Prognostic value of cardiac hybrid imaging integrating single-photon emission computed tomography with coronary computed tomography angiography

Aju P. Pazhenkottil, René N. Nkoulou, Jelena-Rima Ghadri, Bernhard A. Herzog, Ronny R. Buechel, Silke M. Küest, Mathias Wolfrum, Michael Fiechter, Lars Husmann, Oliver Gaemperli, and Philipp A. Kaufmann

1Cardiac Imaging, University Hospital Zurich, Ramistrasse 100, CH-8091 Zurich, Switzerland; and 2Zurich Center for Integrative Human Physiology (ZIHP), University of Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland

Received 19 November 2010; revised 25 January 2011; accepted 1 February 2011; online publish-ahead-of-print 14 February 2011

This paper was guest edited by Prof. Jeroen Bax, Department of Cardiology, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, The Netherlands

Aims

Although cardiac hybrid imaging, fusing single-photon emission computed tomography (SPECT) myocardial perfusion imaging with coronary computed tomography angiography (CCTA), provides important complementary diagnostic information for coronary artery disease (CAD) assessment, no prognostic data exist on the predictive value of cardiac hybrid imaging. Hence, the aim of this study was to assess the prognostic value of hybrid SPECT/CCTA images.

Methods and results

Of 335 consecutive patients undergoing a 1-day stress/rest 99mTc-tetrofosmin SPECT and a CCTA, acquired on stand-alone scanners and fused to obtain cardiac hybrid images, follow-up was obtained in 324 patients (97%). Survival free of all-cause death or non-fatal myocardial infarction (MI) and free of major adverse cardiac events (MACE: death, MI, unstable angina requiring hospitalization, coronary revascularizations) was determined using the Kaplan–Meier method for the following groups: (i) stenosis by CCTA and matching reversible SPECT defect; (ii) unmatched CCTA and SPECT finding; and (iii) normal finding by CCTA and SPECT. Cox's proportional hazard regression was used to identify independent predictors for cardiac events. At a median follow-up of 2.8 years (25th–75th percentile: 1.9–3.6), 69 MACE occurred in 47 patients, including 20 death/MI. A corresponding matched hybrid image finding was associated with a significantly higher death/MI incidence (P < 0.005) and proved to be an independent predictor for MACE. The annual death/MI rate was 6.0, 2.8, and 1.3% for patients with matched, unmatched, and normal findings.

Conclusion

Cardiac hybrid imaging allows risk stratification in patients with known or suspected CAD. A matched defect on hybrid image is a strong predictor of MACE.
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Introduction

The definition of functional relevance of a given coronary stenosis by purely morpho-anatomical criteria has remained controversial, despite many technical advances in invasive angiography over the past decades. A coronary stenosis >50% is generally perceived to confer haemodynamic relevance, although many parameters which cannot be fully...
elucidated by documenting coronary luminology alone may determine whether a given lesion may eventually cause stress-induced ischaemia or not. Therefore, according to evidence-based guidelines, the proof of ischaemia is essential for best clinical practice prior to any revascularization procedure.\textsuperscript{1} Although in the recent prospective randomized FAME trial,\textsuperscript{2} the superiority of the evidence-based approach has been once more impressively documented; in many instances, a more angiography-based approach has remained standard in daily clinical practice. As revascularization of a non-flow-limiting stenosis is not of benefit to the patient in terms of prognostic or symptomatic improvement,\textsuperscript{3} single-photon emission computed tomography (SPECT)-myocardial perfusion imaging (MPI) has been suggested as a gatekeeper for invasive coronary examinations.\textsuperscript{4} The recent introduction of cardiac hybrid imaging integrating morphological information obtained from non-invasive coronary computed tomography angiography (CCTA) with the functional information from the nuclear MPI now allows a comprehensive non-invasive assessment of coronary artery disease (CAD). This can be equally obtained from hybrid scanners\textsuperscript{5} or from software fusion of CCTA and SPECT images separately acquired on stand-alone scanners.\textsuperscript{5} The initial experience on the added clinical value of hybrid imaging has provided encouraging results\textsuperscript{1,6} and has been confirmed by several subsequent reports.\textsuperscript{7} In fact, these studies support that hybrid images offer superior diagnostic information with regard to the identification of the culprit vessel and may potentially allow an improved risk stratification. There are, however, no outcome data available so far. Therefore, the aim of the present study was to assess the prognostic predictive value of cardiac hybrid imaging.

