSF WBC count has not decreased after 6 months after completion of treatment, or if the CSF-VDRL remains reactive 2 years after treatment (BII).

**Prevention of recurrence.** No recommendations have been developed indicating the need for secondary prophylaxis or prolonged chronic maintenance antimicrobial therapy for syphilis in HIV-1-infected patients.

**Special considerations during pregnancy.** All pregnant women should be screened for syphilis at the first prenatal visit. In areas where syphilis prevalence is high or among women at high risk (e.g., uninsured, women living in poverty, commercial sex workers, and injection-drug users), testing should be repeated at 28 weeks of gestation and at delivery. All women delivering a stillborn infant after 20 weeks of gestation should also be tested for syphilis. Syphilis screening should also be offered at sites providing episodic care to pregnant women at high risk including emergency departments, jails, and prisons. No infant should leave the hospital without documentation of maternal syphilis serology status during pregnancy [329].

The rate of transmission and adverse outcomes of untreated syphilis are highest with primary, secondary, and early latent syphilis during pregnancy and decrease with increasing duration of infection thereafter. Pregnancy does not appear to alter the course, manifestations, or diagnostic test results of syphilis infection among adults. The diagnosis should be made the same as among nonpregnant adults. Concurrent syphilis infection might increase the risk for perinatal transmission of HIV-1 to the infant, although an increased risk has not been consistently reported [330–333].

Treatment during pregnancy should consist of the same penicillin regimen as recommended for the given disease stage among nonpregnant, HIV-1-infected adults. Because of treatment failures reported after single injections of benzathine penicillin among HIV-uninfected pregnant women [334], certain specialists recommend a second injection 1 week after the initial injection for pregnant women with early syphilis [319, 335]. Because of additional concerns about the efficacy of standard therapy in HIV-1-infected persons, a second injection 1 week after the first for HIV-1-infected pregnant women should be considered (BIII).

No alternatives to penicillin have been proven effective and safe for treatment of syphilis during pregnancy or for prevention of fetal infection. Pregnant women who have a history of penicillin allergy should be referred for skin testing and desensitization and treatment with penicillin [319] (AIII). Erythromycin does not reliably cure fetal infection; tetracyclines should not be used during pregnancy because of hepatotoxicity and staining of fetal bones and teeth (EIII). Efficacy data with azithromycin or ceftriaxone are insufficient to support a recommendation for their use in this setting (DIII).

A Jarisch-Herxheimer reaction occurring during the second half of pregnancy might precipitate preterm labor or fetal distress [336]. Consideration should be given to providing fetal and contraction monitoring for 24 hours after initiation of treatment for early syphilis of pregnant women who are \( \geq 20 \) weeks of gestation, especially in the setting of abnormal ultra-
sound findings indicative of fetal infection (BIII). Alternatively, women should be advised to seek obstetric attention after treatment if they notice contractions or a decrease in fetal movement.

Repeat serologic titers should be performed in the third trimester and at delivery for women treated for syphilis during pregnancy. Titers can be conducted monthly for women at high risk for reinfection. The clinical and antibody response should be appropriate for the stage of disease, although the majority of women will deliver before their serologic response can be definitively assessed.

**Mucocutaneous Candidiasis**

**Epidemiology.** Oropharyngeal and esophageal candidiasis are common [337]. The majority of infection is caused by *Candida albicans*. Fluconazole (or azole) resistance is predominantly the consequence of previous exposure to fluconazole (or other azoles), particularly repeated and long-term exposure [338–340]. In this setting, *C. albicans* resistance has been accompanied by a gradual emergence of non-*albicans* *Candida* species, particularly *C. glabrata*, as a cause of refractory mucosal candidiasis, particularly in patients with advanced immunosuppression [338, 341].

The occurrence of oropharyngeal or esophageal candidiasis is recognized as an indicator of immune suppression, and these are most often observed in patients with CD4+ T lymphocyte counts <200 cells/μL [337]. In contrast, vulvovaginal candidiasis is common among healthy, adult women and is unrelated to HIV-1 status. Recurrent vulvovaginal candidiasis alone should not be considered a sentinel of HIV-1 infection among women. The introduction of ART has led to a dramatic decline in the prevalence of oropharyngeal candidiasis and a marked diminution in cases of refractory disease.

**Clinical manifestations.** Oropharyngeal candidiasis is characterized by painless, creamy white, plaque-like lesions of the buccal or oropharyngeal mucosa or tongue surface. Lesions can be easily scraped off with a tongue depressor or other instrument. Less commonly, erythematous patches without white plaques can be seen on the anterior or posterior upper palate or diffusely on the tongue. Angular cheilosis is also noted on occasion and may be caused by *Candida*.

Esophageal candidiasis is occasionally asymptomatic but often presents with fever, retrosternal burning pain or discomfort, and odynophagia. Endoscopic examination reveals whitish plaques similar to those observed with oropharyngeal disease that might progress to superficial ulceration of the esophageal mucosa, with central or surface whitish exudates.

Vulvovaginitis might be mild to moderate and sporadic, similar in presentation to that in normal hosts, and characterized by a creamy to yellow-white adherent vaginal discharge associated with mucosal burning and itching. In those with more advanced immunosuppression, episodes might be more severe, more frequently recurrent, of longer duration, or refractory to treatment.

**Diagnosis.** Diagnosis of oropharyngeal candidiasis is usually clinical and based on the appearance of lesions. The feature that distinguishes these from oral hairy leukoplakia is the ability to scrape off the superficial whitish plaques. If laboratory confirmation is required, a scraping for microscopic examination for yeast forms using a potassium hydroxide (KOH) preparation provides supportive diagnostic information. Cultures of clinical material identify the species of yeast present.

The diagnosis of esophageal candidiasis requires endoscopic visualization of lesions with histopathologic demonstration of characteristic *Candida* yeast forms in tissue and culture confirmation of the presence of *Candida* species. The diagnosis of vulvovaginal candidiasis is based on the clinical presentation coupled with the demonstration of characteristic yeast forms in vaginal secretions examined microscopically after KOH preparation. Culture confirmation is rarely required but might provide supportive information. Because self-diagnosis of vulvovaginitis is unreliable, microscopic confirmation is required to avoid unnecessary exposure to inappropriate treatments.

**Treatment recommendations.** Although initial episodes of oropharyngeal candidiasis can be adequately treated with topical therapy, including clotrimazole troches or nystatin suspension or pastilles (BII), oral fluconazole is as effective and, in certain studies, superior to topical therapy and is more convenient and generally better tolerated [342] (AII). Itraconazole oral solution for 7–14 days is as effective as oral fluconazole but less well tolerated (AI). Ketoconazole and itraconazole capsules are less effective than fluconazole because of their more variable absorption and should be considered second line alternatives (DII).

Systemic therapy is required for effective treatment of esophageal candidiasis (AII). A 14–21-day course of either fluconazole or itraconazole solution is highly effective (AI). As with oropharyngeal candidiasis, ketoconazole and itraconazole capsules are less effective than fluconazole because of variable absorption (DII). Although caspofungin (AII) and voriconazole (AII) are effective in treating esophageal candidiasis among HIV-1-infected patients, experience is limited and fluconazole remains the preferred agent. Although symptoms of esophageal candidiasis might be mimicked by other pathogens, a diagnostic trial of antifungal therapy is often appropriate before endoscopy is undertaken to search for other causes of esophagitis.

Uncomplicated vulvovaginal candidiasis is observed in 90% of HIV-1-infected women and responds readily to short-course oral or topical treatment with any of several therapies including single-dose regimens (AII):

- topical azoles ( clotrimazole, butaconazole, miconazole, ticonazole, or terconazole) for 3–7 days;
• topical nystatin 100,000 units daily for 14 days;
• itraconazole oral solution 200 mg twice a day for 1 day or 200 mg daily for 3 days; or
• oral fluconazole 150 mg for 1 dose.

Complicated vaginitis (prolonged or refractory episodes) is observed in approximately 10% of patients and requires antifungal therapy for >7 days (AII).

Monitoring and adverse events. For the majority of patients, response to therapy is rapid, with improvement in signs and symptoms within 48–72 hours. Short courses of topical therapy rarely result in adverse effects, although patients might experience cutaneous hypersensitivity reactions, with rash and pruritus. Patients might experience gastrointestinal upset with oral azole treatment. Patients treated for >7–10 days with azoles might experience hepatotoxicity. If prolonged therapy is anticipated (>21 days), periodic monitoring of liver chemistry studies should be considered.

Management of treatment failure. Treatment failure is generally defined as signs and symptoms of oropharyngeal or esophageal candidiasis that persist for more than 7–14 days of appropriate therapy. Fluconazole-refractory oropharyngeal candidiasis will respond at least transiently to itraconazole solution in approximately two thirds of persons (AII). Amphotericin B oral suspension (1 mL four times daily of the 100 mg/mL suspension) is sometimes effective among patients with oropharyngeal candidiasis who do not respond to itraconazole (CIII); however, this product is not available in the United States. Intravenous amphotericin B is usually effective and can be used among patients with refractory disease (BII). Fluconazole-refractory esophageal candidiasis should be treated with caspofungin (BII) or intravenous amphotericin B, either conventional or liposomal or lipid complex formulations (BII).

Prevention of recurrence. The majority of HIV specialists do not recommend secondary prophylaxis (chronic maintenance therapy) of recurrent oropharyngeal or vulvovaginal candidiasis because of the effectiveness of therapy for acute disease, the low mortality associated with mucosal candidiasis, the potential for resistant Candida organisms to develop, the potential for drug interactions, and the cost of prophylaxis (DIII). However, if recurrences are frequent or severe, an oral azole, fluconazole (CII), or itraconazole solution (CI) (or for recurrent vulvovaginal candidiasis, daily prophylaxis with any topical azole [CII]) should be considered. Other factors that influence choices related to such therapy include impact of recurrences on the patient’s well-being and quality of life, need for prophylaxis for other fungal infections, cost, toxicities, drug interactions, nutritional status, and potential to induce drug resistance among Candida and other fungi.

Prolonged use of systemically absorbed azoles, specifically among patients with low CD4+ T lymphocyte counts (i.e., <100 cells/μL) increases the risk for developing azole resistance. Adults or adolescents who have a history of one or more episodes of documented esophageal candidiasis should be considered candidates for secondary prophylaxis. Fluconazole 100–200 mg daily is appropriate (BI). However, potential azole resistance should be considered when long-term azoles are considered.

Special considerations during pregnancy. Pregnancy increases the risk for vaginal colonization with Candida species. Diagnosis of oropharyngeal, esophageal, and vulvovaginal candidiasis is the same as among nonpregnant adults.

Fluconazole is teratogenic in high doses in animal studies [343, 344]. Among humans, four cases of an unusual cluster of defects (i.e., craniofacial and skeletal) have been reported after prolonged use at high doses in the first trimester of pregnancy [345, 346]. Teratogenic effects have not been described among animals at doses similar to those used in humans, and anomalies do not appear to be increased among infants born to women receiving single-dose fluconazole treatment in the first trimester [347–349]. Itraconazole is teratogenic among rats and mice (i.e., skeletal defects, encephalocele, and macroGLOSSIA) at high doses [350]. Similar to fluconazole, no increase in anomalies has been noted among women exposed to treatment doses in the first trimester.

Invasive or refractory esophageal Candida infections should be treated the same in pregnancy as in the nonpregnant woman, with the exception that amphotericin B should be substituted for fluconazole or itraconazole (if indicated) in the first trimester if similar efficacy is to be expected [351] (BIII).

Cryptococcosis

Epidemiology. Virtually all HIV-1-associated cryptococcal infections are caused by Cryptococcus neoformans var neoformans. Before the advent of ART, approximately 5%–8% of HIV-1-infected patients in developed countries acquired disseminated cryptococcosis [352, 353]. The incidence has declined substantially with use of effective ART. The majority of cases of infection are observed among patients who have CD4+ T lymphocyte counts of <50 cells/μL.

Clinical manifestations. Cryptococcosis among patients with AIDS most commonly occurs as a subacute meningoencephalitis with fever, malaise, and headache [352]. Classic meningeal symptoms and signs (e.g., neck stiffness or photophobia) occur in approximately one fourth to one third of patients. Certain patients might present with encephalopathic symptoms (e.g., lethargy, altered mentation, personality changes, and memory loss).

Analysis of the CSF usually indicates a mildly elevated serum protein, normal or slightly low glucose, and a few lymphocytes and numerous organisms. The opening pressure in the CSF is elevated (with pressures >200 mm of water) in up to 75% of patients. Disseminated disease is a common manifestation, with
or without concurrent meningitis. Approximately half of patients with disseminated disease have evidence of pulmonary rather than meningeal involvement. Symptoms and signs of pulmonary infection include cough or dyspnea and abnormal chest radiographs. Skin lesions might be observed.

**Diagnosis.** Cryptococcal antigen is almost invariably detected in the CSF at high titer in patients with meningitis or meningoencephalitis. Up to 75% of patients with HIV-1-associated cryptococcal meningitis have positive blood cultures; if disseminated or other organ disease is suspected in the absence of meningitis, a fungal blood culture is also diagnostically helpful. The serum cryptococcal antigen is also usually positive and detection of cryptococcal antigen in serum might be useful in initial diagnosis [354].

**Treatment recommendations.** Untreated cryptococcal meningitis is fatal. The recommended initial treatment for acute disease is amphotericin B, usually combined with flucytosine, for a 2-week duration followed by fluconazole alone for an additional 8 weeks (AI). This approach is associated with a mortality of <10% and a mycologic response of approximately 70% [355, 356].

The addition of flucytosine to amphotericin B during acute treatment does not improve immediate outcome but is well tolerated for 2 weeks and decreases the risk for relapse [355, 356]. Lipid formulations of amphotericin B appear effective. The optimal dose of lipid formulations of amphotericin B has not been determined, but AmBisome has been effective at doses of 4 mg/kg daily [356, 357] (AI).

After a 2-week period of successful induction therapy, consolidation therapy should be initiated with fluconazole administered for 8 weeks or until CSF cultures are sterile [355, 356, 358] (AI). Itraconazole is an acceptable though less effective alternative [358] (BII). Combination therapy with fluconazole (400–800 mg/daily) and flucytosine is effective for treating AIDS-associated cryptococcal meningitis [359]. However, because of the toxicity of this regimen (especially myelotoxicity and gastrointestinal toxicity), it is recommended only as an alternative option for persons unable to tolerate or unresponsive to standard treatment (BII).

Increased intracranial pressure might cause clinical deterioration despite a microbiologic response, probably reflects cerebral edema, and is more likely if the CSF opening pressure is >200 mm H₂O [355, 360]. In one large clinical trial, 93% of deaths occurring within the first 2 weeks of therapy and 40% of deaths occurring within weeks 3–10 were associated with increased intracranial pressure [360]. The opening pressure should always be measured when a lumbar puncture is performed [360].

The principal initial intervention for reducing symptomatic elevated intracranial pressure is repeated daily lumbar punctures (AII). CSF shunting should be considered for patients in whom daily lumbar punctures are no longer being tolerated or whose signs and symptoms of cerebral edema are not being relieved (BIII). Whether reducing opening pressure leads to a reduction in the mortality and morbidity associated with cerebral edema is unknown. No role exists for acetazolamide to reduce intracranial pressure (DIII).

**Monitoring and adverse events.** A repeat lumbar puncture to ensure clearance of the organism is not required for those with cryptococcal meningitis and improvement in clinical signs and symptoms after initiation of treatment. If new symptoms or clinical findings occur after 2 weeks of treatment, a repeat lumbar puncture should be performed.

Serum cryptococcal antigen is not helpful in management because changes in titer do not correlate with clinical response [354]. Serial measurement of CSF cryptococcal antigen might be more useful but requires repeated lumbar punctures and is not routinely recommended for monitoring response.

Patients treated with amphotericin B should be monitored for dose-dependent nephrotoxicity and electrolyte disturbances. Supplemental colloidal fluids might reduce the risk for nephrotoxicity during treatment (CIII). Infusion-related adverse reactions (e.g., fever, chills, renal tubular acidosis, hypokalemia, orthostatic hypotension, tachycardia, nausea, headache, vomiting, anemia, anorexia, and phlebitis) might be ameliorated by pretreatment with acetaminophen, diphenhydramine, or corticosteroids administered approximately 30 minutes before the infusion (CIII). Lipid formulations of amphotericin B are less toxic.

Azotemic patients receiving flucytosine should have their blood levels monitored to prevent bone marrow suppression and gastrointestinal toxicity; peak serum levels (2 hours after an oral dose) should be <100 mg/mL. Persons treated with fluconazole should be monitored for hepatotoxicity, although this toxicity is rare.

**Management of treatment failure.** Treatment failure is defined as clinical deterioration despite appropriate therapy (assuming increased intracranial pressure is being adequately treated as described previously), the lack of improvement in signs and symptoms after 2 weeks of appropriate therapy, or relapse after an initial clinical response. A repeat lumbar puncture should be performed (if a shunt is not already in place) to ascertain whether or not intracranial pressure has increased. Although fluconazole resistance has been reported with *C. neoformans*, it is rare. Susceptibility testing is not routinely recommended, and susceptibility techniques have not been standardized for this purpose.

The optimal therapy for those with treatment failure is not known. Those who have failed on fluconazole should be treated with amphotericin B with or without flucytosine as indicated previously, and therapy should be continued until a clinical response occurs (BIII). Higher doses of fluconazole in com-
bination with flucytosine also might be useful (BIII). Unlike caspofungin, voriconazole has activity against Cryptococcus spp. in vitro and might be an alternative.

**Prevention of recurrence.** Patients who have completed initial therapy for cryptococcosis should be administered lifelong suppressive treatment (i.e., secondary prophylaxis or chronic maintenance therapy) (AI), unless immune reconstitution occurs as a consequence of ART. Fluconazole (AI) is superior to itraconazole (BI) for preventing relapse of cryptococcal disease and is the preferred drug [361, 362].

Adult and adolescent patients appear at low risk for recurrence of cryptococcosis when they have successfully completed a course of initial therapy, remain asymptomatic with regard to signs and symptoms of cryptococcosis, and have a sustained increase (i.e., ≥6 months) in their CD4+ T lymphocyte counts to >100–200 cells/µL after ART. The numbers of such patients who have been evaluated remain limited. On the basis of these observations and inference from more extensive data regarding safety of discontinuing secondary prophylaxis for other opportunistic infections during advanced HIV-1 disease, discontinuing chronic maintenance therapy among such patients is a reasonable consideration (CIII). Certain HIV specialists would perform a lumbar puncture to determine if the CSF is culture-negative and antigen negative before stopping therapy even if patients are asymptomatic; other specialists do not believe this is necessary. Maintenance therapy should be re-initiated if the CD4+ T lymphocyte count decreases to <100–200 cells/µL (AIIII).

**Special considerations during pregnancy.** Diagnosis and treatment for cryptococcosis among HIV-1-infected pregnant women are the same as for nonpregnant women. Considerations about the use of amphotericin B, fluconazole, and itraconazole are the same as those for mucocutaneous and invasive candidiasis (i.e., amphotericin B should be used in the first trimester to avoid the potential for teratogenicity with fluconazole or itraconazole).

Flucytosine is teratogenic in rats at high doses, but not at doses similar to human exposure [363]. No reports exist about its use in the first trimester of pregnancy in humans. Flucytosine might be metabolized to 5-fluorouracil. It should be used in pregnancy only if clearly indicated.

**Histoplasmosis**

**Epidemiology.** Histoplasmosis is caused by the dimorphic fungus *Histoplasma capsulatum* and occurs in 2%–5% of patients with AIDS who reside in areas in the United States where the disease is endemic (e.g., the Midwest and Puerto Rico) and who are not receiving ART [364–366]. In areas where the disease is not endemic, it most often occurs among those who have previously lived in an area where the disease is endemic.

Histoplasmosis is acquired by inhalation of microconidia of the mycelial phase of the organism, but reactivation of latent infection might be a mechanism for disease in certain patients. Disseminated histoplasmosis usually occurs among persons with CD4+ T lymphocyte counts <150 cells/µL; localized pulmonary histoplasmosis might occur among persons with CD4+ T lymphocyte counts >300 cells/µL. The incidence of histoplasmosis appears to have declined with the use of potent ART.

**Clinical manifestations.** The most common clinical presentation of histoplasmosis among patients with AIDS is disseminated multiorgan disease. Patients usually have fever, fatigue, and weight loss; respiratory tract symptoms of cough, chest pain, and dyspnea might occur in up to 50% of patients [367]. Symptoms and signs might be limited to the respiratory tract for those with higher CD4+ T lymphocyte counts and localized pulmonary histoplasmosis. Septic shock syndrome occurs in <10% of patients. CNS, gastrointestinal, and cutaneous manifestations each occur in <10% of cases, and other sites might be less commonly involved.

**Diagnosis.** Detection of *Histoplasma* antigen in blood or urine is a sensitive method for rapid diagnosis of disseminated histoplasmosis but insensitive for pulmonary infection. Antigen is detected in the urine of 95% and serum of 85% of patients with disseminated histoplasmosis [368] and might be present in bronchoalveolar lavage fluid or CSF of patients with pulmonary or meningeal involvement. Fungal stain of blood smears or tissues also might yield a rapid diagnosis, but the sensitivity is <50%.

*H. capsulatum* can be isolated from blood, bone marrow, respiratory secretions or localized lesions in >85% of cases, but isolation can take 2–4 weeks [367, 368]. Serologic tests are positive in approximately two thirds of cases but are rarely helpful in the acute diagnosis of histoplasmosis disease.

Diagnosis of meningitis poses added difficulties. Fungal stains are usually negative, and CSF cultures are positive in no more than half of cases [369]. Antigen or anti-*Histoplasma* antibodies can be detected in the CSF in up to 70% of cases. Among certain patients, none of these tests are positive, and a presumptive diagnosis of *Histoplasma* meningitis might be appropriate if the patient has disseminated histoplasmosis and findings of CNS infection not explained by another cause.

**Treatment recommendations.** Patients with severe disseminated histoplasmosis who meet one or more selected criteria (temperature >102°F [>39°C], systolic blood pressure <90 mm Hg, pO2 <70 torr, weight loss >5%, Karnofsky performance score <70, hemoglobin <10 g/dL, neutrophil count <1000 cells/µL, platelet count <100,000 cells/µL, aspartate aminotransferase >2.5 times normal, bilirubin or creatinine >2 times normal, albumin <3.5 g/dL, coagulopathy, presence of other organ system dysfunction, or confirmed meningitis) should be treated with intravenous amphotericin B, either the deoxycholate formulation or liposomal amphotericin B, for the first 3–10 days.
until they clinically improve [370, 371] (A1). In a randomized clinical trial, liposomal amphotericin B was more effective than the standard deoxycholate formulation [371], inducing a more rapid and more complete response, lowering mortality, and reducing toxicity (B1). Intravenous itraconazole 200 mg/day after an initial higher dose induction period might be used for persons who cannot tolerate amphotericin B (BII).

Patients responding well after completion of initial amphotericin B therapy for 3–10 days might be switched to oral therapy with itraconazole capsules to complete 12 weeks of treatment and then placed on maintenance treatment [372] (AII). Itraconazole solution would be logical to use, but no trials document efficacy and tolerability in this setting. Fluconazole 800 mg daily is less effective than itraconazole [373], but is recommended as an alternative if patients cannot tolerate itraconazole (CII).

For persons with confirmed meningitis, amphotericin B should be continued for 12–16 weeks, followed by maintenance therapy (AII). Fluconazole has been recommended previously among HIV-1-uninfected persons with meningitis following amphotericin B; however, because of the data documenting efficacy of itraconazole in persons with HIV-1 disease and nonmeningeal histoplasmosis, itraconazole should be used in this setting (AII). Among persons with mild illness, therapy with itraconazole capsules for 12 weeks is recommended (AII).

Acute pulmonary histoplasmosis in an HIV-1-infected patient with intact immunity, as indicated by a CD4+ T lymphocyte count >500 cells/μL, might not require therapy and should be managed in a similar way to infection in an otherwise noncompromised host [370] (AIII).

Prevention of recurrence. Patients who complete initial therapy for histoplasmosis should be administered lifelong suppressive treatment (i.e., secondary prophylaxis or chronic maintenance therapy) with itraconazole 200 mg twice daily [373] (AI). Some specialists recommend serum levels be tested to ensure free itraconazole concentrations of at least 1 μg/mL or free plus hydroxylated metabolite of 2 μg/mL. The metabolite also has antifungal activity.

Although patients might be at low risk for recurrence of systemic mycosis when their CD4+ T lymphocyte counts increase to >100 cells/μL in response to ART, the number of patients who have been evaluated is insufficient to warrant a recommendation to discontinue secondary prophylaxis in this setting.

Special considerations during pregnancy. Treatment is the same as for nonpregnant adults. Because fluconazole is teratogenic in high doses in animal studies and itraconazole is teratogenic in high doses among rats and mice, as with other invasive fungal infections, amphotericin B should be substituted for itraconazole or fluconazole (if indicated) in the first trimester (BIII).

Coccidioidomycosis

Epidemiology. Coccidioidomycosis is caused by Coccidioides immitis and occurs predominantly in the Southwestern United States where the disease is endemic. However, sporadic cases might be diagnosed in areas where the disease is not endemic as a result of reactivation of previous infection. The incidence of disease in endemic areas was from 2%–5% in the pre-ART era. Increased risk is associated with extensive exposure to disturbed soil.

Both localized pneumonia and disseminated infection are usually observed in those with CD4+ T lymphocyte counts <250 cells/μL. The use of ART appears to have reduced the incidence in this patient population.

Clinical manifestations. The two most common clinical presentations of coccidioidomycosis are disseminated disease and meningitis. Disseminated disease is associated with generalized lymphadenopathy, skin nodules or ulcers, peritonitis, liver abnormalities, and bone and joint involvement. Localized meningeal disease results in symptoms of lethargy, fever, headache, nausea or vomiting, or confusion and occurs in approximately 10% of patients. Among those with meningeal involvement, CSF analysis typically demonstrates a lymphocytic pleocytosis with CSF glucose levels <50 mg/dL. CSF protein might be normal or mildly elevated.

Diagnosis. The diagnosis of coccidioidomycosis is confirmed by culture of the organism from clinical specimens or by demonstration of the typical spherule on histopathological examination of involved tissue. Blood cultures are positive in a minority of patients. C. immitis serology is frequently positive among HIV-1-infected patients with coccidioidomycosis and is useful in diagnosis. Complement fixation serology (IgG) is generally positive in the CSF in coccidioidal meningitis.

Treatment recommendations. For nonmeningeal pulmonary or disseminated disease, amphotericin B is the preferred initial therapy [374, 375] (AII). Data evaluating lipid formulations of amphotericin B are limited such that appropriate dosing recommendations cannot be made.

Therapy with amphotericin B should continue until clinical improvement is observed, which usually occurs after administration of 500–1,000 mg. Certain specialists would use an azole antifungal concurrently with amphotericin B (BIII). Fluconazole or itraconazole might be appropriate alternatives for patients with mild disease [374, 375] (BIII).

Coccidioidal meningitis should be treated with fluconazole, which has been reported to be successful in approximately 80% of patients with C. immitis meningitis [376] (AII). Treatment for patients with meningeal disease requires consultation with a specialist. Intrathecal amphotericin B is the most accepted alternative but is toxic (CIII).

Prevention of recurrence. Patients who complete initial therapy for coccidioidomycosis should be administered lifelong
suppressive therapy (i.e., secondary prophylaxis or chronic maintenance therapy) using either fluconazole 400 mg daily or itraconazole 200 mg twice daily (AII). Although patients might be at low risk for recurrence of systemic mycosis when their CD4+ T lymphocyte counts increase to >100 cells/µL in response to ART, the numbers of patients who have been evaluated are insufficient to warrant a recommendation to discontinue secondary prophylaxis in this setting.

**Special considerations during pregnancy.** Coccidioides infections appear to be more likely to disseminate if acquired during pregnancy among HIV-uninfected women, with the risk increasing with increasing gestational age [377]. This increased risk might be related to the agonistic effect of estradiol and progesterone, both found at high levels during pregnancy, on the growth of *C. immitis* [378]. The risk for dissemination among HIV-1-infected pregnant women has not been evaluated. Invasive fungal infections should be treated the same in pregnancy as in the nonpregnant woman, with the exception that amphotericin B is the preferred agent in the first trimester because of the potential teratogenic risks of the azoles if efficacy is expected to be superior or similar to that of the azoles (BII).

**Aspergillosis**

**Epidemiology.** Aspergillosis, most frequently caused by *Aspergillus fumigatus* but occasionally by other *Aspergillus* species, was more common before the advent of potent ART among patients with advanced HIV-1 disease [379]. Specific risk factors include neutropenia, low CD4+ T lymphocyte count, use of corticosteroids, exposure to broad spectrum antibacterial therapy, and previous pneumonia or other underlying lung disease. Patients who have had HIV-1-associated aspergillosis diagnosed typically have extremely low CD4+ T lymphocyte counts (i.e., <50 cells/µL), a history of other AIDS-defining opportunistic infections, and are not receiving ART.

**Clinical manifestations.** Two major syndromes have been described among patients with AIDS: respiratory tract disease (either semi-invasive pseudomembranous tracheitis or invasive pneumonitis) and CNS infection occurring as a febrile diffuse meningoencephalitis syndrome with vascular infarction as a central feature (based on the predilection of *Aspergillus* organisms to invade blood vessel walls). Semi-invasive pseudomembranous tracheitis is associated with fever, cough, dyspnea, stridor or wheezing caused by airway constriction, culminating in airway obstruction if untreated. Endoscopic examination demonstrates a confluent, exudative pseudomembrane adherent to the tracheal wall. Invasive pneumonitis occurs with fever, cough, dyspnea, chest pain, hemoptysis, and hypoxemia; chest radiograph demonstrates either a diffuse interstitial pneumonitis or a localized wedge-shaped dense infiltrate representing pulmonary infarction, related to the predilection of the organisms for invasion of vascular endothelium.

**Diagnosis.** A definitive diagnosis requires the presence of relevant clinical signs and symptoms and the histopathologic demonstration of organisms in biopsy specimens obtained from involved sites or from a site that is expected to be sterile (e.g., liver or brain). A presumptive diagnosis of respiratory tract disease can be made in the absence of a tissue biopsy if *Aspergillus* spp. are cultured from a respiratory sample, a compatible lesion or syndrome is present, and no alternative causative process is identified. Serologic testing is not helpful.

**Treatment recommendations.** The recommended treatment for invasive aspergillosis is voriconazole. Amphotericin B, either conventional or lipid formulations, in doses equivalent to 1 mg/kg daily of standard amphotericin B is an alternative regimen (AIII). Voriconazole has not been studied in this patient population. Caspofungin is approved for patients failing to tolerate or improve with standard therapy; however, it has not been studied in this patient population.

**Monitoring and adverse events.** Patients should be monitored for adverse effects related to amphotericin B. Airway obstruction can result from extensive pseudomembrane formation in those with tracheitis. Pulmonary infarction and progressive interstitial pneumonitis can lead to respiratory failure.

**Management of treatment failure.** The overall prognosis is poor among patients with advanced immunosuppression and in the absence of effective ART. Treatment failure is generally defined as failure to respond to initial therapy or progression of clinical signs and symptoms despite appropriate therapy.

