Surgery of the dilated aortic root and ascending aorta in pediatric patients: techniques and results
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Abstract

Objective: Dilatation of the aortic root is a well-known cardiovascular manifestation in children and adult patients with connective tissue disease (e.g. Marfan syndrome). Dilatation of the ascending aorta is extremely rare and may be associated with bicuspid aortic valve. This report evaluates the incidence of dilative aortic root and ascending aortic pathology in patients younger than 18 years and analyzes the results obtained after repair and replacement strategies. Methods: Between 1/1995 and 12/2002, a total of 752 operations on the thoracic aorta were performed in adult and pediatric patients. We present our experience with a group of 26 patients, 18 years of age, who required isolated surgery of the aortic root and/or ascending aorta because of a dilative lesion. Fifteen patients had isolated aortic root dilatation (13 of them suffered from Marfan syndrome), eight patients presented with an idiopathic dilatation of the ascending aorta and three patients had dilatation in association with a bicuspid aortic valve. Mean age was 10 ± 4.8 years (4–18 years). Repair of the aortic root with preservation of the aortic valve (Yacoub, David or selective sinus repair) was performed in nine patients, replacement using a homograft was performed in five patients, composite graft with mechanical prosthesis in two patients, with biological prosthesis in one patient and Ross operation was performed in one case. Isolated supracoronary graft replacement was performed in eight patients. Results: Two patients died during hospitalization: a 10-year old girl developed respiratory failure on the 2nd postoperative day and autopsy revealed Ehlers–Danlos syndrome with a massive intrapulmonary emphysema. A 14-year-old Marfan patient with severely depressed preoperative LV function died from low cardiac output following composite-graft, mitral and tricuspid valve repair. One patient required aortic valve replacement 7 days after an aortic valve sparing root repair. There was no additional perioperative morbidity. In the long-term, two patients died from rupture of the thoracic aorta, both following minor non-cardiovascular surgical procedures. Both had normal sized descending and abdominal aorta. Conclusion: Repair of the aortic root and/or ascending aorta in children and adolescent patients can be performed with acceptable early and late results. While the presence of severe comorbidity may adversely affect early outcome, long-term survival was mainly determined by rupture of the descending aorta.
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1. Introduction

The most frequent surgical procedures on the thoracic aorta in neonates, infants and children are performed to repair aortic coarctation and hypoplastic or interrupted aortic arch. Isolated dilatation of the aortic root and/or ascending aorta is a rare but well-known cardiovascular manifestation, which is usually encountered in patients with underlying connective tissue diseases (e.g. Marfan syndrome, Ehlers–Danlos and Turner syndrome) [1–4]. The dilatation of the aortic root may lead to secondary aortic valve regurgitation and exposes the patients to the risk of acute aortic dissection or rupture. Pediatric patients rarely present with primary aortic valve regurgitation; in this case, the main mechanism of valve regurgitation is dilatation of the aortic sinuses, of the aortic anulus or spreading of the commissures at the sino-tubular level [5,6]. Another small group of patients may suffer from dilatation of the
supracoronal ascending aorta, with or without the presence of a bicuspid aortic valve.

If morphology of the aortic valve leaflets is normal, aortic root repair includes complete excision of the pathological aortic tissue with preservation of the normal aortic valve, either by reimplantation into a prosthetic graft or by remodeling the aortic root [7–9]. Preservation of the native valve may have several advantages: excellent hemo-
dynamic characteristics, avoidance of oral anticoagulation and some growth potential in younger patients. However, controversy still exists regarding the durability of aortic valve-sparing procedures especially in the context of Marfan syndrome, in which the fibrillin defect involves not only the aortic wall but also the aortic valve leaflets as well [10]. We present our experience with a group of 26 patients < 18 years of age, who required isolated surgery of the aortic root and/or ascending aorta because of a dilatative lesion.

2. Methods

Between 1/1995 and 12/2002, a total of 752 operations on the thoracic aorta were performed in adult and pediatric patients because of aneurysm or dissection. Procedures performed because of isolated coarctation, stenosis of the ascending aorta (e.g. Williams–Beuren syndrome), and hypoplastic or interrupted aortic arch were excluded. Twenty-six patients < 18 years of age required isolated surgery of the aortic root and/or ascending aorta because of a dilatative lesion during the same time period. Sixteen patients were male and 10 were female. Mean age was 10 ± 4.8 years, ranging from 4 to 18 years.