**Methods**

**Patient population and follow-up**

We enrolled 335 consecutive patients who were referred for the evaluation of known or suspected CAD by SPECT and CCTA and therefore underwent a 1-day adenosine stress/rest 99mTc-tetrofosmin SPECT and a CCTA 2 ± 10 days apart from each other. The CCTA and SPECT-MPI images were then fused to obtain cardiac hybrid images. Follow-up was obtained with the following endpoints: all-cause death (as declared in the medical charts) and non-fatal myocardial infarction (MI) as defined by Thygesen et al.\textsuperscript{8} In addition, following major adverse cardiac events (MACE) were included as combined endpoints: death, MI, unstable angina requiring hospitalization, and coronary revascularization. The first event in each patient was used for the survival analysis. All patients with revascularizations within the first 30 days were excluded because during this period revascularization could potentially be directly triggered by the MPI or by the CCTA test result, which would introduce a confounder between the diagnostic and the prognostic value. The study protocol was approved by the institutional review board (local Ethics Committee) and written informed consent was obtained from each patient before enrolment. The pre-test likelihood of CAD was determined using the Diamond and Forrester method, with a risk threshold of <13.4% for low risk, between 13.4 and 87.2% for intermediate risk, and >87.2% for high risk, as reported previously.

**Single-photon emission computed tomography-myocardial perfusion imaging**

All patients underwent a 1-day electrocardiography (ECG)-gated stress/rest protocol. Pharmacological stress was induced by infusion of adenosine at a standard rate of 140 $\mu$g/kg/min and a dose of 300–350 MBq 99mTc-tetrofosmin was injected 3 min into the pharmacological stress. After a delay of 45–60 min, the ECG-gated stress images were acquired. Then, a three-fold higher dose 99mTc-tetrofosmin was administered followed by a delay of 45–60 min before acquisition of the ECG-gated rest data. The SPECT-MPI acquisition was performed on a dual-head camera (Millenium VG and Hawkeye or Ventri, both GE Healthcare, Milwaukee, WI, USA) with a low-energy, high-resolution collimator, a 20% symmetric window at 140 keV, and data were stored in a 64 × 64 matrix. X-ray-based attenuation correction was performed as reported previously.\textsuperscript{10} Image analysis was performed using a commercially available software package (Cedars QGS/QPS; Cedars-Sinai Medical Center, Los Angeles, CA, USA). Reversible perfusion defects were identified as reported previously.\textsuperscript{11} In brief, myocardial tomograms were divided into 20 segments for each patient. Segments were scored by consensus of two experienced readers using following five-point scoring system (0, normal; 1, equivocal; 2, moderate; 3, severe reduction in radioisotope uptake; and 4, absence of detectable tracer in a segment). A scan was categorized as abnormal if two or more segments had stress scores ≥2. A reversible perfusion defect was defined as one in which a stress defect was associated with a rest score ≤1 or a stress defect score of 4 with a rest score of 2. Only reversible defects were considered for further analysis as ischaemia-driven patient management is most evidence-based ascertainring best clinical practice.\textsuperscript{12} Radiation dose for SPECT-MPI was calculated as 99mTc-tetrofosmin activity times 7.9 mSv/GBq.

**Coronary computed tomography angiography**

All scans were performed on a 64-detector CT scanner (LightSpeed VCT, GE Healthcare) with helical scanning (until 2007; $n = 241$) or prospective (from 2007; $n = 61$) ECG-triggering as previously described in detail.\textsuperscript{5,12,13} To achieve a target heart rate <65 b.p.m., intravenous metoprolol (5–20 mg) was administered prior to the CCTA examination if necessary. Furthermore, all patients received 2.5 mg sublingual isosorbiddinitrate 2 min prior to the scan. The CCTA data sets were analysed using axial source images, multi-planar reformations, and thin-slab maximum intensity projections on a remote workstation (Advantage Workstation 4.3, GE Healthcare). Coronary lesions were visually assessed with regard to luminal stenosis. A diameter stenosis ≥50% was considered clinically significant. Effective radiation dose for CCTA was estimated as dose—length product times a conversion coefficient for the chest $k = 0.014$ mSv/(mGy cm).\textsuperscript{14}

