Effects of functional orthodontic appliances in the correction of a primary anterior crossbite—changes in craniofacial morphology and tongue position
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SUMMARY Chin caps have been used for the correction of primary anterior crossbites, but previous studies of the treatment effects have highlighted problems such as pressure on the temporomandibular joint (TMJ). Therefore, to reduce pressure on the TMJ, a functional orthodontic appliance, the Yanagisawa Class III (YC3) shield was developed to improve the overjet in the primary dentition. The aim of this study was to determine the treatment effects of the YC3 in correcting a primary anterior crossbite compared with a chin cap.

The pre- and post-treatment lateral cephalograms of 10 patients (5 male, 5 females) and 10 treated with a YC3 (5 males, 5 females) were compared in relation to craniofacial morphology and tongue position [the YC3 was used from 51 months of age ± 7 months to 74 months of age ± 12 months (i.e. for 23 ± 9 months) and the chin cap from 53 months of age ± 2 months to 75 months of age ± 1 month (i.e. for 22 ± 5 months)]. A Mann–Whitney U-test was used to determine any statistical differences.

The YC3 group showed significantly higher values for gonial angle and U1 to SN ($P < 0.05$), and significantly lower values for interincisal and tongue position ($P < 0.05$), compared with the chin cap group. The results indicate that the use of the YC3 not only improves overjet, but also changes the tongue position. The findings also suggest that the YC3 results in functional effects on the skeletal system during the mid-growth period.

Introduction

The incidence of an anterior crossbite during the primary dentition period is 4.5 per cent in Japan (Ichiishi et al., 1997). Patients with an anterior crossbite in the primary dentition are classified into two groups: subjects in whom the overjet spontaneously normalizes during the mixed dentition period, and those in whom the occlusal relationship proceeds to a reversed skeletal occlusion. Primary anterior crossbites are not only hereditary, but also reject functional disorders of the tongue and an imbalance in the muscle pressure of the lips and the tongue (Rogers, 1918; Eifert, 1960; Bandy, 1969; Moss, 1975; Yanagisawa, 1985; Adamidis and Spyropoulos, 1992; Lo, 2001).

There have been reports that the tongue occupies a lower and more anterior position in subjects with a primary anterior crossbite than in those with a normal occlusion; the abnormal tongue position being a cause of the anterior crossbite of the maxillo-mandibular anterior teeth (Yanagisawa, 1985; Uchiyama, 2000; Sakata, 2001). When an anterior crossbite remains untreated, it may result, with growth, in a skeletal type anterior crossbite. Therefore, in the early period before completion of growth, it is clinically important to maintain the balance of the jaw relationship, normal muscle function, and normal growth and development. This can be achieved by improving a primary anterior crossbite using the mutual relationship between morphological and functional changes.

Generally, chin caps are used to treat primary anterior crossbites. The use of chin caps for a long period to suppress antero-inferior growth of the mandible improves the maxillo-mandibular relationship by posteriorly rotating the mandible, and decreasing the mandibular angle (Armstrong, 1961; Thilander, 1965; Lu et al., 1991). However, problems with the use of chin caps, such as rebound after cessation of use, and an excess load applied to the temporomandibular joint (TMJ) have been reported (Mitani and Sakamoto, 1984; Agronin and Kokichi, 1987; Imai et al., 1990). The Yanagisawa Class III (YC3) shield was developed to elevate the tongue position, which is believed to be a cause of primary anterior crossbites (Yanagisawa, 1985). The effect of the YC3 is to improve the overjet relationship and mandibular position by correcting axial tooth inclination. It uses muscular forces (tongue pressure) without applying pressure to the mandible.

Whilst there have been case reports (Yanagisawa, 1985; Ohki et al., 1990) regarding the effects of overjet improvement on craniofacial morphology following use of the YC3 in paediatric subjects, the treatment effects of the YC3 have not been sufficiently compared with those of
conventional chin caps. The aim of this study was therefore to determine the effects of elevating a low tongue position on the development of craniofacial morphology in patients with a primary anterior crossbite and to quantify changes in craniofacial morphology and tongue position after treatment with either a YC3 or a chin cap.

Subjects and methods

The lateral cephalograms of 20 subjects who attended the Nihon University School of Dentistry with a positive overbite and negative overjet involving the primary anterior teeth, including the canines, were analysed before and after treatment using either a YC3 [10 patients (5 females, 5 males)] or a chin cap [10 patients (5 females, 5 males)]. The YC3 was used from 51 months of age ± 7 months to 74 months of age ± 12 months (i.e. for 23 ± 9 months) and the chin cap from 53 months of age ± 2 months to 75 months of age ± 1 month (i.e. for 22 ± 5 months), with no significant difference in age between the groups. During production of the cephalograms the patients were instructed not to swallow. Cephalograms in which the angle formed by the Frankfort horizontal (FH) plane and the inferior border of the film was within ± 5 degrees were used, since the influence of head position on the position of the hyoid bone is minimal (Durgo and Brodie, 1962). Cephalograms with unclear images of the hyoid bone due to swallowing were excluded (8 pre-treatment and 10 post-treatment films).