No data are available to guide recommendations for the management of treatment failure. If amphotericin B was used initially, substitution with voriconazole might be considered; the alternative approach would be rational for those who began therapy with voriconazole (BII).

**Prevention of recurrence.** No data are available to base a recommendation for or against chronic maintenance or suppressive therapy among those who have successfully completed an initial course of treatment (CIII).

**Special considerations during pregnancy.** As with other invasive fungal infections, aspergillosis should be treated the same in pregnancy as in the nonpregnant adult, with the exception that amphotericin B is the preferred agent in the first trimester because of the potential teratogenic risks for the azoles, if efficacy is expected to be superior or similar to that of the azoles (BII).

**Cytomegalovirus Disease**

**Epidemiology.** Cytomegalovirus (CMV) is a double-stranded DNA virus in the Herpesvirus family that might reactivate to cause disseminated or localized end-organ disease among patients with advanced immunosuppression who have been previously infected with CMV. The majority of infections derive from reactivation of latent infection.
Before potent ART, an estimated 30% of patients with AIDS experienced CMV retinitis some time between the diagnosis of AIDS and death [380–382]. Incidence for new cases of CMV end-organ disease have reached <2–3 cases per 100 person-years; for those with established CMV retinitis, recurrences continue at a rate estimated at <25% of the peak during the mid-1990s.

End-organ disease caused by CMV occurs among persons with advanced immunosuppression, typically those with CD4+ T lymphocyte counts <50 cells/µL, who are either not receiving or have failed to respond to ART [380–382]. Other risk factors include previous OIs, particularly MAC disease, and high plasma HIV-1 RNA levels (>100,000 copies/mL).

**Clinical manifestations.** Retinitis is the most common clinical manifestation of CMV end-organ disease. CMV retinitis usually occurs as unilateral disease, but in the absence of therapy, viremic dissemination results in bilateral disease in the majority of patients.

Peripheral retinitis might be asymptomatic or present with floaters, scotomata, or peripheral visual field defects. Central retinal lesions or lesions impinging on the macula are associated with decreased visual acuity or central field defects. The characteristic ophthalmologic appearance of CMV lesions includes perivascular fluffy yellow-white retinal infiltrates, typically described as a focal necrotizing retinitis, with or without intraretinal hemorrhage, and with little inflammation of the vitreous unless immune recovery with potent ART intervenes [380]. Blood vessels near the lesions might appear to be sheathed. Occasionally, the lesions might have a more granular appearance.

In the absence of ART or specific anti-CMV therapy, retinitis invariably progresses, usually within 10–21 days after presentation. Progression of retinitis occurs in “fits and starts” and causes a characteristic brushfire pattern, with a granular, white leading edge advancing before an atrophic, gliotic scar.

Colitis is the second most common manifestation, occurring in 5%–10% of persons with AIDS and CMV end-organ disease [381]. The most frequent clinical manifestations are fever, weight loss, anorexia, abdominal pain, debilitating diarrhea, and malaise. Extensive mucosal hemorrhage and perforation can be life-threatening complications.

Esophagitis caused by CMV, which occurs in <5%–10% of persons with AIDS who develop CMV end-organ disease, causes fever, odynophagia, nausea, and occasionally mid-epigastric or retrosternal discomfort [366]. CMV pneumonitis is uncommon, but when it occurs, it presents with shortness of breath, dyspnea on exertion, a nonproductive cough, and hypoxemia, associated with interstitial infiltrates on chest radiograph.

CMV neurologic disease causes dementia, ventriculonecephalitis, or ascending polyradiculomyelopathy [382]. Patients with dementia typically have lethargy, confusion, and fever, but the clinical presentation might mimic that of HIV-1 dementia. CSF generally demonstrates lymphocytic pleocytosis (although a mixture of neutrophils and lymphocytes might be seen), low-to-normal glucose levels, and normal-to-elevated protein levels. Patients with ventriculonecephalitis have a more acute course, with focal neurologic signs, often including cranial nerve palsies or nystagmus, and rapid progression to death. Periventricular enhancement of CT or MRI images is indicative of CMV ventriculonecephalitis rather than HIV-1-related neurologic disease. CMV polyradiculomyelopathy causes a Guillain–Barre-like syndrome characterized by urinary retention and progressive bilateral leg weakness. The clinical symptoms generally progress over several weeks to include loss of bowel and bladder control and to flaccid paraplegia. A spastic myelopathy has been reported and sacral paresthesia might occur. The CSF generally indicates a neutrophilic pleocytosis (usually 100–200 neutrophils/mL and some erythrocytes) accompanied by hypoglycorrhachia and elevated protein levels.

**Diagnosis.** CMV viremia can be detected by PCR, antigen assays, or blood culture and is generally detected in end-organ disease, but viremia also might be present in the absence of end-organ disease [383–387]. The presence of serum antibodies to CMV is not diagnostically useful. A negative IgG antibody level indicates that CMV is unlikely to be the cause of the disease process being investigated (although rarely, primary CMV infection occurs and is associated with end-organ disease), but certain patients with advanced immunosuppression might serorevert from antibody positive to antibody negative; as a result, a negative CMV IgG antibody test does not definitively eliminate the possibility of CMV disease.

The diagnosis of CMV retinitis is generally made on the basis of recognition of characteristic retinal changes observed on funduscopic examination by an experienced ophthalmologist. The demonstration of mucosal ulcerations on endoscopic examination combined with colonoscopic or rectal biopsy with histopathological demonstration of characteristic intranuclear and intracytoplasmic inclusions are required for the diagnosis of CMV colitis [381]. The diagnosis of CMV esophagitis is established by the presence of extensive large, shallow ulcers of the distal esophagus and biopsy evidence of intranuclear inclusion bodies in the endothelial cells with an inflammatory reaction at the edge of the ulcer [366, 381].

Culturing CMV from a biopsy or cells brushed from the colon or the esophagus is not sufficient to establish the diagnosis of CMV colitis or esophagitis because certain persons with low CD4+ T lymphocyte counts might be viremic and have positive cultures for CMV in the absence of clinical disease [366]. Diagnosis of CMV pneumonitis should be made in the setting of pulmonary interstitial infiltrates and identification of multiple CMV inclusion bodies in lung tissue, and the absence of other pathogens that are more commonly associated with
pneumonitis in this population [385]. CMV neurologic disease is diagnosed on the basis of a compatible clinical syndrome and the presence of CMV in cerebrospinal fluid or brain tissue [382, 387]. Detection of CMV is greatly enhanced by PCR in this setting [383, 387].

**Treatment recommendations.** The choice of initial therapy for CMV retinitis should be individualized based on the location and severity of the lesion(s), the level of underlying immune suppression, and other factors such as concomitant medications and ability to adhere to treatment (AIII). Oral valganciclovir, intravenous ganciclovir, intravenous ganciclovir followed by oral valganciclovir, intravenous foscarnet, intravenous cidofovir, and the ganciclovir intraocular implant coupled with valganciclovir are all effective treatments for CMV retinitis [388–393] (AI).

The ganciclovir intraocular implant plus oral valganciclovir is superior to once daily intravenous ganciclovir (and presumably to once-daily oral valganciclovir) for preventing relapse of retinitis [388–393] (A1). For this reason, certain HIV specialists recommend the intraocular implant plus valganciclovir as the preferred initial therapy, particularly for patients with immediately sight-threatening lesions (adjacent to the optic nerve or fovea); others prefer oral valganciclovir alone (BII).

Among patients with peripheral lesions that are not immediately sight-threatening, oral valganciclovir is preferable to the ganciclovir intraocular implant, intravenous ganciclovir, or intravenous foscarnet (AII) [391] because of its greater ease of administration and lack of surgical or catheter-associated complications. However, any of the treatment regimens can be chosen because epidemiologic studies and clinical trials have not demonstrated substantially reduced rates of loss of visual acuity among patients treated with the ganciclovir implant compared with those treated with systemic therapies (AII) [392, 393].

Certain clinicians would not treat small peripheral CMV retinitis lesions if ART is to be initiated soon because immune recovery might ultimately control the retinitis. However, immune recovery uveitis might be more common among patients given less aggressive anti-CMV therapy [394–397]. Therefore, treatment of CMV retinitis until sufficient immune recovery occurs (i.e., CD4+ T lymphocyte count >100 cells/μL for 3–6 months) is still preferred (AIII).

For therapy of colitis or esophagitis, the majority of specialists would treat with intravenous ganciclovir or foscarnet (or with oral valganciclovir if symptoms are not severe enough to interfere with oral absorption) for 21–28 days (BII) or until signs and symptoms have resolved. Certain HIV specialists would withhold therapy unless moderate to severe symptoms justify the use of systemic treatment (BII) if ART is soon to be initiated or can be optimized. Treatment should be considered for persons with histologic evidence of CMV pneumonitis who do not respond to treatment of other pathogens (AIII).

For neurological disease, initiating therapy promptly is critical for an optimal clinical response. Although combination treatment with ganciclovir and foscarnet might be preferred as initial therapy to stabilize disease and maximize response (BII), this approach is associated with substantial rates of adverse effects, and optimal treatment for neurologic disease if ART can be optimized is unknown.

Studies are underway to evaluate the utility of pre-emptive therapy with systemic treatment among patients with CMV viremia and no evidence of organ system disease. Until such studies are completed, treatment of CMV viremia in the absence of organ system involvement is not recommended (DIII).

No data are available to demonstrate that starting ART among treatment-naive patients with CMV retinitis would have an adverse effect on retinitis, gastrointestinal disease, or pneumonitis, or worsen immune recovery uveitis if this occurs. Therefore, no reason exists to delay initiation of appropriate ART, which should be administered to those with acute CMV retinitis, gastrointestinal disease, or pneumonitis (BIII). Although, no data indicate that immune recovery inflammatory reactions worsen CMV neurologic disease syndromes, because of the localized morbidity that might occur with such an inflammatory reaction, a brief delay in initiation of ART in this setting until clinical improvement occurs might be prudent (CII).

**Monitoring and adverse events.** Management of CMV retinitis requires close monitoring by an experienced ophthalmologist and the primary clinician. Dilated indirect ophthalmoscopy should be performed at the time of diagnosis of CMV retinitis, after completion of induction therapy, 1 month after the initiation of therapy, and monthly thereafter while the patient is on anti-CMV treatment (AIII). Monthly fundus photographs, using a standardized photographic technique that documents the appearance of the retina, provide the optimum method for following patients and detecting early relapse (AIII).

Adverse effects of ganciclovir include neutropenia, thrombocytopenia, nausea, diarrhea, and renal dysfunction. Adverse effects of foscarnet include anemia, nephrotoxicity, electrolyte abnormalities, and neurologic dysfunction. Seizures have been reported with both ganciclovir and foscarnet. For patients receiving ganciclovir or foscarnet, monitoring of complete blood counts and serum electrolytes and renal function should be performed twice weekly during induction therapy and once weekly thereafter (AIII). Cidofovir is associated with dose-related nephrotoxicity and hypotony. For patients receiving intravenous cidofovir, blood urea nitrogen, creatinine, and urinalysis should be performed before each infusion; administration of the drug is contraindicated if renal dysfunction or proteinuria is detected.

Immune recovery uveitis is an immunologic reaction to CMV characterized by inflammation in the anterior chamber
or vitreous in the setting of immune recovery after initiation of ART and is generally observed among those with a substantial rise in CD4+ T lymphocyte counts in the 4–12 weeks after initiation of ART [394–397]. Ocular complications of uveitis include macular edema and the development of epiretinal membranes, which can cause loss of vision. Treatment usually requires periocular corticosteroids or short courses of systemic corticosteroids. Estimated response rates are approximately 50%.

**Management of treatment failure.** For patients without immune recovery after initiation of ART and who are receiving chronic maintenance therapy with systemic anti-CMV drugs, relapse of retinitis is likely to occur over time. Although drug resistance might be responsible for some episodes of relapse, early relapse is most often caused by the limited intraocular penetration of systemically administered drugs [398–400]. Because it results in greater drug levels in the eye, the placement of a ganciclovir implant in a patient who has relapsed while receiving systemic treatment (IV ganciclovir or oral valganciclovir) is generally recommended and often will control the retinitis for 6–8 months until the implant requires replacement [401, 402] (BIII).

Reinduction with the same drug followed by reinstitution of maintenance therapy can control the retinitis, although for progressively shorter periods of time [403], and the majority of specialists recommend this approach for initial treatment of relapsed disease (AII). Changing to an alternative drug at the time of first relapse typically does not result in superior control of the retinitis but should be considered if drug resistance is suspected or if side effects or toxicities interfere with optimal courses of the initial agent [403] (AIII). Combination ganciclovir and foscarnet are generally superior to systemic therapy with either agent alone for patients with relapsed retinitis [403] but is accompanied by greater toxicity; this approach might be considered for patients who are not candidates for other alternatives (BII).

Drug resistance occurs among patients receiving long-term therapy [404–406]. Reported rates typically are <10% during the first 3 months of therapy but increase to 25%–30% by 9 months of therapy [404–406]. Reported rates are similar for ganciclovir, foscarnet, and cidofovir [404, 405]. Low-level resistance to ganciclovir occurs through mutations in the CMV UL97 (phosphotransferase) gene, and high-level resistance to ganciclovir typically occurs because of mutations in both the CMV UL97 and UL54 (DNA polymerase) genes [407–410]. Resistance to foscarnet and resistance to cidofovir each occur because of mutations in the CMV UL54 gene. High-level resistance to ganciclovir is frequently associated with cross-resistance to cidofovir [409] and occasionally to foscarnet [411].

Although early relapse is generally not a result of resistance, later relapse often is. Because patients with resistant CMV nearly always have mutations in the CMV UL97 gene, and because a limited number of mutations produce the majority of cases of resistance, resistance testing in peripheral blood using a CMV DNA PCR assay and sequencing for CMV UL97 mutations or using a point mutation assay [412, 413] might be reasonable for patients who relapse on therapy. Although this approach also has not been validated, certain specialists would recommend performance of resistance testing using this technique, if available, to guide therapy in those with repeated relapses of CMV disease (CIII).

Patients with low-level ganciclovir-resistant isolates in the eye might respond to a ganciclovir implant because of the higher local levels of ganciclovir resulting from this form of therapy. However, patients with high-level ganciclovir resistant isolates typically will not respond and will require a switch to alternative therapy. Repetitive intravitreous injections of fomivirsen can be used for relapsed retinitis (BII) but should be combined with systemic therapy [414] (AII).

**Prevention of recurrence.** After induction therapy, secondary prophylaxis (i.e., chronic maintenance therapy) is recommended for life [90, 386–390] (AII), unless immune reconstitution occurs as a result of ART. Regimens demonstrated to be effective for chronic suppression in randomized, controlled clinical trials include parenteral or oral ganciclovir, parenteral foscarnet, combined parenteral ganciclovir and foscarnet, parenteral cidofovir, and (for retinitis only) ganciclovir administration through intraocular implant or repetitive intravitreous injections of fomivirsen (AII). Oral valganciclovir has been approved by the FDA for both acute induction therapy and for maintenance therapy, although published data are limited.

Repetitive intravitreous injections of ganciclovir, foscarnet, and cidofovir have been effective for secondary prophylaxis of CMV retinitis in uncontrolled case series. Intraocular therapy alone does not provide protection to the contralateral eye or to other organ systems and typically is combined with oral valganciclovir.

The choice of a chronic maintenance regimen for patients treated for CMV disease should be made in consultation with a specialist. For patients with retinitis, this decision should be made in consultation with an ophthalmologist and should take into consideration the anatomic location of the retinal lesion, vision in the contralateral eye, the immunologic and virologic status of the patient, and the patient’s response to ART.

Patients with immediately vision-threatening lesions need prompt anti-CMV therapy because progression of the retinitis can occur during the time in which immune recovery is occurring. Daily oral ganciclovir is less effective than daily intravenous ganciclovir for maintenance therapy [389] and with the availability of oral valganciclovir should no longer be used (DIII) [391]. Patients with immediately sight-threatening retinitis still might benefit most from the use of the ganciclovir...
implant and its superior ability to control retinitis progression (BIII). However, replacement of the ganciclovir implant at 6–8 months might not be necessary for those with sustained immune recovery. If the ganciclovir implant is used, it should be combined with oral valganciclovir until immune recovery occurs (BIII).

Chronic maintenance therapy is not routinely recommended for gastrointestinal disease but should be considered if relapses occur (BII). A role for maintenance therapy for CMV pneumonitis has not been established (CIII).

Discontinuing secondary prophylaxis (chronic maintenance therapy) should be considered for patients with a sustained (≥6 months) increase in CD4+ T lymphocyte counts to >100–150 cells/μL in response to ART [415–420] (BII). Such decisions should be made in consultation with an ophthalmologist and should take into account such factors as magnitude and duration of CD4+ T lymphocyte increase, anatomic location of the retinal lesions, vision in the contralateral eye, and the feasibility of regular ophthalmologic monitoring (BII). All patients who have had anti-CMV maintenance therapy discontinued should continue to undergo regular ophthalmologic monitoring for early detection of CMV relapse and for immune recovery vitritis/uveitis (AIII).

Relapse of CMV retinitis occurs among patients whose anti-CMV maintenance therapies have been discontinued and whose CD4+ T lymphocyte counts have decreased to <50 cells/μL [420]. Therefore, reinstitution of secondary prophylaxis should occur when the CD4+ T lymphocyte count has decreased to <100–150 cells/μL (AIII). Relapse has been reported among patients whose CD4+ T lymphocyte counts are >100 cells/μL, but such reports are rare. Because of the potential for rapid relapse of retinitis when CD4+ T lymphocyte counts decline and the potential for rapid decline of CD4+ T lymphocyte counts with interruption of ART, patients with immune reconstitution not receiving CMV maintenance therapy should still undergo ophthalmologic monitoring (BII).

**Special considerations during pregnancy.** The diagnostic considerations among pregnant women are the same as for the nonpregnant women. Indications for treatment of CMV infection during pregnancy are the same as for those in nonpregnant HIV-1-infected adults (AIII). For retinal disease, use of intraocular implants or intravitreous injections for local therapy should be considered in pregnancy if possible to limit fetal exposure to systemically administered antiviral drugs (CIII). Close ophthalmologic monitoring must be maintained, and systemic therapy should then be added as indicated after delivery.

Ganciclovir is embryotoxic among rabbits and mice and teratogenic (i.e., cleft palate, anophthalmia, aplastic kidney and pancreas, and hydrocephalus) in rabbits [421, 422]. On the basis of very limited data and weighing toxicity of the various drugs, ganciclovir is the treatment of choice during pregnancy (BIII). No experience has been reported with the use of valganciclovir in human pregnancy. Concerns are expected to be the same as with ganciclovir. The fetus should be monitored by fetal movement counting in the third trimester and by periodic ultrasound monitoring after 20 weeks of gestation to look for evidence of hydrops fetalis indicating substantial anemia.

Foscarnet is associated with an increase in skeletal anomalies or variants in rats and rabbits. No experience with use early in human pregnancy has been reported. A single case report of use in the third trimester described normal infant outcome [424]. Because primary toxicity is renal, monitoring of amniotic fluid volumes by ultrasound is recommended weekly after 20 weeks of gestation to detect oligohydramnios. Cidofovir is embryotoxic and teratogenic (i.e., meningomyelocele and skeletal abnormalities) among rats and rabbits. No experience with use in human pregnancy has been reported.

Rarely, ultrasound findings in the fetus (e.g., cerebral calcifications, abdominal and liver calcifications, hydrops, microcephaly, ventricleomegaly, ascites, and echogenic fetal bowel) might indicate the possibility of in utero CMV infection among pregnant women with CMV end organ disease [425]. In this case, consideration of invasive testing (i.e., amniocentesis and fetal umbilical blood sampling) must be individualized based on clinical history and serologic findings, gestational age, potential risk for HIV-1 transmission, and maternal preference [426]. Referral to a maternal-fetal medicine specialist for evaluation, counseling, and potential further testing is recommended.

On the basis of data in HIV-uninfected women, transmission of CMV from mother to infant might occur in utero. However, symptomatic infection in the newborn is usually related to primary CMV infection in the mother during pregnancy, and because >90% of HIV-1-infected pregnant women are CMV antibody positive in the majority of studies, the risk for symptomatic infection in the fetus is low [427–431]. Therefore, treatment of maternal CMV infection, if asymptomatic, during pregnancy solely to prevent infant infection is not indicated (DIII).

**Herpes Simplex Virus Disease**

**Epidemiology.** Infections with human herpes simplex virus type 1 (HSV-1) and type 2 (HSV-2) are common, with a seroprevalence among adults of HSV-1 approaching 80% and of HSV-2 among persons aged ≥12 years in the United States of 21.9% [432]. Approximately 95% of HIV-1-infected persons are seropositive for either HSV-1 or HSV-2 [432–434].

**Clinical manifestations.** HSV orolabialis is the most common manifestation of HSV-1 infection, presenting with a sensory prodrome in the affected area, rapidly followed by the
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evolution of lesions from papule to vesicle, ulcer, and crust stages on the lips. Ulcerative lesions are usually the only stage observed on mucosal surfaces. The course of illness in untreated subjects is 7–10 days. Lesions recur 1–12 times per year and can be triggered by sunlight or physiologic stress.

HSV genitalis is the more common manifestation of HSV-2 infection. Perineal lesions on keratinylated skin are similar in appearance and evolution to external orofacial lesions. Local symptoms include a sensory prodrome consisting of pain and pruritis. Ulcerative lesions are usually the only stage observed on vaginal or urethral mucosal surfaces. Mucosal disease is occasionally accompanied by dysuria, vaginal, or urethral discharge; inguinal lymphadenopathy, particularly in primary infection, is common with perineal disease [434]. In profoundly immunocompromised patients, extensive, deep, nonhealing ulceration of the perineum/buttocks might occur. These lesions have been most often reported in those with CD4+ T lymphocyte counts of <100 cells/µL and also might be more commonly associated with acyclovir-resistant virus.

HSV keratitis, neonatal HSV, HSV encephalitis, and herpetic whitlow are similar in presentation and treatment to those diseases observed in HIV-seronegative persons. HSV retinitis occurs as acute retinal necrosis, occasionally in the setting of HSV encephalitis. HSV encephalitis occurs among HIV-1-infected persons, but no evidence indicates that it is more severe or common than among HIV-uninfected persons.

**Diagnosis.** HSV infections cannot be diagnosed accurately without laboratory confirmation. Swabs from a fresh lesion can be submitted to the diagnostic virology laboratory for viral culture or HSV antigen detection and subsequent antiviral susceptibility testing if necessary.

**Treatment recommendations.** Oropharyngeal lesions can be treated with oral famciclovir, valacyclovir, or acyclovir for 7 days (AII). Moderate-to-severe mucocutaneous HSV lesions are best treated initially with intravenous acyclovir [435–437] (AII). Patients may be switched to oral therapy after the lesions have begun to regress. Therapy should be continued until the lesions have completely healed. Initial or recurrent genital HSV should be treated with oral famciclovir, valacyclovir, or acyclovir for 7–14 days (AII). Trifluridine is the treatment of choice for herpes keratitis, one drop onto the cornea [437] every 2 hours, not to exceed 9 drops/day; it is not recommended for longer than 21 days (AII). Intravenous acyclovir, 10 mg/kg body weight every 8 hours for 14–21 days, is required for HSV encephalitis (AII). Keratitis and encephalitis should be treated in consultation with an expert.

**Monitoring and adverse events.** Famciclovir, valacyclovir, and acyclovir might occasionally be associated with nausea, headache, and diarrhea. Rarely, patients receiving higher doses of valacyclovir, famciclovir, or acyclovir might experience renal dysfunction. For patients receiving high-dose IV acyclovir, monitoring of renal function is recommended at initiation of treatment, and once or twice weekly for the duration of treatment, particularly for those with underlying renal dysfunction or those receiving prolonged therapy. Thrombotic thrombocytopenic purpura/hemolytic uremic syndrome resulting in death has been reported among HIV-1-infected patients treated with high-dose valacyclovir (8 g per day) but has not been reported at doses used for treatment of HSV among persons with HIV-1 disease [438].

**Management of treatment failure.** Treatment failure related to resistance to antiviral drugs should be suspected if lesions do not indicate signs of resolution within 7–10 days after initiation of therapy. Among immunocompromised patients with suspected acyclovir-resistant HSV, a lesion culture should be obtained and, if virus is isolated, susceptibility testing performed to confirm drug resistance [437].

The treatment of choice for acyclovir-resistant HSV is IV foscarinet [439] (AII). Topical trifluridine or cidofovir also has been used successfully for lesions on external surfaces, although prolonged application for 21–28 days or longer might be required.

**Prevention of recurrence.** Chronic therapy with acyclovir is not required after lesions resolve. However, persons who have frequent or severe recurrences can be administered daily suppressive therapy with oral acyclovir, oral famciclovir, or oral valacyclovir [438, 440] (AII). Intravenous foscarinet or cidofovir can be used to treat infection caused by acyclovir-resistant isolates of HSV, which are routinely resistant to ganciclovir (AII).

**Special considerations during pregnancy.** Diagnosis of mucocutaneous and visceral HSV infections is the same in pregnancy as among nonpregnant adults. Treatment of visceral or symptomatic mucocutaneous HSV infections, and suppressive therapy for frequent or severe recurrences should be offered during pregnancy as they would be for nonpregnant HIV-infected women (AIII). Acyclovir is the antiviral drug with the most reported experience in pregnancy and appears to be safe [441]. Acyclovir is the first choice for therapy of HSV infections in pregnancy (AIII). Valacyclovir is the prodrug of acyclovir. Experience with use of this drug in pregnancy is limited [441–444].

Famiclovir was not teratogenic in animal studies but experience with use during human pregnancy is limited. Because of potential teratogenicity and toxicity, foscarinet should be reserved for severe mucocutaneous or visceral HSV infections that have failed to respond to high dose acyclovir or valacyclovir.

An additional concern with HSV during pregnancy is the potential for transmission to the fetus and neonate. The rate of transmission to the fetus and neonate among HIV-1-infected pregnant women co-infected with HSV is low. Although isolated cases of in utero transmission with primary infection during pregnancy among HIV-uninfected women have been reported, the predominant risk, regardless of HIV-1 co-infec-
tion, is from maternal genital shedding at delivery. Cesarean delivery is recommended for women with a prodrome or visible HSV genital lesions at the onset of labor [425] (BIII).

Use of acyclovir in late pregnancy suppresses genital herpes outbreaks and shedding in late pregnancy among HIV-seronegative women and might reduce the need for Cesarean delivery for recurrent HSV [426]. However, the safety and efficacy of this strategy has not been evaluated among HIV-1-infected women, who are more likely to have antibody to HSV-2 and to have both symptomatic and asymptomatic reactivation of genital HSV [426]. Therefore, the use of acyclovir solely to reduce the need for Cesarean delivery among HIV-1-infected women is not recommended (DIII).

**Varicella Zoster Virus Disease**

**Epidemiology.** Up to 95% of the adult population is seropositive for varicella zoster virus (VZV), and recurrent disease in the form of herpes zoster occurs in 3%–5% of all adults but becomes more prevalent in the elderly and the immunocompromised host. The incidence of herpes zoster is 15–25 times greater in HIV-1-infected persons than in the general population and 3–7 times greater than among the elderly. Zoster among HIV-1-infected adults can occur at any CD4+ T lymphocyte count, although it more often occurs at higher CD4+ T lymphocyte counts, and progressive outer retinal necrosis more often occurs at lower CD4+ T lymphocyte counts.

Clinical manifestations. Herpes zoster (shingles) might follow a prodrome of pain that resembles a burn or muscle injury in the affected dermatome; skin lesions, which are similar to chickenpox in appearance and evolution, develop in the same dermatome. Extensive cutaneous dissemination and visceral involvement have been reported but are rare.

Progressive outer retinal necrosis is a VZV-associated entity that typically occurs among HIV-1-infected persons with CD4+ T lymphocyte counts <50 cells/μL. This rapidly progressive necrotizing retinopathy is often associated with dermatomal zoster and is characterized by multifocal retinal opacification with little or no ocular inflammation [445, 446] and rapid visual loss.

Acute retinal necrosis occurs as a peripheral necrotizing retinitis with yellowish thumbprint lesions, retinal vascular sheathing, and vitritis with a high rate of visual loss, often caused by retinal detachment. This syndrome can occur in immunologically normal and immunologically deficient persons. Among patients with HIV-1 infection, acute retinal necrosis can occur at any CD4+ T lymphocyte count, although it more often occurs at higher CD4+ T lymphocyte counts, and progressive outer retinal necrosis more often occurs at lower CD4+ T lymphocyte counts.

Chickenpox, the principal clinical manifestation of primary VZV in childhood or adulthood, is uncommon in adults and adolescents with HIV-1 infection. When chickenpox occurs, it begins with a respiratory prodrome, followed by the appearance of pruritic vesiculopapular lesions that are more numerous on the face and trunk than on the extremities. Lesions evolve over a 5-day period through macular, papular, vesicular, pustular, and crust stages. In profoundly immunocompromised hosts, vesicles can persist for weeks and coalesce to form large lesions that resemble a burn.

VZV has been associated with transverse myelitis, encephalitis, and vasculitic stroke among HIV-uninfected persons. Anecdotal reports of these syndromes exist among HIV-1-infected patients.

**Diagnosis.** Zoster and chickenpox are generally diagnosed empirically on the basis of the appearance of characteristic lesions. When lesions are atypical or the diagnosis is uncertain, swabs from a fresh lesion or a sample of biopsied tissue can be submitted for viral culture or antigen detection, although the yield of culture is low.

**Treatment recommendations.** The recommended treatment for localized dermatomal herpes zoster is famciclovir or valacyclovir for 7–10 days (AII). If cutaneous lesions are extensive or if clinical evidence of visceral involvement is observed, intravenous acyclovir should be initiated and continued until cutaneous lesions and visceral disease are clearly resolving [447] (AII). Because of its immunosuppressive effects and the absence of data to support benefit with its use in this patient population, adjunctive corticosteroid therapy to prevent postherpetic neuralgia is not recommended (DIII).

Progressive outer retinal necrosis is rapidly progressive and leads to profound loss of vision. Because of the rapidity of disease progression, recommended treatment is high-dose intravenous acyclovir in combination with foscarnet (AIII). Acute retinal necrosis typically responds to IV acyclovir, followed by oral valacyclovir (CIII). Concomitant laser retinal photocoagulation might be needed to prevent retinal detachments.

Intravenous acyclovir for 7–10 days is the recommended initial treatment for immunocompromised adults and adolescents with chickenpox [448] (AIII). Switching to oral therapy with valacyclovir or famciclovir after the patient has defervesced if no evidence of visceral involvement exists might be permissible [449] (AII). Oral acyclovir is the recommended treatment (20 mg/kg body weight up to a maximum dose of 800 mg four times daily), but valacyclovir or famciclovir would be reasonable alternatives (BII).

**Monitoring and adverse events.** Recommendations are the same as for HSV.

**Management of treatment failure.** Treatment failure caused by drug resistance should be suspected if lesions do not indicate signs of resolution within 10 days of initiation of therapy or if they evolve to a verrucous appearance. A lesion culture should be obtained, and if virus is isolated, susceptibility testing
performed to confirm antiviral drug resistance and to support the need for intravenous therapy. Among patients with suspected or proven acyclovir-resistant VZV infections, treatment with intravenous foscarnet is the recommended alternative therapy [439] (AI).