**Image fusion**

The image fusion of SPECT with CCTA was performed on a dedicated workstation (Advantage Workstation 4.3, GE Healthcare) using the CardIQ Fusion software package (GE Healthcare) as previously described in detail (Figure 1). In brief, the fusion software provides tools for the optimal alignment of axial source SPECT and CCTA images and for SPECT image projection on the left ventricular (LV) epicardial surface. The window presets for the colour scale projected on the LV epicardium are adopted from the corresponding separate SPECT images and remain unchanged during the fusion process. The three-dimensional (3D) volume-rendered fusion images were displayed in different views including anterior, posterior, lateral, and...
apical views. The right ventricle could be faded away by a cardiac transparency tool for better visualization of the septal wall.

Data interpretation
The fused SPECT and CCTA images were analysed by consensus of two experienced nuclear cardiologists with regard to reversible perfusion defects and morphologically significant lesions (≥50%). A matched hybrid imaging finding was defined as a reversible SPECT-MPI defect in a territory subtended by a coronary artery with a significant stenosis. All other combinations of pathological findings were classified as unmatched. Thus, in order to assess the prognostic value of hybrid imaging, all patients were assigned to one of the following three categories: (i) matched: CCTA and matched (reversible) SPECT findings as defined above; (ii) unmatched: any unmatched pathological finding from CCTA and/or SPECT; and (iii) normal: i.e. normal CCTA or any luminal narrowing <50% and no (fixed or reversible) defect by SPECT. Figure 1 illustrates a patient with matched and a patient with unmatched findings.

Statistical analysis
SPSS software (SPSS 15.0, SPSS Inc.) was used for statistical testing. Quantitative variables were expressed as median and range and categorical variables as frequencies or percentages. P-values for continuous variables were calculated by one-way ANOVA. P-values for categorical variables were calculated by the χ² test. Differences in event-free survival over time were analysed by the Kaplan–Meier method. The log-rank test was used to compare the survival curves. Univariate and multivariate Cox’s proportional hazard regression models were used to identify independent predictors of cardiac events. Variables were selected in a stepwise forward selection manner; entry and retention sets with P < 0.05 were considered to indicate a significant difference. Variables included in the models were age, male gender, more than two risk factors (i.e. hypertension, hypercholesterolaemia, smoking, diabetes mellitus, and a positive family history for CAD), abnormal perfusion, stenosis ≥50%, and a matched hybrid finding. A variable’s risk was expressed as hazard ratio with corresponding 95% confidence interval. P-values from two-sided tests of <0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Results

Patient characteristics
Single-photon emission computed tomography and CCTA were performed in 335 patients. Follow-up was successful in 324 patients (97%). Of these, 22 patients were excluded due to early revascularization (<30 days). Baseline characteristics of the remaining 302 patients included in the final analysis are given in Table 1.

Single-photon emission computed tomography and coronary computed tomography angiography findings
Single-photon emission computed tomography revealed normal perfusion in 244 patients (81%). An abnormal perfusion was found in 58 patients (19%), of which 40 patients (13%) had a partially (n = 6) or fully (n = 34) reversible defect. A normal CCTA examination (i.e. no coronary wall changes or non-stenotic coronary plaques) was observed in 198 patients (66%). Coronary computed tomography angiography identified a significant stenosis in 104 patients (34%). Matched pathological hybrid findings (significant CCTA stenosis with a reversible MPI defect) were observed in 37 patients (12%). Unmatched findings were present in 69 patients (22%), whereas the remaining patients were normal by both imaging methods (n = 196, 66%). Among the 69 patients with unmatched findings, the abnormal finding was confined to SPECT in 2 (reversible defects) and to CT in 66 patients (including 18 patients with fixed defects in SPECT), whereas a pathological finding was found in both SPECT (reversible defects) and CCTA but in non-corresponding territories in 1 patient.

The estimated radiation dose for the CCTA was 15.0 ± 4.9 mSv when helical scanning was used (n = 241). After introducing prospective triggering for CCTA, the effective radiation dose was 1.8 ± 0.6 mSv (n = 61). The respective value for stress/rest SPECT-MPI was 10.3 ± 1.8 mSv.
Outcome data

During a median follow-up of 2.8 years (25th–75th percentiles: 1.9–3.6 years), 69 MACE occurred in 47 patients (16%), including 12 all-cause deaths and 8 non-fatal MIs. In the matched group, 21 MACE occurred; of which, for 5 MACE, no information was available on whether the event occurred in the target vessel with the matched finding or not (4 deaths without autopsy and 1 hospitalization for angina pectoris without coronary angiography). For the remaining 16 MACE, we could (angiographically) assign 13 to the index vessel identified by hybrid images, whereas in 3 patients with angiographic total occlusion, the neighbouring artery was revascularized, as origin of collaterals serving the target territory. A total of 42 invasive coronary angiograms were performed during follow-up.

