**Introduction**

External root resorption (ERR) has been related to orthodontic tooth movement (Tronstad and Debelian, 1998). The anterior teeth, especially the central incisors, are reported as those most often subject to trauma (Parker and Harris, 1998). Early detection of incipient ERR is mandatory for identifying teeth at risk of severe resorption (Levander and Malmgren, 1988). However, radiographs are not sufficiently sensitive for consistent diagnosis of ERR, as demonstrated by Laux et al. (2000), who compared the diagnosis of inflammatory apical ERR in radiographs versus histological sections of 104 teeth that showed apical lesions and found agreement for only 7 per cent.

The periradicular technique is the method of choice for the longitudinal determination of ERR (Leach et al., 2001). However, geometric variations related to vertical angulation can cause shortening or lengthening of the image, and thus interfere with diagnosis. Several studies have addressed radiographic geometry standardization accomplished through positioning devices (Dixon and Hildebold, 2005). Some of these devices use bite registration which are left uncoupled or are coupled to the tube head (Rosling et al., 1975; Graf et al., 1988; Rudolph and White, 1988; Zappa et al., 1991). The rigidly coupled instruments have demonstrated good results (Hausmann et al., 1996) but they are expensive, difficult to use, and time intensive.

The utilization of an individualized positioning device by means of occlusal registration of the teeth in the region of interest has been shown to be sufficient for geometric standardization (Crestani et al., 2001; Souza, 2001; Maltz et al., 2002). One of the problems in the standardization of images in orthodontic patients for the diagnosis of apical ERR is tooth movement, which varies from one tooth to another. Therefore, it is necessary to test the geometric reproducibility of periapical radiographs obtained with individual positioners for the tooth being examined, which would not be influenced by modifications in the position of adjacent teeth. Thus, the aim of this study was to evaluate, by means of linear measurements of tooth length on images, a method aimed at geometric reproducibility of periapical radiographs of upper incisors submitted to simulated orthodontic movement.

**Materials and methods**

This study was approved by the Committee on Ethics in Research of the Faculty of Dentistry at Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul.

The sample comprised 29 human upper central incisors which showed a visually intact apex, preserved crown, and no anomalies in shape or size. Brackets (Morelli, Sorocaba, Brazil) were placed, using glass ionomer cement (Fuji Ortho LC®, GC Corporation, Tokyo, Japan), on the buccal surface of the teeth, to simulate the clinical conditions.

**Tissue block simulator**

The experiment was carried out using a tissue block simulator fabricated from a maxilla from which the anterior portion was removed by horizontal osteotomy at the level of the floor of the nasal cavity and two vertical osteotomies at the canine abutment. This segment was divided into buccal and lingual halves which were then repositioned in a base of self-polymerizing acrylic resin. The space created between
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**SUMMARY** This *in vitro* study was conducted to evaluate a method to obtain reproducible periapical radiographs, using individualized positioning devices, of upper central incisors submitted to simulated orthodontic movement. Linear measurements of tooth length were carried out on 29 extracted human central incisors. The teeth were radiographed in a tissue simulator, at different inclinations in the bucco-lingual direction (0 initial, 0 control, −10, −5, 5, and +10 degrees). The radiographs were digitized and quantitative analysis of tooth length was carried out using an electronic ruler.

The linear measurements of tooth length were reproducible \( r = 0.99 \) for repeated measurements as well as in the comparison of the initial and control images at 0 degree, where measurements did not differ significantly (analysis of variance, \( P = 0.827 \)) in radiographs with different angulations. These results show that the method proposed for obtaining *in vitro* periapical radiographs results in reproducible images of tooth length, even when orthodontic movement is simulated by inclination of the tooth up to 20 degrees in the bucco-lingual direction.
the bony plates was filled with wax in order to allow the insertion of the tooth roots, up to where the amelodentinal junction coincided with height of the alveolar ridge, as well as later simulation of orthodontic movement.

Soft tissues were simulated by adding a 1 cm layer of self-polymerizing acrylic resin, buccal and lingual, external to the bony surfaces.

**Occlusal registration**

To reproduce the focus–object–film relationship for all periapical radiographs of the same tooth, occlusal registration was carried out in self-polymerizing acrylic resin which was individualized for each tooth and covered the lingual side up to the most prominent portion and the buccal side up to the incisal edge of the bracket. Each occlusal registration was fixed by means of self-polymerizing acrylic resin to the surface of a positioning device (Indusbelo, Londrina, Brazil).