Prevention of recurrence. No drug has been proven to prevent the recurrence of zoster (shingles) among HIV-1-infected persons.

Special considerations during pregnancy. Diagnosis of zoster and chickenpox during pregnancy is the same as among nonpregnant adults. Treatment of zoster during pregnancy should be the same as for nonpregnant HIV-infected women. Oral valacyclovir therapy is the preferred treatment for HIV-1-infected pregnant women who experience chickenpox during pregnancy (BII). Intravenous acyclovir should be used if parenteral therapy is indicated the same as for nonpregnant adults with varicella (BI). Women should be monitored closely for signs of pneumonitis or other systemic manifestations and hospitalized for observation and potential administration of intravenous acyclovir for any respiratory symptoms or signs of severe disease.

HIV-seronegative women with primary VZV infection (i.e., chickenpox) during pregnancy have a 0.4% risk for transmitting infection resulting in congenital varicella syndrome in the infant when infection occurs at or before 12 weeks of gestation. The risk increases to 2.2% with infection at 13–20 weeks, and is negligible after 20 weeks [450]. Specific risks among HIV-1-infected women with primary VZV infection during pregnancy have not been reported. Women with primary VZV during the first half of pregnancy should be counseled about the risks and offered detailed ultrasound surveillance for findings indicative of fetal congenital varicella syndrome [450]. Provision of varicella zoster immune globulin (VZIG) does not alter the risk of congenital varicella syndrome in pregnant women with active primary VZV infection [450].

Infants born to women who have chickenpox anytime from 5 days before to 5 days after delivery should receive VZIG to reduce the severity and mortality rate of neonatal infection acquired during maternal viremia [450] (AII). The maternal care provider should notify the infant’s medical provider immediately of the onset of maternal chickenpox during the peripartum period.

Human Herpesvirus-8 Disease

Epidemiology. Human herpesvirus-8 (HHV-8) is a transmissible DNA virus with a seroprevalence in the United States of 1%–5%. The seroprevalence is considerably greater among MSM, regardless of HIV-1 infection, and is also much higher in certain Mediterranean countries (10%–20%) and in parts of sub-Saharan Africa (30%–80%). HHV-8 is associated with all forms of Kaposi sarcoma (i.e., classic, endemic, transplant-related, and AIDS-related), certain rare neoplastic disorders (e.g., primary effusion lymphoma), and multicentric Castleman disease. The precise pathogenesis is unclear even though seroconversion to HHV-8 precedes the development of these tumors [451]. Patients who are HHV-8 seropositive and have HHV-8 DNA in their peripheral blood have a greatly enhanced risk (approximately ninefold) for experiencing Kaposi sarcoma compared with HHV-8 seropositive men without HHV-8 DNA in their blood [452].

The overall incidence of Kaposi sarcoma was as high as 20% among patients with AIDS before the advent of effective ART. However, even before the widespread use of ART, the incidence had declined, which certain specialists attribute to the use of ganciclovir, foscarnet, and cidofovir for the acute and maintenance treatment of CMV disease (based on data demonstrating that these agents inhibit the replication of HHV-8 in vitro) [453–455]. Studies indicate that patients receiving ganciclovir or foscarnet (but not acyclovir) have a reduced rate for developing Kaposi sarcoma [390, 456–458] and lesion regression after ganciclovir or foscarnet therapy has been observed [459, 460]. Anecdotal reports exist of lesion regression among patients who have been treated with potent ART, and despite the lack of precise epidemiologic studies, the incidence of Kaposi sarcoma has declined dramatically after the introduction of protease inhibitor drugs and ART. Primary effusion lymphoma and multicentric Castleman disease remain rare.

Kaposi sarcoma, primary effusion lymphoma, and multicentric Castleman disease are described most frequently among HIV-1-infected persons with more advanced immunosuppression (CD4+ T lymphocyte counts of <200 cells/μL), although they can occur at any CD4+ T lymphocyte count.

Clinical manifestations. Because the principal clinical manifestations of HHV-8 infection are neoplastic diseases, the diagnosis and treatment recommendations for these entities are beyond the scope of this report.

Diagnosis. Routine screening for HHV-8 by PCR or serologic testing is not indicated for HIV-1-infected persons. Quantifying HHV-8 in peripheral blood by PCR is helpful in the diagnosis of multicentric Castleman disease.

Treatment recommendations. Although ganciclovir, foscarnet, and cidofovir have in vitro activity against HHV-8, and limited studies indicate these agents might be associated with reduced disease progression or lesion regression, larger and more definitive studies are needed to determine whether antiviral therapy has a useful role in managing HHV-8-associated diseases. Potent ART that suppresses HIV-1 replication reduces the frequency of occurrence of Kaposi sarcoma among HIV-1-infected persons and should be considered for all persons who qualify for such therapy (BII). Treatment recommendations for HHV-8-associated neoplastic and proliferative dis-
orders are beyond the scope of these guidelines. These disorders should be managed in consultation with an expert.

**Prevention of recurrence.** Effective suppression of HIV-1 replication with ART among HIV-1-infected patients with Kaposi sarcoma might prevent Kaposi sarcoma progression or occurrence of new lesions and should be considered for all persons with evidence of active Kaposi sarcoma (BII).

**Special considerations during pregnancy.** The seroprevalence of HHV-8 infection among HIV-1-infected pregnant women varies widely by geographic area, ranging from 1.7% among U.S.-born and 3.6% among Haitian-born women in New York City to 11.6% among pregnant women from four other U.S. cities [461]. Pregnancy does not appear to affect the prevalence of antibodies to HHV-8 or the antibody levels [462]. HHV-8 seropositivity does not appear to impact pregnancy outcome. Routine screening for HHV-8 by PCR or serology is not indicated for pregnant women.

Diagnosis of Kaposi sarcoma or other HHV-8-associated neoplasms in pregnancy should be the same as among nonpregnant women. Recommendations for the treatment of HHV-8 malignancies are beyond the scope of this report. Treatment should be undertaken in consultation with a specialist.

Perinatal transmission of HHV-8 occurs but appears to be infrequent. A study of 32 mother-infant pairs indicated that maternal HHV-8 infection might increase the risk for perinatal transmission of HIV-1, although no evidence of HHV-8 infection was identified among HIV-1-infected infants [463]. Data indicate increased mortality through 24 months among HIV-1-infected infants born to HHV-8 seropositive compared with HHV-8 seronegative mothers [464–471]. Evidence supporting vertical transmission during pregnancy or the intrapartum period includes cases of Kaposi sarcoma developing shortly after birth [464, 465], higher risk for transmission with higher maternal antibody titer (and by inference higher HHV-8 viral titers) [466], and detection of HHV-8 DNA by PCR in specimens drawn at birth from infants born to HHV-8 seropositive mothers. The majority of studies demonstrate a rate of persistent antibody positivity in children of 2%–29% by age 4 years with the majority of studies documenting a substantially higher rate of seropositivity among children born to HHV-8 antibody positive compared with antibody negative women [467–471].

**Progressive Multifocal Leukoencephalopathy Caused by JC Virus**

**Epidemiology.** Progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy (PML) is an AIDS-defining neurologic disease caused by the JC polyoma virus. The JC virus is an ubiquitous polyoma virus; the name is derived from the initials of the first patient from whom this virus was isolated. The majority of humans are infected early in life, and 70% of adults have detectable serum antibodies.

**Clinical manifestations.** No known symptoms associated with acute JC virus infection exist. PML is the only known disease caused by the JC virus. This disease has an insidious onset and produces a neurologic syndrome that progresses relatively rapidly over weeks or months, characterized by cognitive dysfunction, dementia, seizures, ataxia, aphasia, cranial nerve deficits, hemiparesis or quadriplegics, and eventually coma. Typical computed tomographic abnormalities include single or multiple hypodense, nonenhancing cerebral white matter lesions, although cerebellum and brain stem are occasionally involved.

**Diagnosis.** A confirmed diagnosis of PML requires a compatible clinical syndrome and radiographic findings coupled with brain biopsy demonstrating characteristic pathologic foci of demyelination and oligodendrocytes with enlarged nuclei and basophilic-staining intranuclear material. Whether a brain biopsy will yield information that will alter the clinical course of a patient presenting with a demyelinating disease is a clinical judgement. PCR detection of JC virus DNA in CSF provides supportive diagnostic information in the presence of a compatible clinical syndrome and radiographic findings, and can be used for diagnosis when a brain biopsy is not feasible.

**Treatment recommendations.** No effective therapy for JC virus exists. Randomized clinical trials have evaluated vidarabine and cidofovir; neither is effective in producing clinical improvement and neither is recommended [472, 473] (EI). When ART is initiated and CD4+ T lymphocyte counts rise, certain patients will experience neurologic improvement and others might become neurologically stable. However, reports have documented patients experiencing worse neurologic manifestations after initiation of ART. In certain instances, this worsening is caused by an immune reconstitution inflammatory syndrome; other cases represent the natural history of PML.

**Prevention of recurrence.** No role exists for antiviral agents in the prevention of recurrence or progression of PML.

**Human Papillomavirus Disease**

**Epidemiology.** Human papillomavirus (HPV) infection of the anogenital tract results in a spectrum of disease, ranging from self-limited, transient infection to squamous cell cancer. HPV is the etiologic agent of genital warts and condyloma acuminata. A small number of HPV types (typically HPV types 6, 11, 40, 42, 53, or 54) are associated with warts on the external anogenital skin and types 6 and 11 account for approximately 90% of lesions in the majority of series [474–476].

Genital tract HPV infections are thought to be transmitted by sexual contact [476]. Lesions sometimes occur at anatomic locations away from sites of direct contact [477]. Both the incidence and prevalence of genital warts is increased among patients with HIV-1 infection [478, 479]. The incidence of gen-
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ital warts is increased by >10 times among HIV-1-infected women compared with uninfected women [479].

In parallel with the increased prevalence of genital tract HPV infection, cervical intraepithelial neoplasia (CIN) and anal intraepithelial neoplasia (AIN), associated with certain HPV subtypes (16, 18, 31, 35 and others) occur with increased frequency among HIV-1-infected women compared with HIV-seronegative women. The relative risk for CIN is 5–10 times higher for HIV-1 seropositive women. Additional factors that contribute to increased risk for CIN include being black, having a history of smoking, younger age at first intercourse, and the number of sex partners.

HIV-1-infected women with CIN manifest higher grade lesions than HIV-seronegative women, particularly in the setting of lower CD4+ T lymphocyte counts and higher plasma HIV-1 RNA levels [480–483] and are at higher risk for having HPV-associated lesions elsewhere in the anogenital tract including the vagina, vulva and anus, potentially associated with vaginal intraepithelial neoplasia (VAIN), vulvar intraepithelial neoplasia (VIN), and AIN [484]. Women with high-grade CIN or vulvar cancer might have a high incidence of both AIN and invasive anal cancer.

MSM have a high prevalence of anal HPV infection and AIN, and those who are HIV-1-infected, particularly those with lower CD4+ T lymphocyte counts, are at even higher risk than those who are not [485–487]. In addition to the high incidence of anal HPV infection and AIN among HIV-1-infected men and women, the incidence of anal cancer is higher in these groups than in the general population. Although data are limited, effective ART does not appear to substantially influence the short-term natural history of CIN, AIN, or other forms of intraepithelial neoplasia.

**Clinical manifestations.** The principal manifestation of genital HPV infection is the presence of a cauliflower-like, pedunculated lesion or lesions that might be a few millimeters to as much as 1–2 centimeters in diameter or flat, keratotic plaques and dome-shaped papules (often keratotic). Multiple lesions are usually present and they often occur in clusters. Lesions might occur at multiple sites in the anogenital tract. Certain patients are asymptomatic, although those with perianal lesions might complain about pain on defecation or perianal itching.

No characteristic symptoms are associated with CIN. Vaginal bleeding might occasionally accompany cervical or vaginal lesions. Lesions might be visible on the ectocervix, typically at the squamo-columnar junction, during routine pelvic examination. VAIN, VIN, AIN, or frank malignancy might occur with bleeding or itching and lesions might be visible or palpable.

**Diagnosis.** HPV disease can be diagnosed by clinical inspection, and further diagnostic testing is not generally required. The entire anogenital tract should be carefully inspected for visual signs of warts. A digital examination of the vulvar, vaginal, and perianal regions and the anal canal should be performed as part of routine evaluation. Digital examination should be performed after collection of a cervical or anal Pap smears because lubricant may obscure the interpretation of Pap smears.

If uncertainty about the etiology of visible lesions or the presence or absence of high-grade dysplasia or malignancy exists, the diagnosis can be confirmed by a biopsy. Even typical condylomata among HIV-1-infected persons might have foci of high-grade dysplasia. Biopsies of warts should be considered earlier in the evaluation in those with HIV-1 infection than among uninfected persons.

Testing for HPV DNA is available, but no clinical indications exist for routine testing of anogenital warts for the presence or type of HPV. Guidelines should be followed for routine Pap smear and colposcopic monitoring to detect dysplasia among HIV-1-infected women (Table 3) [488]. If a Pap smear is performed and returns with a cytologic interpretation reporting “atypical squamous cells of uncertain significance” (ASCUS) or “atypical squamous cells—cannot rule out high-grade disease” (ASC-H), an HPV Hybrid Capture® test can be performed. If the HPV Hybrid Capture® test reveals an oncogenic HPV type, or if the Pap smear interpretation reports a low-grade squamous intraepithelial lesion (LSIL) or a high-grade SIL (HSIL), colposcopic evaluation and directed biopsy are recommended.

Although formal guidelines recommending anal Pap smear screening have not been adopted, certain specialists recommend anal cytologic screening for HIV-1-infected men and women. High-resolution anoscopy (HRA) should be considered if the anal Pap smear indicates ASCUS or ASC-H and should be performed if a person has LSIL or HSIL on anal Pap smear. Visible lesions should be biopsied to determine the level of histologic changes and to rule out invasive cancer.

**Treatment recommendations.** Treatments are available for genital warts, but none is uniformly effective. The rate of recurrence is high with most modalities [489]. Data are limited on the response of HIV-1-infected patients to the available treatments for genital warts. In the absence of data specific to the HIV-1-infected population, guidelines for the treatment of sexually transmitted diseases should be followed. Data are insufficient to recommend a single treatment modality for all patients, and more than one treatment option might be required for refractory or recurrent lesions among patients with HIV-1 infection.

Patient-applied treatments are generally recommended for uncomplicated external lesions, and consist of the following options (CIII):

- Podoflox is an antimitotic agent that should be applied topically to wart lesions as a 0.5% solution or a 0.5% gel; twice daily applications for 3 consecutive days can be re-
Intralesional interferon is not generally recommended be-

Podophyllin resin is a crude extract that contains podo-

Surgical treatments include excision by scissor, shave, or
curette or by electrosurgery (BIII). Laser surgery can also
be used, but is generally more expensive (CIII). The efficacy
of surgical removal can approach 100% depending on the
location of the lesions.

Topical application of cidofovir has reported activity against
genital warts [495, 496] in limited, uncontrolled studies
(CIII). No topical formulation is commercially available.

Podophyllin resin is a crude extract that contains podo-

Intralesional interferon is not generally recommended be-
cause of its high cost, difficult administration, and potential
for systemic side effects (i.e., fever, fatigue, myalgias, and
leukopenia) (DIII). The overall efficacy of interferon is no
better than other therapies, and it has not been specifically
studied for genital warts among HIV-1-infected persons.
The management of CIN among HIV-1-infected patients
should not differ from recently published guidelines [488]
(AIII). The majority of specialists recommend observation
without specific intervention for CIN 1 unless lesions persist
over an 18–24 month period of follow-up, evolve to CIN 2 or
worse, or there is poor adherence to routine monitoring.
Conventional therapies used for treatment of CIN 2 or 3 include
cryotherapy, laser therapy, cone biopsy, and a loop electro-
surgical excision procedure (LEEP). LEEP is generally the pre-
ferr mode of treatment (BIII). Recurrence rates of 40%–60%
after treatment have been reported among HIV-1-infected
women undergoing these procedures [497].

For AIN, data are insufficient to recommend a specific treat-
ment approach; because the majority of lesions are not visible
to the patient, the majority of specialists recommend use of
one or more of the provider-applied treatments outlined pre-
viously (CIII) (Table 4). Treatment decisions are based on as-
seessment of the size and location of the lesion and the grade
of histology. The least aggressive approaches should be tried
first whenever possible (CIII). If a lesion is too large or if
treatment is expected to produce substantial morbidity, then
certain specialists recommend following patients without treat-
ment and periodic examinations to monitor for development
of cancer. A study reported a low success rate for surgical
fulguration of widespread AIN 2 or 3 among HIV-1-infected
persons [498]. No indications exist for radiation therapy for
patients with AIN in the absence of evidence of invasive cancer
(EIII).

The results of studies do not indicate that treatment for CIN
or AIN should be modified for patients receiving ART. Con-
versely, no evidence indicates that ART should be instituted or
modified for the purpose of treating CIN or AIN (CIII), al-
though limited data indicate that ART might be associated with
improved response rates.

Monitoring and adverse effects. As efficacy varies with each
of the treatments for genital warts, and recurrences are com-
mon, patients should be monitored by physical examination
for evidence of recurrence. The major toxicity of podofilox and
topical podophyllin is local skin irritation. Also, if podophyllin
is applied to a large treatment area, systemic absorption can
cause nausea, vomiting, and CNS effects. The major toxicity
of imiquimod is inflammation at the application site. The major
toxicity of cryotherapy is local pain. The major side effects
of surgical treatment for genital warts are local pain, bleeding, and
secondary infection. The major adverse events associated with
acid cauterezation are local pain and irritation or ulceration of
adjacent normal skin. Intralesional interferon is associated with
systemic toxicities of interferon, including fever, fatigue, my-
algia, malaise, depression, and other influenza-like symptoms.

For patients with CIN 1 that has not been treated with one
of the outlined interventions, Pap smears or colposcopy should
be performed every 4–6 months to monitor for persistence or
progression of lesions. As the recurrence of CIN and cervical
cancer after conventional therapy is increased among HIV-1-
infected persons, patients should be carefully followed after treatment with frequent cytologic screening and colposcopic examination when indicated according to published guidelines [498, 499].

**Management of treatment failure.** Treatment failure is defined as the persistence or recurrence of lesions after appropriate therapy. If evidence exists of persistent or recurrent genital warts, re-treatment with any of the modalities previously described should be considered, preferably with an alternative modality to the one that previously failed (AIII). For persistent or recurrent CIN 2 or 3, repeat loop excision or one or more of the other treatment modalities should be considered (AIII) [499].

**Prevention of recurrence.** No indication exists for secondary prophylaxis (chronic maintenance therapy) with any of the conventional modalities to prevent recurrence of genital warts. Patients with CIN should be monitored with frequent cytologic screening and, when indicated, colposcopic examination for recurrent lesions (AI). In a study of HIV-1-infected women treated for high-grade cervical lesions using conventional therapies, low-dose intravaginal 5-fluorouracil (i.e., 2 g twice weekly for 6 months) reduced the short-term risk for recurrence and possibly the grade of recurrence [500]. However, clinical experience with this therapy is too limited to provide a recommendation for its use (CIII).

**Special considerations during pregnancy.** The decision about whether to treat genital warts during pregnancy should be individualized on the basis of the extent of the warts, concurrent symptoms, gestational age, and patient preference (CIII). Podophyllin and podofilox should not be used during pregnancy (EIII). Use of podophyllin has been associated with an increased risk for fetal death in several animal models and case reports in humans, but not with congenital anomalies. No experience with imiquimod in human pregnancy has been reported; therefore, its use in pregnancy is not recommended (DIII). No anomalies have been observed among animals with use during pregnancy.

Other topical treatments (e.g., bichloroacetic and trichloroacetic acid) and ablative therapies (i.e., laser, cryotherapy, and excision) can be used during pregnancy. Cervical warts should be biopsied to rule out concomitant dysplasia. Increased bleeding might occur with cervical biopsy during pregnancy.

All pregnant women should have a Pap smear at their initial prenatal visit unless a normal cervical cytology result has been obtained within the past year. Cytobrush sampling can be done during pregnancy [501]. Pregnant women with abnormal cervical cytology results should undergo colposcopy and cervical biopsy of any abnormalities. Increased bleeding might occur with cervical biopsy during pregnancy. Endocervical curettage should not be done during pregnancy [502, 503] (DIII).

Repeat cytology with or without colposcopy should be conducted at 34–36 weeks of gestation to rule out progression of dysplasia. Women with any grade of cervical dysplasia can deliver vaginally (if otherwise appropriate based on obstetrical and HIV parameters) with repeat colposcopy and definitive therapy completed postpartum. Women with suspected cervical cancer should be referred to a gynecologic oncologist for definitive diagnosis, treatment, and delivery planning because vaginal delivery is not recommended with invasive cervical cancer [504].

Pregnancy appears to increase the rate of detection of genital HPV DNA among HIV-uninfected women and might be associated with an increased frequency and rate of growth of genital warts [505–507]. The effect of pregnancy on genital HPV detection among HIV-1-infected women has not been evaluated.

Transmission of genital HPV type 6 and 11 from vaginal secretions at delivery is the presumed mechanism of early onset recurrent laryngeal papillomatosis in infants. Although rare, this condition occurs more frequently among infants delivered vaginally compared with those delivered by Cesarean section [505, 506–508]. No change in obstetrical management is indicated for women with HPV infection unless extensive condylomata are present that might impede vaginal delivery or cause extensive bleeding [509–512].

**Hepatitis C Virus Disease**

**Epidemiology.** Chronic hepatitis C is caused by hepatitis C virus (HCV), a single-stranded RNA virus. Six distinct genotypes and approximately 50 subtypes have been described. Genotype 1 infection accounts for approximately 75% of all HCV infections in the United States; genotypes 2 and 3 are more prevalent in Western Europe. Both HCV and HIV-1 are efficiently transmitted through large or repeated percutaneous exposure to infectious blood. Numerous studies have documented a high rate of HCV co-infection (50%–90%) among HIV-1-infected injection-drug users and persons with hemophilia [513–516]. Other potential modes of transmission of HCV include mother-to-infant (the rate is approximately 5% but increases to 17% if the mother is HIV-1-infected), needlestick, or sexual [515–522].

Data from a large cross-sectional analysis of a heterogeneous group of HIV-1-infected persons participating in clinical trials in the United States indicates that 16.1% were HCV co-infected [523]. The majority of co-infected persons had a history of injection-drug use. Long-term studies of persons with HCV alone indicate that approximately 2%–20% of those with chronic HCV infection experience cirrhosis within approximately 20 years after acute infection; older age at the time of infection, male sex, and the presence of concomitant alcoholism increase the frequency [524–528].

HIV-1 infection appears to speed the rate of progression of
chronic hepatitis C to end-stage liver disease (ESLO) to as little as 10 years after exposure [528–534]; however, this accelerated progression has not been observed in all studies. Data from a meta-analysis indicate that the average risk for progressive liver disease is 2.9 times higher among HCV/HIV-1 co-infected persons than among persons infected only with HCV [535]. Factors that adversely influence disease progression among HCV/HIV-1 co-infected persons include older age, lower CD4+ T lymphocyte count, and a history of alcoholism. Evaluation of liver histology with an established measure (META VIR scoring system) indicates the presence of more extensive fibrosis as well as a greater rate of fibrosis progression among HCV/HIV-1 co-infected persons than among those with HCV infection alone [532].

Whether HCV accelerates progression of HIV-1 disease is unknown [536–538]. More recent studies have reported that HCV infection might accelerate progression of HIV-1 infection, although whether HCV co-infection worsens immunologic dysfunction is unknown [530, 538–540].

Clinical manifestations. Acute hepatitis C is not often recognized because of a high rate of asymptomatic or mildly symptomatic presentation. A limited proportion (<20%) of patients with acute infection have symptoms characteristic of acute hepatitis including low grade fever, mild right-upper-quadrant pain, nausea, vomiting, anorexia, dark urine, and jaundice. Liver transaminases (serum alanine aminotransferase [ALT] and aspartate aminotransferase [AST]) might be elevated. Chronic hepatitis C is often asymptomatic, although complaints of fatigue are common. Serum cryoglobulins are present (60%) but rarely (<5%) cause symptomatic skin, renal, or neurologic manifestations. With progression of liver disease, patients might experience stigmata of portal hypertension including spider angiomata, temporal wasting, splenomegaly, caput medusa, ascites, jaundice, pruritis, and encephalopathy. A small subset of patients experience cutaneous manifestations including leukocytoclastic vasculitis and porphyria cutanea tarda. A rapidly progressive form of hepatitis C, called fibrosing cholestatic hepatitis, has been reported among patients who are immunosuppressed after solid-organ transplantation. This also might occur among HIV-1-infected patients [541].

Serum transaminase levels often fluctuate among patients with chronic HCV infection, regardless of HIV-1 co-infection, and long periods of normal serum transaminase levels might occur, although the majority of patients with chronic HCV infection have evidence of liver injury on liver biopsy [542]. This injury might occur after years of relatively quiescent infection [543]. A weak association between the degree of ALT elevation and the severity of liver injury has been reported, but studies have not been consistent.

Diagnosis. All HIV-1-infected patients should be tested for evidence of chronic HCV infection. Initial testing for HCV should be performed using the most sensitive immunoassays licensed for detection of antibody to HCV in blood. To confirm the presence of chronic infection, persons positive for antibody to HCV should be tested for HCV RNA by a qualitative HCV RNA assay with a lower limit of detection of ≈50 IU/mL. Additional, more specific anti-HCV testing by a recombinant immunoblot assay (RIBA) should be performed for patients with a positive anti-HCV result by immunoassay and a negative test for HCV RNA.

False negative anti-HCV immunoassay results might occur among HIV-1-infected persons, but this is uncommon with the most sensitive immunoassays [523, 544]. Seroreversion in patients with low CD4+ T lymphocyte counts has been described [531]. If serologic test results are indeterminate, testing for HCV RNA should be performed.

Both qualitative and quantitative assays are available for HCV RNA testing. Three diagnostic assays have been approved by the FDA for qualitative detection of HCV RNA. Two of the assays use RT-PCR and have a lower limit of detection of 50–100 IU/mL; the third uses transcription mediated amplification and has a lower limit of detection of 10 IU/mL. A single positive qualitative HCV RNA result is sufficient to confirm the diagnosis of active HCV infection, but a negative result cannot exclude viremia because RNA levels might transiently decline below the limit of detection in persons with active infection. A repeat qualitative assay can be performed to confirm the absence of active infection.

Quantitative tests for HCV RNA include quantitative RT-PCR or branched DNA (bDNA) signal amplification assays. An HCV RNA standard has been established that permits normalization of viral titers in IUs; these are not indicative of the number of viral particles in a sample. Although the result in IU/mL provides a reasonable estimate of HCV viral load, substantial variability exists among available assays, and if serial values are required to evaluate disease or monitor antiviral therapy, continued use of the same quantitative assay for all assessments is recommended. HCV viral load does not correlate with degree of histologic injury observed on liver biopsy and does not serve as a surrogate for measuring disease severity, but it does provide important prognostic information about the response to antiviral therapy. Quantitative HCV RNA is also useful for monitoring response to therapy.

Co-infected persons should be tested for other comorbid liver conditions. Certain specialists recommend screening for hepatocellular carcinoma using alpha-fetoprotein (AFP) and hepatic ultrasound imaging performed at 6-month intervals among HIV-uninfected patients with chronic HCV infection and documented cirrhosis. Although no data are available to evaluate the predictive value of this approach among HIV-1-infected patients, certain specialists recommend that such screening also be considered for co-infected persons with cir-
rhosis. An abnormal AFP level should prompt further imaging studies to identify focal mass lesions in the liver parenchyma.

Numerous imaging modalities are available to evaluate liver parenchymal changes including ultrasonography and scans using single-photon emission computed tomography (SPECT), CT, or MRI technology. Ultrasonography is recommended as the initial test for screening for liver parenchymal changes, cirrhosis, or preliminary detection of mass lesions. Use of SPECT, CT, or MRI scanning should generally be limited to evaluation of hepatic mass lesions among patients with elevated AFP levels and cirrhosis. Hepatic CT without contrast should not be employed because a meta-analysis has documented this to be inferior to biphasic/triphasic CT for identification of small masses in the liver. Testing for ALT levels is the simplest and least expensive test to assess activity of liver disease and should be performed as part of the initial diagnostic evaluation; however, it is nonspecific, and a single abnormal result provides limited useful information.

Liver biopsy remains the only definitive test for evaluation of fibrosis stage, and although data among HIV-1-infected patients are limited, fibrosis stage is the most reliable means to assess prognosis and provide information for decisions about the need for initiation of therapy. Therefore, in the absence of a contraindication to liver biopsy, a liver biopsy is recommended for all HIV-1-infected persons with chronic HCV coinfection who are candidates for antiviral therapy, although certain HIV specialists would initiate antiviral therapy without a pre-treatment liver biopsy.

Because data about response to antiviral therapy are limited for HIV-1 co-infected patients with HCV genotype 2, 3 or other nongenotype 1 disease, information is limited to base a specific recommendation about whether testing for genotype is useful in this population; however, certain specialists recommend performance of HCV genotype to assist in making a decision to treat chronic hepatitis C. Because up to 80% of patients with HCV genotype 2 or 3 disease respond favorably to antiviral therapy, a decision to treat is more straightforward, and a pre-treatment liver biopsy might not be necessary for those with genotype 2 or 3 disease. If performed, liver biopsies should be evaluated by pathologists with training and experience in hepatic histology.

Complex matrix models using noninvasive test results might reliably separate patients with minimal fibrosis from those with cirrhosis but fail to clearly distinguish intermediate stages of fibrotic disease progression and should not supplant liver biopsy at this time. Additional studies evaluating a variety of noninvasive methods for determining stage of fibrosis in the absence of a liver biopsy are ongoing.

Complications of percutaneous liver biopsy (i.e., hemorrhage, biliary peritonitis, and pneumothorax) occur at rates of 1–3 per 1,000 cases. Higher complication rates are reported among patients with or without HIV-1 infection who have substantial thrombocytopenia, coagulation defects, or liver lesions with high vascularity. Although these might not be absolute contraindications to liver biopsy, among these patients, transjugular liver biopsy might be the preferred approach. Patients with hemophilia should have adequate platelet factor replacement before a liver biopsy is performed.

**Treatment recommendations.** Because of the scarcity of published experience treating HIV-1/HCV co-infected persons, practice is dictated largely by principles established for the treatment of HIV-uninfected persons. All patients with chronic hepatitis C should be counseled to avoid alcohol consumption because of the potential increased risk for fibrotic progression.

Because fulminant hepatic failure from hepatitis A virus infection occurs at increased frequency in persons with chronic liver disease, persons susceptible to HAV should receive 2 doses of HAV vaccine. HAV vaccine should be administered before the CD4+ T lymphocyte count declines to <200 cells/μL because the response will probably be better. In addition, susceptible HIV-1-infected persons at risk for HBV infection should receive the hepatitis B vaccine series.

