Primary retention of first permanent mandibular molars in 29 subjects
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SUMMARY This study consisted of two parts: the first part describes the aetiology behind primary retention of first permanent mandibular molars by comparing the affected molar region with the contralateral region, and the second the follow-up of the retained molars.

The material comprised dental pantomograms from 29 patients (17 males and 12 females; aged 6 years 2 months to 12 years 5 months) which were sent by Danish public dental clinics to the Department of Orthodontics at Copenhagen Dental School for treatment guidance (Part 1). Questionnaires were later sent to the dentists for follow-up information regarding the affected teeth (Part 2).

Part 1 — aetiological evaluation: From each radiograph, the number and location of the molars, maturity of individual molars, and deviations from normal morphology were recorded. The findings showed that, in an affected region, disruption of normal dental development and eruption had occurred, causing a delay in dental maturity as well as arrested eruption of the first molar.

Part 2 — follow-up of eruption: Completed questionnaires and radiographs were returned for 25 subjects. In 10, eruption had occurred, six after surgical removal of mucosa covering the retained first molar. In eight patients the molar had been removed while in seven the observation time from first diagnosis was too short to evaluate eruption.

The results indicate that retained first permanent mandibular molars have the ability to erupt and suggest that a unilaterally retained first permanent mandibular molar may represent a temporary delay in eruption rather than permanent failure.

Introduction
Eruption of the first permanent mandibular molars can be disturbed either by primary retention, which is an arrest in the eruption process before the molar has penetrated the oral mucosa, or by secondary retention, which is an arrest in the eruption process after the molar has penetrated the oral mucosa (Raghoobar et al., 1989, 1991). The prevalence of arrested eruption is less than 1:300 (Grover and Lorton, 1985; Chintakanon and Boonpinon, 1998).

Normal eruption of the first permanent molar in Danish boys occurs at the average age of 6.21 years (±0.68) and for girls at an average age of 6.02 years (±0.61; Helm and Seidler, 1974). Therefore, primary retention is normally diagnosed after that age.

Previous publications on retention of first permanent molars are mainly case studies with small numbers of patients (Glass, 1951; Jerrold, 1966; Robinson, 1974; Watkins and Tucker, 1977; Mellor, 1981; Lapeer, 1988; Raghoobar et al., 1990; Groper, 1992; Hedge and Munshi, 2001) and it is obvious that there is a lack of knowledge concerning the aetiology and the possibilities for regaining normal eruptive forces (Kaban et al., 1976; Duncan and Ashraf, 1981; Oliver and Hunter, 1986; Spieker, 2001). An insight into the mechanisms of normal eruption is necessary in order to understand those factors affecting the eruptive mechanisms in patients where there is no obvious obstacle to normal eruption.

In a recent study of 24 000 Danish children, it was shown that there was a strong correlation between eruption times of teeth within the same field of innervation (Parner et al., 2002). As a consequence, the eruption time of the first permanent mandibular molar should be closely related to that of the other mandibular molars. However, the same close association would not be expected to exist between the first molar and the incisors or premolars. Being aware that the mandibular molars have a separate innervation (Chavéz-Lomeli et al., 1996; Kjær, 1998) to the incisors and premolars indicates that innervation might have an influence on the eruptive process. Recently, the association between innervation and eruption has been proved experimentally by Fujyama et al. (2004), when they showed that denervation caused an arrest in eruption.

Disturbances in eruption of the first permanent molar may be due to a local disturbance in innervation or in other tissues involved in the eruptive process. It has previously been shown that local innervation disturbances, caused by viral attacks on the nerve paths, can delay both dental development and eruption (Bang et al., 1995; Becktor et al., 2002).

The hypothesis for the present study was that the eruptive disturbances resulting in primary retention of the first permanent mandibular molar represents a temporary delay in eruption rather than a permanent failure.

