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A Panel of International Experts was convened by the Infectious Diseases Society of America (IDSA) in collaboration with the European Society for Microbiology and Infectious Diseases (ESCMID) to update the 1999 Uncomplicated Urinary Tract Infection Guidelines by the IDSA. Co-sponsoring organizations include the American Congress of Obstetricians and Gynecologists, American Urological Association, Association of Medical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases–Canada, and the Society for Academic Emergency Medicine. The focus of this work is treatment of women with acute uncomplicated cystitis and pyelonephritis, diagnoses limited in these guidelines to premenopausal, non-pregnant women with no known urological abnormalities or co-morbidities. The issues of in vitro resistance prevalence and the ecological adverse effects of antimicrobial therapy (collateral damage) were considered as important factors in making optimal treatment choices and thus are reflected in the rankings of recommendations.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

BACKGROUND

Acute uncomplicated cystitis remains one of the most common indications for prescribing of antimicrobials to otherwise healthy community-dwelling women. Despite published guidelines for the optimal selection of an antimicrobial agent and duration of therapy, studies demonstrate a wide variation in prescribing practices [1–6]. The Infectious Diseases Society of America (IDSA) published a clinical practice guideline on the treatment of women with acute uncomplicated cystitis and pyelonephritis in 1999 [1]. Since then, antimicrobial resistance among uropathogens causing uncomplicated cystitis has increased, appreciation of the importance of
The ecological adverse effects of antimicrobial therapy (collateral damage) has increased, newer agents and different durations of therapy have been studied, and clinical outcomes have increasingly been reported. In addition, women with uropathogens resistant to the treatment drug have been included in some studies, allowing for estimations of expected response rates in a “real-life” clinical setting in which empirical therapy is prescribed either without a urine culture and susceptibility testing or before such results are known. In light of these developments, an update of the guidelines was warranted.

The focus of this guideline is treatment of women with acute uncomplicated cystitis and pyelonephritis, diagnoses limited in these guidelines to premenopausal, nonpregnant women with no known urological abnormalities or comorbidities. It should be noted that women who are postmenopausal or have well-controlled diabetes without urological sequelae may be considered by some experts to have uncomplicated urinary tract infection (UTI), but a discussion of specific management of these groups is outside the scope of this guideline. In addition, management of recurrent cystitis and of UTI in pregnant women, prevention of UTI, and diagnosis of UTI are all important issues that are not addressed in this guideline. The issues of in vitro resistance prevalence and the potential for collateral damage were considered as important factors in making optimal treatment choices and thus are reflected in the rankings of recommendations.

Summarized below are the recommendations made in the 2010 guideline update. The Panel followed a process used in the development of other IDSA guidelines which included a systematic weighting of the quality of the evidence and the grade of recommendation [32] (Table 1). A detailed description of the methods, background, and evidence summaries that support each of the recommendations can be found in the full text of the guideline.

Table 1. Strength of Recommendations and Quality of Evidence

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category/grade</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Strength of recommendation</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Good evidence to support a recommendation for or against use</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Moderate evidence to support a recommendation for or against use</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Poor evidence to support a recommendation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Quality of evidence</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>Evidence from &gt;1 properly randomized, controlled trial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>Evidence from &gt;1 well-designed clinical trial, without randomization; from cohort or case-controlled analytic studies (preferably from &gt;1 center); from multiple time-series; or from dramatic results from uncontrolled experiments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>Evidence from opinions of respected authorities, based on clinical experience, descriptive studies, or reports of expert committees</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NOTE.** Data are from the periodic health examination. Canadian Task Force on the Periodic Health Examination. Health Canada, 1979. Adapted and Reproduced with the permission of the Minister of Public Works and Government Services Canada, 2009 [31].

---

I. **What is the Optimal Treatment for Acute Uncomplicated Cystitis?**

**Recommendations (Figure 1).**

1. Nitrofurantoin monohydrate/macrocryystals (100 mg twice daily for 5 days) is an appropriate choice for therapy due to minimal resistance and propensity for collateral damage (defined above) and efficacy comparable to 3 days of trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole (A-I).

2. Trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole (160/800 mg [1 double-strength tablet] twice-daily for 3 days) is an appropriate choice for therapy, given its efficacy as assessed in numerous clinical trials, if local resistance rates of uropathogens causing acute uncomplicated cystitis do not exceed 20% or if the infecting strain is known to be susceptible (A-I).
   i. The threshold of 20% as the resistance prevalence at which the agent is no longer recommended for empirical treatment of acute cystitis is based on expert opinion derived from clinical, in vitro, and mathematical modeling studies (B-III).
   ii. In some countries and regions, trimethoprim (100 mg twice daily for 3 days) is the preferred agent and is considered equivalent to trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole on the basis of data presented in the original guideline (A-III) [1].