Antiviral treatment should be considered for all patients with chronic hepatitis C infection. Treatment is recommended for patients at increased risk for development of cirrhosis (i.e., those with chronic hepatitis C who have detectable plasma HCV RNA levels on a qualitative assay, liver biopsy histologic findings of portal or bridging fibrosis and at least moderate inflammation and necrosis, and persistently elevated ALT levels >2 times the upper limit of normal) (BI). Although patients with normal or only minimally elevated (<2 times the upper limit of normal) ALT levels are likely to have mild disease, some might progress to advanced fibrosis and cirrhosis. Controversy exists about whether to take a biopsy and treat these patients.

Several factors should be considered when making a decision to treat, including genotype, degree of fibrosis, patient motivation, symptoms, severity of other underlying conditions, age, and the need for and the type of concomitant ART. As disease progression is likely to be slow among co-infected patients with mild elevations of ALT and no or minimal fibrosis or inflammatory changes on liver biopsy, these patients might not need treatment and should be monitored periodically with serial determinations of ALT and repeat liver biopsy. The most appropriate intervals to monitor such patients have not been determined.

No data are available to evaluate the safety and effectiveness of antiviral treatment of HCV for HIV-1 co-infected patients with advanced fibrosis or compensated cirrhosis, although some specialists would consider treatment for such patients. Treatment with interferon (IFN)-based therapies is relatively contraindicated among patients with decompensated liver dis-
eased, indicated by coagulopathy, encephalopathy, ascites, or history of bleeding varices (DIII). Liver transplantation, where feasible, should be the primary treatment option for patients with decompensated liver disease (CIII). However, data about the safety and effectiveness of liver transplantation among HIV-1-infected adults is insufficient to recommend its use outside of clinical studies [550].

The goals of antiviral treatment of chronic hepatitis C include eradication of HCV infection, prevention of histologic progression of hepatic fibrosis and, among persons with HCV-related cirrhosis, prevention of hepatic decompensation, hepatocellular carcinoma, and death. Although the goals of therapy might not be achievable in all patients, histologic and clinical benefits of therapy might not be limited just to persons with clearance of virus [551–553]. Approved therapies for chronic hepatitis C among HIV-uninfected persons include monotherapy with standard interferons (interferon [IFN] alfa-2a, alfa-2b, or IFN alfacon-1) or pegylated (PEG) IFNs (alfa-2a and alfa 2b) and combination therapy with standard or PEG IFN alfa 2a or alfa-2b plus ribavirin.

Among HIV-uninfected patients, the combination of PEG IFN plus ribavirin is associated with substantially higher rates of sustained virologic response compared with standard IFNs alone or with ribavirin. Also among HIV-uninfected patients, ribavirin doses adjusted by weight are associated with improved efficacy and less ribavirin-associated toxicity than fixed ribavirin doses. On the basis of ease of administration (once-weekly injection) and the superior efficacy in HIV-uninfected persons, PEG IFN alfa-2a or -2b plus ribavirin has largely replaced use of standard IFN alfa plus ribavirin for the treatment of chronic hepatitis C infection [554]. Retrospective series and limited, uncontrolled, prospective clinical trials demonstrate that IFN alfa-2b plus ribavirin is reasonably well tolerated and might eradicate HCV infection among certain HIV-1-infected patients [555–557]. Results from two prospective, randomized, controlled trials comparing PEG IFN alfa-2a plus ribavirin with standard IFN alfa-2a plus ribavirin in HIV-1-infected patients with HCV co-infection demonstrate safety and superior efficacy of PEG IFN alfa-2a plus ribavirin compared with conventional IFN plus ribavirin [558]. Approximately one third of those without a virologic response who underwent liver biopsy had histologic improvement in fibrosis, despite the absence of a virologic response in one trial [558]. On the basis of these data, PEG IFN alfa-2a 180 mcg administered weekly by subcutaneous injection (or PEG IFN alfa-2b 1.5 mcg/kg) plus oral ribavirin in a dose of 600–1,400 mg daily based on weight is the recommended treatment for chronic hepatitis C among HIV-1-infected persons (AI).

Patients with contraindications for the use of ribavirin (e.g., unstable cardiopulmonary disease, pre-existing anemia unresponsive to erythropoietin, or hemoglobinopathy) can be treated with PEG IFN alfa (2a or 2b) monotherapy (AII). However, decreased rates of sustained virologic response are expected among patients not receiving ribavirin.

The optimal duration of HCV therapy among HIV-1-infected persons is unknown. While awaiting data from ongoing clinical trials, the majority of specialists follow recommendations for HIV-uninfected persons. The duration of treatment using combination therapy with PEG IFN plus ribavirin is 48 weeks for patients with HCV genotype 1 disease who demonstrate an early virologic response (a decrease of at least 2 log10 in HCV viral load as measured by quantitative HCV RNA levels) during the first 12 weeks of treatment (AI). Patients with genotype 1 disease who fail to achieve an early virologic response by week 12 have limited chance of achieving a sustained virologic response regardless of duration of therapy, and treatment may be discontinued after 12 weeks in such patients (BII). The recommended treatment duration is 24 weeks for HIV-1-infected persons with genotype 2 or 3 disease (BII); certain specialists would treat for 48 weeks for co-infected patients with genotype 2 or 3 disease [554, 559, 560] (CIII).

Preliminary data among HIV-1-infected patients indicate that the HCV virologic response correlates with pretreatment CD4+ T lymphocyte count (i.e., higher response rates have been observed among patients with baseline CD4+ T lymphocyte counts >500 cells/μL) [561]. Therefore, treatment for HCV should be considered before a decline in CD4+ T lymphocyte count to <500 cells/μL for patients with HIV-1 co-infection (BIII). Conversely, for HIV-1-infected patients with CD4+ T lymphocyte counts <500 cells/μL, initiation of ART should be considered before treatment for chronic hepatitis C (BIII). Clinical trials evaluating this approach are in progress.

**Monitoring and adverse events.** Quantitative HCV RNA levels are the best estimate of treatment response. Reliability and value of serial quantitative measurement as a marker of treatment response remains to be determined, particularly in clinical practice settings where variation in specimen handling and shipping might decrease validity of HCV RNA change.

A sustained virologic response (SVR) is defined as the absence of detectable HCV RNA, using a qualitative or quantitative HCV RNA assay with a lower limit of detection of 50 IU/mL, at 24 weeks after the end of antiviral treatment. Relapse is defined as the absence of detectable HCV RNA at the end of treatment (ETR) that is not sustained over time. Nonresponse is defined as the absence of an ETR or a SVR. However, even in the absence of a SVR, several studies have demonstrated improved liver histology after completion of a course of antiviral treatment.

HIV-1-infected patients should have a quantitative HCV RNA assay performed at the end of 12 and 24 weeks of treatment, and those with undetectable HCV RNA levels should have an HCV RNA assay repeated 24 weeks after completion.
of therapy. It is reasonable for co-infected patients who achieve a sustained virologic response to undergo serial HCV RNA testing at 6-month intervals for an additional 1–2 years to exclude late virologic relapse (or re-infection with HCV for those at risk for continued exposure).

The major toxicities of IFN alfa (PEG or standard) include influenza-like symptoms (e.g., fever, myalgia, headache, and fatigue), neuropsychiatric abnormalities (e.g., depression and cognitive dysfunction), cytopenias (e.g., thrombocytopenia and neutropenia including a reduction in CD4+ T lymphocyte count), retinopathy, neuropathy, and exacerbation of autoimmune disease. Depression might be severe enough to trigger suicide. Depending on the severity of these toxicities and individual patient tolerance, they might be dose-limiting or interfere with the ability to complete a course of treatment.

The major toxicities of ribavirin include dose-dependent hemolytic anemia, cough, and dyspepsia. In addition, in vitro data have demonstrated drug-drug antagonism between ribavirin and the anti-HIV pyrimidine nucleoside analogues (e.g., zidovudine, stavudine, zalcitabine, and lamivudine). The clinical significance of these drug-drug interactions has not been determined. In addition, ribavirin might potentiate the intracellular activity of didanosine through inhibition of inosine monophosphate dehydrogenase. Case reports have indicated the interaction of RBV and didanosine might lead to clinically significant inhibition of mitochondrial DNA polymerase gamma, resulting in severe pancreatitis and lactic acidosis in certain patients [561–564]. Until further safety data are available, the combination of ribavirin and didanosine is generally contraindicated (DIII).

Complete blood counts, a CD4+ T lymphocyte count, and mental health should be evaluated before initiation of anti-HCV therapy, and the therapy should be monitored at regular intervals during treatment. Adverse effects of IFN alfa and ribavirin might be modified by the use of adjunctive agents such as antidepressants (neuropsychiatric), filgrastim (neutropenia) and erythropoietin (anemia). Although available data are insufficient to recommend the routine use of these agents in the management of HCV, their use should be considered on a case-by-case basis.

Management of treatment failure. No recommendations are available for treatment of patients who fail to respond to initial antiviral treatment of chronic hepatitis C. Certain patients might benefit from retreatment with PEG IFN-based regimens depending on their previous response, tolerance, and adherence to and the type of previous therapy (i.e., conventional IFN monotherapy), the potential potency of the new treatment regimen, the severity of liver disease, and viral genotype and other underlying factors that influence response.

Limited data in non-HIV-1-infected persons with HCV indicate that 15%–20% of nonresponders treated with conventional IFN formulations alone or in combination with ribavirin will achieve a SVR when re-treated with PEG IFN and ribavirin. Those who achieved a decline in HCV RNA to levels <100,000 IU/mL during initial treatment with IFN monotherapy or those with genotypes 2 or 3 appear to have better response rates to retreatment. Additional studies evaluating retreatment are in progress.

Prevention of recurrence. For HIV-1 and HCV co-infected patients, durability of treatment response and the requirement for chronic maintenance therapy to prevent recurrence are unknown. Therefore, no recommendations are available for chronic maintenance therapy in this setting.

Special considerations during pregnancy. Pregnant HIV-1-infected women should be tested for HCV infection if not previously tested to allow appropriate management for them and their infants. Transaminase levels tend to decrease and HCV RNA levels to increase during pregnancy. Transaminases might increase transiently postpartum [565–567].

Treatment of chronic hepatitis C during pregnancy is not indicated and is not recommended (DIII). Both IFN and ribavirin are contraindicated in pregnancy. Although IFNs are not teratogenic among rats, mice, or rabbits, they are abortifacient at high doses in monkeys and should not be used in pregnant women because of the direct antigrowth and anti-proliferative effects of these agents. Approximately 30 cases of human exposure to IFNs during pregnancy have been reported, about half in the first trimester, without clear adverse effects [568–570].

Ribavirin is labeled as FDA category X because of its teratogenicity at low doses in multiple animal species. Defects noted in animals include limb abnormalities, craniofacial defects, exencephaly, and anophthalmia. This drug should not be used during pregnancy (EIII). Women of child bearing potential and men receiving ribavirin should be counseled about the risks and need for consistent contraceptive use during and for 6 months after completion of ribavirin therapy [571]. Evaluation, including liver biopsy, can be delayed until ≧3 months after delivery to allow potential pregnancy-related changes in disease activity to resolve. Hepatitis A and hepatitis B vaccination can be given during pregnancy [572].

The risk for perinatal transmission varies from zero among HIV-1-seronegative women with undetectable HCV RNA levels, to 4%–8% among predominantly HIV-seronegative women with detectable HCV RNA, to 22% among HIV-1-infected women [573–576]. The risk for perinatal transmission of HCV is consistently higher among HIV-1-infected compared with HIV-seronegative women, potentially related to higher HCV RNA levels in HIV-1-infected women or concurrent injection drug use. Perinatal transmission of HCV in both HIV-seronegative and HIV-1-infected women also is potentially related
to higher HCV RNA levels, although this finding has been inconsistent [573].

Mother-to-child transmission of HIV-1 also might be more frequent among HCV co-infected women compared with HIV-1-infected women without concomitant HCV infection [577]. Mode of delivery and breast feeding do not appear to influence HCV transmission in HIV-seronegative women, but elective Cesarean delivery might be protective against transmission of HCV among HIV-1-infected women [573, 574, 576]. The adjusted odds ratio for perinatal transmission of HCV with scheduled Cesarean delivery among HIV-1 infected, HCV seropositive women was 0.36 (0.2–0.8) compared with other modes of delivery in one large study; however, this study did not control for concomitant perinatal transmission of HIV-1 [576].

Hepatitis B Virus Disease

Epidemiology. Hepatitis B virus (HBV) is the leading cause of chronic liver disease worldwide [578, 579]. In developed countries, HBV is transmitted primarily through sexual contact and injection-drug use. Even though risk factors are similar, HBV is transmitted more efficiently than HIV-1 [578–580]. Although up to 90% of HIV-1-infected persons have at least one serum marker of previous exposure to HBV [581, 582], only approximately 10% have chronic hepatitis B, as evidenced by the detection of hepatitis B surface antigen (HBsAg) in the serum persisting for a minimum of 6 months [583, 584].

HIV-1 infection is associated with an increased risk for the development of chronic hepatitis B after HBV exposure [584–586]. Limited data indicate that co-infected patients with chronic hepatitis B infection have higher HBV DNA levels and are more likely to have detectable hepatitis B e antigen (HBeAg) [587, 588], accelerated loss of protective hepatitis B surface antibody (anti-HBs), and an increased risk for liver-related mortality and morbidity [589].

Clinical manifestations. Although certain patients are asymptomatic, symptoms of acute HBV infection include fatigue, right-upper-quadrant abdominal pain, nausea, vomiting, fever, and arthralgias followed by jaundice. Although persons with chronic hepatitis B infection might have nonspecific symptoms such as fatigue and right-upper-quadrant abdominal pain, chronic hepatitis B is often clinically inapparent until the onset of ESLD manifested as ascites, coagulopathy, caput medusa, palmar erythema, jaundice, hepatomegaly, splenomegaly, variceal bleeding, or hepatic encephalopathy. Ancillary manifestations of chronic hepatitis B disease also include polyarteritis nodosa, glomerulonephritis, and vasculitis.

Diagnosis. All HIV-1-infected persons should be tested for HBV [90]. The optimal testing strategy for co-infected persons has not been determined. Testing for HBsAg, hepatitis B core antibody (anti-HBc), and hepatitis B surface antibody (anti-HBs) is recommended because this strategy will detect the majority of persons with chronic hepatitis B and those who need vaccination. Serum HBV DNA has been detected in certain persons without HBsAg in whom anti-HBc was the only serum marker of infection [590, 591]. The interpretation of an isolated anti-HBc is difficult both because false-positive tests for anti-HBc occur and because the clinical significance of anti-HBc alone or with low levels of HBV DNA, even in those with elevated ALT levels, is not known [590–593].

Chronic hepatitis B is defined as detection of HBsAg for ≥6 months. Patients with chronic HBV infection should be tested for HBeAg and antibody to HBeAg (anti-HBe).

Severity of liver disease should be assessed initially and at least every 6 months with ALT, albumin, prothrombin time, platelet count, complete blood count, and bilirubin. Transient or persistent elevations in liver transaminases might occur just before loss of HBeAg, on discontinuation of anti-HBV therapy, in association with lamivudine resistance, with hepatotoxicity from anti-HIV therapy or other drugs, or with the acquisition of another hepatitis virus infection such as HAV, HCV, or hepatitis delta virus (HDV) [594–597].

Certain specialists also would obtain a test to quantify the circulating HBV DNA in patients diagnosed with chronic hepatitis B on the basis of serologic testing [598]. Several assays for HBV DNA are available, but results are not interchangeable. HBV DNA levels are usually high in chronic infection (10^8–10^10 copies/mL of blood); however, available data indicate that HBV DNA levels do not predict progression of liver disease or response to therapy in a manner analogous to plasma HIV-1 RNA levels [598].

Patients with chronic hepatitis B are at increased risk for hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC). In HIV-seronegative patients certain specialists recommend monitoring patients with chronic hepatitis B every 6–12 months with an AFP level or ultrasound of the liver, especially if the patient is in a high-risk group (i.e., age ≥45 years, cirrhosis, or a family history of HCC) [598, 599]; however, the effectiveness of this screening strategy has not been determined. Among HIV-1-infected patients, the risk for and natural history of HBV-related HCC have not been studied; therefore, the optimal HCC screening method and interval are not known. Until more data become available, it seems reasonable to consider periodic (every 6–12 months) AFP and ultrasound screening among patients with persistent HBsAg, especially those in a group at high risk [598].

Liver biopsy remains the only definitive test to assess the grade (necroinflammatory activity) and stage (degree of fibrosis) of liver disease. The rate of progression of chronic hepatitis B disease among patients with HIV-1 co-infection has not been studied, and the optimal indications for liver biopsy are not known; however, because fibrosis grade and stage are the most reliable means to assess prognosis and provide information for decisions about the need for initiation of therapy [598], in the
absence of a contraindication, the majority of specialists recommend a liver biopsy for all HIV-1-infected persons with chronic HBV co-infection who are candidates for antiviral therapy. Certain HIV specialists would initiate therapy for chronic hepatitis B without a pretreatment liver biopsy.

**Treatment recommendations.** All patients with chronic hepatitis B disease should be advised to avoid or limit alcohol consumption because of the effects of alcohol on the liver (AIII). In addition, they should be counseled about the risk for household, sexual, and needle-sharing transmission and the need for such contacts to receive hepatitis B vaccine.

Because fulminant hepatic failure from HAV infection occurs at increased frequency among persons with chronic liver disease, persons susceptible to HAV should receive 2 doses of hepatitis A vaccine (BIII). HAV vaccine should be administered before the CD4+ T lymphocyte count declines to <200 cells/μL because the response is likely to be better [90, 600].

The goals of anti-HBV therapy are to reduce HBV-related morbidity and mortality. Surrogate endpoints include sustained suppression of HBV DNA, prevention of liver disease progression, and clearance of HBeAg; treated patients rarely become HbsAg-negative as HBV reservoirs generally are not sufficiently reduced by available anti-HBV therapy. Limited data indicates that any treatment reduces the risk for HCC.

Antiviral treatment is recommended for patients who have actively replicating virus in blood (as defined by a positive HBeAg or HBV DNA levels >10^5 copies/mL) and liver disease as indicated by either an elevated serum ALT (at least 2 times the upper limit of normal) or histopathologic evidence of moderate liver disease activity and/or fibrosis on liver biopsy. The response to therapy is poor for those with a pre-treatment ALT level <2 times the upper limit of normal and therapy should generally be deferred for such patients (DIII). However, ALT levels fluctuate widely in persons with chronic hepatitis B, and the long-term pattern is more useful than an isolated value in patient management. Certain specialists recommend treatment of those with advanced fibrosis or cirrhosis on liver biopsy with any detectable HBV DNA level provided other causes for chronic liver disease have been eliminated.

No preferred treatment can be uniformly recommended for all HIV-1 co-infected persons with chronic hepatitis B. Therapy should be individualized, taking into account patient-specific considerations. Because of limited data about the safety and efficacy of chronic hepatitis B treatment among HIV-1-infected persons, patients should be encouraged to enroll in clinical trials.

IFN-alfa 2a and 2b, administered in subcutaneous doses of 5 MU daily or 10 MU 3 times per week, are approved for the treatment of chronic hepatitis B disease among HIV-uninfected persons but not among HIV-1-infected patients. Approximately one third of HIV-seronegative patients will clear HBeAg with either of these IFN regimens [598, 601], and the response is durable among 80%–90% of persons followed for 4–8 years [602]. Among HIV-infected persons with chronic hepatitis B, PEG IFN alfa 2a appears to be superior to standard interferon [603]. If either standard or pegylated interferon is used for treatment among HBeAg-positive patients, 16–24 weeks of therapy is recommended (BII); for HBeAg negative patients, who respond less well, a minimum of 12 months and possibly longer is recommended [604] (BIII). Patients who have a substantial decrease (certain specialists suggest >2 log_{10} copies/mL) or clearance of HBV DNA in response to IFN-alfa 2a or 2b at week 16 but have persistent HBeAg also might be candidates for longer term treatment of 12 months or longer [605]; however, data are insufficient to make a firm recommendation in HIV-1-infected patients [601, 606–608].

Certain specialists recommend that IFN alfa be used in HIV-1 co-infected patients who are candidates for treatment of chronic hepatitis B disease but not HIV-1 (CII). This strategy preserves lamivudine or tenofovir for later treatment of HIV-1 and avoids certain potential complications of ART. IFN-alfa should not be used among patients with decompensated liver disease (EII). Studies of PEG IFN-alfa among HIV-uninfected patients with chronic hepatitis B are in progress, and it will probably become the preferred IFN formulation.

For HIV-1-infected persons who are ART-naïve and require ART, lamivudine 150 mg twice daily is commonly used for treatment of chronic hepatitis B, because of its relative safety, anti-HIV activity, wealth of data about its use among HIV-1-infected persons, and the potential toxicity associated with IFN-alfa (BIII). Lamivudine should be used together with other antiretroviral drugs in a fully suppressive ART regimen. Because of the high rate of development of HBV resistance to lamivudine monotherapy, certain specialists further recommend the use of lamivudine in combination with either adefovir or tenofovir, although data are limited to support this approach (CII).

Seroconversion of HBeAg (loss of HBeAg, accompanied by development of HBe antibody) occurs in 22% of HBeAg-positive HIV-1-infected patients with chronic hepatitis B who are treated with lamivudine for 1 year [609]. In HIV-seronegative patients, HBeAg seroconversions are sustained among approximately 80% of patients if lamivudine is continued several months after seroconversion. On the basis of limited data on the duration of treatment, HBeAg-positive, HIV-1/HBV co-infected patients who become HBeAg-negative and anti-e-positive on lamivudine therapy should be treated for a minimum of 1 year or at least 6 months beyond HBeAg seroconversion (BIII). Among HIV-seronegative, HBeAg-negative patients with chronic hepatitis B who are treated with lamivudine, ALT and HBV DNA levels might decline, but high rates of relapse have been reported when therapy is stopped [610]. Therefore,
the optimal duration of treatment of HBsAg-negative patients, whether HIV-1 infected or not, is unknown (CIII). The combination of lamivudine and IFN does not appear to be superior to either medication alone [611, 612], and is not recommended (DII).

Adefovir dipivoxil, 10 mg daily, has no anti-HIV activity and is unlikely to select for HIV-1 resistance; therefore, it is an appropriate alternative to IFN-alfa for co-infected patients who require treatment for chronic hepatitis B but do not yet require ART (CIII). However, the long-term safety of adefovir has not been established in HIV-1-infected persons.

Tenofovir, 300 mg daily, has similar in vitro anti-HBV activity to adefovir, and expanding human data indicate it is also active against lamivudine-resistant and wild-type HBV. Although tenofovir is not approved for use in the treatment of HBV infection and data are sparse in HIV-1/HBV co-infected patients, certain specialists consider tenofovir to be the optimal choice for persons who need treatment for both HIV-1 infection and chronic hepatitis B (in conjunction with a fully suppressive ART regimen) (CIII). Until long-term data are available that demonstrate the absence of HBV resistance to tenofovir, it might be prudent to use tenofovir in combination with lamivudine (CIII). Tenofovir, if used for treatment of HBV in patients receiving ART, should be added as a single agent for this purpose only if plasma HIV-1 RNA levels are undetectable to avoid selection pressure that engenders drug resistance (CIII). If therapy is indicated for HIV-1 infection but not for chronic hepatitis B, certain specialists would withhold tenofovir, if possible, to allow for its future use for treatment of chronic hepatitis B (CIII).

Emtricitabine (200 mg once daily) is also active against HBV replication and could potentially be substituted for lamivudine; however, data are limited for its use for this indication. It is not active against lamivudine resistant HBV. Famciclovir is less active than lamivudine against HBV and is not active in lamivudine-resistant HBV; therefore, its use is not recommended [613–615] (DII). For HBV treatment-naive patients who require treatment of both HIV-1 infection and chronic hepatitis B, many specialists would recommend use of an ART regimen that includes either lamivudine or emtricitabine along with either adefovir or tenofovir. However, combination therapy for treatment of HBV in this population is not yet supported by data (CIII).

Among patients infected with HBV, HCV, and HIV-1, consideration of the need for ART should be the first priority. If ART is not required, the treatment of HCV should be considered before HBV treatment because IFN therapies for HCV also might treat HBV (CIII). If IFN-based therapy for HCV has failed, treatment of chronic hepatitis B with nucleoside or nucleotide analogs can be considered (CIII).

**Monitoring and adverse events.** A virologic response is defined as a substantial (certain specialists recommend >2 log10 copies/mL) decrease in HBV DNA and loss of HBeAg at the end of treatment. A sustained virologic response is defined as suppression of HBV DNA (level not defined) and loss of HBeAg sustained for >6–12 months after the end of treatment. Among HIV-uninfected persons, the response rates to IFN-alfa or lamivudine-containing regimens are ≥50% in patients with ALT levels >5 times the upper limit of normal and 20%–35% among patients with ALT levels between 2–5 times the upper limit of normal. Patients for whom therapy is not initiated should be monitored regularly for changes in ALT levels (e.g., every 4–6 months).

Other markers of treatment success include improvement in liver histology, normalization of hepatic transaminases, and in those with loss of HBeAg, the development of HBe antibody. Sustained loss of HBsAg is considered by some to be a complete response [604]. Although a decline in HBV viral load correlates with response, no threshold HBV viral load has been established that clearly defines a virological response.

Side effects of IFN-alfa include influenza-like symptoms and fatigue, which can be reduced by premedication with acetaminophen or a nonsteroidal medication. Other common side effects include weight loss, alopecia, thrombocytopenia, anemia, leukopenia (decreased total CD4+ T lymphocyte count but not percentage), depression, and autoimmune disorders. Hypothyroidism, which is often irreversible, might occur 3–6 months after initiation of therapy with IFN-alfa. As a result, serum TSH level should be monitored at baseline and periodically (e.g., every 3 months) for the duration of treatment.

Adefovir causes renal tubular disease and renal excretion of carnitine in a substantial proportion of patients at higher doses, but these side effects are uncommon at the 10 mg/day dose. Substantial renal toxicity with tenofovir has not been reported, although isolated cases of increased serum creatinine or renal tubular dysfunction have been observed. Because of the potential for overlapping toxicities and their similar structure, tenofovir and adefovir should not be used in combination.

When anti-HBV therapy with lamivudine, adefovir, or tenofovir is initiated, whether for the purpose of treating chronic hepatitis B or for the treatment of HIV-1 infection, discontinuation is associated with a flare of liver disease in approximately 15% of cases, with loss of the benefit accrued from previous anti-HBV treatment [616]. Certain specialists recommend that when anti-HBV therapies are initiated, they should be continued unless contraindicated or unless the patient has been treated for >6 months beyond loss of HBeAg positivity. However, the risks and benefits of this practice are unknown. If anti-HBV therapy is discontinued and a flare occurs, reinitiation of anti-HBV therapy is appropriate because it can be potentially life saving (BIII).

**Management of treatment failure.** The rate of develop-
ment of lamivudine resistance is approximately 20% per year among HIV-1/HBV co-infected persons treated with lamivudine [617]. Among HIV-1-infected patients who have been on lamivudine and are candidates for treatment of chronic hepatitis B, certain specialists recommend use of adefovir or tenofovir (CIII). How long lamivudine should be continued beyond initiation of a new treatment is unknown [617–621].

For HIV-1-infected persons previously treated with a lamivudine-containing ART regimen, uncontrolled data indicate that the combination of adefovir with continued lamivudine has substantial antiviral effect even in the presence of lamivudine-resistant HBV [622]. Certain specialists use adefovir to treat chronic hepatitis B among HIV-1-infected patients who have had an inadequate response to a course of lamivudine therapy as evidenced by high plasma HBV DNA levels or persistent serum HBeAg (CIII). Whether lamivudine should be continued (or restarted) if not needed as part of the antiretroviral regimen is unknown.

Although data are sparse and the drug is not approved for this indication, certain specialists would recommend tenofovir to treat chronic hepatitis B among HIV-1-infected patients who require ART and remain HBeAg-positive or have high levels of circulating HBV DNA despite ≥12 months of lamivudine (CIII). Whether lamivudine should be used (or restarted) in such patients is unknown.

Flares of liver disease have been reported with development of resistance to lamivudine. If this occurs, addition of tenofovir or adefovir might be life-saving (CIII). HBV DNA testing might be useful in this setting because increasing levels are associated with emergence of lamivudine resistance or relapse, and stable levels should suggest an alternative cause of acute deterioration.

ESLD among HBV and HIV-1 co-infected patients is managed as it is in HIV-seronegative patients. IFN is contraindicated in ESLD, but limited data indicate that lamivudine and adefovir (and probably tenofovir) can be safely used [617–619]. Liver transplantation has been performed with limited success among selected patients with HBV and HIV-1 infection. If a patient is thought to be a candidate for liver transplantation, early consultation with a transplant center should be obtained because transplantation does not cure HBV infection and adequate post-transplant treatment is required (BII).

Prevention of relapse and recurrence. Among HIV-seronegative, HBeAg-negative patients with chronic hepatitis B who are treated with lamivudine, ALT, and HBV DNA levels might decline, but high rates of relapse have been reported when therapy is stopped [610]. Therefore, the optimal duration of treatment of HBeAg-negative patients, whether HIV-1 infected or not, is unknown (CIII). No known effective means exist to prevent recurrence or flares of chronic hepatitis B.

Special considerations during pregnancy. All pregnant women should be screened for HBsAg. Treatment of symptomatic acute HBV infection during pregnancy should be supportive with special attention given to maintaining blood glucose levels and normal clotting status. Risk for preterm labor and delivery might be increased with acute HBV infection. Treatment of chronic HBV infection is generally not indicated in pregnancy (DIII). If antiretrovirals are administered to the mother to prevent HIV transmission, caution should be used in selecting agents like lamivudine or tenofovir that also suppress HBV and may cause hepatitis flare when discontinued. Hepatitis A vaccination, indicated for persons with chronic hepatitis B, can be given during pregnancy.

Infants born to HBsAg-positive women should receive hepatitis B immune globulin and hepatitis B vaccine within 12 hours of birth. The second and third doses of vaccine should be administered at 1 and 6 months of age, respectively (A1). This regimen is ≥95% effective in preventing HBV infection in these infants. Postvaccination testing for anti-HBs and HBsAg should be performed at age 9–15 months because of the infant’s on-going exposure to HBV.

If treatment for chronic hepatitis B disease is necessary, lamivudine is the preferred agent because it is not teratogenic in animals or based on human experience including >1,000 first trimester exposures reported to the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry [621–623]. Lamivudine should only be used in HIV-1-infected pregnant women as part of a fully suppressive ART regimen.

Limited information is available about adefovir. It is embryotoxic in mice and caused neonatal thymic lymphoid tissue destruction with use in later pregnancy in mice. No reports of its use in human pregnancy are available. Cases of exposure during pregnancy should be reported to the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry (800-258-4263; e-mail: registry@pharmaresearch.com or http://www.apregistry.com).

Limited information is available about tenofovir. No birth defects have been seen in studies of rats, rabbits, and monkeys. Decreased fetal weights and increased bone porosity were seen in monkeys after high dose exposure in utero. Nineteen cases of first trimester exposure in humans without birth defects have been reported [621]. Cases of exposure during pregnancy should be reported to the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry (800-258-4263; e-mail: registry@pharmaresearch.com or http://www.apregistry.com).