The study was divided into two parts.
Part 1: to describe the findings in a large number of subjects with unilateral primary retention of the first permanent mandibular molar and to establish potential aetiological factors through a comparison of the affected region with the unaffected contralateral region.

Part 2: to follow-up the affected patients and to establish whether the teeth erupted during this period.

Subjects and methods

Radiographic material from 29 patients (17 males and 12 females; aged 6 years 2 months to 12 years 5 months) was included in the investigation. All subjects were healthy with no systemic or dental disease, except the unilaterally retained first permanent mandibular molar.

The radiographs were sent by Danish dentists to the Department of Orthodontics, Copenhagen School of Dentistry for assistance with diagnosis and treatment planning. No patients were actually examined or treated in the department. In collaboration with the patients, the dentists themselves decided on a treatment plan. Specific plans were not provided by the department.

Part 1 Dental pantomograms, obtained at the time when the condition was first diagnosed, were available for all patients. The average age at first diagnosis was 9 years and 2 months (standard deviation ± 1.62). For 12 subjects, follow-up dental pantomograms were also available. The following conditions were evaluated by contralateral comparison on each dental pantomogram.

Number of molars. The number and location of the molars in the affected area were as follows: in two cases only the first molars (Figure 3a); in nine cases first and second molars (Figure 3b); and in 18 cases the first, second, and third molars (Figure 3c).

Dental maturity. The stage of dental maturity was assessed according to the morphological descriptions by Demirjian et al. (1973). For each of the age maturity stages, scores from 1 to 8 were given (Figure 1). When enamel formation was not yet visible, the tooth was scored as 0.

Morphological deviations in the molar regions. Deviations in the morphology of the molar crown and root were recorded. Additionally, the crown follicle of the retained molar was described.

Part 2 Questionnaires were sent to the referring dentists between 1 and 8 years after the initial correspondence. For 25 of the 29 subjects, the completed questionnaire was returned (Figure 2). The questions concerned the treatment undertaken and the eruptional status of the affected tooth. From the replies received, it was determined whether eruption of the affected molar had occurred naturally or following surgical intervention.

Results

Part 1: description and aetiological evaluation

Number of molars. The number and location of the molars in the affected area were as follows: in two cases only the first molars (Figure 3a); in nine cases first and second molars (Figure 3b); and in 18 cases the first, second, and third molars (Figure 3c).

Dental maturity. In 17 of the 29 patients, there was delayed maturity in the affected region when compared with the contralateral region (Figure 3d–f). The delay concerned the first molar as well as other molars in the affected region (Table 1).

In no subject was the affected region advanced in dental maturity compared with the contralateral region.

Morphological deviations in the molar regions. In four patients, the crown of the affected molar was enlarged.

Figure 1 Morphological stages of molar maturation according to Demirjian et al. (1973), published with permission. NB: the numbers indicate the individual score given in the present study for comparison of dental maturation.
compared with the root complex (Figure 3a,b) and in four subjects root deviations occurred (Figures 3f and 4a). In addition, the crown follicle of the retained molar was often enlarged compared with the normal crown follicle (Figure 3a,b).

**Part 2: follow-up of eruption**

The questionnaires showed that: eruption had occurred in 10 subjects (six after surgical removal of the mucosa covering the tooth; Figure 4a,b) while in eight patients the retained molar had been removed. For seven subjects, the time between the initial diagnosis and the questionnaire was too short for evaluation of eruption.

**Discussion**

The results indicate that the retained first permanent mandibular molars still have the ability to erupt in some patients and that a unilateral delay may represent a temporary problem rather than a permanent failure of eruption. The study does not, however, confirm the factors which could be responsible for this eruptional delay. The delayed dental maturation in the affected molar region compared with the contralateral molar region may indicate a possible local disturbance leading to an arrest in dental maturation as well as eruption. The question whether a delay in eruption is caused by an inherited problem in the tissues involved in the eruptive process or whether it is caused by an acquired condition disturbing these tissues is key to our understanding of the aetiology and prognosis in such patients.

