A Paradigm Shift: Focus on the HIV Prevention Continuum
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The human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) prevention continuum is a framework that illustrates the interconnectedness of each step in the spectrum of prevention services, while emphasizing that all steps are needed to decrease HIV acquisition and transmission. This continuum, similar to the HIV care continuum, begins with HIV testing followed by linkage of HIV-uninfected persons to prevention services, retention in such services, and adherence to prevention interventions with repeated HIV testing to monitor for HIV acquisition. To advance the global goal of zero new HIV infections, individuals must receive the entire continuum of prevention services, and no partial credit can be given to achievement of one step in isolation of all steps in the continuum.
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The human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) care continuum, or HIV care cascade, has been heralded as an important tool for measuring the performance of HIV care and treatment programs [1]. Studies have indicated that every step in the HIV care continuum is important, and that improvement in each step must be accomplished to meaningfully achieve viral load suppression [1-3]. Although this framework has gained much attention in the context of HIV care and treatment for persons living with HIV, little attention has been directed to the utility of a similar framework for HIV prevention. The conceptualization of an HIV prevention continuum illustrates the interconnectedness of each step in the spectrum of prevention services, while emphasizing that all steps are needed to decrease HIV acquisition and transmission [4].

PROPOSED STEPS IN THE HIV PREVENTION CONTINUUM

The HIV prevention continuum (Figure 1), similar to the HIV care continuum, builds on HIV testing as its foundation followed by linkage of HIV-uninfected persons to prevention services, retention in services, and adherence to services to prevent HIV acquisition and transmission. The common desired endpoint of the prevention continuum is ensuring that individuals remain HIV-uninfected.

In countries with high HIV prevalence, universal access to HIV testing is recommended, yet the median proportion of persons who ever received an HIV test and their results in low- and middle-income countries is 33.6% among women and 17.2% among men [5]. Annual HIV testing is recommended for people who inject drugs [6], and repeat testing, as frequent as every 3-6 months, has been recommended for men who have sex with men (MSM) [7]. Expansion of HIV testing is recommended for adolescents (aged 10–19 years) in high-prevalence settings, where access to and coverage of antiretroviral therapy (ART) is lower than for adults and children [8]. Testing efforts are critical to provide an opportunity to identify individuals at high risk such as HIV-uninfected partners in serodiscordant relationships. Whereas studies have shown disparate estimates with regard to the magnitude of contribution of transmission among discordant couples to new infections [9,10], HIV-uninfected persons within such partnerships are at substantial risk for HIV acquisition [11, 12]. In the recent Kenya AIDS Indicator Survey from 2012, an estimated 260,000 couples were HIV discordant, highlighting the importance of testing partners of HIV-infected individuals [13].
Identification of HIV-uninfected individuals compels the need to link them to evidence-based prevention interventions—including biomedical interventions (eg, voluntary medical male circumcision [VMMC] and preexposure prophylaxis [PrEP]), behavioral interventions (eg, risk reduction counseling, condom use, syringe needle exchange programs, and opiate substitution therapy), and structural interventions that influence prevention (eg, transport reimbursement, stable housing, and policies that influence employment, migration, and gender inequality [16–18]). There is a paucity of data regarding linkage to HIV prevention services for individuals found to be HIV uninfected. Testing programs must ensure that an HIV-negative test result not be the end of the continuum, but the beginning of a path along the HIV prevention continuum through linkage of uninfected individuals into prevention services.

HIV prevention for uninfected individuals at risk should not be perceived as a one-time event, but rather as requiring ongoing engagement, or retention, in the prevention process for as long as the risk remains in order to maintain the desired protective effect of the interventions. The importance of retention in prevention services and adherence to interventions is evident even for VMMC, a one-time biomedical intervention with 60% protective efficacy [19–21]. Protection from HIV acquisition in men who had VMMC is not complete, necessitating ongoing risk reduction counseling and consistent condom use [22]. Retention is also important to ensure repeat HIV testing and early diagnosis of HIV infection, if HIV acquisition occurs. Newly infected individuals must be promptly linked to HIV care and treatment and other prevention methods including partner testing, condom use, and antiretroviral drugs for prevention [4].

Finally, adherence to HIV prevention interventions that involve ongoing use, such as PrEP, is essential for efficacy [23]. Oral PrEP has been demonstrated to be efficacious among high-risk groups such as MSM, people who inject drugs, serodiscordant couples, and at-risk women, yet not all PrEP study results have been consistent. The iPrEX, Partners PrEP, and TDF2 studies showed that oral PrEP provided 44% protection for HIV acquisition in HIV-uninfected MSM, 73% protection for HIV-uninfected partners in discordant couples, and 63% protection for women in Botswana [12, 24, 25]. However, neither the FEM-PrEP nor the VOICE studies showed efficacy in women of oral tenofovir/emtricitabine, nor oral or vaginal tenofovir [26, 27]. Reasons for varying efficacy in the PrEP studies were contingent on adherence with the antiretroviral medication [23]. These findings have highlighted the importance of combination packages of prevention interventions to include structural and behavioral strategies to enhance both retention and adherence to the intervention, in order to achieve maximal efficacy of the prevention package [28].

**INTERSECTION OF THE HIV CARE AND PREVENTION CONTINUA**

The HIV care and prevention continua are not parallel trajectories, but rather should be conceptualized as complementary paths that will often intersect for individuals and couples. Individuals who are initially HIV uninfected may retest and be found to have acquired HIV infection and need to transition to the HIV care continuum for prompt assessment and initiation of ART, as appropriate, to ultimately achieve viral load suppression. Serodiscordant couples span the 2 continua, with the HIV-infected partner needing linkage to and retention in the care continuum, and the HIV-uninfected partner needing repeat testing and possibly PrEP in conjunction with adherence and risk reduction counseling. Similarly, HIV-infected
CONCLUSIONS

At a population level, both the HIV care and the HIV prevention continua are necessary for framing a comprehensive response to the HIV epidemic. Both continua must focus on the very beginning of the trajectory—identifying those who are HIV-infected in a community but are unaware of their infection as well as those who are uninfected and should be the target of the various interventions at each step of the HIV prevention continuum. Achievement of one step in either continuum may benefit individuals and should be applauded; however, all steps must be optimized to maximize the impact of care, treatment, and prevention services and raise the bar of program performance [29]. The use of a prevention continuum may offer a new framework to measure HIV prevention program performance by linking steps and encouraging engagement across all the steps. Conceptualization and implementation of the prevention continuum for specific populations at risk will require careful analysis of the size and location of such populations, impediments to their engagement in prevention, efforts at demand generation for such services, tailoring of the prevention packages, and training of the appropriate workforce to be engaged in such efforts, as well as design of supportive activities to promote linkage, retention, and adherence in prevention services. In addition, new monitoring tools will need to be developed to measure each step of the prevention continuum and, most important, the quality and effectiveness of the overall continuum. To achieve the global goal of an AIDS-free generation, no partial credit can be given to achievement of one step in isolation of all steps [1].
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