Fifteen patients (13 of them had proven Marfan syndrome according to the Gent nosology [11]) had isolated aortic root dilatation. Eight patients presented with an idiopathic dilatation of the ascending aorta; three of them had had previous cardiovascular surgery: PDA closure in one, coarctation repair in one and repair of complete aortoventricular canal in one patient. Finally, three patients had a dilatation in association with bicuspid aortic valve, one of them had severe valvular aortic stenosis and the other two had a normal valve function (Table 1).

Surgical procedures are summarized in Table 2. Repair of the aortic root with preservation of the native valve was performed in nine patients (Yacoub repair [n = 6], David repair [n = 2] or selective one-sinus repair [n = 1]). Replacement using a homograft was performed in five patients, composite graft with mechanical prosthesis in two patients (in one of them in association with mitral and tricuspid valve repair), composite graft with biological prosthesis in one patient. Pulmonary autograft transfer (Ross procedure) was performed in one young girl with severe valvular stenosis and poststenotic dilatation Table 2.

Supracoronal graft replacement was performed in eight patients. In an 18-year-old girl who presented with aneurysm of the ascending aorta, hypoplastic aortic arch and coarctation, a supracoronal graft repair was combined to an ascending-to-descending bypass to treat the complete pathology in one step (Fig. 1).

The majority of patients was completely asymptomatic from their disease. Children and adolescent patients with a proven Marfan syndrome and aortic root dilatation were followed with repeated echocardiographic examination aimed to assess the size and the evolution of the diameter of the aortic root and at different levels (mid-ascending, arch, proximal descending, thoraco-abdominal hiatus, abdominal). Five out of eight patients with idiopathic dilatation had their aortic disease discovered incidentally, mainly by conventional chest X-ray performed because of respiratory infection or minor thoracic trauma. The three others had had prior cardiovascular surgery and were discovered to have ascending aortic aneurysm during follow-up examination. Since there are no absolute values

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diagnosis</th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Marfan syndrome</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Idiopathic dilatation (aortic root)</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Idiopathic dilatation (ascending aorta)</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bicuspid aortic valve + supracoronal dilation</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Surgical procedures</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean cardiopulmonary bypass time</td>
<td>105 ± 22 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aortic root repair or replacement (n = 18)</td>
<td>46 ± 26 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supracoronal graft repair (n = 8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean aortic cross-clamping time</td>
<td>73 ± 18 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aortic root repair or replacement (n = 18)</td>
<td>29 ± 14 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supracoronal graft repair (n = 8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deep hypothermic circulatory arrest time (three cases)</td>
<td>8, 10, 11 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yacoub procedure of aortic root repair</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mitral valve repair in 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David procedure of aortic root repair</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One sinus aortic root repair</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homograft</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mitral valve repair in 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Composite graft (mechanical prosthesis)</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mitral and tricuspid valve repair in 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Composite graft (biological prosthesis)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulmonary autograft (Ross)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supracoronal aortic replacement</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Two patients were operated in Perm, Russia.
of aortic diameter in children and adolescents, we found repair or replacement of the aortic root or ascending aorta to be justified when the diameter of the dilated segment was more than twice the size of a ‘reference’ diameter, obtained by the addition of the diameter at the level of the aortic arch and the diaphragmatic hiatus and divided by 2. Indication was also found justified when an increase in size of more than 5–8 mm during 6–12 months was observed.

3. Operative technique

Selection of the operative procedure was based on the location and extension of the disease and the mechanism and severity of aortic regurgitation if present. Patients with isolated dilatation of the ascending aorta and normal aortic anulus, aortic valve leaflets and aortic sinuses underwent isolated supracoronary graft replacement, the proximal anastomosis being performed at the level of the sino-tubular junction (Fig. 2). In three out of eight patients, the distal anastomosis was performed in the open arch technique using a short period of deep hypothermic circulatory arrest to allow complete exclusion of the most cranial part of the dilated ascending aorta.