The purpose of the study was explained to the patients and their parents and informed consent was obtained.

Measurements

To determine craniofacial morphology, the skeletal and dental patterns were measured (Figures 1–3) according to Downs (1948), Jacobson (1976), McNamara (1981a,b), and Ricketts, 1960, 1972). For tongue position, in addition to the points proposed by Ohki et al. (1990; Figure 4), the position of the hyoid bone and that of the dorsum of the tongue were measured.

Measurement error

All authors measured 20 films three times with a time interval between measurements of one week. Each measurement value was then averaged. The difference in the measured values did not exceed the standard deviation (SD) when all three measurements were averaged.

Statistical evaluation

The mean and SD of the measurements for pre-treatment maxillo-facial morphology and tongue position were calculated for each group. The Mann–Whitney U-test was used to analyse the pre- and post-treatment differences between the two groups.

Results

The measurements for craniofacial morphology and tongue position for both groups pre-treatment are shown in Tables 1 and 2. No significant differences in skeletal or dental parameters, or tongue position were found between the groups. Following treatment the values for gonial angle and U1 to SN were significantly higher in the YC3 group compared with the chin cap group; conversely the values for interincisal angle and tongue position were significantly lower ($P < 0.05$).

Discussion

It has been reported that a low tongue is a positional abnormality in subjects with an anterior crossbite in the primary dentition which influences the overjet relationship of the anterior teeth and growth of the maxillo-mandibular complex during functional movement (Rogers, 1918; Yanagisawa, 1985; Uchiyama, 2000; Sakata, 2001). The YC3 was developed to move the upper lip, facilitate anterior growth of the maxilla, and improve the tongue position by elevating it. However, no adequate evaluation of the treatment effects of the YC3 has been carried out.

In this study, using lateral cephalograms, the craniofacial
morphology and tongue position in subjects with a primary anterior crossbite treated with either a YC3 or a chin cap were compared pre- and post-treatment. Comparison of the craniofacial morphology and tongue position between the two groups pre-treatment showed no significant difference in craniofacial morphology or tongue position.

Comparison of the craniofacial morphology and tongue position between the groups post-treatment showed that the values for gonial angle and U1 to SN were significantly higher, while those for interincisal angle were significantly lower in the YC3 group than in the chin cap group ($P < 0.05$). For tongue position the values were significantly lower in the YC3 group than in the chin cap group ($P < 0.05$). The YC3 group showed influences on the skeletal pattern similar to those in the chin cap group, except for changes in the mandibular angle. On the other hand, the YC3 group showed both labial inclination of the maxillary anterior teeth, and significant changes in the inclination angle of the maxillo-mandibular tooth axis.

There have been reports that deficient growth of the maxilla occurs in primary anterior crossbite subjects, and that the maxillary anterior teeth are linguually inclined (Korkhaus, 1957; Higuchi, 1998). It is, therefore, suggested that the YC3 moves the upper lip and resists the force from the buccinator muscle, facilitating growth of the maxilla so that changes
Table 1  Mean values and standard deviations (SD) of craniofacial morphology and tongue position in each group pre-treatment, and the results of the test of significant differences between the two groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measurements</th>
<th>YC3 group pre-treatment</th>
<th>Chin cap group pre-treatment</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>Mean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Skeletal pattern</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facial axis (°)</td>
<td>87.89</td>
<td>(2.00)</td>
<td>89.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facial depth (°)</td>
<td>84.67</td>
<td>(1.96)</td>
<td>86.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mandibular plane angle (°)</td>
<td>28.48</td>
<td>(3.66)</td>
<td>27.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower face height (°)</td>
<td>45.17</td>
<td>(3.77)</td>
<td>44.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mandibular arc (°)</td>
<td>30.75</td>
<td>(3.82)</td>
<td>33.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corpus length (mm)</td>
<td>56.39</td>
<td>(3.13)</td>
<td>58.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Point A to McNamara line (mm)</td>
<td>−1.28</td>
<td>(2.53)</td>
<td>0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pogonion to McNamara line (mm)</td>
<td>−8.10</td>
<td>(2.80)</td>
<td>−6.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gonial angle (°)</td>
<td>135.25</td>
<td>(3.73)</td>
<td>129.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNA (°)</td>
<td>81.77</td>
<td>(4.07)</td>
<td>81.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNB (°)</td>
<td>80.16</td>
<td>(3.16)</td>
<td>80.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANB (°)</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>(1.51)</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AO-BO (mm)</td>
<td>−5.31</td>
<td>(1.71)</td>
<td>−5.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Dental pattern</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occlusal plane (°)</td>
<td>19.54</td>
<td>(2.36)</td>
<td>12.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper 1 to SN (°)</td>
<td>85.15</td>
<td>(7.17)</td>
<td>91.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower 1 to mandibular plane (°)</td>
<td>83.87</td>
<td>(5.47)</td>
<td>84.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interincisal angle (°)</td>
<td>156.01</td>
<td>(12.23)</td>
<td>148.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tongue position</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>∠NSH (°)</td>
<td>84.83</td>
<td>(4.74)</td>
<td>86.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S-H (mm)</td>
<td>86.06</td>
<td>(3.08)</td>
<td>87.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T-P (mm)</td>
<td>7.15</td>
<td>(1.80)</td>
<td>6.25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