According to the Kaplan–Meier curves, the most favourable event-free survival was found in the normal followed by the unmatched group, whereas the matched group had the most unfavourable outcome with regard to death and non-fatal MI (P < 0.005; Figure 2A) and combined MACE (P < 0.001; Figure 2B). The predictive value of matched SPECT/CCTA findings proved to be significant by Cox’s regression analysis (P < 0.001; Table 2). In addition, by multivariate analysis, the presence of a matched finding was confirmed as an independent predictor of combined MACE (P < 0.01), although this fell short of statistical significance for death and non-fatal MI. When the matched findings were expanded to include fixed defects, this turned to significance (multivariate hazard ratio 5.44, P < 0.005), reflecting the fact that infarct tissue contributes to a significant risk of future events, although there is less certainty about the appropriateness of target vessel revascularization of infarcted territories.

Finally, the overall annual rate of death or MI was 2.2%. First-year rates of death or MI were 8.1, 5.8, and 1.0% for patients with matched, unmatched, and normal findings, respectively, whereas the first-year rates of MACE were 27.0, 11.7, and 2.1% for the respective patient groups. Similarly, in patients with matched hybrid findings, the annual rate of death or MI was highest at 6.0%, whereas for patients with unmatched and normal findings, the respective values were 2.8 and 1.3% (P < 0.005; Figure 3A). The respective values for annual rate of MACE were 21.0, 7.8, and 2.2% (< 0.001; Figure 3B).

Discussion

Our results demonstrate that cardiac hybrid imaging with fused SPECT/CCTA allows improved risk stratification in patients with known or suspected CAD as a matched finding on hybrid image is a strong predictor of MACE. Although in the past years a number of studies have reported on the added diagnostic value of cardiac hybrid imaging, the present study is the first to document the prognostic value of the concurrent fused assessment of coronary morphology and myocardial perfusion. In fact, the results of the present study reveal that patients with stenosis in CCTA and a matched reversible perfusion defect in SPECT are at highest risk for future cardiac events.

Recommendations for the interventional coronary catheterization1 suggest for best clinical practice that the addition of coronary physiological measurements should complement traditional angiographic information because this is essential for evidence-based accurate clinical decision-making as has been recently underlined by the prospective randomized FAME trial. Combining MPI with CCTA allows non-invasive comprehensive CAD assessment which may contribute to avoid unnecessary invasive angiographies. Accordingly, hybrid imaging has shown to provide an added diagnostic information for culprit lesion identification and for guiding target vessel revascularization.6 The present data extend these findings, documenting that hybrid images allow accurate risk stratification. A wealth of data has been published on the diagnostic accuracy and the prognostic value of MPI. In contrast, only limited data are

Table 1  Baseline characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk factors</th>
<th>All</th>
<th>Normal</th>
<th>Unmatched</th>
<th>Matched</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>302</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>37</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>61 ± 11</td>
<td>60 ± 11</td>
<td>64 ± 9</td>
<td>66 ± 10</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Males, n (%)</td>
<td>202 (67)</td>
<td>115 (59)</td>
<td>60 (87)</td>
<td>27 (73)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk factors, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>171 (57)</td>
<td>111 (57)</td>
<td>38 (55)</td>
<td>22 (59)</td>
<td>0.910</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dyslipidaemia</td>
<td>136 (45)</td>
<td>74 (38)</td>
<td>40 (58)</td>
<td>22 (59)</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes</td>
<td>43 (14)</td>
<td>21 (11)</td>
<td>15 (22)</td>
<td>7 (19)</td>
<td>0.054</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking</td>
<td>85 (28)</td>
<td>44 (22)</td>
<td>28 (41)</td>
<td>13 (35)</td>
<td>0.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive family history</td>
<td>82 (27)</td>
<td>45 (23)</td>
<td>23 (33)</td>
<td>14 (38)</td>
<td>0.074</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obesity (BMI ≥ 30)</td>
<td>59 (20)</td>
<td>41 (21)</td>
<td>9 (13)</td>
<td>9 (24)</td>
<td>0.269</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Pre-test likelihood of CAD, n (%)

| Low                           | 26 (9)       | 22 (11)      | 3 (4)        | 1 (3)        | 0.085         |
| Intermediate                  | 229 (76)     | 145 (74)     | 57 (83)      | 27 (73)      | 0.323         |
| High                          | 47 (15)      | 29 (15)      | 9 (13)       | 9 (24)       | 0.275         |