GEOGRAPHIC OIs OF SPECIAL CONSIDERATION

Penicilliosis

Epidemiology. Penicilliosis is caused by the dimorphic fungus, Penicillium marneffei, which is endemic in Southeast Asia (especially Northern Thailand and Southern China) [624–626]. Disseminated penicilliosis is the clinical manifestation for 14% of patients with AIDS in northern Thailand [625]. International
The majority of cases of penicilliosis are observed in patients with low CD4+ T lymphocyte counts, usually <50 cells/μL [625]. The infection is associated with a high mortality rate if timely treatment with appropriate antifungal drugs is not administered.

**Clinical manifestations.** Penicilliosis is a systemic disease that commonly occurs with fever, skin lesions, weight loss, and bone marrow, lymph node, and hepatic involvement. The skin lesions consist of a generalized papular rash; some of the papules might have central umbilication resembling molluscum contagiosum. Cutaneous penicilliosis lesions commonly appear on the face, ears, extremities, and occasionally the genitalia. Patients with hepatic penicilliosis have fever, abdominal pain, hepatomegaly, and a marked increase in serum alkaline phosphatase levels.

**Diagnosis.** The diagnosis is based on isolation of the fungus from blood or other clinical specimens or by histopathologic demonstration of organisms in biopsy material. Fungal cultures demonstrate characteristic features that include a flat green surface and underlying deep red coloring.

An early presumptive diagnosis can be made several days before the results of fungal cultures are available by microscopic examination of Wright stained samples of skin scrapings, bone marrow aspirate, or lymph-node biopsy specimens. Many intracellular and extracellular basophilic, spherical, oval and elliptical yeast-like organisms can be seen, some with clear central vacuoles. Demonstration of organisms in biopsy material. Fungal cultures demonstrate characteristic features that include a flat green surface and underlying deep red coloring.

**Treatment recommendations.** The recommended treatment is amphotericin B in a dose of 0.6 mg/kg/day administered intravenously for 2 weeks, followed by oral itraconazole solution in a dose of 400 mg/day for a subsequent duration of 10 weeks [627] (AII). ART should be administered in accordance with standards of care in the community; consideration should be given to simultaneous administration of treatment for penicilliosis and initiation of ART to improve outcome (CIII).

**Management of treatment failure.** Alternative treatment options for penicilliosis are not available. For those who fail initial therapy, the approach to treatment should consist of re-initiating parenteral amphotericin B followed by another course of oral itraconazole, coupled with optimizing ART, addressing obstacles to adherence, avoiding adverse drug interactions, and ensuring that adequate absorption and serum concentrations of itraconazole are achieved (AII).

**Prevention of recurrence.** Relapse is common in the absence of chronic suppressive therapy. All patients who successfully complete treatment for penicilliosis should be administered secondary prophylaxis (chronic maintenance therapy) with oral itraconazole in a dose of 200 mg/day [628] (AI).

**Special considerations during pregnancy.** Invasive fungal disease should be treated the same in pregnancy as in the non-pregnant adult with the exception that amphotericin B is the preferred agent in the first trimester because of the potential teratogenic effects of azoles.

**Leishmaniasis**

**Epidemiology.** Leishmaniasis is caused by *Leishmania* spp., obligate intracellular protozoan. The organisms survive and replicate in intracellular vacuoles within macrophages. The *Leishmania* genus has traditionally been differentiated into multiple species, which cause cutaneous, mucosal, or visceral diseases [629, 630].

Leishmaniasis is endemic in 88 countries in the world. An estimated 12 million cases have been reported worldwide with an incidence of 1.5–2.0 million new cases annually. Co-infection with *Leishmania* and HIV-1 has been reported in at least 28 countries. Leishmaniasis among persons with HIV/AIDS has been reported primarily from Spain, Italy, France, Portugal, and other countries bordering the Mediterranean, and Central and South America, and South India, although the overall incidence has decreased substantially in developed countries with the introduction of ART [631, 632].

Disease occurs primarily among those with advanced immunosuppression with low CD4+ T lymphocyte counts, usually <100 cells/μL [629, 630]. Evidence indicates that after primary infection, *Leishmania* remain viable among healthy persons for long periods, leading to a susceptible population when immunosuppression intervenes. Primary leishmaniasis is spread almost exclusively by sand flies of the genus *Phlebotomus* or Lutzomyia; however, in the Mediterranean basin and in Southern Europe, HIV-1 and *Leishmania* co-infections have been reported predominantly in males and in association with injection-drug use, suggesting that *Leishmania* might also be acquired by needle sharing.

**Clinical manifestations.** Depending on the persons infected and the species of *Leishmania*, leishmaniasis can occur in four different syndromes: localized cutaneous, diffuse cutaneous, mucosal, and visceral disease. The most common clinical presentation of leishmaniasis in persons with AIDS is a disseminated visceral disease syndrome (70%). In Mediterranean countries, visceral leishmaniasis among HIV-1-infected patients is in general similar to that observed among non-HIV-infected populations [633]. The most common clinical and laboratory findings are fever (80%), splenomegaly (65%), hepatomegaly (63%), and pancytopenia (73%). Splenomegaly is less frequent among HIV-1-infected patients [633]. Among those with more profound immunosuppression, atypical manifestations, with involvement of the upper and lower gastrointestinal tract, lung, pleural and peritoneal cavities and skin is common [633–635]. In geographic locations other than the Mediterranean basin, clinical manifestations might include unusual nonulcerative cutaneous lesions that mimic Kaposi sar-
coma or the more common nodular diffuse form of leishmaniasis. Disfiguring mucosal lesions that are associated with anergy to *Leishmania* antigens and a negative leishmanin skin test reaction have been observed among persons with AIDS, unlike mucosal lesions in immunocompetent persons that are associated with strong DTH responses [635].

**Diagnosis.** Demonstration of characteristic amastigote forms of *Leishmania* in tissue biopsy specimens (e.g., scrapings, aspirates, other specimens by histopathology, cultures, and smears) from cutaneous or mucosal lesions is the standard for diagnosis of cutaneous leishmaniasis among HIV-1 co-infected patients [630]. The diagnosis of visceral leishmaniasis among patients with hepatosplenomegaly is also made by the demonstration of amastigote forms in buffy-coat smear preparations, cultures from the peripheral blood, and smears or cultures from bone marrow or spleen aspirates. Other methods useful for demonstrating *Leishmania* in the blood of co-infected patients include detection of *Leishmania* nucleic acid by PCR amplification and xenodiagnosis using colonized sand flies [636–638].

Antileishmanial antibodies against *Leishmania* antigens are of high diagnostic value among immunocompetent patients and can be detected by various serological methods [630]. However, among HIV-1 co-infected patients, serologic tests are often negative. The use of recombinant antigen (e.g., rK39) in ELISA assays might increase sensitivity. Also, immunoblotting with *Leishmania infantum* soluble antigen has been successful in detecting specific antileishmanial antibodies in up to 70% of European patients. Negative DTH responses to leishmanin skin tests are frequently observed in persons with HIV-1/*Leishmania* coinfection, particularly in those with profound immunosuppression and cutaneous anergy to other antigens.

**Treatment recommendations.** Pentavalent antimony, 20 mg/kg/day, administered by intravenous or intramuscular routes, is the initial treatment of choice for leishmaniasis both for cutaneous or visceral disease in many parts of the world [639, 640] (AII). The duration of treatment ranges from 3–4 weeks depending on the initial response [633, 639–641] (CIII). Antimonials suppress *Leishmania* infection by decreasing the parasite burden in infected macrophages but do not eradicate infection, and relapses commonly follow cessation of therapy among immunosuppressed patients with AIDS. Patients with visceral leishmaniasis might have severe neutropenia and might benefit from a short course of recombinant human (rHu) granulocyte macrophage colony stimulating factor (GM-CSF) 5 μg/kg body weight/day administered subcutaneously during the initial 5 days of treatment [642] (CII).

Amphotericin B is an effective but less extensively evaluated alternative treatment for leishmaniasis [639, 643–645] (AII). Both the conventional and lipid complex or liposomal encapsulated formulations of amphotericin B appear to have similar efficacy compared with pentavalent antimonials [639, 640, 643–645] (AII). The lipid complex or liposomal preparations are generally better tolerated, and might be preferable to conventional amphotericin B in this setting [644–646] (BII). The optimal amphotericin B dosage has not been determined. Reported regimens include amphotericin B 0.5–1.0 mg/kg/day IV (maximum: 50 mg/day) to achieve a total dose of 1.5–2.0 g [639, 641, 643] (BII) or lipid complex or liposomal preparations 2–5 mg/kg/day over 10 consecutive days [640, 644–646] (BII). If lipid complex or liposomal preparations are used, a higher daily dosage is recommended [639, 644, 645] (BII). Pentamidine isethionate, 3–4 mg/kg/day administered as a single IV dose infused over at least 60 minutes, at intervals of three times per week for 3–4 four weeks, is a second-line alternative for treatment of leishmaniasis [641, 643] (BII). The following regimens have also been reported to have activity in the treatment of visceral leishmaniasis: allopurinol 20 mg/M² in three doses, alone or combined with pentavalent antimony or imidazoles (CIII), imidazoles such as ketoconazole (400–600 mg/day) or itraconazole (400 mg/day) (CIII); and IFN-gamma as adjunctive therapy for severe or refractory cases of visceral leishmaniasis [647] (CIII).

Evidence indicates that HIV-1 co-infection alters T helper cytokine responses to *Leishmania* [630, 633]. Poor clinical response to antileishmanial chemotherapy has been reported among co-infected patients who have high plasma HIV-1 RNA levels. Data further indicate that patients receiving ART who present with visceral leishmaniasis have better outcomes than those not receiving ART [631]. As such, strong consideration should be given to initiation or optimization of ART among patients who present with leishmaniasis (CIII).

**Monitoring and adverse events.** Patients receiving pentavalent antimonials should be monitored closely for adverse reactions, which are frequent and vary from mild phlebitis to death. Overall, at a dose of 20 mg/kg/day, ≥60% of patients might have one or more of the following reactions: local pain at the site of injection, thrombophlebitis, anorexia, myalgia, arthralgia, abdominal pain, elevation of liver transaminases, amylase or lipase, and in some patients, clinical pancreatitis. Occasional electrocardiographic changes might be observed (e.g., prolonged QT intervals and T wave inversion). Rarely, arrhythmias and sudden death have occurred [639, 640]. Patients treated with amphotericin B should be monitored for dose-dependent nephrotoxicity, electrolyte disturbances, and infusion-related adverse reactions, which might be ameliorated by pre-treatment with acetaminophen, diphenhydramine, or limited doses of corticosteroids (CIII). Previous fluid expansion with colloidal fluids might help reduce the risk for nephrotoxicity during treatment (CIII).

**Management of treatment failure.** For patients who fail to respond to initial therapy or experience a relapse after initial
treatment, a repeat course of the initial regimen or use of one or more of the recommended alternatives for initial therapy as outlined above should be considered (CII). The response rate for retreatment appears to be similar to that for initial therapy although certain patients might evolve to a chronic disease state with serial relapses despite aggressive acute and maintenance therapies (CII).

Although data to support its use among HIV-1-infected persons are limited, miltefosine might be an alternative oral agent for use as salvage therapy in countries outside the United States (CIII). The drug is approved and available in Italy and registration in Europe is pending. The adult dose is 100 mg daily for 4 weeks. Cure rates in HIV-seronegative patients are reported at approximately 95%. A phase II trial from India indicated that miltefosine was as effective as amphotericin B for the treatment of visceral leishmaniasis in HIV-seronegative patients [648]. Gastrointestinal side effects are the most common adverse effects but rarely limit treatment.

Prevention of recurrence. Among patients with visceral leishmaniasis who are not receiving or responding to ART, the risk for relapse at 6 and 12 months, in the absence of secondary prophylaxis (chronic maintenance therapy), is 60% and 90%, respectively [630, 649]. Therefore, secondary prophylaxis with pentavalent antimony, amphotericin B, or pentamidine, administered at least every 2–4 weeks, is recommended, particularly for those with CD4+ T lymphocyte counts <200 cells/μL [630, 633, 646, 649–651] (AII). Relapse after discontinuation of secondary prophylaxis or maintenance therapy for leishmaniasis is uncommon among patients who respond to ART and maintain a CD4+ T-lymphocyte count >200 cells/μL [652], although relapse might be more common among those with visceral leishmaniasis, even with CD4+ T lymphocyte counts >200 cells/μL and undetectable plasma HIV-1 RNA [653]. Although data are insufficient to provide a recommendation, discontinuation of secondary prophylaxis after successful treatment of leishmaniasis might be considered after a sustained (i.e., ≥3–6 months) increase in the CD4+ lymphocyte count to levels >350 cells/μL after initiation of ART [652, 653] (CII). Daily allopurinol, in a dose of 300 mg three times daily, used for maintenance therapy is less effective than monthly pentavalent antimony and is not recommended [649] (DIII).

Special considerations during pregnancy. Diagnostic considerations are the same among pregnant women as in non-pregnant adults. Labeling for pentavalent antimony compounds (sodium stibogluconate available in the United States through CDC and meglumine antimoniate) states that they are contraindicated among pregnant women, although various compounds were not teratogenic among chickens, rats, or sheep [654–656]. A single case report of use of meglumine antimoniate in the second trimester of human pregnancy reported a good outcome for mother and infant [657, 658]. Because of concerns about toxicity and lack of experience with use of pentavalent antimony compounds in human pregnancy, amphotericin B would be the first choice for therapy of visceral leishmaniasis in pregnancy if the Leishmania species causing infection is expected to be responsive to amphotericin B [658] (AIII). Pentamidine would be the second choice, and antimony compounds reserved for infections not responsive to the other two agents (AIII).

Perinatal transmission of Leishmania spp. occurs rarely; eight documented cases have been reported [659]. No data on the risk for transmission of Leishmania spp. among HIV-1-infected pregnant women are available.

Paracoccidioidomycosis

Epidemiology. Paracoccidioidomycosis is caused by Paracoccidioides brasiliensis, a dimorphic fungus that exists in a mycelial phase in the soil and as budding yeast in infected tissue. Paracoccidioidomycosis is the most prevalent endemic mycosis in Central and South America. An estimated 10 million persons are infected. Infection of the susceptible host is presumed to occur after inhalation of the fungus in the mycelial phase [660–663]. Relatively few cases of paracoccidioidomycosis in association with HIV-1 infection have been reported. One reason for this is that TMP-SMX prophylaxis for P. jiroveci pneumonia also appears to be effective in preventing clinical disease caused by P. brasiliensis [660–663]. However, other factors, such as lack of intersection of the HIV-1 epidemic with areas where the disease is endemic for P. brasiliensis, confusion of the diagnosis with P. jiroveci pneumonia, and use of azole antifungals for oropharyngeal candidiasis, also might have reduced the apparent number of cases.

Clinical manifestations. On the basis of a retrospective review of 27 cases, the manifestations of paracoccidioidomycosis among patients with HIV-1 infection are protean [661]. Skin lesions, adenopathy, mucosal lesions, and pulmonary infiltrates, all associated with fever and other constitutional symptoms, are frequent.

Diagnosis. The diagnosis is based on histological identification of the organism or its growth from involved tissue. Yeast forms in tissue typically form a wheel-house pattern because of the radial budding of daughter yeast forms from a mother cell. Paracoccidioidal serology might be useful, although it appears to be positive less frequently among HIV-1-infected persons than among immunocompetent patients. Newer assays (e.g., genomic identification using PCR and antigen detection) are promising.

Treatment recommendations. No published randomized clinical trials for the treatment of paracoccidioidomycosis exist. The majority of specialists recommend amphotericin B for ini-
tional therapy in severe cases (BII), but the efficacy of other agents (e.g., TMP-SMX andazole antifungals) might be comparable. In particular, single-arm studies ofitraconazole, 100–200 mg daily, ketoconazole 200–400 mg, and sulfonamides have demonstrated activity in immunocompetent hosts (BII). Fluconazole is associated with a higher failure rate even at doses up to 600 mg daily and is not recommended [662, 663]. Potent ART should be administered in accordance with standards of care in the community (AIII).

**Management of treatment failure.** In the absence of any clinical trials to indicate approaches to the treatment of patients who fail to respond or who relapse after initial treatment, consideration should be given to retreatment with amphotericin B or to the use of azole antifungals (CIII).

**Prevention of recurrence.** Secondary prophylaxis (i.e., chronic maintenance therapy) to prevent relapse should be considered for patients with AIDS and CD4+ T lymphocyte counts of <200 cells/μL, although no data indicate appropriate regimens in this setting. ART should be optimized.

**Special considerations during pregnancy.** Invasive fungal infections should be treated the same in pregnancy as among the nonpregnant woman. Amphotericin B is the preferred agent in the first trimester because of the potential teratogenic risks for the azoles, if efficacy of amphotericin is expected to be similar to that of azoles (BIII).

**Isosporiasis**

**Epidemiology.** Isosporiasis is caused by *Isospora belli*, a protozoan belonging to the family *Apicomplexa*, commonly referred to as *coccidia*. Infection results from the ingestion of sporulated oocysts in contaminated food or water. Infection occurs worldwide, but the prevalence of infection is higher in tropical and subtropical regions. Infection can occur in both immunocompetent and immunocompromised hosts. *Isospora belli* infections have been observed among different immunocompromised patients and among patients with AIDS [664–666].

**Clinical manifestations.** The most common clinical manifestation of disease is diarrhea, which in patients with AIDS can be similar to that observed with cholera and associated with severe dehydration. Blood is not present in the feces. Systemic symptoms of fever, headache, malaise, abdominal pain, vomiting, and weight loss are also common. Infection primarily involves the small intestine. Colitis is not generally observed.

**Diagnosis.** The diagnosis of isosporiasis can be made by stool examination for ova and parasites. Oocysts are ovoid in shape and are 23–36 by 12–17 mM in size. *Isospora* oocysts autofluoresce a blue-green color under an epifluorescence microscope, enhancing their detection in wet mount preparations. The organisms also stain red with the same modified acid-fast technique used for diagnosis of cryptosporidiosis. No commercial antigen-detection systems have been developed.

Schizonts, merozoites, macrogamonts, microgamonts, microgametes, and oocysts can be demonstrated in enterocytes in biopsies of the small or large intestine. Extraintestinal infections with tissue cyst-like stages have been demonstrated in lymph nodes adjacent to the intestine in patients with AIDS.

**Treatment recommendations.** Fluid support should be offered if the diarrhea has resulted in dehydration (AIII). Malnutrition and wasting should be treated with nutritional supplementation (AIII). The drug of choice for therapy is trimethoprim (160 mg) and sulfamethoxazole (800 mg) administered four times a day for 10 days [665] (AII). Doses of oral trimethoprim (320 mg) plus sulfamethoxazole (1,600 mg) taken twice a day for 10–14 days is as effective and might be associated with improved adherence and tolerability (AIII). Treatment with TMP-SMX results in clearance of parasites, decreased volume of diarrhea, and decreased abdominal pain within a mean of 2.5 days after initiation of treatment.

No effective alternative treatment is available for patients unable to tolerate sulfonamides. Several agents have been used with anecdotal success. Pyrimethamine used alone in doses of 50–75 mg/day appears comparable to treatment with trimethoprim and sulfamethoxazole [666] (BII). When pyrimethamine is used, it should be administered with folic acid (5–10 mg/day) to prevent bone marrow suppression (BII). Ciprofloxacin and other fluoroquinolones have demonstrated activity against other *Apicomplexa* in animal studies and might be second-line alternatives for treatment of isosporiasis (BII). In a limited, randomized clinical trial comparing ciprofloxacin with TMP-SMX among HIV-1-infected patients with isosporiasis, all patients treated with TMP-SMX cleared the organism and had cessation of diarrhea within a median of 2 days; ciprofloxacin was effective in 83% of patients with a median time to cessation of diarrhea of 4.5 days [667].

Treatment with other anti-protozoal agents (e.g., metronidazole, tinidazole, quinacrine, and furazolidone) is probably of limited value and is not recommended (DIII). Immune restoration after of ART among patients with AIDS is associated with more rapid resolution of symptoms and fewer relapses. Therefore, ART is recommended as part of the treatment for patients with isosporiasis (AIII).

**Management of treatment failure.** Treatment failure is defined as persistence or worsening of diarrhea and systemic symptoms after 5–7 days of appropriate treatment. Retreatment with a second-line alternative agent might result in improvement in those who fail initial therapy (BIII).

Macrolide antibiotics have marginal efficacy in treating *I. belli* enteritis (CII). Spiramycin (1.5 g twice daily) and roxithromycin (2.5 mg/kg body weight every 12 hours) have been effective in a limited number of patients with AIDS and chronic
refractory isosporiasis [668, 669]. Dicloazuril (200–300 mg/day for 7 days), nitazoxanide (500 mg twice a day for 7–10 days), and albendazole coupled with ornidazole were effective in limited numbers of patients with AIDS and *I. belli* diarrhea and might be tried among patients unresponsive to (or intolerant of) TMP-SMX [670–672] (CII).

**Prevention of recurrence.** Infections tend to be chronic and relapsing, particularly in patients with AIDS and advanced immunosuppression. Treatment is usually effective in controlling symptoms, but recurrences are common after treatment is stopped, probably because the agents used to treat the infection are not active against the extra-intestinal tissue cyst stage of the parasite.

Patients with CD4+ T lymphocyte counts <200/mL should receive secondary prophylaxis (chronic maintenance therapy) with trimethoprim (320 mg) and sulfamethoxazole (1,600 mg) once daily or three times a week (AII). Pyrimethamine, 25 mg per day, also has been used successfully for secondary prophylaxis following primary isosporiasis (BII).

Although not evaluated in any clinical trial or observational cohort setting, it is likely, as with other similar opportunistic infections, that secondary prophylaxis can be safely discontinued after an increase in CD4+ T lymphocyte counts to levels >200 cells/µL sustained for at least 3–6 months following initiation of ART (BIII).

**Special considerations during pregnancy.** The incidence, clinical manifestations, and course of *I. belli* infection do not appear to differ with pregnancy. Diagnosis and therapy should be the same as among nonpregnant women [673, 674].

**Chagas Disease**

**Epidemiology.** American trypanosomiasis, or Chagas disease, is an anthropozoonosis caused by *Trypanosoma cruzi*, a flagellated protozoan transmitted to humans and mammals by a group of haematophagous reduviid insects. *T. cruzi* causes a lifelong chronic bloodstream infection in vertebrate hosts, including humans [675–678].

Chagas disease vectors have been reported in the Americas from 42°N to 46°S, and the disease is distributed from the southern United States to the southern regions of Argentina and Chile. When reduviid insects bite the vertebrate host’s skin to take a blood meal, *T. cruzi* parasites are deposited with the insect’s feces and penetrate through the skin defect into the host. Humans also might acquire trypanosomiasis by blood transfusion, transplacentally, from an infected transplanted organ, or from laboratory accidents [675–678].

In 1990, Chagas disease affected 16–18 million persons. Approximately 45,000 deaths annually were attributed to Chagas’ disease in the Americas, and approximately 7.2% of the population of Argentina was chronically infected, 22% of Bolivia, 4.3% of Brazil, and 10% of Chile. Since then, the Southern Cone Initiative to interrupt transmission of Chagas disease has reduced the incidence of the disease by 70% in the Southern Cone countries. An estimated 50,000–100,000 persons in the United States are infected with *T. cruzi*.

In humans, *T. cruzi* infection is followed by an acute illness with moderate to high levels of parasitemia which, after a period of a few months, is followed by a lifelong chronic infection, characterized by low-grade and intermittent parasitemia in which tissue parasites are scarce and difficult to demonstrate. All patients with chronic infection are potentially able to transmit Chagas disease through triatomid insect bites, pregnancy, blood transfusion, or organ donation.

Among patients with HIV-1 infection, reactivation of chronic, latent *T. cruzi* infection can be triggered by profound immunosuppression [679–682]. The epidemiology of *T. cruzi* infection among persons co-infected with HIV-1 in areas where the disease is endemic has not been well-characterized.

**Clinical manifestations.** Chagas disease can be divided into two stages: acute and chronic. The acute phase of Chagas disease, usually observed among children, begins shortly after infection and lasts 1–2 months. This stage of the disease is often asymptomatic, although fever, malaise, anorexia, induration, and lymphadenitis around the inoculation site (chagoma) or periocular edema (Romana sign) might be observed. Generalized lymphadenopathy and splenomegaly also might occur. Severe illness with cardiac failure or meningoencephalitis occurs in a limited proportion of patients. Acute infection is characterized by relatively high level parasitemia.

The acute illness of Chagas disease usually resolves spontaneously, and although the infection does not resolve spontaneously, the patient enters an asymptomatic indeterminate phase of the illness. After one or two decades, 10%–30% of infected patients experience chronic cardiac and/or digestive tract disease.

The clinical features of immunosuppression-induced reactivation of Chagas disease among patients with HIV-1 infection differ from that of chronic infection among immunocompetent patients, with the most overt difference being the high frequency of CNS involvement, with attendant high morbidity and mortality. Neurological signs and symptoms are the predominant clinical findings among HIV-1-infected patients with reactivation of *T. cruzi* infection [679–682]. The most common presentation of this form of disease is a severe multifocal or diffuse acute meningoencephalitis with necrosis and hemorrhage associated with a substantial number of amastigotes in tissue. Although most patients have a single supratentorial lesion, some demonstrate multiple lesions in both supratentorial and infratentorial regions. Many of these patients also have detectable peripheral parasitemia.

The clinical manifestations of perinatally acquired *T. cruzi* in HIV-1 co-infected infants have not been well described. Of
those reported, the majority have had serious meningocen-
phalitis syndromes [683, 684].

**Diagnosis.** Chagas disease should be considered in the dif-
ferential diagnosis of CNS mass lesions and cardiac disease
diabetes (arrrhythmias or heart failure) among patients with HIV-1 in-
fected in areas where the disease is endemic. The imaging
pattern of brain chagoma is similar to that of cerebral toxo-
plasmosis although chagomas tend to be larger than Toxoplasma
lesions. MRI and CT imaging indicate hypodense lesions, which
enhance with gadolinium.

A definitive diagnosis is established by brain biopsy or iden-
tification of the parasite (or its products) in tissue or blood.
Preliminary results of tests to identify *T. cruzi* using a PCR
amplification assay of DNA are promising. Direct tests for iden-
tifying *T. cruzi* microscopically are useful during the acute stage and
in reactivation of chronic infection (e.g., in the setting of
HIV-1 infection) because in these phases, relatively large num-
bers of parasites circulate in the bloodstream. Blood concen-
tration techniques, such as capillary centrifugation (micro-
hematocrit test) can improve sensitivity [685, 686]. In blood, *T.
cruzi* sediments are seen just above the buffy coat. Centrifug-
ation of CSF also can be employed among patients with sus-
pected CNS Chagas disease. Parasites also might be observed in
lymph nodes, bone marrow, pericardial fluid, and CNS mass
lesions.

Indirect tests such as xenodiagnosis (recovering the organism
after inoculation of laboratory-raised insect vectors) [686] or
hemoculture (culture in liquid medium) are somewhat more
sensitive than the direct methods, but might take 2–8 weeks to
become positive. They are useful in the chronic stages of *T.
cruzi* infection, when the level of parasitemia is low.

Serological tests to detect the IgG antibody responses to *T.
cruzi* infection are useful for diagnosis of disease in chronically
infected patients, to screen blood donors, and for seroepide-
miological studies. The techniques used are indirect hemag-
glutination, direct agglutination, complement fixation, indirect
immunofluorescence, and ELISA [687]. In the United States,
multiple serologic tests are licensed for diagnosis, but no test
is licensed for screening blood donors. Detection of IgM an-
tibodies is not sensitive, even during the acute stage of infection.

A serologic diagnosis of Chagas disease should not be relied
on unless at least two different types of serological tests for *T.
cruzi* antibodies are positive. Although all of these tests are
reasonably sensitive and specific, both false-positive and false-
negative reactions have been reported. For that reason, the
diagnosis of Chagas disease should not be discarded based on
negative serologic tests if the patient comes from a region where
the disease is endemic and clinical findings compatible with
Chagas disease. In this instance, direct parasitologic testing (e.g.,
microscopic examination of brain tissue and/or demonstration
of parasitemia) is the best diagnostic strategy. Neonates born
to mothers with chronic *T. cruzi* infection will have positive
antibody tests yet might not be infected; parasitologic tests and
repeat antibody testing at 6 and 12 months are recommended
in this instance [683].

**Treatment recommendations.** Treatment for Chagas dis-
ease is uniformly effective among patients with chronic stage
disease; however, the available agents are toxic, and consultation
with a specialist should be sought. Benznidazole, 5–8 mg/kg
body weight/day for 30–60 days, is the initial treatment most
commonly recommended (AIII). Nifurtimox, 10 mg/kg body
weight/day, is an alternative (BIII). Limited data are available
evaluating the efficacy of these agents among HIV-1-infected
patients with Chagas disease. Neither drug is licensed in the
United States; however, nifurtimox is available from CDC un-
der an investigational protocol. Although no data are available
specifically to address this question, treatment of acute Chagas’
disease is likely to be more effective than treatment of patients
with late-stage complications.

The potential impact of immune reconstitution caused by
ART on HIV-1-related Chagas disease remains to be established;
however, it seems likely that maintaining normal immune func-
tion will decrease the frequency of reactivation of *T. cruzi*, as
it has with other OIs. As such, initiation or optimization of
ART should be considered for patients undergoing treatment
for Chagas disease, but information is limited about drug in-
teractions between agents used to treat Chagas disease and
available antiretroviral agents (CIII).

**Monitoring and adverse effects.** Patients undergoing treat-
ment should be closely monitored because both benznidazole
and nifurtimox are toxic. Benznidazole causes peripheral neu-
ropathy, rash, and granulocytopenia. Nifurtimox causes ano-
exia, nausea, vomiting, abdominal pain and weight loss, rest-
lessness, seizures, and peripheral neuropathy. The adverse
effects of both drugs wane when the drugs are discontinued.

**Management of treatment failure.** Although no data are
available among HIV-1-infected persons, certain specialists rec-
ommend retreatment with benznidazole or nifurtimox for pa-
tients who fail to respond or relapse following initial therapy
(CIII).

**Prevention of recurrence.** Patients with HIV-1-infection
are potentially at risk for recurrent or relapsing clinical mani-
festations because of intermittent reactivation of chronic in-
festation. The drugs are only partially effective in the chronic
stage of disease, are suppressive rather than curative, and prob-
ably require lifelong administration to prevent relapse in the
setting of continued immunosuppression. Precise doses and
regimens have not been described (CIII). Whether secondary
prophylaxis or chronic maintenance therapy should be used
routinely among HIV-1-infected patients with latent Chagas
risk for perinatal transmission of *T. cruzi* is not well defined, but the risk for reactivation and transmission might be increased among women with advanced immunosuppression. Infants co-infected with HIV-1 and *T. cruzi* might be more likely to have symptoms, especially neurologic symptoms [686].

**Special considerations during pregnancy.** The seroprevalence of *T. cruzi* infection among pregnant women in areas where the disease is endemic in Latin America ranges from as high as 50% in urban areas to 81% in rural areas [687]. In the United States, seroprevalence data are limited, but one study of 3,765 pregnant women in Houston, Texas, confirmed antibody to *T. cruzi* in 0.4% of Hispanic women and 0.1% of non-Hispanic women [688]. No data are available on the prevalence of *T. cruzi* antibodies among HIV-1-infected pregnant women in the United States.