These findings show that unilateral primary retention of the first permanent mandibular molar appears to be an acquired disruption of normal eruption; this is based on the new observation of bilateral differences in dental maturation. The stages of dental maturation (Demirjian et al. 1973), are well-defined although sometimes it can be difficult to classify a case that lies between two stages. In these situations, the case was scored in the lower stage.

Additionally, the findings show that the affected molar, in spite of this disruption in the region, is able to regain eruptive force in some cases, after surgical removal of the overlying mucosa. Therefore, during treatment planning in affected patients, consideration should be given to removal of the overlying mucosa prior to resorting to extraction. It is also possible that the eight retained first molars, which were extracted, might still have had some remaining eruptive forces. However, the dentist may not have been aware of this, the dentist and/or the patient may have decided on extraction in any event or the correct time for surgical removal of the overlying mucosa may have been missed if the roots had already reached full apical closure. Furthermore, crowding in the local region could explain the decision to extract the tooth.

Previous publications (Duncan and Ashraf, 1981; Groper, 1992; Hedge and Munshi, 2001) have presented only a small number of cases; hence, it has not been possible to establish possible aetiological factors or to evaluate the prognosis for eruption. The present study is based on material from a number of different dentists and, although diversity in the material might be criticized, it is the only way to collect sufficient data when dealing with relatively rare conditions. With this in mind, Danish public dental
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**Figure 2** Questionnaire sent to the referring dentists to establish the eruptional status of the first permanent mandibular molar.
clinics present a unique possibility for screening patients, as approximately 98 per cent of all Danish children receive free dental treatment.

One question that has not been answered in this study concerns the factors that cause unilateral deviation in dental development and eruption. In previous evaluations of patients with eruption problems affecting teeth other than first molars, it has been shown that viral infections, for example mumps, can affect dental development and eruption (Bang et al., 1995; Becktor et al., 2002). One way in which a viral attack might affect dental development and eruption is by the virus spreading along the peripheral nerve paths to the teeth. This could cause a temporary de-myelinization of the nerve fibres, which again could explain the reduced activity at the nerve endings. It has been shown that myelinated nerve endings are present in close proximity to the tooth (Lambrichts et al., 1993) and it has also been documented experimentally that destruction of the nerve fibres around the teeth influence eruption (Fujiyama et al., 2004) and osteoclast activity (Talic et al., 2003).

It is well-known from the literature that the mumps virus can cause temporary hearing loss (Lee et al., 1978; Yamamoto...
Table 1  Bilateral comparison of molar maturation in 29 subjects with unilateral primary retention of the first permanent mandibular molar