**Radiographic projection**

The radiographs were taken with Kodak Insight® No. 2 periapical film (Kodak, São Paulo, Brazil) and radiographic apparatus, Pro 70X Intra® with 70 kVp and 8 mA (Prodental Equipamentos Odontológicos Ltda, Ribeirão Preto, Brazil), such that the same angulations were reproduced utilizing an exposure time of 0.4 seconds.

Six exposures were performed for each tooth, the first with the long axis of the tooth at 90 degrees to the horizontal plane; this was considered as the initial 0 degree. The initial radiographs were repeated using the same 0 degree incidence for each tooth (Figure 1) in order to create a control group and to allow evaluation of the method error. Orthodontic movement was simulated by inclining the long axis of the tooth at −10, −5, +5, and +10 degrees in relation to the vertical plane, measured by means of the goniometer of the radiographic apparatus when the tube head was repositioned, such that the geometric relationship between the angle of incidence of the X-rays, tooth, and film was maintained (Figure 2).

**Figure 1** Radiographic technique without inclination of the tooth, in the bucco-lingual direction (0 degree).

**Figure 2** Radiographic technique with inclination of the tooth, in the bucco-lingual direction, at −10, −5, +5, and +10 degrees.
The radiographs were processed using an automatic method (DentX 9000®, DentX, Elmsford, New York, USA), all in the same session, using new solutions and a cycle of 4.5 minutes.

**Radiographic digitization**

Digitization of the radiographs was carried out using an Epson Perfection 2450® scanner (Epson, Long Beach, California, USA) with a transparency adaptor. A black acrylic mask, standardizing the positioning of the film on the surface of the scanner, was used to limit the area of light incidence. The images were captured in their original size, with 300 dpi, 8 bit mode, providing 256 grey levels and stored on CD-ROM in Joint Photographic Experts Group format with 3:1 compression ratio. All radiographs were captured simultaneously, automatic adjustment of brightness and contrast was only undertaken for the initial radiograph.

**Tooth measurement**

Analysis of the images was performed by one observer (VF) who measured the tooth length for each of the images, at three different sessions, each with a 1 week interval. The measurements were carried out using the electronic ruler tool incorporated into the software, Adobe Photoshop® (version 10.0, Adobe Inc., San Jose, California, USA; Figure 3). Horizontal guides were drawn, one at the root apex and the other at the most apical part of the cervical limit of the bracket. The measurements were carried out by drawing a line perpendicular to the guides, to obtain the distance between them. The observer was allowed to adjust the brightness and contrast of each of the images, to obtain improved definition of anatomical reference structures and consequently greater precision in measurement. However, the examiner was blind to which groups the images belonged or whether or not there was a change in inclination.

**Method error**

Reproducibility of the measurements was evaluated by remeasuring 20 per cent of the sample, chosen at random, after a 1 week interval. The Pearson’s correlation coefficient (α = 1 per cent) and the t-test for the paired samples (α = 5 per cent) demonstrated excellent agreement (r = 0.999) with no significant difference between them (P = 0.662). The mean values obtained were 17.58 ± 1.57 mm both for the initial and repeat measurements.

Since there was no difference in inclination with the initial incidence of 0 degree versus the repetitions for the control also at 0 degree, these images should not differ in length. Therefore, validation was determined by comparing the measurements of the initial and control radiographs, without modification of the inclination. The Pearson’s correlation coefficient (α = 1 per cent, r = 0.999) and the t-test for paired samples (α = 5 per cent, P = 0.284) showed no significant difference, suggesting internal validity in the study. The mean values for both the initial radiographs and those of the control group were 18.00 ± 1.90 mm.

**Statistical analyses**

Since these analyses involved quantitative data with parametric distribution, groups were compared using the analysis of variance. A randomized complete block design was used to establish any difference between the radiographic measurements obtained for the different inclinations. Significance was set at P < 0.05.

**Results**

There was no significant difference between the means of the radiographic measurements for any experimental group (P = 0.827), i.e. there was no difference between the measurements obtained with different inclinations (0, −5, +5, −10, and +10 degrees). The mean values and standard deviations are presented in Table 1.

**Discussion**

The present research was carried out in vitro, as in other studies that investigated ERR (Hintze et al., 1992; Goldberg et al., 1998; Nance et al., 2000; Heo et al., 2001; Holmes et al., 2001), since a method for standardization of radiographic images should, for ethical reasons, be tested prior to clinical use. On the other hand, in an in vivo study it would not be
possible to ensure that the teeth would not suffer some type of resorption. The sample consisted of upper central incisors because they are most affected by apical ERR associated with orthodontic treatment (Parker and Harris, 1998).