Congenital infection with *T. cruzi* might increase the risk for spontaneous abortion, stillbirth, and low birthweight [689, 690]. Congenital Chagas disease in newborn infants ranges from subclinical to life-threatening with severe neurological and cardiac disease. No data are available to evaluate whether the combination of HIV-1 infection and *T. cruzi* infection increases the risk for adverse pregnancy outcomes. Diagnosis is the same in pregnancy as among nonpregnant adults.

Both benznidazole and nifurtimox are associated with substantial toxicity in chronic *T. cruzi* infection. Minimal data are available on potential reproductive toxicity of these drugs, although both drugs have been associated with increased detection of chromosomal aberrations in children being treated for Chagas disease [691, 692]. Benznidazole crosses the placenta in rats and covalently binds to fetal proteins [693]. Because of the toxicity and limited experience with use of these drugs in pregnancy, treatment of acute *T. cruzi* infection among pregnant women should only be undertaken in consultation with a specialist in this area, and treatment of chronic disease should be considered after completion of the pregnancy. For HIV-1-infected pregnant women with symptomatic reactivation of *T. cruzi* infection, maximization of the immune response with ART should be the primary approach to therapy (AIII).

Perinatal transmission of *T. cruzi* might occur with acute infection during pregnancy, which has been described rarely, or more often, with reactivation of chronic infection. Perinatal transmission rates among general populations of pregnant women seropositive for antibodies to *T. cruzi* range from 2%–10% [689, 690].

The effect of concurrent HIV-1 infection in the mother on risk for perinatal transmission of *T. cruzi* is not well defined, but the risk for reactivation and transmission might be increased among women with advanced immunosuppression. Infants co-infected with HIV-1 and *T. cruzi* might be more likely to have symptoms, especially neurologic symptoms [686].
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure</th>
<th>Fetal radiation exposure (rad)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Skull films</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dental films</td>
<td>0.0061</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chest radiograph, two views with shielding</td>
<td>0.00002–0.00007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abdominal film, single</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hip film</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intravenous urogram</td>
<td>1.0–1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper gastrointestinal series</td>
<td>0.036</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barium enema or small bowel series</td>
<td>2.0–4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Computerized tomography (CT) scan of head or chest</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT scan of abdomen and lumbar spine</td>
<td>2.6–3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper or lower extremity</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technetium lung scan</td>
<td>0.12–0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technetium renal scan</td>
<td>0.1–0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technetium bone scan</td>
<td>0.18–0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technetium bleeding scan</td>
<td>0.2–0.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hepatobiliary HIDA scan</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ventilation-perfusion scan</td>
<td>0.215</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perfusion portion</td>
<td>0.175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ventilation portion</td>
<td>0.040</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iodine (131I) at fetal thyroid</td>
<td>590.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TABLE 2. Summary of pre-clinical and human data on opportunistic infection drugs during pregnancy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug</th>
<th>FDA pregnancy category</th>
<th>Placental passage (maternal to fetal ratio)</th>
<th>Animal reproduction studies</th>
<th>Concerns about human pregnancy</th>
<th>Recommended use during pregnancy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Acyclovir</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Yes (1.2–1.4)</td>
<td>Large experience in pregnancy 1:700 first trimester exposure responded to ganciclovir, well-tolerated</td>
<td>Treatment of frequent or severe symptomatic herpes outbreaks or varicella: use for prevention of recurrences at term investigational</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adenovirus</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Embryotoxicity in mice, caused thymic lymphoid tissue destruction later in the second trimester exposure in mice</td>
<td>No-exposure with human use</td>
<td>Not recommended; report exposure during pregnancy to Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry (800-258-4263)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albendazole</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Teratogenic (skeletal malformations) in rats and rabbits but not in mice</td>
<td>No-exposure, animal data concerning</td>
<td>Consider in second and third trimester for severe infestations with documented microsporidial infection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amikacin</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Moderate (0.16–0.3)</td>
<td>Not teratogenic in mice, rats, or rabbits</td>
<td>Theoretical risk for ototoxicity in fetus, reported with streptomycin but not amikacin</td>
<td>Drug-resistant tuberculosis, severe MAC infections</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amphotericin B</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Yes (0.4–1.0)</td>
<td>No effect on fertility, no teratogenicity in rats or rabbits</td>
<td>No-studies, No evidence of teratogenicity; might be preferred over fluconazole in first trimester</td>
<td>Documented invasive fungal disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antimycotic, pentavalent</td>
<td>Not FDA approved</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Antimyotic in rats, chicks, or sheep</td>
<td>One case report of use in human pregnancy in second trimester with no adverse outcome labeled as contraindicated in pregnancy</td>
<td>Therapy of visceral leishmaniasis, not responsive to amphotericin B or pentamidine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atovaquone</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Yes, in rats, rabbits (2:19–15)</td>
<td>Not teratogenic in rats or rabbits</td>
<td>Limited experience</td>
<td>Pneumocystis jiroveci pneumonia, Toxoplasma gondii infections</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Azithromycin</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>No effect on fertility, no teratogenicity in rodents</td>
<td>Moderate experience with use for treatment of Chlamydia trachomatis in pregnancy</td>
<td>Preferred agent for Mycobacterium avium complex (MAC) prophylaxis or treatment (with clarithromycin): Chlamydia trachomatis infection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benzimidazole</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Not FDA approved, Yes, in rats, rabbits (1:9)</td>
<td>No specific studies of teratogenicity</td>
<td>Increase chromosomal aberrations in children receiving treatment, uncertain significance. No human pregnancy data</td>
<td>Not indicated in chronic infections, use expert consultation if acute infection or symptomatic resolution of 1. crum diagnosed in pregnancy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cefoxitin</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Possible increase in skeletal variants in rats</td>
<td>Limited experience in human pregnancy: teratogenic risk for fetal toxicity</td>
<td>Drug-resistant tuberculosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cefotaxim</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Yes, in rats and rabbits (2:19–15)</td>
<td>Incompletely ossification in rats and rabbits similar to human doses</td>
<td>No-exposure with human use</td>
<td>Invasive Candida or Aspergillus infections refractory to amphotericin and azoles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ciprofloxacin</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Yes, moderate to high</td>
<td>No teratogenicity in rodents or rabbits</td>
<td>No-exposure of teratogenicity in humans</td>
<td>Bacterial infections; alternate treatment for MAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Citalimod</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Embryotoxic and teratogenic (meningitis: skeletal abnormalities) in rats and rabbits</td>
<td>Unknown risk; animal studies concerning</td>
<td>Alternate treatment or secondary prophylaxis of life-threatening or sight-threatening ophthalmologic infections</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ciprofloxacin, other quinolones</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Yes, in rabbits</td>
<td>Antibiotics in amniotic fluids; not teratogenic in mice, rats, rabbits, or monkeys</td>
<td>Because of surrogate changes in immature animals, use in pregnant women and children aged &lt;16 years not recommended; no increase in anomalies with &gt;200 first trimester exposures</td>
<td>Severe MAC infections; multidrug resistant tuberculosis (Amitraz)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clarithromycin</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Teratogenic in one case of rats (cardiovascular defects) and mice (heart failure); not teratogenic in rabbits or monkeys</td>
<td>Animal data concerning, limited human experience. No increase in anomalies in 156 infants with first trimester exposure but increased rate of first trimester spontaneous abortions</td>
<td>Treatment or secondary MAC prophylaxis if other choices exhausted</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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TABLE 2 (Continued). Summary of pre-clinical and human data on opportunistic infection drugs during pregnancy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug</th>
<th>FDA pregnancy category</th>
<th>Placental passage (newborn/maternal ratio)</th>
<th>Animal reproduction studies</th>
<th>Concerns about human pregnancy</th>
<th>Recommended use during pregnancy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Clarithromycin</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Yes (0.3)</td>
<td>No effect on fertility, no teratogenicity in rodents</td>
<td>No concerns specific to pregnancy</td>
<td>Treatment of anaerobic bacterial infections; alternate agent for secondary prophylaxis of toxoplasma encephalitis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clotrimazole</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No teratogenic in mice, rats, or rabbits</td>
<td>Limited experience reported (20 cases); no anomalies noted but red-brown skin discoloration reported in several infants exposed throughout pregnancy</td>
<td>No indications</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cylasprone</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>No data available</td>
<td>No data available</td>
<td>Drug-resistant tuberculosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dapsone</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>No animal studies of teratogenicity</td>
<td>Limited human experience does not suggest teratogenicity; might displace bound bilirubin in the neonate, increasing the risk for kernicterus</td>
<td>Altemate choice for primary or secondary Pneumocystis pneumonia (PCP) prophylaxis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diphenoxylate/atropine (Lomotil™)</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Increased fetal death in rats at extremely high doses; no teratogenicity</td>
<td>Limited data do not indicate teratogenicity</td>
<td>Symptomatic treatment of diarrhea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doxycycline, other tetracyclines</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>Passage in animal studies</td>
<td>Incorporation into fetal bones, teeth with staining; no birth defects in mice, rats, or rabbits,</td>
<td>Risk for hepatic toxicity increased with tetracyclines in pregnancy; bone and tooth changes contraindicate use in pregnancy</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erythromycin</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Limited passage</td>
<td>No evidence of teratogenicity</td>
<td>Hepatosplenic erythromycin syndrome in pregnancy; other forms acceptable; no evidence of teratogenicity</td>
<td>Bacterial and chyomydial infections</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethambutel</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Yes (0.75)</td>
<td>Teratogenic, at high doses, in mice (clift palate, exotrophy, vertebral abnormalities), rats (ventral abnormalities), and rabbits (monophthalmia, clift lip, palate)</td>
<td>No evidence of teratogenicity in 320 cases of human use for treatment of tuberculosis; avoid in first trimester if possible</td>
<td>Active tuberculosis and MBC treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethenornide</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Increased rate of defects (asphyxiation, exotrophy, clift palate) in rats, mice, and rabbits with high doses; not seen with usual human doses</td>
<td>Limited human data; avoid in first trimester if possible</td>
<td>Active tuberculosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Famciclovir</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>No evidence of teratogenicity in rats or rabbits</td>
<td>Limited human experience; report cautions during pregnancy to Regusco (888-469-5682)</td>
<td>Recurrent genital herpes and primary varicella infection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluconazole</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Abnormal ossification, structural defects in rats, and mice at high doses</td>
<td>Case reports of rare pattern of craniofacial skeletal abnormalities in two infants born to three women with prolonged exposure during pregnancy; no increase in defects seen in several series after single dose treatment</td>
<td>Only for documented systemic disease; not prophylactic; not for treatment of vaginal or oral Candida; consider use of fluconazol B in first trimester</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluconazole</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Facial clefts and skeletal abnormalities in rats, no defects in mice or rabbits</td>
<td>No reports of use in first trimester of human pregnancy; might be metabolized to 5-fluorouracil, which is teratogenic in animals and possibly in humans Use after first trimester if indicated for life-threatening fungal infections</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fumivoset</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>No animal studies</td>
<td>No data in human pregnancy</td>
<td>Intravenous injection probably safe in pregnancy at minimal systemic levels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fuscofamulin</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Teratogenic (skeletal abnormalities) in rats and rabbits</td>
<td>No data in human pregnancy</td>
<td>Treatment of secondary prophylaxis of life-threatening or sight-threatening CMV infection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Famvelin</td>
<td>Not approved</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Caused complete litoral destruction or growth retardation in rats, depending on when administered</td>
<td>No data in human pregnancy</td>
<td>Topical solution might be used for ocular infections</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug</th>
<th>FDA pregnancy category</th>
<th>Placental passage (newborn/maternal ratio)</th>
<th>Animal reproduction studies</th>
<th>Concerns about human pregnancy</th>
<th>Recommended use during pregnancy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Carbochloir, valganciclovir</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Embryotoxic in rabbits and mice; teratogenic in rabbits (clift palate, anophthalmia, aplasia of kidney and pancreas, hydrocephalus)</td>
<td>Case reports of safe use in human pregnancy after transplant</td>
<td>Treatment or secondary prophylaxis of life-threatening or sight-threatening CMV infection. Preferred agent for therapy in children.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Granulocyte colony stimulating factor</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Not teratogenic in rats and rabbits</td>
<td>Case reports of use in human pregnancy without adverse effects</td>
<td>Treatment of leukopenia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imiquimod</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Low, in rabbits</td>
<td>No teratogenicity in rats and rabbits</td>
<td>No experience with use in human pregnancy</td>
<td>Because of lack of experience, other treatment modalities such as cryotherapy or hormonal acid recommended for wart treatment during pregnancy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interferons: alpha, beta, gamma</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Abortifacient at high doses in monkeys, mice; not teratogenic in rabbits</td>
<td>Approximately 20 cases of use of interferons in pregnancy reported: 14 in first trimester without increase in anomalies; possible increased risk for intrauterine growth retardation</td>
<td>Treatment of hepatitis C should be delayed until after delivery if possible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isoniazid</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Yes, high</td>
<td>Not teratogenic in rodents and rabbits</td>
<td>Possible increased risk for hepatotoxicity during pregnancy; prophylactic pyridoxine, 25 mg/day, should be given to prevent neurotoxicity; prophylactic vitamin K recommended as both to prevent hemorrhagic disease</td>
<td>Active tuberculosis, prophylaxis for exposure or skin test conversion.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Itraconazole</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Teratogenic in rats (skeletal defects and mice [osteophyte, micrognathia]) at high doses</td>
<td>Case reports of cnothofsial, skeletal abnormalities in humans with prolonged itraconazole exposure during pregnancy; no increase in defect rate noted among 158 infants born after first trimester itraconazole exposure</td>
<td>Only for documented systemic fungal disease, not prophylaxis.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kanamycin</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Club feet in mice; no defects in rats, rabbits and monkeys except inner ear changes in multiple species</td>
<td>Hearing loss in 3.7% of 391 children after long term in utero therapy</td>
<td>Drug-resistant tuberculosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ketocassazole</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Low in animals</td>
<td>Teratogenic (VSD, cleft palate) in rats; increased fetal death in mice and rabbits</td>
<td>Inhibits androgen and corticosteroid synthesis, might impact fetal male genital development; case reports of craniofacial, skeletal abnormalities in humans with prolonged fluconazole exposure during pregnancy</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lamivudine</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>No evidence of teratogenicity in multiple species</td>
<td>No evidence of teratogenicity with approximately 1,000 first trimester exposures to antiretroviral therapy.</td>
<td>Hepatitis B therapy, only as part of a combination antiretroviral regimen.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lupersamide</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Not teratogenic in rats and rabbits</td>
<td>No increase in birth defects among infants born to 89 women with first trimester exposure</td>
<td>Symptomatic treatment of diarrhea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mildeferasine</td>
<td>Not FDA approved</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Embryotoxic in rats and rabbits; complete embryopathy in rabbits at doses of 8 mg/kg body weight/day</td>
<td>No experience with human use</td>
<td>Not recommended</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metronidazole</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Multiple studies do not indicate teratogenic; one study with positive findings in rodents and guinea pigs</td>
<td>Studies in several hundred women with first trimester exposure do not indicate increase in birth defects</td>
<td>Anaerobic bacterial infections, bacterial vaginosis, trichomoniases, and Gardner's amebiasis.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug</td>
<td>FDA pregnancy category</td>
<td>Placental passage (newborn/maternal ratio)</td>
<td>Animal reproduction studies</td>
<td>Concerns about human pregnancy</td>
<td>Recommended use during pregnancy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nifedipine</td>
<td>Not FDA approved</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Not teratogenic in mice and rats</td>
<td>Increased chromosomal alterations in children receiving treatment, uncertain significance, no experience in human pregnancy</td>
<td>Not indicated in chronic infection; safe expert consultation if acute infection or symptomatic resolution of T. cruzi diagnosed in pregnancy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrazosamide</td>
<td>Approved for use in children</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>No data</td>
<td>No experience in human pregnancy</td>
<td>Experimental agent for cryptosporidosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Octreotide</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Yes (0.5)</td>
<td>Not teratogenic in rats and rabbits</td>
<td>Four case reports with use in early pregnancy and normal outcomes</td>
<td>Symptomatic treatment of diarrhea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Para-aminosalicylic acid (PAS)</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Occipital bone defects in one study in rats; not teratogenic in rabbits.</td>
<td>Possible increase in limb, ear anomalies in one study with 143 first trimester exposures; no specific pattern of defects noted; several studies did not find increased risk</td>
<td>Drug-resistant tuberculosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Penicillin</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Not teratogenic in multiple animal species</td>
<td>Poor oral absorption makes toxicity, teratogenity unlikely.</td>
<td>Experimental agent for cryptosporidosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Penicillin</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Not teratogenic in multiple animal species</td>
<td>Poor oral absorption makes toxicity, teratogenity unlikely.</td>
<td>Experimental agent for cryptosporidosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Penicillin</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Not teratogenic in multiple animal species</td>
<td>Poor oral absorption makes toxicity, teratogenity unlikely.</td>
<td>Experimental agent for cryptosporidosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Penicillin</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Poor oral absorption makes toxicity, teratogenity unlikely.</td>
<td>Poor oral absorption makes toxicity, teratogenity unlikely.</td>
<td>Experimental agent for cryptosporidosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Penicillin</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Poor oral absorption makes toxicity, teratogenity unlikely.</td>
<td>Poor oral absorption makes toxicity, teratogenity unlikely.</td>
<td>Experimental agent for cryptosporidosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Penicillin</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Poor oral absorption makes toxicity, teratogenity unlikely.</td>
<td>Poor oral absorption makes toxicity, teratogenity unlikely.</td>
<td>Experimental agent for cryptosporidosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Penicillin</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Poor oral absorption makes toxicity, teratogenity unlikely.</td>
<td>Poor oral absorption makes toxicity, teratogenity unlikely.</td>
<td>Experimental agent for cryptosporidosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Penicillin</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Poor oral absorption makes toxicity, teratogenity unlikely.</td>
<td>Poor oral absorption makes toxicity, teratogenity unlikely.</td>
<td>Experimental agent for cryptosporidosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Penicillin</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Poor oral absorption makes toxicity, teratogenity unlikely.</td>
<td>Poor oral absorption makes toxicity, teratogenity unlikely.</td>
<td>Experimental agent for cryptosporidosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Penicillin</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Poor oral absorption makes toxicity, teratogenity unlikely.</td>
<td>Poor oral absorption makes toxicity, teratogenity unlikely.</td>
<td>Experimental agent for cryptosporidosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Penicillin</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Poor oral absorption makes toxicity, teratogenity unlikely.</td>
<td>Poor oral absorption makes toxicity, teratogenity unlikely.</td>
<td>Experimental agent for cryptosporidosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Penicillin</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Poor oral absorption makes toxicity, teratogenity unlikely.</td>
<td>Poor oral absorption makes toxicity, teratogenity unlikely.</td>
<td>Experimental agent for cryptosporidosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Penicillin</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Poor oral absorption makes toxicity, teratogenity unlikely.</td>
<td>Poor oral absorption makes toxicity, teratogenity unlikely.</td>
<td>Experimental agent for cryptosporidosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Penicillin</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Poor oral absorption makes toxicity, teratogenity unlikely.</td>
<td>Poor oral absorption makes toxicity, teratogenity unlikely.</td>
<td>Experimental agent for cryptosporidosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Penicillin</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Poor oral absorption makes toxicity, teratogenity unlikely.</td>
<td>Poor oral absorption makes toxicity, teratogenity unlikely.</td>
<td>Experimental agent for cryptosporidosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Penicillin</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Poor oral absorption makes toxicity, teratogenity unlikely.</td>
<td>Poor oral absorption makes toxicity, teratogenity unlikely.</td>
<td>Experimental agent for cryptosporidosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Penicillin</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Poor oral absorption makes toxicity, teratogenity unlikely.</td>
<td>Poor oral absorption makes toxicity, teratogenity unlikely.</td>
<td>Experimental agent for cryptosporidosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Penicillin</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Poor oral absorption makes toxicity, teratogenity unlikely.</td>
<td>Poor oral absorption makes toxicity, teratogenity unlikely.</td>
<td>Experimental agent for cryptosporidosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Penicillin</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Poor oral absorption makes toxicity, teratogenity unlikely.</td>
<td>Poor oral absorption makes toxicity, teratogenity unlikely.</td>
<td>Experimental agent for cryptosporidosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Penicillin</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Poor oral absorption makes toxicity, teratogenity unlikely.</td>
<td>Poor oral absorption makes toxicity, teratogenity unlikely.</td>
<td>Experimental agent for cryptosporidosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Penicillin</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Poor oral absorption makes toxicity, teratogenity unlikely.</td>
<td>Poor oral absorption makes toxicity, teratogenity unlikely.</td>
<td>Experimental agent for cryptosporidosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Penicillin</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Poor oral absorption makes toxicity, teratogenity unlikely.</td>
<td>Poor oral absorption makes toxicity, teratogenity unlikely.</td>
<td>Experimental agent for cryptosporidosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Penicillin</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Poor oral absorption makes toxicity, teratogenity unlikely.</td>
<td>Poor oral absorption makes toxicity, teratogenity unlikely.</td>
<td>Experimental agent for cryptosporidosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug</td>
<td>FDA pregnancy category</td>
<td>Placental passage (newborn/maternal ratio)</td>
<td>Animal reproduction studies</td>
<td>Concerns about human pregnancy</td>
<td>Recommended use during pregnancy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sulfadiazine</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Yes (0.7-0.9)</td>
<td>Sulfonamides teratogenic in some animal studies</td>
<td>No clear teratogenicity among humans; potential for increased jaundice, kernicterus if used near delivery</td>
<td>Secondary prophylaxis of toxoplasmosis, encephalitis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tinidazole</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>0.17 in monkeys</td>
<td>No evidence of birth defects in rats, rabbits, or monkeys at high doses; increased fetal weight and increased bone porosity were observed in monkeys with long-term exposure in utero to doses 2.5 times usual human dose; chronic administration in immature animals of multiple species at 6-50 times human doses have led to dose-specific bone changes ranging from decreased mineral density to severe osteomalacia and fractures</td>
<td>No experience with human use</td>
<td>Not recommended; report exposures during pregnancy to Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry (800-236-4763)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole (TMP/SMX)</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Yes (-1; 0)</td>
<td>Teratogenic in rats and mice (cleft palate)</td>
<td>Possible increase in congenital cardiac defects, facial clefts with first trimester use; potential for increased jaundice, kernicterus if used near delivery</td>
<td>Treatment and prophylaxis of Pneumocystis pneumonia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole (TMP/SMX)</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Teratogenic in rats and rabbits (ocular, ocular, skeletal, cardiovascular, CNS defects) at low doses</td>
<td>Similar drugs, methotrexate and aminoglutetide, and human fetal and associated with emphysema including “clover leaf skull, limb defects, developmental delay, sometimes with neural tube defects; frequency might increase with increasing maternal dose</td>
<td>Use in pregnancy should be avoided if possible; might be used for Pneumocystis pneumonia if refractory to TMP/SMX and penicillin-re</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valacyclovir</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Not teratogenic in mice, rats, and rabbits</td>
<td>Experience with valacyclovir in pregnancy limited; prophylaxis of acyclovir, which is not considered safe for use in pregnancy</td>
<td>Alternates agent for herpes simplex virus and varicella infections in pregnancy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voriconazole</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Embryotoxic in rats, rabbits (cleft palate, hydrocephalus, ossification defects)</td>
<td>No experience with human use</td>
<td>Not recommended</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cytology (Bethesda System 2001)</td>
<td>Tissue histology (Dysplasia system)</td>
<td>Tissue histology (intraepithelial neoplasia system)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative for intraepithelial lesion or malignancy</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unsatisfactory</td>
<td>Unsatisfactory</td>
<td>Unsatisfactory</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atypical squamous cells—undetermined significance</td>
<td>No term</td>
<td>No term</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atypical squamous cells—cannot exclude high-grade squamous intraepithelial lesion (HSIL)</td>
<td>No term</td>
<td>No term</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low-grade squamous intraepithelial lesion (LGSIL)</td>
<td>Mild</td>
<td>CIN I</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HSIL</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>CIN II</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe</td>
<td>CIN III</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CIS (carcinoma in situ)</td>
<td>Carcinoma</td>
<td>Carcinoma</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carcinoma</td>
<td>Carcinoma</td>
<td>Carcinoma</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TABLE 4. Treatment of anal intraepithelial neoplasia (AIN)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lesion size</th>
<th>AIN-1 (anal condylomata)</th>
<th>AIN-2 or AIN-3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Perianal</td>
<td>Intra-anal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discrete, &lt;1 cm² at base</td>
<td>A,B,C,D,E</td>
<td>A,B,E,F,G,H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discrete lesion &gt;1 cm² at base, not circumferential</td>
<td>A,B,C,D,E,F,G,H</td>
<td>E,F,G,H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diffuse or circumferential lesions</td>
<td>C,D,E,F,G,H</td>
<td>H</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Key:
A 5% trichloroacetic acid
B Liquid nitrogen
C Imiquimod
D Podophyllum
E Electrocautery
F Laser
G Surgical cold scalpel excision
H Observation only

* Recommendations based on clinical experience (CIII) and not randomized clinical trials.
TABLE 5. Recommended dose adjustments when patients are administered rifabutin concurrently with antiretroviral drugs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antiretroviral regimen</th>
<th>Rifabutin Dose*</th>
<th>Antiretroviral dose adjustment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Protease inhibitors (PI) regimens</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nelfinavir, indinavir, amprenavir, or fosamprenavir (plus two nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors [NRTIs])</td>
<td>Decrease daily dose to 150 mg; use 300 mg for three times weekly therapy</td>
<td>Nelfinavir: use 1,250 mg every 12 hours Indinavir: consider increase to 1,000 mg every 8 hours Amprenavir or fosamprenavir: no change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ritonavir (plus two NRTIs; other PIs, and/or non-NRTIs [NNRTIs])</td>
<td>Decrease to 150 mg twice or three times weekly†</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lopinavir/ritonavir (Kaletra) (plus two NRTIs and/or a NNRTI)</td>
<td>Decrease to 150 mg twice or three times weekly†</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atazanavir (plus two NRTIs)</td>
<td>Decrease to 150 mg twice or three times weekly†</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NNRTI regimens</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Efavirenz (plus two NRTIs)</td>
<td>Increase to 450 QD or 600 mg twice or three times weekly</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nevirapine (plus two NRTIs)</td>
<td>300 mg daily or three times weekly</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NRTI regimens</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triple regimen (e.g., zidovudine, lamivudine, and abacavir)†</td>
<td>300 mg daily or three times weekly</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PI plus NNRTI regimens</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Efavirenz or nevirapine and protease inhibitor (except ritonavir)</td>
<td>300 mg daily or three times weekly</td>
<td>Consider increasing dose of indinavir to 1,000 mg every 8 hours.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Avoid twice-weekly rifabutin therapy among patients with CD4+ T-cell count < 100 cells/µL at the time of tuberculosis diagnosis.
† When the dose of rifabutin is decreased, adherence with ritonavir, Kaletra, or atazanavir should be monitored because discontinuation of these drugs might result in underdosing with rifabutin.
‡ Rifampin increases concentrations of didanosine and probably abacavir. Although the clinical significance of these changes is not clear, using rifabutin with triple NRTIs is prudent.
### TABLE 6. Treatment of AIDS-associated opportunistic infections among adults

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Opportunistic infections</th>
<th>Preferred therapy and duration</th>
<th>Alternative therapy</th>
<th>Other options/issues</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pneumocystis jiroveci</strong> (PCP)</td>
<td><strong>Acute therapy</strong>&lt;br&gt;Trimethoprim-Sulfamethoxazole (TMP/SMX): 15–20 mg TMP and 75–100 mg SMX/kg body weight/day IV administered q8h or q12h (AII); or Same daily dose of TMP/SMX PO in 3 divided doses (AII); or TMP-SMX 2 tablets 3 times a day (AII)</td>
<td>For severe PCP: Pentamidine 4 mg/kg IV QD infused over at least 60 minutes (AII); some specialities reduce dose to 3 mg/kg IV QD because of toxicity (BII)</td>
<td>Indications for corticosteroids (AII): PaO2 &lt; 70 mmHg at room air; or absolute alveolar–arterial O2 gradient &gt; 35 mmHg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Toxoplasmosis</strong> (TT)</td>
<td><strong>Acute therapy</strong>&lt;br&gt;Pyrithiamine 200 mg PO q12h; then 50 mg (≤60 kg body weight) to 75 mg (≥60 kg) PO QD and sulfaizadine 1,000 (≤60 kg) to 1,500 mg (≥60 kg) PO q8h plus leucovorin 10–20 mg PO QD (can increase ≥50 mg q4h) (BII)</td>
<td>Pyrimethamine (leucovorin)* and clindamycin 600 mg IV q6h or PO q8h (AII); or TMP-SMX (5 mg/kg TMP and 25 mg/kg SMX) IV or PO BID (BII); or Atovaquone 1,500 mg PO q12h with meals (or nutritional supplement) and pyrimethamine (leucovorin)* (BII); or Atovaquone 1,500 mg PO BID with meals (or nutritional supplement) and sulfaizadine 1,000–1,500 mg PO q12h (BII); or Atovaquone 1,500 mg PO BID with meals (BII); or Pyrimethamine (leucovorin)* and azithromycin 900–1200 mg PO QD (BII)</td>
<td>Adjunctive corticosteroids (e.g., dexamethasone) should be administered when clinically indicated for treatment of meningoencephalitis and for focal lesions of associated encephalitis (BII) and discontinued as soon as clinically feasible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cryptococcosis</strong></td>
<td><strong>Symptomatic treatment of diarrhea (AII)</strong>&lt;br&gt;Effective ART (to increase CD4+ count to &gt;100 cells/μL) can result in complete, sustained clinical, microbiological and histologic resolution of HIV-associated cryptococcosis (AII)</td>
<td>Nataizomycin 500 mg PO BID</td>
<td>Supportive care including hydration, nutritional support</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* From 200 to 200 mg intravenous for ≥3 months (AII)
**TABLE 6. (Continued) Treatment of AIDS-associated opportunistic infections among adults**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Opportunistic Infections</th>
<th>Preferred therapy and duration</th>
<th>Alternative therapy</th>
<th>Other options/notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mycobacterium tuberculosis</strong> (MTB)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **Drug-susceptible MTB**  
  Initial phase (8 weeks) (AIII) | Isoniazid (INH) 5 mg/kg (max: 300 mg PO QD) and rifampin 10 mg/kg (max: 600 mg PO QD) or rifabutin 300 mg PO QD (dose based on weight) PO QD and ethambutol (EMB) (dose based on weight) PO QD | | |
|  
  Continuation phase (18 weeks) (AIII) | INH 3 mg/kg (max: 300 mg PO QD) and rifampin 10 mg/kg (max: 600 mg or rifabutin 300 mg PO QD), or INH 15 mg/kg (max: 900 mg PO BID or TID plus rifampin 10 mg/kg (max: 600 mg or rifabutin 300 mg PO TID) | | |
|  
  For patients with delayed clinical or microbiological response to initial therapy (e.g., sputum culture (+) after 2 months or if cavity pulmonary lesions are present), total duration up to 9 months (BII) | | | |
| **Drug-resistant MTB**  
  Resistance to INH  
  Reintensify INH (and streptomycin, if used) | Rifampin, PZA, and EMB for 6 months (BII); or Rifampin and EMB for 12 months (preferably with PZA during at least first 2 months) (BIII) | | |
|  
  Resistance to Rifampin  
  INH and PZA and EMB and a fluoroquinolone (e.g., levofloxacin 500 mg/day) for 2 months, followed by 10-18 additional months with INH and EMB and fluoroquinolone (BIII) | | | |
|  
  Multidrug-resistant (MDR) TB with both INH and rifampin resistant | Therapy should be individualized based on resistance pattern and with close consultation with experienced specialist (AIII) | | |
|  
  TB treatment in patients with liver disease  
  If AST >3 times normal before treatment initiation  
  Standard therapy with frequent monitoring; or Rifampin and EMB and PZA for 6 months, then INH and rifampin for 2 months, then INH and rifampin for 7 months (BIII) | | | |
|  
  For patients with severe liver disease  
  Rifampin and EMB for 12 months, preferable with another agent such as fluoroquinolone for first 2 months (BIII) | | | |
### TABLE 6. (Continued) Treatment of AIDS-associated opportunistic infections among adults