Eight patients with dilated aortic root and Marfan syndrome received an aortic valve sparing operation. In one of them, dilatation was not limited to the aortic root but extended in the ascending aorta as well (Fig. 3a). In these patients the sinuses of Valsalva are excised, leaving a rim of approximately 3 mm of sinus wall adjacent to the aortic anulus. The size of the Dacron vascular graft is chosen to fit the diameter of the aorto–ventricular junction. The anulus is reinforced with interrupted sutures and a strip of pericardin. The proximal end of the graft is cut so that the edges conformed to the insertion line of the aortic valve leaflets, according to the technique described by Yacoub [12]. Three running sutures are performed following closely the insertion of the leaflets in the anulus. Fig. 3b shows a re-implanted aortic valve before coronary anastomoses to the ascending aortic graft. The coronary artery ostia are reimplanted into their respective neoaortic sinus using a 6.0 polypropylene running suture.

In cases of isolated dilatation of the supracoronary aorta, the ascending aorta is transected at the level of the commissures of the aortic valve and distally at an appropriate level to allow complete exclusion of the dilated segment.

Root replacement using a homograft was performed in five patients, a composite graft with mechanical prosthesis in two patients (the parents wanted to have the most definitive repair with the lowest probability of redo-surgery), with biological prosthesis in one patient and a Ross operation was performed in a 10-year-old girl with severe valvular aortic stenosis and poststenotic dilatation. Two patients underwent concomitant repair of the mitral valve (anuloplasty) and one had mitral and tricuspid valve repair.

In all patients intraoperative transesophageal echocardiography was performed to assess the function of the preserved aortic valve and the quality of the mitral repair. In those patients who underwent aortic root replacement with homograft or as a Ross procedure, echocardiography was performed to demonstrate normal motion of the homograft leaflets and absence of significant regurgitation.

4. Results

Cardiopulmonary bypass time and aortic cross-clamping time were significantly longer in patients \((n = 18)\) who underwent repair or replacement of the aortic root \((105 \pm 22\) min, resp. \(73 \pm 18\) min) than in those \((n = 8)\) with isolated supracoronary graft replacement \((46 \pm 26\) min, resp. \(29 \pm 14\) min). Duration of deep hypothermic circulatory arrest at 16–18 °C was 8, 10 and 11 min, respectively.

Hospital mortality occurred in two cases: a 10-year-old girl died from respiratory failure on the 2nd postoperative day and post-mortem revealed a massive intrapulmonary emphysema with rarified interalveolar septa and several of the typical characteristics of Ehler–Danlos syndrome. A 14-year-old Marfan patient died after being extubated on postoperative day 2 from low cardiac output following composite-graft, mitral and tricuspid valve repair. He had severely reduced left ventricular function preoperatively with an ejection fraction calculated at 30% in the presence of severe mitral regurgitation.

One patient required mechanical valve replacement 1 week after a valve-sparing procedure because of severe aortic valve regurgitation. Echocardiography showed a large eccentric aortic regurgitation jet and at redo-surgery, regurgitation was found to be caused by a tear in an aortic leaflet close to the commissure between the right
and non-coronary sinus. Except this major adverse event, there was no additional significant perioperative morbidity. In the long-term two patients died, most probably from distal aortic rupture; both acute events occurred in the early postoperative course following minor surgical procedures. One patient died after inguinal hernia repair and the second died several days after laser therapy of a large cervico-facial naevus flammeus. The first patient was under cumadines, whereas the second did not receive any anticoagulants.

Both had a normal sized descending and abdominal aorta. Unfortunately, autopsy was not allowed by the relatives but aortic rupture was suspected on the basis of the clinical presentation and thought to be related, at least in one case, to hypertensive episodes due to postoperative pains.

Following aortic surgery, continued meticulous echocardiographic and/or CT-scan follow-up were performed in all patients to evaluate the size of non-operated aortic segments, the function of the preserved aortic valve or of the homograft valve as well as beginning signs of deterioration. Aortic valve replacement was necessary in one patient who presented with severe calcification and retraction of the aortic cusps of a homograft 6 years postoperatively.

5. Comment

It is inappropriate to think of children and adolescent patients with the Marfan syndrome and those young patients with idiopathic dilatation of the aortic root and/or the
Unfortunately, the majority of published series do not wanted to avoid indefinite anticoagulation [7–9,20,21].