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>Molar scores in A region</th>
<th>A sum</th>
<th>Molar scores in U region</th>
<th>U sum</th>
<th>U sum−A sum</th>
<th>Figure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M (9 y 8 m)</td>
<td>X X 7 7 4 0</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>X 7 4 0</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Figure 3a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M (9 y 9 m)</td>
<td>X X 7 7 5 0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>X 7 5 0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Figure 3c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M (8 y 3 m)</td>
<td>X 4 6 10 7 4 X</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>X 4 6 10 7 4 X</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Figure 3b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F (9 y 7 m)</td>
<td>X 6 7 13 8 X</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>X 6 7 13 8 X</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Figure 3d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M (8 y 9 m)</td>
<td>X 3 6 9 6 4 X</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>X 3 6 9 6 4 X</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M (8 y 11 m)</td>
<td>X 4 7 11 8 4 X</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>X 4 7 11 8 4 X</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F (7 y 11 m)</td>
<td>X 2 6 8 7 4 X</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>X 2 6 8 7 4 X</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F (8 y 3 m)</td>
<td>X 4 7 11 7 4 X</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>X 4 7 11 7 4 X</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M (9 y 4 m)</td>
<td>X 4 6 10 7 4 X</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>X 4 6 10 7 4 X</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F (11 y 7 m)</td>
<td>X 7 8 15 8 X</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>X 7 8 15 8 X</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F (8 y 2 m)</td>
<td>1 5 8 14 8 5 1</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1 5 8 14 8 5 1</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M (9 y 0 m)</td>
<td>0 4 7 11 6 1</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0 4 7 11 6 1</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F (6 y 2 m)</td>
<td>0 4 6 10 6 4 0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0 4 6 10 6 4 0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M (11 y 1 m)</td>
<td>0 4 7 11 8 4 0</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0 4 7 11 8 4 0</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F (9 y 11 m)</td>
<td>1 5 8 14 8 5 2</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1 5 8 14 8 5 2</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Figures 4a, b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M (11 y 11 m)</td>
<td>0 5 8 13 8 5 1</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0 5 8 13 8 5 1</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M (12 y 5 m)</td>
<td>1 5 8 14 8 6 1</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1 5 8 14 8 6 1</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F (8 y 1 m)</td>
<td>1 4 7 12 8 5 1</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1 4 7 12 8 5 1</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Figure 3f</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M (6 y 10 m)</td>
<td>0 4 6 10 7 4 0</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0 4 6 10 7 4 0</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Figure 3c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M (9 y 6 m)</td>
<td>2 5 7 14 8 5 3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2 5 7 14 8 5 3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F (7 y 10 m)</td>
<td>0 4 7 11 7 4 0</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0 4 7 11 7 4 0</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M (8 y 6 m)</td>
<td>0 4 8 12 8 4 0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0 4 8 12 8 4 0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F (11 y 3 m)</td>
<td>2 6 8 16 8 6 2</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>2 6 8 16 8 6 2</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M (8 y 10 m)</td>
<td>0 5 7 12 7 5 0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0 5 7 12 7 5 0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F (7 y 11 m)</td>
<td>0 3 7 11 7 4 0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0 3 7 11 7 4 0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M (11 y 7 m)</td>
<td>2 7 8 17 8 7 2</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>2 7 8 17 8 7 2</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M (13 y 1 m)</td>
<td>1 5 7 13 7 5 1</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1 5 7 13 7 5 1</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M (8 y 6 m)</td>
<td>0 4 7 12 7 4 1</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0 4 7 12 7 4 1</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Scores are given according to Figure 1. 0, presence of crown follicle before enamel formation is visible; X, agenesis; A, affected molar region: molar region where retention of the first molar occurred; U, unaffected molar region: the contralateral region not affected by primary retention; M, male, F, female. Age in parentheses (years and months). Subjects are listed in the following order: 2 cases, only first molars in affected molar field; 9 cases, first and second molars present in the affected field; and 18 cases, first and second molars present in the affected field; and 18 cases, first and second molars present in the affected field; and 18 cases, first and second molars present in the affected field.

et al., 1993; Bang et al., 1995). Similarly, viral attacks in the dentition could result in a temporary delay in dental maturation and eruption. How peripheral nerves affect the eruptive mechanisms is another question not answered in this study.

The present research focused on unilaterally retained first permanent mandibular molars only. Bilaterally retained first permanent mandibular molars are likely to have different aetiological factors. The incidence of bilateral retained first mandibular molars is significantly lower, and it will take years of gathering sufficient material to be able to understand this condition adequately.

Conclusions

A unilaterally retained first permanent mandibular molar is an acquired condition and the findings suggest that there is a high probability of eruption after surgical removal of the mucosa covering the tooth, provided this is undertaken before apical root closure.

The results of this study suggest that a unilaterally retained first permanent mandibular molar represents a temporary delay in eruption rather than permanent failure. Radiographic evaluation showed an enlarged dental follicle associated with the affected tooth in a number of subjects and 17 of the 29 patients showed delay in the dental maturity of the molars on the affected side compared with the normal side. In a follow-up study of 25 of the 29 cases, eruption occurred in 10 subjects, six of these after surgical exposure.
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