n.s. not significant.

Table 2  Mean values and standard deviations (SD) of craniofacial morphology and tongue position in each group post-treatment, and the results of the test of significant differences between the two groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measurements</th>
<th>YC3 group post-treatment</th>
<th>Chin cap group post-treatment</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>Mean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Skeletal pattern</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facial axis (°)</td>
<td>86.30</td>
<td>(3.19)</td>
<td>87.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facial depth (°)</td>
<td>84.78</td>
<td>(2.93)</td>
<td>84.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mandibular plane angle (°)</td>
<td>28.48</td>
<td>(3.66)</td>
<td>27.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower face height (°)</td>
<td>45.17</td>
<td>(3.77)</td>
<td>44.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mandibular arc (°)</td>
<td>30.75</td>
<td>(3.82)</td>
<td>33.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corpus length (mm)</td>
<td>56.39</td>
<td>(3.13)</td>
<td>58.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Point A to McNamara line (mm)</td>
<td>−1.28</td>
<td>(2.53)</td>
<td>0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pogonion to McNamara line (mm)</td>
<td>−8.10</td>
<td>(2.80)</td>
<td>−6.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gonial angle (°)</td>
<td>136.23</td>
<td>(2.96)</td>
<td>122.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNA (°)</td>
<td>81.49</td>
<td>(4.17)</td>
<td>82.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNB (°)</td>
<td>78.93</td>
<td>(4.25)</td>
<td>78.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANB (°)</td>
<td>2.58</td>
<td>(1.73)</td>
<td>3.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AO-BO (mm)</td>
<td>−3.33</td>
<td>(2.36)</td>
<td>−2.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Dental pattern</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occlusal plane (°)</td>
<td>12.60</td>
<td>(1.87)</td>
<td>11.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper 1 to SN (°)</td>
<td>114.10</td>
<td>(9.57)</td>
<td>95.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower 1 to mandibular plane (°)</td>
<td>83.58</td>
<td>(7.54)</td>
<td>83.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interincisal angle (°)</td>
<td>128.39</td>
<td>(9.88)</td>
<td>139.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tongue position</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>∠NSH (°)</td>
<td>88.02</td>
<td>(3.55)</td>
<td>88.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S-H (mm)</td>
<td>91.55</td>
<td>(5.33)</td>
<td>91.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T-P (mm)</td>
<td>4.22</td>
<td>(1.50)</td>
<td>6.70</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

n.s. not significant. *P < 0.05.
occur in the labial inclination of the maxillary anterior teeth. Furthermore, the tongue position was elevated post-treatment in the YC3 group. As for treatment effects of chin caps, the use of chin caps for a long period to suppress the antero-inferior growth of the mandible improves the maxillo-mandibular relationship by posteriorly rotating the mandible, and decreasing the mandibular angle (Armstrong, 1961; Thilander, 1965; Lu et al., 1991), however, the results of the present study show that the YC3 not only improves overjet but also changes the position of the hyoid bone by elevating the tongue. This new position is retained through re-education. From the perspective of these changes in tongue position, it is speculated that the YC3 has functional effects on the skeletal system during the mid-growth period. However, further evaluation after long-term follow-up is necessary.

**Conclusions**

The findings of the present study suggest that the treatment effects of the YC3 are not only an improvement in overjet, but also a change in tongue position from an antero-inferior to a postero-superior position in anterior crossbite subjects as a result of elevation of the tongue. The results also indicate that the YC3 has functional effects on the skeletal system during the mid-growth period.
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