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Opportunistic infections</th>
<th>Preferred therapy and duration</th>
<th>Alternative therapy</th>
<th>Other options/notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mycobacterium avium complex disease</strong></td>
<td>At least 2 drugs, as initial therapy</td>
<td>Alternative to Clarithromycin</td>
<td>NSAIDs may be used for patients who experience moderate to severe symptoms attributed to ART-associated immune reconstitution syndrome (CDR)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clarithromycin 500 mg PO BID (A) and ethambutol 15 mg/kg body weight PO QD (A)</td>
<td>Azithromycin 500–800 mg PO QD (AII)</td>
<td>If symptoms persist, short term (4–8 weeks) of systemic corticosteroids (20–40 mg of prednisolone QD) can be used (CIII)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consider adding third drug for patients with advanced immunosuppression (CD4 &lt; 50), highly mycobacterial loads, or in the absence of effective ART; rifabutin 300 mg PO QD (AII) dosage may be adjusted based on drug-drug interactions (CIII)</td>
<td>Alternative third or fourth drug for patients with more severe symptoms or disseminated disease (CIR)</td>
<td>Maintenance therapy can be discontinued in patients who (BIII) completed ≥ 12 months therapy, and remain asymptomatic, and have sustained (&lt;1000 cells/L) CD4+ count &gt;100 cells/L</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration (Chronic Maintenance Therapy): Lifetime therapy unless in patients with sustained immune recovery on ART (AII)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Corticosteroids</strong></td>
<td>Chlorambucil 0.1–0.2 mg/kg PO QD (CII)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tuberculosis</strong></td>
<td>At least 2 drugs, as initial therapy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clarithromycin 500 mg PO BID (A) and ethambutol 15 mg/kg body weight PO QD (A)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consider adding third drug for patients with advanced immunosuppression (CD4 &lt; 50), highly mycobacterial loads, or in the absence of effective ART; rifabutin 300 mg PO QD (AII) dosage may be adjusted based on drug-drug interactions (CIII)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration (Chronic Maintenance Therapy): Lifetime therapy unless in patients with sustained immune recovery on ART (AII)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other options/notes</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bacterial Pneumonia</strong></td>
<td>Empiric therapy targeting towards Streptococcus pneumoniae and Haemophilus influenzae</td>
<td>For high-level penicillin-resistant isolates (MIC ≥ 0.12 mg/L)</td>
<td>Patients with CD4+ T-cell count of &gt;200 cells/μL should receive a single dose of 23-valent polymyxococcal pneumococcal vaccine if not received during the preceding 5 years (BIII)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extended-spectrum cephalosporin (e.g., ceftazidime, or ceftriaxone) (AIII), or Fluoroquinolones with enhanced activity against pneumococci (e.g., levofloxacin, or moxifloxacin) (AIII)</td>
<td>Consider adding vancomycin or a fluoroquinolone (BIII); therapy should be guided by susceptibility results.</td>
<td>Yearly influenza vaccine might be useful in preventing pneumococcal superinfection after influenza respiratory infection (BIII)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Empiric therapy in patients with severe illness</td>
<td>Empiric therapy in patients with severe immunosuppression (CD4+ T-cell count &lt;100 cells/μL, a history of previous Pneumocystis infection, bronchitis, or rhinitis or absolute neutropenia) (BIII)</td>
<td>Antibiotic prophylaxis may be considered among patients with frequent recurrences (CIII); caution should be taken for the risks for developing drug resistance and drug toxicities</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extended-spectrum cephalosporin and a macrolide or quinolone (AIII)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Opportunistic infections</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Salmonella gastroenteritis</strong></td>
<td>Ciprofloxacin 500 mg–750 mg PO BID or IV BID (AIII)</td>
<td>TMP-SMX PO or IV (BIII)</td>
<td>Treatment is recommended among HIV patients because of high risk for bacteremia among this population (BIII)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration</td>
<td>Mild gastroenteritis without bacteremia, 7–14 days (BIII)</td>
<td>Third-generation cephalosporin such as ceftriaxone (IV) or cefotaxime (IV) (BIII)</td>
<td>Newer fluoroquinolones (e.g., levofloxacin, gatifloxacin, or moxifloxacin) might also be effective (BIII)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Advanced HIV (CD4+ &lt;200) and/or bacteremia, at least 4–6 weeks (BIII)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Chronic Suppressive Therapy</strong></td>
<td>For patients with Salmonella bacteremia, ciprofloxacin 500 mg PO BID (BIII)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TABLE 6. (Continued) Treatment of AIDS-associated opportunistic infections among adults

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Opportunistic infections</th>
<th>Preferred therapy and duration</th>
<th>Alternative therapy</th>
<th>Other options/issues</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Campylobacter jejuni infections</td>
<td>For mild disease – might withhold therapy unless symptoms persist for several days</td>
<td>An increasing rate of quinolone resistance is observed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Optimal therapy – not well defined; options include ciprofloxacin 500 mg PO QID (BIII) or azithromycin 500 mg PO QID (BIII); or consider addition of an antimicrobial in bacteremic patients (CIII)</td>
<td>Antimicrobial therapy should be modified based on susceptibility reports</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Duration</td>
<td>Therapeutic efficacy is unclear</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mild to moderate disease, 7 days</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bacteremia: at least 2 weeks</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shigellosis</td>
<td>Fluoroquinolones IV or PO for 3–7 days (AIII)</td>
<td>TMP-SMX D5 1 tablet PO BID for 3–7 days or (AIII)</td>
<td>Therapy is indicated both to shorten the duration of illness and to prevent spread of infection (AIII)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Duration for bacteremia, 14 days (AIII)</td>
<td>Azithromycin 500 mg PO on day 1, then 250 mg PO QID for 4 days (BIII)</td>
<td>Shigella infections acquired outside of United States have high rates of TMP-SMX resistance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bartonella infections</td>
<td>Non-CNS infections</td>
<td>An increasing rate of quinolone resistance is observed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Erythromycin 500 mg PO QID (or IV at same dose if unable to take PO) (AIII) or Doxycycline 100 mg PO or IV q12h (AIII)</td>
<td>Antimicrobial therapy should be modified based on susceptibility reports</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CNS infections</td>
<td>Fluoroquinolones have variable activity in case reports and in vitro; may be considered as alternative (CIII)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Doxycycline 100 mg PO or IV q12h (AIII)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Duration</td>
<td>Therapy is indicated both to shorten the duration of illness and to prevent spread of infection (AIII)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>At least 3 months (AIII)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Long-term suppressive therapy with erythromycin or doxycycline may be considered in patients with relapse or re-infection (CIII)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treponema pallidum infection (syphilis)</td>
<td>Early stage (primary, secondary, and early latent syphilis)</td>
<td>Early stage (primary, secondary, and early latent syphilis)—treatment with close clinical monitoring (BIII)</td>
<td>Desensitization to penicillin might be a better option than ceftriaxone among penicillin-allergic patients with neurosyphilis (BIII)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Benznidazole penicillin G 2.4 MU IM for 1 (AIII)</td>
<td>Doxycycline 100 mg PO BID for 14 days, or Ceftriaxone 1 g IM or IV QD for 8–10 days, or Azithromycin 2 g PO for 1 dose</td>
<td>Combination of procaine penicillin and probenecid is not recommended for patients with history of sulfonamide because these patients might be at risk for hypersensitivity reactions to probenecid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Late latent disease (cyst or of unknown duration without CNS involvement)</td>
<td>Late latent disease (without CNS involvement)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Benznidazole penicillin G 2.4 MU IM weekly for 3 weeks (AIII)</td>
<td>Doxycycline 100 mg PO BID for 28 days (BIII)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Late stage, (gummat and general)</td>
<td>Late stage, (gummat and general)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Infections disseminated, (AIII)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Neurosyphilis (CNS involvement including optic and aural disease)</td>
<td>Neurosyphilis (CNS involvement including optic and aural disease)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Aqueous crystalline penicillin G 3–4 MU IV q6h or 500–1000 mg by continuous IV infusion for 10–14 days (AIII) and/or benzathine penicillin G 2.4 MU IM weekly for 3 weeks after completion of IV therapy (CIII)</td>
<td>Proceaine penicillin G 2.4 MU IM CD and probenecid 500 mg PO QID for 10–14 days (BIII) and/or benzathine penicillin G 2.4 MU IM weekly for 3 weeks after completion of above (CIII), or</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>For penicillin-allergic patients Ceftriaxone 2 g IM or IV QD for 10–14 days (CIII)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opportunistic infections</td>
<td>Preferred therapy and duration</td>
<td>Alternative therapy</td>
<td>Other options/uses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Candidiasis (mucosal)</td>
<td>Initial episodes (7-14 day treatment)</td>
<td>Fluconazole 100mg PO QD (A1); or Itraconazole oral solution 200mg PO QD (A1); or Clotrimazole troches 10mg PO 5 times daily (B1); or Nystatin suspension 4-6 mL QID or 1-2 flavored pastilles 4-5 times daily (B1)</td>
<td>Fluconazole-refractory esophageal candidiasis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oral candidiasis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vulvovaginal candidiasis</td>
<td>Topical azoles (clotrimazole, butoconazole, microzole, ticonazol, or terconazole) for 3-7 days (A1); Topical nystatin 100,000 units/day as vaginal tablet for 14 days (A1); Oral itraconazole 200mg PO BID for 1 day or 200mg OD for 3 days (A1); Oral fluconazole 150mg for 1 dose (A1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cryptococcus neoformans meningitis</td>
<td>Acute infection (induction therapy)</td>
<td>Amphotericin B deoxycholate 0.7 mg/kg body weight IV QD and/or flucytosine 25 mg/kg PO QD for 2 weeks (A1); or Liposomal Amphotericin B 3 mg/kg IV QD and/or flucytosine 25 mg/kg PO QD for 2 weeks (A1)</td>
<td>Consolidation therapy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic meningitis</td>
<td>Fluconazole 200 mg PO QD (A1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cryptosporidium parvum</td>
<td>Severe disseminated</td>
<td>Acute phase (1-10 days, or until clinically improved)</td>
<td>Amphotericin B deoxycholate 0.7 mg/kg body weight IV QD (A1); or Liposomal amphotericin B 4 mg/kg IV QD (A1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Herpes simplex</td>
<td>Less severe disseminated</td>
<td>Itraconazole 200 mg capsule PO TID for 3 days, then 200 mg PO BID for 12 weeks (A1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Meningitis</td>
<td>Amphotericin B deoxycholate or liposomal for 12-16 weeks (A1)</td>
<td>Chronic meningitis therapy (secondary prophylaxis)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TABLE 6. (Continued) Treatment of AIDS-associated opportunistic infections among adults**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Opportunistic infections</th>
<th>Preferred therapy and duration</th>
<th>Alternative therapy</th>
<th>Other options/issues</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Coccidioidomycosis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonmeningeal infection</td>
<td>Acute phase (disseminated disease)</td>
<td>Amphotericin B deoxycholate 0.5-1.0 mg/kg IV QD continue until clinical improvement, usually 500-1000 mg total dose (AI)</td>
<td>Insufficient data to recommend discontinuation of chronic maintenance therapy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Acute phase (l Solar disease)</td>
<td>Fluconazole 400-600 mg PO QD (BIIB) or Itraconazole 200 mg PO RUL (BIIB)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meningeal infections</td>
<td>Fluconazole 600-800 mg IV or PO QD (AI)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic meningitis therapy</td>
<td>Fluconazole 400 mg PO QD (AI); or Itraconazole 200 mg capsules PO RUL (AI)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Invasive aspergillosis</td>
<td>Voriconazole 400 mg IV or PO q24h for 2 days, then 200 mg q12h (AIIB)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of therapy</td>
<td>Based on clinical response</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cytomegalovirus (CMV) disease</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMV Retinitis</td>
<td>For immediate sight-threatening lesions</td>
<td>Ganciclovir (GCV) intracameral implant and valganciclovir 5 mg PO QD (AI)</td>
<td>Choice of initial therapy for CMV retinitis should be individualized on the basis of location and severity of the lesions, level of immune suppression, and other factors such as concomitant medications and ability to adhere to treatment (AIIB)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>For peripheral lesions</td>
<td>Valganciclovir 500 mg PO BID for 14-21 days, then 500 mg PO QD (AI)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Chronic meningitis therapy</td>
<td>First choice</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ganciclovir 5 mg PO QD (BI)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMV esophagitis or colitis</td>
<td>Ganciclovir IV or Foscarnet IV for 21-28 days or until signs and symptoms have resolved (BIIB); oral ganciclovir may be used if symptoms are not severe enough to warrant oral administration (BIIB)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Some specialists recommend delaying ART among patients with CMV esophagitis, colitis, and pneumonitis who fail to respond to ganciclovir or foscarnet (AIIB)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMV pneumonitis</td>
<td>Treatment should be considered in patients with histologic evidence of CMV pneumonitis and who do not respond to treatment of other pathogens (AIIB); The role of maintenance therapy is not yet established (CIIB)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Maintenance therapy for CMV pneumonitis can be safely discontinued among patients with inactive disease and sustained CD4+ T lymphocyte counts &gt;100-150 cells/μl, and consideration with ophthalmologist is advised (BIIB)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMV neurological disease</td>
<td>GCV IV and Foscarnet IV continue until symptomatic improvement (BIIB); Maintenance therapy should be continued for life (AI)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Patients with CMV retinitis who discontinued maintenance therapy should undergo regular eye examination for early detection of relapse (AIIB)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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### TABLE 6. (Continued) Treatment of AIDS-associated opportunistic infections among adults

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Opportunistic infections</th>
<th>Preferred therapy and duration</th>
<th>Alternative therapy</th>
<th>Other options/Issues</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Varicella zoster virus (VZV) disease</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary VZV infection (chickenpox)</td>
<td>Acyclovir 10 mg/kg IV q8h for 7–10 days (AIII)</td>
<td>Acyclovir-resistant HSV</td>
<td>Chronic suppressive therapy with oral acyclovir, famciclovir, or valacyclovir may be indicated among patients with frequent or severe recurrences (CIII)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Foscarnet 120–200 mg/kg/day IV in 2–3 divided doses until clinical response (AIII)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Acyclovir 5 mg/kg IV weekly until clinical response (AIII)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Oral valacyclovir 1 g PO tid</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Topical calcipotriol (CIII)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Note: Neither of these topical preparations are commercially available; extemporaneous compounding of these topical products can be prepared using trifluridine ophthalmic solution, cidofovir for intravenous administration, or corticosteroids for dermatomal zoster are not recommended (BIII)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local dermatomal herpes zoster</td>
<td>Foscarnet 500 mg or valacyclovir 1 g PO TID for 7–10 days (AIII)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Extensive cutaneous lesion or visceral involvement</td>
<td>Acyclovir 10 mg/kg IV q8h, continue until cutaneous and visceral disease clearly resolved (AIII)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Progressive ocular retinal necrosis (POR)</td>
<td>Acyclovir 1.6 mg/kg q4h and foscarnet 60 mg/kg IV q8h (AIII)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Human papillomavirus disease</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient-applied treatment</td>
<td>Podofilox 0.5% solution or 0.5% gel – apply to all lesions BID x 3 consecutive days, repeat weekly for up to 4 weeks (BII)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Intravenous infusions are generally not recommended because of high cost, difficult administration, and potential for systemic side effects (CIII)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>or Idoxuridine 5% cream – apply to lesions at bedtime and remove in the morning on 2 consecutive nights weekly for up to 16 weeks (BII)</td>
<td></td>
<td>The rate of recurrence of genital warts is high despite treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provider-applied treatment</td>
<td>Lipid nanogel cryotherapy – apply until each lesion is thoroughly frozen, repeat every 1–2 weeks for up to 3–4 times (BIII)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Data are limited on the responses to treatment among HIV-1–infected patients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Topical imiquimod 5% cream</td>
<td>Surgical excision (BIII) or laser surgery (CIII)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cidofovir topical (CIII) – not commercially available</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Podophyllin resin 10%–25% suspension in mixture of benzoin – apply to area and wash off in a few hours, repeat weekly for up to 3–6 weeks (CIII)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Herpes simplex virus (HSV) disease</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oral lesions and Initial or recurrent genital HSV</td>
<td>Acyclovir 500 mg PO BID or valacyclovir 1 g PO BID or acyclovir 500 mg PO TID for 7–14 days (AIII)</td>
<td>Acyclovir-resistant HSV</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate to severe mucocutaneous HSV infections</td>
<td>Initial therapy acyclovir 5 mg/kg body weight IV q4h (AIII)</td>
<td>Foscarnet 120–200 mg/kg/day IV in 2–3 divided doses until clinical response (AIII)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>After lesions begin to regress, change to famciclovir 500 mg PO BID or valacyclovir 1 g PO BID or acyclovir 400 mg PO TID (AIII); continue therapy until lesions have completely healed</td>
<td>Acyclovir 5 mg/kg IV weekly until clinical response (AIII)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Oral valacyclovir 1 g PO tid</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HSV keratitis</td>
<td>Trifluridine 1% ophthalmic solution, one drop onto the cornea every 2 hours, not to exceed 6 drops per day, for no longer than 21 days (AIII)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HSV encephalitis</td>
<td>Acyclovir 10 mg/kg IV q8h for 14–21 days (AIII)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Treatment of condyloma acuminata (genital warts)**

- Intersitial infusions are generally not recommended because of high cost, difficult administration, and potential for systemic side effects (CIII)
- Data are limited on the responses to treatment among HIV-1–infected patients
### TABLE 6. (Continued) Treatment of AIDS-associated opportunistic infections among adults

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Opportunistic infections</th>
<th>Preferred therapy and duration</th>
<th>Alternative therapy</th>
<th>Other options/issues</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Human papillomavirus (Continued)</strong></td>
<td>Treatment of cervical intraepithelial neoplasia (CIN) or anal intraepithelial neoplasia (AIN)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CIN 1 &amp; 2 or 3: Pap smears and/or colposcopy every 4–6 months</td>
<td>Cryotherapy (BB)</td>
<td>Laser therapy (BB)</td>
<td>Low-dose intranasal 5-fluorouracil (2 g twice weekly for 6 months) for CIN might reduce short-term risk for recurrence (CII)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CIN 3: Loop electrosurgical excision procedure (LEEP) (BB)</td>
<td>Cone biopsy (BB)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Efficacy of treatment of AIN-2 or 3 in preventing and cancer is unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AIN: Insufficient data to recommend specific treatment; treatment decision based on size, location of lesion and grade of histology (CIII)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hepatitis C virus (HCV)</strong></td>
<td>Combination therapy (All)</td>
<td>Peginterferon alfa-2b (1.5 mcg/kg body weight) SQ weekly, or Peginterferon alfa-2a (180 mcg) SQ weekly and Ribavirin PO (weight-based dosing: if &lt;75 kg, 400 mg in a.m. and 600 mg in p.m.; if &gt;75 kg, 600 mg BID)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of therapy For genotype 1</td>
<td>48 weeks — for patients who demonstrate an early virologic response [2 log decrease in HCV viral load at 12 weeks (AII)]</td>
<td>Peginterferon alfa-2b 1.5 mcg/kg or peginterferon alfa-2a 100 mcg SQ weekly (AII)</td>
<td>Preliminary data suggest that responses to HCV therapy correlates to CD4+ cell count</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>For genotype 2 or 3</td>
<td>24 weeks — based on data in non-HIV-infected patients (BII)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Some suggest treating HCV before CD4+ drops below 500 cells/μL (BII), conversely, if patient has CD4+ &lt;500 cells/μL, some suggest initiating HAART before treatment of HCV (BII)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Some specialists recommend 48 weeks (CIII)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Patients should receive 2 doses of hepatitis A vaccine, preferably before CD4+ T cell count drops below 200 cells/μL (BII)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hepatitis B virus (HBV)</strong></td>
<td>Because of the lack of controlled trial data on the use of antiviral agents against HIV in HIV/HBV co-infected patients, none of the current therapy can be recommended as preferred regimen</td>
<td>Lamivudine-naive patients: requiring ART</td>
<td>All patients should be advised to avoid or limit alcohol consumption (AII)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In patients with HIV/HBV/HCV co-infection, consideration for antiretroviral therapy should be the first priority, if antiretroviral therapy is not required, then treatment for HCV should be considered before HBV; an interferon treatment for HCV might treat HBV infection (CIII)</td>
<td>Lamivudine 150 mg PO BID is commonly used as part of an ART regimen (BII); some specialists advocate adding adefovir 10 mg/d or tenofovir 300 mg/day to lamivudine (or entecavir) (CIII), or Adefovir 10 mg/d in addition to ART (BIII); or Peg IFN alfa-2a 180 mcg SQ q week or Interferon alfa-2a 2b 1b 1 ± million units (MU) SQ OD or 10 MU SQ TW (CIII)</td>
<td>Patients should receive 2 doses of hepatitis A vaccine, preferably before CD4+ T cell count drops below 200 cells/μL (BII)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of interferon alpha therapy</td>
<td>48 weeks (BII)</td>
<td>Interferon should not be used among patients with decompensated liver disease (BIII)</td>
<td>Discontinuation of therapy for HBV infection risks flare of liver disease in approximately 15% of patients and loss of anti-HBV benefit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIV/HBV naive or lamivudine-experienced patients in whom ART is not indicated</td>
<td>Lamivudine experienced patients requiring ART</td>
<td>Lamivudine 10 mg PO QD as part of an ART regimen and/or lamivudine or entecavir or (CIII) or Adefovir 10 mg PO QD and or lamivudine or entecavir (CIII)</td>
<td>HAART should always include HBV treatment to minimize immune reconstitution failure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Tetovir 300 mg PO OD as part of an ART regimen and/or lamivudine</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opportunistic infection</td>
<td>Preferred therapy and duration</td>
<td>Alternative therapy</td>
<td>Other options/issues</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pneumocystis</td>
<td>Acute infection in severely ill patients: Amphotericin B 0.6 mg/kg/day IV for 2 weeks, followed by trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole 480 mg daily for 10 weeks (All)</td>
<td>Chronic maintenance therapy: Trimethoprim 160 mg PO OD (All)</td>
<td>ART should be administered according to standard of care in the community (CII)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Chronic maintenance therapy</td>
<td>Amphotericin B deoxycholate (All) 0.6–1.0 mg/kg IV QD (maximum 15 mg OD) for total dose of 1.5–2.0 gm (All); or Amphotericin B lipid formulation (All) 2–5 mg/kg IV QD for 10 days (All). There is less experience with shorter regimens; or Pentamidine isethionate 3–4 mg/kg IV TIW for 3–4 weeks followed by monthly maintenance therapy (All)</td>
<td>Severely neutropenic patients with visceral Kaposi’s sarcoma might benefit from short course of granulocyte colony-stimulating factor (GM-CSF) 5 µg/kg body weight/day SQ for 5 days (CII)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Disseminated prophylaxis: Single dose of the initial therapy every 4 weeks, especially in patients with CD4 &lt; 200 cells/µl (All)</td>
<td>Secondary prophylaxis: Single dose of the initial therapy every 4 weeks, especially in patients with CD4 &lt; 200 cells/µl (All)</td>
<td>Strong consideration should be given to initiation or optimization of ART (CII)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pneumocystis</td>
<td>Amphotericin B for severity II (II)</td>
<td>Ketoconazole 200–400 mg PO QD (BII)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Trimethoprim 100–200 mg PO OD for less II (BII)</td>
<td>Sulfonamide (BIII)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toxoplasma gondii infection</td>
<td>TMP 150 mg and SMX 800 mg PO (or IV) CII for 10 days (All) or TMP 320 mg and SMX 1600 mg PO (or IV) BID for 10–14 days (AII)</td>
<td>Pyrimethamine 50–15 mg PO QD and Leucovorin 5–10 mg PO OD (BII); or Clindamycin 300 mg PO BID (BII); or Other fluorquinolones (BII)</td>
<td>Fluid management among patients with dehydration (AIII)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Chronic maintenance therapy</td>
<td>Disseminated prophylaxis</td>
<td>Alternative secondary prophylaxis: Pyrimethamine 25 mg PO QD and Leucovorin (BIII)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Discontinuation of secondary prophylaxis may be considered among patients with sustained CD4 &gt; 200 cells/µl for &gt;3 months (BIII)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**ART** = antimicrobial therapy; **IM** = intramuscular; **IV** = intravenous; **PO** = oral; **SQ** = subcutaneous; **QD** = daily; **BID** = twice a day; **TID** = three times daily; **QID** = four times a day; **TIV** = three times weekly; **q/d** = every 1/2 hour.  
* Pyrimethamine and sulfonamide doses = same as in “preferred therapy” for toxoplasmosis.  
* See Table 5 for ritonavir dosing based on concurrent antiretroviral drug use.  
* Pneumocystis dose: < 55 kg = 1,000 mg; 56–75 kg = 1,500 mg; ≥ 76 kg = 2,000 mg.  
* Pneumocystis dose: < 55 kg = 1,000 mg; 56–75 kg = 1,500 mg; ≥ 76 kg = 2,000 mg.  
* Pentamidine dose: < 55 kg = 800 mg; 56–75 kg = 1,200 mg; ≥ 76 kg = 1,500 mg.  
* Trimethoprim dose: < 55 kg = 400 mg; 56–75 kg = 600 mg; ≥ 76 kg = 800 mg.  
* Among HIV-HBV co-infected patients who do not need HIV therapy but also have HBsAg-positive chronic hepatitis B and ALT > 2 times normal, certain authorities recommend treating HIV with interferon-alpha provided no evidence of hepatic decompensation exists. This strategy spares the patient from developing HIV and HBV resistance to antiretroviral therapy and from the toxicity of ART.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug class</th>
<th>Drugs</th>
<th>Toxicities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Antifungal agents</td>
<td>Amphotericin B</td>
<td>Nephrotoxicity, infusion-related reactions, electrolyte imbalances, anemia, thrombophlebitis, nausea, and vomiting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lipid formulation might have lower incidence of nephrotoxicity and infusion-related reactions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Caspofungin</td>
<td>Headache, thrombophlebitis, facial flushing, erythema, skin rash, and infusion-related reactions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fluconazole</td>
<td>Bone marrow suppression, diarrhea, nausea, and vomiting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Itraconazole</td>
<td>Hepatotoxicity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Voriconazole</td>
<td>Hepatotoxicity, congestive heart failure, edema, hypokalemia, nausea, vomiting, diarrhea, and abdominal pain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Visual disturbances, photosensitivity, skin rash, hepatotoxicity, peripheral edema, headache, and hallucination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agents for treating Pneumocystis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pneumonia (PCP)</td>
<td>Aztreonam</td>
<td>Diarrhea, rash, nausea, vomiting, and headache</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Clindamycin</td>
<td>Diarrhea, pseudomembranous colitis, and rash</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dapsone</td>
<td>Methemoglobinemia and hemolytic anemia (especially for patients with G-6-PD deficiency), neutropenia, rash, fever, hepatitis, hyperkalemia, and peripheral neuropathy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pentamidine</td>
<td>Nephrotoxicity, infusion-related hypertension and/or arrhythmias, pancreatitis, hypoglycemia, diabetes mellitus, hepatitis, and electrolyte abnormalities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Primaquine</td>
<td>Methemoglobinemia and hemolytic anemia (especially in patients with G-6-PD deficiency), abdominal cramps, nausea, and vomiting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Trimethoprim-Sulfamethoxazole (TMP-SMX)</td>
<td>Rash, Stevens-Johnson Syndrome, bone marrow suppression, hepatotoxicity, increased serum creatinine, nausea, vomiting, and crystalluria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Trimetrexate</td>
<td>Bone marrow suppression, stomatitis, fever, rash, and hepatitis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antitoxoplasmosis agents for atovaquone, clindamycin, and TMP-SMX, see agents for PCP)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pyrimethamine</td>
<td>Neutropenia, thrombocytopenia, megaloblastic anemia, and rash</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sulfadiazine</td>
<td>Rash, Stevens-Johnson syndrome, bone marrow suppression, crystalluria, renal insufficiency, nausea, and vomiting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antimycobacterial agents</td>
<td>Amikacin</td>
<td>Nephrotoxicity and ototoxicity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Azithromycin</td>
<td>Nephrotoxicity, ototoxicity, skin rash, urticaria, pruritus, nausea, vomiting, abdominal pain, and diarrhea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Clarithromycin</td>
<td>Hepatotoxicity, ototoxicity, headache, nausea, vomiting, abdominal cramps, diarrhea, and skin rash</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ciprofloxacin/Lavuloxacin/Moxifloxacin</td>
<td>Nausea, vomiting, abdominal pain, diarrhea, headache, dizziness, sleep disturbances, crystalluria, renal impairment, tendinitis, photosensitivity, and neurotoxicity (especially with high dose or in patients with renal dysfunction)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cycloserine</td>
<td>Neuropsychiatric toxicities (headache, somnolence, vertigo, tremor, dysarthria, irritability, confusion, paranoia, and psychosis)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ethambutol</td>
<td>Optic neuritis, peripheral neuropathy, headache, nausea, vomiting, anorexia, hepatotoxicity, and hyperuricemia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Isoniazid</td>
<td>Hepatotoxicity, peripheral neuropathy, ataxia, and optic neuritis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pyrazinamide</td>
<td>Hepatotoxicity, hyperuricemia, and arthralgia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rifabutin</td>
<td>Hepatotoxicity, uveitis, neutropenia, red-orange discoloration of body fluids, and skin rash</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rifampin</td>
<td>Hepatotoxicity, red-orange discoloration of body fluids, thrombocytopenia, hemolytic anemia, and skin rash</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Streptomycin</td>
<td>Nephrotoxicity and ototoxicity (especially vestibular toxicity)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### TABLE 7. (Continued) Common toxicities of systemic agents for treatment of opportunistic infections