As many patients with a normally functioning aortic valve sparing aortic root replacement became popular in the 1990s does not require permanent anticoagulation [19]. Valve mechanical or biological prosthesis and the procedure demonstrated to be a good alternative because the function of the native aortic valve. The native aortic valve has been shown to be aortic root repair with preservation of dissection and rupture as well as the development of severe aortic valve regurgitation [14,15]. Historically, standard surgical treatment has been replacement of the entire aortic root and the aortic valve with a composite valve graft including reimplantation of the coronary ostia [16]. The mechanical valve inserted in a composite graft is known for long-term durability but these young patients are exposed to a long-term risk of thromboembolism and oral anticoagulation. However, the majority of them will tolerate anticoagulation very well and they and their parents do not wish to accept the risk of another operation. Over the past 20 years, replacement of the aortic root with a composite graft prosthesis has proven to be a low risk operation in specialized centers, and indeed a very durable one [17,18]. The results of 20 years of composite graft with a mechanical valve are excellent and constitute the gold standard for patients with and without Marfan syndrome [1,15,17,18]. However, some patients have medical contraindications making indefinite anticoagulation inadvisable, others are not medically compliant enough for anticoagulation to be safe, some younger individuals have lifestyles making anticoagulation hazardous and some have an aversion to anticoagulation. In these circumstances, the aortic root and valve may be replaced with a cryopreserved homograft or with a xenograft aortic root. However, long-term durability of these substitutes is limited and may considerably differ inter-individually. Furthermore, the risk of reoperation is not as low as it may be at the initial operation because the homograft or xenograft may be densely calcified. Sternal reentry may be difficult, the coronary ostia may need to be reimplanted again and the aortic anulus may be excessively scarred. In our series, one patient had severe calcifications and retraction of the aortic leaflets and a mechanical valve was implanted within the homograft to simplify the redo-procedure. Another option for those patients who want to avoid anticoagulation is aortic root repair with preservation of the native aortic valve. The native aortic valve has been demonstrated to be a good alternative because the hemodynamics cannot be surpassed by those of any mechanical or biological prosthesis and the procedure does not require permanent anticoagulation [19]. Valve sparing aortic root replacement became popular in the 1990s as many patients with a normally functioning aortic valve wanted to avoid indefinite anticoagulation [7–9,20,21]. Unfortunately, the majority of published series do not describe enough patients remaining at risk beyond 10 years to answer the question: how many years will the valve last before a second operation becomes necessary? Even in the personal experience of leading surgeons a substantial proportion of patients have required reoperation for aortic regurgitation while others have substantial regurgitation at follow-up, which will require reoperation. In the series of Vouhé’s group, two patients required mechanical valve replacement 3 years after a Yacoub procedure [2]. In the present series, one patient had early acute failure of the aortic valve after a sparing procedure. The aortic valve was replaced during the same hospitalization.

Some cases with deteriorating valve function are probably due to ongoing dilatation of the aortic anulus or progressive disease of the aortic valve leaflets. In that sense, the reimplantation technique seems to produce less recurrence of aortic regurgitation in the long-term [20,21].

With this technique, the aortic graft is anchored at the ventriculo–aortic junction, but the valve is surrounded by the tubular graft without sinuses. Many variations of the David procedure have been designed to create aortic pseudo-sinuses in the graft, which have been described to be essential to prevent early valve failure. Anular reinforcement should be performed in every Marfan case. However, the role of ongoing pathology in the aortic leaflets of Marfan patients remains to be determined. In our experience, the adverse outcome and the problems encountered during the follow-up were not primarily determined by the pathology of the aortic root but mainly by a significant co-morbidity and by events in the more distal aortic segments.

The timing of surgery is crucial in these patients. While difficult to determine in some patients, it is related to the aortic diameter, the rate of progression of the dilatation and the function of the aortic valve.

In one of the patients in the present series, aneurysm of the ascending aorta was associated to a tubular hypoplasia of the aortic arch and a coarctation. The patient did not favor two operations with a different approach and did not accept the risk of deep hypothermic circulatory arrest. Angiography showed a long extent of the hypoplastic arch and descending aortic and numerous large collateral vessels. For this reason, we decided to proceed with a single straightforward intervention via sternotomy and performed a supracoronary graft replacement and an extra-anatomic ascending-to-descending aortic bypass [22,23].

6. Conclusion

In pediatric and adolescent patients suffering from a dilatation of the aortic root and/or ascending aorta, a surgical technique that does not require long-term coumadine treatment (aortic valve sparing operation, selective sinus repair and supracoronary graft replacement) can be offered in the majority of cases. However, composite graft repair is still a good option for those who want to minimize
the probability of a second operation. Despite root repair or replacement, the long-term outcome may be complicated by unexpected events in the more distal aortic segments.
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