3. Fosfomycin trometamol (3 g in a single dose) is an appropriate choice for therapy where it is available due to minimal resistance and propensity for collateral damage, but it appears to have inferior efficacy compared with standard short-course regimens according to data submitted to the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and summarized in the Medical Letter (A-I) [7].
4. Pivmecillinam (400 mg bid for 3–7 days) is an appropriate choice for therapy in regions where it is available (availability limited to some European countries; not licensed and/or available for use in North America), because of minimal resistance and propensity for collateral damage, but it may have inferior efficacy compared with other available therapies (A-I).

5. The fluoroquinolones, ofloxacin, ciprofloxacin, and levofloxacin, are highly efficacious in 3-day regimens (A-I) but have a propensity for collateral damage and should be reserved for important uses other than acute cystitis and thus should be considered alternative antimicrobials for acute cystitis (A-III).

6. β-Lactam agents, including amoxicillin-clavulanate, cefdinir, cefaclor, and cefpodoxime-proxetil, in 3–7-day regimens are appropriate choices for therapy when other recommended agents cannot be used (B - I). Other β-lactams, such as cephalexin, are less well studied but may also be appropriate in certain settings (B-III). The β-lactams generally have inferior efficacy and more adverse effects, compared with other UTI antimicrobials (B-I). For these reasons, β-lactams other than pivmecillinam should be used with caution for uncomplicated cystitis.

7. Amoxicillin or ampicillin should not be used for empirical treatment given the relatively poor efficacy, as discussed in the 1999 guidelines [1] and the very high prevalence of antimicrobial resistance to these agents worldwide [8–11] (A-III).

II. What Is the Treatment for Acute Pyelonephritis?

Recommendations

8. In patients suspected of having pyelonephritis, a urine culture and susceptibility test should always be performed, and initial empirical therapy should be tailored appropriately on the basis of the infecting uropathogen (A-III).

9. Oral ciprofloxacin (500 mg twice daily) for 7 days, with or without an initial 400-mg dose of intravenous ciprofloxacin, is an appropriate choice for initial therapy (A-I). Consider alternate diagnosis (such as pyelonephritis or complicated UTI) & treat accordingly (see text).
appropriate choice for therapy in patients not requiring hospitalization where the prevalence of resistance of community uropathogens to fluoroquinolones is not known to exceed 10% (A-I). If an initial one-time intravenous agent is used, a long-acting antimicrobial, such as 1 g of ceftriaxone or a consolidated 24-h dose of an aminoglycoside, could be used in lieu of an intravenous fluoroquinolone (B-III). If the prevalence of fluoroquinolone resistance is thought to exceed 10%, an initial 1-time intravenous dose of a long-acting parenteral antimicrobial, such as 1 g of ceftriaxone (B-III) or a consolidated 24-h dose of an aminoglycoside, is recommended (B-III).

i. Data are insufficient to make a recommendation about what fluoroquinolone resistance level requires an alternative agent in conjunction with or to replace a fluoroquinolone for treatment of pyelonephritis.

10. A once-daily oral fluoroquinolone, including ciprofloxacin (1000 mg extended release for 7 days) or levofloxacin (750 mg for 5 days), is an appropriate choice for therapy in patients not requiring hospitalization where the prevalence of resistance of community uropathogens is not known to exceed 10% (B-II). If the prevalence of fluoroquinolone resistance is thought to exceed 10%, an initial intravenous dose of a long-acting parenteral antimicrobial, such as 1 g of ceftriaxone (B-III) or a consolidated 24-h dose of an aminoglycoside, is recommended (B-III).

11. Oral trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole (160/800 mg [1 double-strength tablet] twice-daily for 14 days) is an appropriate choice for therapy if the uropathogen is known to be susceptible (A-I). If trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole is used when the susceptibility is not known, an initial intravenous dose of a long-acting parenteral antimicrobial, such as 1 g of ceftriaxone (B-II) or a consolidated 24-h dose of an aminoglycoside, is recommended (B-III).

12. Oral β-lactam agents are less effective than other available agents for treatment of pyelonephritis (B-III). If an oral β-lactam agent is used, an initial intravenous dose of a long-acting parenteral antimicrobial, such as 1 g of ceftriaxone (B-II) or a consolidated 24-h dose of an aminoglycoside, is recommended (B-III).

i. Data are insufficient to modify the previous guideline recommendation for a duration of therapy of 10–14 days for treatment of pyelonephritis with a β-lactam agent.

13. Women with pyelonephritis requiring hospitalization should be initially treated with an intravenous antimicrobial regimen, such as a fluoroquinolone; an aminoglycoside, with or without ampicillin; an extended-spectrum cephalosporin or extended-spectrum penicillin, with or without an aminoglycoside; or a carbapenem. The choice between these agents should be based on local resistance data, and the regimen should be tailored on the basis of susceptibility results (B-III).
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