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug class</th>
<th>Drugs</th>
<th>Toxicities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anti-CMV agents</td>
<td>Acyclovir</td>
<td>Crystalluria, nausea, vomiting, neurotoxicity (high doses, especially in patients with renal impairment; agitation, confusion, hallucination, seizure, coma), nephrotoxicity (particularly after rapid IV infusion), and thrombophlebitis at peripheral intravenous infusion site</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Adefovir</td>
<td>Increase serum creatinine, nausea, vomiting, and anemia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cidofovir</td>
<td>Nephrotoxicity, proteinuria, ocular hypotony, anterior uveitis, neutropenia, metabolic acidosis, and anemia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Foscarnet</td>
<td>Side effects most likely related to co-administration of probenecid: skin rash, nausea, vomiting, and anorexia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Famciclovir</td>
<td>Headache, nausea, vomiting, and anorexia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ganciclovir</td>
<td>Neutropenia, thrombocytopenia, anemia, catheter-related infections</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>VCN (cidofovir)</td>
<td>Oral ganciclovir: nausea, and vomiting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interferons and Peginterferons</td>
<td>Influenza-like syndrome (fever, headache, fatigue, and myalgia), neuropsychiatric disorders (depression and suicidal ideation), neutropenia, thrombocytopenia, renal dysfunction, injection site reactions, alopecia, nausea, anorexia, diarrhea, weight loss, development or exacerbation of autoimmune disorders, and ophthalmologic disorders (retinal hemorrhage, retinal artery or vein obstructions, and cotton wool spots)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lamivudine</td>
<td>Nausea, vomiting, and pancreatitis in children</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ribavirin</td>
<td>Hemolytic anemia, leukopenia, hyperbilirubinemia, nausea, vomiting, anorexia, dyspnea, and skin rash</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valacyclovir</td>
<td>Nausea, vomiting, and headache; at a high dose of 8-10 days: thrombotic thrombocytopenic purpura/hemolytic uremic syndrome reported in transplant recipients</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valganciclovir</td>
<td>Neutropenia, thrombocytopenia, anemia, and nausea</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antiparasitic agents</td>
<td>Albendazole</td>
<td>Nausea, vomiting, hepatotoxicity, hypersensitivity, neutropenia, dizziness, and headache</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Benznidazole</td>
<td>Peripheral neuropathy, bone marrow suppression, and skin rash</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fumagillin (off-label)</td>
<td>Oral therapy: neutropenia, thrombocytopenia, vertigo, nausea, vomiting, diarrhea, anorexia, and abdominal cramps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pentamidine</td>
<td>Oral therapy: minimal systemic effect or local effect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pentamidine</td>
<td>Serum transaminase elevation, amylase, lipase elevations, pancreatitis, thrombophlebitis, prolonged QT interval and T wave inversion, and arthralgias</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment for syphilis</td>
<td>Ceftriaxone</td>
<td>Cholecystitis, skin rash, bone marrow suppression, and injection site reactions (intramuscular administration)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Doxycycline</td>
<td>Photosensitivity reaction, nausea, vomiting, and esophageal ulceration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Erythromycin</td>
<td>Nausea, vomiting, abdominal pain, hepatotoxicity, cholestatic jaundice, ototoxicity (hearing loss, tinnitus), skin rash, and cardiac arrhythmia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Penicillin G</td>
<td>All penicillin G preparations: hypersensitivity reactions (immediate or delayed reaction), bone marrow suppression, and drug fever</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Penicillin G</td>
<td>Benzathine penicillin G: injection site reactions (pain and erythema)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Penicillin G</td>
<td>Procaine penicillin G: injection site reactions (pain and erythema)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Penicillin G</td>
<td>Aqueous crystalline penicillin G: thrombophlebitis and neutrotoxicity at high doses (especially in patients with renal dysfunction)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drugs</td>
<td>Interacting with</td>
<td>Mechanisms/Effects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acyclovir</td>
<td>Probenecid (with cidofovir)</td>
<td>Probenecid might decrease renal clearance of acyclovir by 33%, increasing acyclovir area under the concentration curve (AUC).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Azithromycin</td>
<td>Diflunisal</td>
<td>Decreased azithromycin AUC by 11%, decreased azithromycin serum concentrations by 15%.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ciprofloxacin</td>
<td>Diclofenac</td>
<td>Decreased ciprofloxacin AUC by 30%.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Citalopram</td>
<td>Probenecid</td>
<td>Probenecid might decrease renal clearance of citalopram by 45%.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clarithromycin</td>
<td>Ritonavir</td>
<td>Clarithromycin AUC increased 77% in patients with normal renal function.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clofazimine</td>
<td>Rifabutin</td>
<td>Clotrimazole in AUC increased by 20%.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dapoxetine</td>
<td>Ritonavir</td>
<td>Clarithromycin AUC increased 77% and 14-OH-clarithromycin AUC increased 34%.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dapsone</td>
<td>Dapsone</td>
<td>Dapsone level 7±10 fold and dapsone 11/2 decreased from 12 to 11 hours.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drugs</td>
<td>Interacting with</td>
<td>Mechanism/Effects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doxycycline</td>
<td>Atovaquone</td>
<td>Decreased doxycycline concentration due to atovaquone unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dideoxine buffered formulations</td>
<td>Decreased dideoxine absorption attributed to chlorination with magnesium-aluminum buffer</td>
<td>Potential for decreased dideoxine efficacy, monitor closer than therapeutic failure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rifampicin</td>
<td>Decreased doxycycline clearance, decreased 0.7 and AUC</td>
<td>Monitor for toxicity of both drugs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erythromycin</td>
<td>Itraconazole</td>
<td>Potential for bi-directional inhibition of hepatic metabolism and increased serum conc. of both</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYP 3A4 inhibitor</td>
<td>Nevirapine</td>
<td>Nevirapine conc. increased by 100% compared with historical conc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trametrexate</td>
<td>Might increase tramefarol AUC</td>
<td>No formal study performed, avoid concurrent use or monitor for trametrexate toxicity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluconazole</td>
<td>Rifabutin</td>
<td>Rifabutin AUC increased 10%, no effect on fluconazole levels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYP 3A4 inhibitor</td>
<td>Fluconazole AUC decreased by 25%, 56%, no change in rifampicin conc.</td>
<td>May need to increase fluconazole dose</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trametrexate</td>
<td>Might increase trametrexol AUC</td>
<td>No formal study performed, avoid concurrent use or monitor for trametrexol toxicity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zidovudine</td>
<td>Fluconazole decreases concentration of zidovudine; fluconazole 400 mg/day results in increased zidovudine AUC by 74%</td>
<td>Monitor for zidovudine toxicity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ganciclovir</td>
<td>Dideoxine buffered formulations (most with entecic coated dideoxine has not been done)</td>
<td>Dideoxine AUC increased 70% with IV ganciclovir and increased 11% with oral ganciclovir</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cidofovir + Probencid</td>
<td>Probenecid might decrease ganciclovir clearance and increase ganciclovir conc.</td>
<td>Because of the rare and dosage of probencid when used with cidofovir no dosage adjustment is necessary; monitor for dose-related toxicities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Itraconazole</td>
<td>Clarithromycin</td>
<td>Potential for bi-directional inhibition of CYP3A4 metabolism with increased AUC of itraconazole and/or interacting drug(s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYP 3A4 inhibitor and substrate</td>
<td>Ketoconazole</td>
<td>Potential for bi-directional inhibition of CYP3A4 metabolism with increased AUC of itraconazole and/or ketoconazole</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dideoxine buffered preparation</td>
<td>Might decrease itraconazole oral absorption because of increased gastric pH from entecic coated dideoxine preparation</td>
<td>Monitor itraconazole level and adjust dose accordingly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Efavirenz</td>
<td>No interaction study reported: potential induction or inhibition of itraconazole metabolism with increased AUC or decrease in itraconazole AUC</td>
<td>Monitor itraconazole level and adjust dose accordingly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erythromycin</td>
<td>Potential for bi-directional inhibition of CYP3A4 metabolism with increased AUC of itraconazole and/or erythromycin</td>
<td>Monitor for toxicities of erythromycin; monitor itraconazole level and toxicities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nevirapine</td>
<td>Potential for induction of itraconazole metabolism and decrease in itraconazole conc.</td>
<td>Monitor itraconazole level and adjust dose accordingly; monitor therapeutic efficacy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protease inhibitors other than rtv</td>
<td>Potential for bi-directional inhibition of CYP3A4 metabolism with increased AUC of itraconazole and/or protease inhibitors</td>
<td>Monitor for toxicities of protease inhibitors; monitor itraconazole level and toxicities (especially in patients with ritonavir-boosted protease inhibitor regimens)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rifabutin</td>
<td>Decrease in itraconazole conc. by 20% for inhibition of rifabutin metabolism and inhibition rifabutin conc.</td>
<td>Avoid concurrent use if possible; if the combination is to be used, monitor itraconazole level and adjust dose accordingly; monitor for rifabutin toxicity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drugs</td>
<td>Interacting with</td>
<td>Mechanisms/Effects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Itraconazole (Continued)</td>
<td>Rifampin</td>
<td>Itraconazole AUC decreased 64%–88%; no change in rifampin conc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYP 3A4 Inhibitor and Substrate</td>
<td>Ritonavir</td>
<td>Potential for significant increase in itraconazole conc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Telithromycin</td>
<td>Itraconazole might substantially increase telithromycin level because of inhibition of CYP3A4 metabolism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ketoconazole</td>
<td>Amphotericin AUC increased 31%; ketoconazole AUC increased 44%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYP 3A4 Substrate</td>
<td>Delavirdine</td>
<td>Delavirdine Cmin increased 59%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Didanosine buffered formulations</td>
<td>Might decrease oral absorption of ketoconazole because of increased gastric pH from antacid in the didanosine preparation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indinavir</td>
<td>Indinavir AUC increased 68%; no substantial change in ketoconazole conc.</td>
<td>Decrease indinavir dose to 600 mg every 8 hours.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lopinavir/ritonavir (Kaletra)</td>
<td>Ketoconazole AUC increased threefold; no substantial change in lopinavir pharmacokinetics</td>
<td>Decrease ketoconazole dose and monitor for toxicities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nefuvirine</td>
<td>Ketoconazole AUC increased 20%; nefuvirine AUC increased 15%–30%</td>
<td>Consider alternative antifungal or monitor for ketoconazole efficacy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ribavirin</td>
<td>Possible increase in ribavirin conc. and decrease in ketoconazole conc.</td>
<td>Monitor for ribavirin toxicities and ketoconazole efficacy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rifampin</td>
<td>Ketoconazole levels decreased 50%</td>
<td>Avoid concurrent use if possible; consider alternative antifungal and/or antiviral/bacterial agent(s).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ritonavir</td>
<td>Ketoconazole AUC increased 3–4-fold</td>
<td>Ketocanazole dose &gt;300 mg/day not recommended; monitor for ketoconazole toxicities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tramavir</td>
<td>Ketoconazole levels might substantially increase triazoles level because of inhibition of CYP3A4 metabolism</td>
<td>Monitor for triazoles toxicities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyrazinamide</td>
<td>Zidovudine</td>
<td>Decreased pyrazinamide conc. in one study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ribavirin</td>
<td>Didanosine</td>
<td>Increased intracellular levels of didanosine triphosphate (ddATP)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Zidovudine</td>
<td>Decreased intracellular activities of zidovudine against HIV in vitro</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ribavirin</td>
<td>Amphotericin</td>
<td>Ribavirin AUC increased 193%; no change in amphotericin conc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYP 3A4 Inducer and Substrate</td>
<td>Abacavir</td>
<td>Ribavirin AUC increased 210%; Cmin increased 343%; minimal change in abacavir pharmacokinetics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Atovaquone</td>
<td>Atovaquone conc. decreased 34%; ribavirin conc. Decreased 15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Clarithromycin</td>
<td>Ribavirin AUC increased 16% because of inhibition of hepatic metabolism; clarithromycin AUC might be reduced</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Delavirdine</td>
<td>Delavirdine AUC decreased 80%; ribavirin AUC increased 100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Didanosine buffered formulation</td>
<td>Decreased ribavirin oral absorption</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elavirenz</td>
<td>Ribavirin AUC decreased 30%; no change in elavirenz conc.</td>
<td>Increase ribavirin dose to 450 mg/day or 300 mg two to three times weekly, effect of elavirenz and precursor inhibitors on ribavirin conc. has not been studied.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 8. (Continued) Substantial pharmacokinetic drug-drug interactions for drugs used in the treatment of opportunistic infections

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drugs (Continued)</th>
<th>Fluconazole</th>
<th>Rifabutin AUC increased 80% because of inhibition of hepatic metabolism</th>
<th>Consider reducing rifabutin dose or monitor for rifabutin toxicities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CYP 3A4 Inducer and substrate</td>
<td>Fosamprenavir</td>
<td>No data of interaction between fosamprenavir and rifabutin, interaction between ampranavir and rifabutin suggests inhibition of rifabutin metabolism</td>
<td>Decrease rifabutin dose by 50% (to 150 mg/day or 300 mg TIW), if used with renin-angiotensin system inhibition combination, dose reduction to 150 mg every other day or three times weekly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Itrocarnavir</td>
<td>Itrocarnavir conc. decreased by 70%; potential for inhibition of rifabutin metabolism and increased rifabutin conc.</td>
<td>Avoid concomitant use if possible; if the combination is to be used, monitor itrocarnavir level and adjust dose accordingly; monitor for rifabutin toxicity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Indinavir</td>
<td>Rifabutin AUC increased 204%; Indinavir AUC decreased 52%</td>
<td>Decrease rifabutin dose to 150 mg/day or 300 mg TIW, and increase boosted indinavir dose to 1500 mg every 8 hours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ketoconazole</td>
<td>Possible increase in rifabutin conc. and decrease in ketoconazole conc.</td>
<td>Monitor for rifabutin toxicities and ketoconazole efficacy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lopinavir/ritonavir (Kaltra®)</td>
<td>Rifabutin AUC increased 303%; 2/-O-des-acetyl rifabutin AUC increased 47.6-fold</td>
<td>Decrease rifabutin dose to 150 mg every other day or three times weekly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nelfinavir</td>
<td>Rifabutin AUC increased 207%; insignificant change in nelfinavir conc.</td>
<td>Decrease rifabutin dose to 150 mg/day or 300 mg TIW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ritonavir</td>
<td>Rifabutin AUC increased 430%; no change in ritonavir conc.</td>
<td>Decrease rifabutin dose to 150 mg every other day or three times weekly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Saquinavir</td>
<td>Saquinavir AUC decreased 43%; no change in rifabutin conc.</td>
<td>This combination should be avoided; might consider adding ritonavir to saquinavir or monitor saquinavir conc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Voicarronavir</td>
<td>Voicarronavir AUC decreased 79%, rifabutin AUC increased three-fold</td>
<td>This combination should be avoided</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rifampin</td>
<td>Amprenavir</td>
<td>Amprenavir AUC decreased 82%. Cmin decreased 92%; no change in Rifampin conc.</td>
<td>This combination should be avoided; effect of rifampin on renin and ampranavir has not been studied</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forest CYP3A4 Inducer</td>
<td>Azacaravir</td>
<td>Pharmacokinetic study not available; expect rifampin to decrease azacaravir concentrations substantially (up to 98%), as seen with other protease inhibitors</td>
<td>This combination should be avoided</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Atazanavir</td>
<td>Atazanavir conc. decreased 52%; rifampin conc. increased 37%</td>
<td>This combination should be avoided</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Clarithromycin</td>
<td>Decreased mean clarithromycin conc. 87%</td>
<td>This combination should be avoided; consider switching clarithromycin to azithromycin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dapsone</td>
<td>Dapsone half-life decreased from 24 to 11 hr; dapsone conc. decreased 71-106%</td>
<td>Monitor for dapsone efficacy; consider alternative therapy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Delavirdine</td>
<td>Delavirdine AUC decreased 99%, no change in rifampin conc.</td>
<td>This combination should be avoided</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Efavirenz</td>
<td>Efavirenz AUC decreased 22%; no change in rifampin conc.</td>
<td>No dosage adjustment or consider increasing efavirenz dose to 600 mg/day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fluconazole</td>
<td>Fluconazole AUC decreased by 23%-50%; no change in rifampin conc.</td>
<td>Might need to increase fluconazole dose</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fosamprenavir</td>
<td>No study done with fosamprenavir to date; ampranavir AUC decreased 85%; Cmin decreased 90%</td>
<td>This combination should be avoided</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Indinavir</td>
<td>Indinavir AUC decreased 89%; rifamycin conc. slightly increased</td>
<td>This combination should be avoided</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Itrocarnavir</td>
<td>Itrocarnavir AUC decreased 64%-89%; no change in rifampin conc.</td>
<td>Avoid concomitant use if possible; if the combination is to be used, monitor ifrocarnavir level and adjust dose accordingly; monitor therapeutic response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ketoconazole</td>
<td>Ketoconazole levels decreased 50%</td>
<td>Avoid concomitant use if possible; consider alternative antifungal and/or antymycobacterial agent(s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drugs</td>
<td>Interacting with</td>
<td>Mechanism/Effects</td>
<td>Recommendations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rifampin (Continued)</td>
<td>Lopinavir/Ritonavir (Kaletra®)</td>
<td>Lopinavir AUC decreased 76% and Cmin decreased 99%; Rifampin AUC might be increased</td>
<td>This combination should be avoided</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potent CYP3A4 Inducer</td>
<td>Nevirapine</td>
<td>Nevirapine AUC decreased 82%; no change in rifampin conc.</td>
<td>This combination should be avoided</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ritonavir</td>
<td>Ritonavir AUC decreased 35%; no change in rifampin conc.</td>
<td>Monitor for antiretroviral activity of ritonavir</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Saquinavir</td>
<td>Saquinavir AUC decreased 84%; no change in rifampin conc.</td>
<td>This combination should be avoided; use only in the presence of ritonavir. Consider monitoring saquinavir concentrations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Trimetrexate</td>
<td>Might increase trimetrexate metabolism and decrease trimetrexate conc.</td>
<td>Monitor for trimetrexate efficacy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Voriconazole</td>
<td>Voriconazole AUC decreased 96%</td>
<td>This combination should be avoided</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Zidovudine</td>
<td>Rifampin increased indinavir glucuronidation, decreasing indinavir AUC 47%</td>
<td>Monitor for zidovudine efficacy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tenofovir</td>
<td>Acyclovir, cidofovir, ganciclovir, valacyclovir, valganciclovir</td>
<td>Potential for complete active tubular secretion of these drugs</td>
<td>Monitor for toxicities of these drugs and tenofovir</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Atracurium</td>
<td>Atracurium Cmin decreased 40%; mechanism unknown</td>
<td>Co-administer with ritonavir at a dose of ritonavir 100 mg daily plus astraZeneca 300 mg daily</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Diclofenac (buffered and enteric coated preparations)</td>
<td>Increased diclofenac AUC by 44%–60%; no change in tenofovir AUC</td>
<td>Reduce diclofenac dose (from 400 mg to 259 mg in patients weighing &lt;60); monitor for diclofenac–associated toxicities. Discontinue diclofenac if serious toxicity occurs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trimetrexate</td>
<td>CYP 3A4 inhibitors (e.g. clarithromycin, delavirdine, fluconazole, itraconazole, ketoconazole, voriconazole, protease inhibitors)</td>
<td>Might increase trimetrexate concentration</td>
<td>Monitor for trimetrexate toxicities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYP 3A4 substrate</td>
<td>CYP 3A4 inhibitors (e.g. efavirenz, nevirapine, ritonavir, nefazodone)</td>
<td>Might decrease trimetrexate concentration</td>
<td>Monitor for trimetrexate efficacy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valganciclovir</td>
<td>Ciclosporin plus Probenecid</td>
<td>Probenecid might decrease ganciclovir renal clearance and increase ganciclovir conc.</td>
<td>Because of the infrequent dosing of probenecid when used with ciclosporin, no dosage adjustment is necessary; monitor for dose-related toxicities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Diclofenac buffered formulation</td>
<td>Oral ganciclovir increased diclofenac AUC 111%</td>
<td>Monitor for diclofenac toxicities; study with valganciclovir and diclofenac enteric coated formulation has not been done</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voriconazole</td>
<td>Delavirdine</td>
<td>Potential bi-directional inhibition of metabolism, increasing conc. of both drugs</td>
<td>No formal interaction studies; monitor for toxicities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYP 3C9, 2C19, and 3A4 Substrate and inhibitor</td>
<td>Efavirenz</td>
<td>Voriconazole Cmax decreased 91%; AUC increased 77%; efavirenz Cmax increased 38% and AUC increased 44%</td>
<td>This combination should be avoided</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nevirapine</td>
<td>Potential induction of voriconazole metabolism, decreasing voriconazole conc.</td>
<td>No formal interaction studies; monitor for therapeutic failure of voriconazole</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Protease inhibitors (except indinavir and ritonavir)</td>
<td>Potential bi-directional inhibition of metabolism, increasing conc. of both drugs. Indinavir and voriconazole lead to no substantial interaction</td>
<td>No formal interaction studies except for indinavir and ritonavir; monitor for toxicities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ritonavir</td>
<td>Voriconazole AUC decreased 79%; Ritonavir AUC increased three fold</td>
<td>This combination should be avoided</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rizatrexone</td>
<td>Rizatrexone, at a dose of 400 mg twice a day, decreased voriconazole Cmax 66% and AUC 82%; effect of lower ritonavir doses (100–400 mg/day) on voriconazole pharmacokinetics is unknown</td>
<td>Use with ritonavir 400 mg twice a day should be avoided; use with other doses of ritonavir should be done with caution</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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### TABLE 9. Antiretroviral anti-infective drug combinations that should be avoided

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>First drug</th>
<th>Second drug</th>
<th>Reason</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ribavirin</td>
<td>Didanosine</td>
<td>Increased intracellular levels of ddi-TP, increase in ddI-associated mitochondrial toxicities (e.g., lactic acidosis, pancreatitis, and peripheral neuropathy)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rifabutin</td>
<td>Atovaquone</td>
<td>Atovaquone concentration (conc.) decreased 34%; rifabutin conc. decreased 19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Delavirdine</td>
<td>Delavirdine area under the concentration curve (AUC) decreased 90%; rifabutin AUC increased 100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Itraconazole</td>
<td>Itraconazole conc. decreased 70%; potential for inhibition of rifabutin metabolism and increased rifabutin conc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Saquinavir (as sole protease inhibitor)</td>
<td>Saquinavir AUC decreased 43%; if used, consider addition of ritonavir and/or monitor saquinavir concentration; no change in rifabutin conc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Voriconazole</td>
<td>Voriconazole AUC decreased 79%; rifabutin AUC increased three-fold</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rifampin</td>
<td>Amravir</td>
<td>Amravir AUC decreased 82%; minimum concentration (Cmin) decreased 92%; no change in rifampin conc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Atazanavir</td>
<td>Pharmacokinetic study not available; expect rifampin to decrease atazanavir concentrations substantially (up to 90%), as seen with other protease inhibitors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Atovaquone</td>
<td>Atovaquone conc. decreased 52%; rifampin conc. increased 37%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Clarithromycin</td>
<td>Decreased mean clarithromycin conc. 87%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Delavirdine</td>
<td>Delavirdine AUC decreased 95%; no change in rifampin conc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fosamprenavir</td>
<td>No study done with fosamprenavir; amravir AUC decreased 82%; Cmin decreased 92%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Indinavir</td>
<td>Indinavir AUC decreased 89%; rifampin conc. slightly increased</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Itraconazole</td>
<td>Itraconazole AUC decreased 64%–88%; no change in rifampin conc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ketoconazole</td>
<td>Ketoconazole levels decreased 50%; rifampin maximum concentration (Cmax) decreased 40%–50% probably because of impaired rifampin oral absorption</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lopinavir/ritonavir</td>
<td>Lopinavir AUC decreased 75% and Cmin decreased 99%; rifampin AUC might be increased</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nelfinavir</td>
<td>Nelfinavir AUC decreased 82%; no change in rifampin conc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nevirapine</td>
<td>Nevirapine Cmax and AUC decreased 50%; no change in rifampin concentration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Saquinavir (as sole PI)</td>
<td>Saquinavir AUC decreased 82%; no change in rifampin concentration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Voriconazole</td>
<td>Voriconazole AUC decreased 96%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Elavirnzen</td>
<td>Voriconazole Cmax decreased 61%; AUC decreased 77%; elavirenz Cmax increased 38% and AUC increased 44%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ritonavir 400 mg twice a day</td>
<td>Voriconazole Cmax decreased 66%; AUC decreased 82%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**TABLE 10. Dosage adjustment in renal insufficiency**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drugs</th>
<th>Normal dose</th>
<th>Creatinine clearance (mL/min)</th>
<th>Dose</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Acyclovir</td>
<td>IV dose for serious HSV/VZV</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>infections:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10 mg/kg q8h</td>
<td></td>
<td>10 mg/kg body weight q12h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0–10</td>
<td></td>
<td>10 mg/kg q24h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0–10</td>
<td></td>
<td>10 mg/kg q48h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PO dose for Herpes zoster:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>800 mg q48h (5x/day)</td>
<td></td>
<td>800 mg q9h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0–10</td>
<td></td>
<td>800 mg q12h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amikacin</td>
<td>10–15 IV mg/kg/day</td>
<td></td>
<td>Dosage adjustment based on serum levels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amphotericin B</td>
<td>0.5–1.0 mg/kg body weight/day</td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>No dosage adjustment necessary: alternative amphotericin B preparation or other antifungals might be considered if renal insufficiency occurs during therapy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cidofovir</td>
<td>5 mg/kg IV two times each</td>
<td>If increased 0.3–0.4 mg/dL → baseline</td>
<td>3 mg/kg per dose</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>week, then every 2 wks (with</td>
<td>If increased &gt;0.5 mg/dL → baseline or</td>
<td>dic therapy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>probencid and hydration)</td>
<td>≥3+ proteinuria</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ciprofloxacin</td>
<td>500 mg PO BID</td>
<td>30–50</td>
<td>250 mg q72h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5–20</td>
<td>250 mg q12h (or 375 mg q24h)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Patients or dialysis</td>
<td>250 mg q24h (given after dialysis)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clarithromycin</td>
<td>500 mg PO BID</td>
<td>&lt;30</td>
<td>250 mg BID or 500 mg QD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethambutol</td>
<td>15 mg/kg q24h - PO</td>
<td>10–50</td>
<td>15 mg/kg q24-36h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;10</td>
<td>15 mg/kg q48h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flucenazole</td>
<td>200–800 mg PO or IV QD</td>
<td>≥50</td>
<td>Full dose</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;50</td>
<td>50% of full dose</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>hemodialysis</td>
<td>full dose after dialysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluconazole</td>
<td>25 mg/kg PO Q6H</td>
<td>20–40</td>
<td>25 mg/kg q12h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10–20</td>
<td>25 mg/kg q24h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>hemodialysis</td>
<td>25–50 mg/kg q48 72h (after hemodialysis)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foscarnet</td>
<td>120–180 mg/kg/day</td>
<td>Dosage adjustment according to calculated CrCl/kg, consult package labeling for dosing table</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ganciclovir</td>
<td>Induction therapy: 5 mg/kg IV q12h</td>
<td>50–89</td>
<td>2.5 mg/kg q12h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>25–49</td>
<td>2.5 mg/kg q24h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10–24</td>
<td>1.25 mg/kg q24h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;10 or on hemodialysis</td>
<td>1.25 mg/kg iv after dialysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Maintenance Therapy 5 mg/kg IV q24h</td>
<td>50–89</td>
<td>2.5 mg/kg q24h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>25–49</td>
<td>2.5 mg/kg q24h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10–24</td>
<td>1.25 mg/kg q24h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;10 or on hemodialysis</td>
<td>0.625 mg/kg iv after dialysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lamivudine</td>
<td>For HIV/HEV co-infected</td>
<td>30–49</td>
<td>150 mg QD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Patients – 150 mg BID or 300 mg QD</td>
<td>15–29</td>
<td>150 mg x 1, then 100 mg QD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5–14</td>
<td>150 mg x 1, then 50 mg QD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;5 or on hemodialysis</td>
<td>50 mg x 1, then 25 mg QD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Levofloxacin</td>
<td>500 mg PO QD</td>
<td>20–49</td>
<td>250 mg q24h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10–19</td>
<td>250 mg q48h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hemodialysis or continuous ambulatory peritoneal dialysis</td>
<td>250 mg q48h</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### TABLE 10. (Continued) Dosage adjustment in renal insufficiency

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drugs</th>
<th>Normal dose</th>
<th>Creatinine clearance (mL/min)</th>
<th>Dosage adjustment in renal insufficiency</th>
<th>Dose</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aqueous penicillin G</td>
<td>Neurephophilus or Ocular Syphilis</td>
<td>10–50</td>
<td>2–3 MU q4h</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3–4 million units (MU) IV q4h</td>
<td>&lt;10</td>
<td>1 MU q4–6h</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hemodialysis</td>
<td></td>
<td>1 MU q4–6h</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ribavirin</td>
<td>1,000–1,200 mg/day (based on weight)</td>
<td>&lt;50</td>
<td>Not recommended</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rifabutin (or adjustment based on drug drug interaction)</td>
<td>300 mg daily</td>
<td>&lt;30</td>
<td>50% of dose*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Streptomycin</td>
<td>1 g IM or IV q24h</td>
<td>10–50</td>
<td>1 g q24–72h</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;10 Hemodialysis</td>
<td></td>
<td>1 g q72–96 h</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Supplemental 500 mg after hemodialysis (unless 1 g dose is scheduled around the same time)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sulfamethoxazole/Trimethoprim</td>
<td>15–20 mg/kg/day (of TMP) IV or PO in 3–4 divided doses</td>
<td>15–30</td>
<td>5 mg/kg q8h x 48 hr, then 3.5–5 mg/kg q12h</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>End stage renal disease or hemodialysis</td>
<td>&lt;15 Hemodialysis</td>
<td>7–10 mg/kg/day in 1–2 divided doses 7–10 mg/kg after dialysis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tenofovir</td>
<td>For HIV (in HBV patients)</td>
<td>30–49</td>
<td>300 mg q48h</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100 mg PO OD</td>
<td>10–29</td>
<td>300 mg twice weekly</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>End stage renal disease or hemodialysis</td>
<td></td>
<td>300 mg once weekly</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valacyclovir</td>
<td>For Herpes Zoster</td>
<td>30–49</td>
<td>1 g PO q12h</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 g PO TID</td>
<td>10–29</td>
<td>1 g PO q24h</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;10 Hemodialysis</td>
<td></td>
<td>500 mg PO q24h</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Induction Maintenance</td>
<td></td>
<td>Not recommended</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valganciclovir</td>
<td>900 mg PO BID (induction)</td>
<td>40–59</td>
<td>450 mg BID</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>900 mg PO OD (maintenance)</td>
<td>25–39</td>
<td>450 mg OD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10–25 Hemodialysis</td>
<td></td>
<td>450 mg OD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Induction Maintenance</td>
<td></td>
<td>Not recommended</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Not recommended</td>
<td></td>
<td>Not recommended</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voriconazole</td>
<td>IV dose: 6 mg/kg body weight q12h as loading dose, then 4 mg/kg q12h</td>
<td>≤50</td>
<td>Consider switching to PO dosing, SBLCD vehicle might accumulate in patients with renal insufficiency</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*IV = intravenous; PO = oral; QD = daily; BID = twice a day; TID = 3 times daily; TIW = 3 times weekly; qn/h = every ‘n’ hour.

*To prevent underdosing, some specialists prefer to use standard dose and measure drug levels.