Only vertical inclination was varied, in contrast to the research by Goldberg et al. (1998), Levander et al. (1998), Heo et al. (2001), and Holmes et al. (2001), in which horizontal angulation was also varied, as only the geometric variation related to changes in the vertical plane leads to shortening or lengthening of the image (Leach et al., 2001).

Individual occlusal registration of the positioner appliance, contrary to the method employed by Holmes et al. (2001), who utilized moulding material, was obtained in self-polymerizing acrylic resin, which has been shown to be sufficient for geometric standardization (Crestani et al., 2001; Souza, 2001), even for prolonged periods (Maltz et al., 2002).

The present study did not utilize jaws from cadavers (Holmes et al., 2001) or dry jaws (Hintze et al., 1992; Levander et al., 1998; Nance et al., 2000), as this would have limited the sample size. The use of teeth mounted in blocks of plaster (Heo et al., 2001) was not considered because the absence of a bony trabeculae constitutes an important differential in relation to clinical situations, facilitating the diagnosis of apical ERR. A simulation of bony tissue of the maxilla was utilized, as in Goldberg et al. (1998), even though maintenance of the constant pattern of bony trabeculae can imply less variability in the sample compared with that found in cadavers and clinically.

Simulation of soft tissues was employed in the present study using 2 cm thick acrylic resin, which reproduces the effect of the tissues on the radiographic image when associated with dissected and dried bone (Braga et al., 2002).

Levander et al. (1998) measured 92 upper incisors radiographed for diagnosis of apical ERR in patients. Apical ERR was considered based on the difference between measurements of the initial radiographs with those obtained following 3 and 6 months of treatment. It is important to note that these authors tested the accuracy of this model utilizing an extracted premolar, set in a block of acrylic, and by performing four successive apical drillings of 0.2 mm, obtaining one radiograph after each. Besides the initial radiography, the horizontal angulation was varied at 30, 60, and 90 degrees, thus obtaining 20 radiographs, from which measurements were taken. The gold standard utilized was the measurement of the tooth at each drilling using a pachymeter, taking the mean of three repetitions. As in the present study, Levander et al. (1998) also repeated the measurement, but for all the sample (20 radiographs) and with a 1 month interval, finding a mean difference of 0.1 ± 0.05 mm between the actual size of the tooth and that determined from measurements of the images.

The results of the present research showed a high reproducibility for the initial and repeated measurements. The reason for the greater variation compared with that of Levander et al. (1998) is probably due to the fact that the authors utilized only one tooth, whereas in the present study 29 were used. Image measurements were used to compare the size of the teeth and not to analyze the relationship with the actual length of the tooth, since the periapical bisecting angle technique was used, which results in distortions between the image and the real size of the object.

It was observed that the means of the measurements obtained for each change in inclination did not differ significantly, i.e. the X-ray beam angle–object–film relationship was maintained. The small mean difference (0.02 mm) can be related to slight variation in projection or apex identification on the image, which would not be clinically relevant.

No reports were found in the scientific literature of investigations that examined the reproducibility of linear dimensions, utilizing modifications of tooth inclination but maintaining the film–object distance constant between radiographs. Studies were found that compared diagnosis between images with different inclinations, but only for the determination of geometric correlation (Gröndahl et al., 1984; Hausmann et al., 1991; Wenzel and Sewerin, 1991; Mol and Dunn, 2003), all of which showed positive results. This method was not used in the present study as the objective was only to observe the geometric reproducibility of the images taken with an individual positioner in cases of simulated tooth movement.

The results showed no difference in measurements among the groups with different inclinations (5, 10, 15, and 20 degrees). This is due to the fact that despite the different inclinations used when taking the radiographs, the geometric relationship was maintained by the individualized positioning device. Therefore, even though a particular tooth underwent orthodontic movement, the positioner with individualized occlusal registration should maintain this relationship, thereby providing geometric reproducibility between resulting images.

**Conclusion**

The method proposed to obtain periapical radiographs of upper central incisors resulted in reproducible images of

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Position (°)</th>
<th>Measurement (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>−10</td>
<td>18.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>−5</td>
<td>18.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>18.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+5</td>
<td>18.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+10</td>
<td>18.20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1 Mean observed values for the measurement of each inclination group, submitted to analysis of variance.
tooth length, even when orthodontic movement was simulated, i.e. inclining the tooth up to 20 degrees in the bucco-lingual direction.

Address for correspondence
Vania Fontanella
Rua Cel. Paulino Teixeira 169/403
90420-160 Porto Alegre, RS
Brazil
E-mail: vaniafontanella@terra.com.br

References