CAD, coronary artery disease; BMI, body mass index.
available on the prognostic value of anatomic imaging with CCTA\textsuperscript{16–18} or on the combination of CT and SPECT.\textsuperscript{19} In patients with overall risk comparable to our study population, annual rates of death or MI between 4.3\textsuperscript{20} and 5.1\%\textsuperscript{17} have been reported for MPI abnormalities and between 2.7\textsuperscript{18} and 5.3\%\textsuperscript{17} for significant lesions in CCTA. The annual rate of death or MI for matched findings in the present study (6.0\%) lies above this range, suggesting the superiority of hybrid imaging for risk stratification. This superiority may at least in part be due to the fact that 3D hybrid imaging provides additional information about haemodynamic lesion relevance and facilitates lesion interpretation by allowing exact allocation of perfusion defects to its subtending coronary artery. This cannot reliably be achieved by mental integration of the side-by-side CCTA and SPECT-MPI scan results as recently reported, because standard myocardial distribution territories correspond in only 50–60\% with the real anatomic coronary tree.\textsuperscript{6} Although in a low pre-test-probability population, a CCTA alone may be appropriate to rule out CAD, the identification of matched findings by hybrid imaging in an intermediate-risk population such as in the present study allows discriminating patients with substantial risk exceeding considerably the margin set to define ‘high risk’ by the ACC/AHA guidelines for stable angina at 3–5\%.\textsuperscript{22} In order to provide clinically meaningful information on haemodynamically relevant lesions in the present study, only reversible SPECT-MPI findings were considered as a matched finding because ischaemia in territories subtended by stenotic coronaries constitutes an indication for revascularization, whereas scar tissue does not. Our results support the clinical importance of functional lesion characterization particularly for prognostically relevant target vessel revascularization and confirm that also fixed defects confer a predictive value for adverse events.

In addition to its prognostic value, hybrid imaging on hybrid devices or in dedicated imaging facilities with stand-alone scanners may confer the advantage of increasing the probability that patients are getting a comprehensive anatomic and functional non-invasive assessment before being sent to invasive catheterization. This could contribute to substantially increase the currently reported low yield of elective diagnostic invasive coronary angiography\textsuperscript{23} and potentially facilitate evidence-based coronary interventions.\textsuperscript{2,3}

**Study limitations**

We acknowledge the following limitations: first, all-cause mortality was used although this is not a direct cardiac endpoint. However, an important advantage of all-cause death is the fact that it is easily ascertained, it is not affected by adjudication bias, and therefore constitutes the most valid endpoint.\textsuperscript{24} Secondly, the patients
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**Figure 2** The Kaplan–Meier survival curves showing the prognostic value of cardiac hybrid imaging. Cardiac hybrid findings predict (A) all-cause death or non-fatal myocardial infarction (MI) and (B) major adverse cardiac events (death, MI, unstable angina requiring hospitalization, and coronary revascularization).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Predictors</th>
<th>MACE</th>
<th>P-value</th>
<th>Multivariate</th>
<th>P-value</th>
<th>Death or MI</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Univariate HR (95% CI)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Univariate HR (95% CI)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Univariate HR (95% CI)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>1.04 (1.01–1.07)</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>1.09 (1.03–1.14)</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male gender</td>
<td>1.29 (0.68–2.45)</td>
<td>0.433</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>2.12 (0.60–7.44)</td>
<td>0.241</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥3 risk factors</td>
<td>2.62 (1.48–4.65)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>3.74 (1.39–10.05)</td>
<td>0.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reversible perfusion defect</td>
<td>6.23 (3.51–11.08)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>3.88 (1.41–10.68)</td>
<td>0.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stenosis ≥50%</td>
<td>6.56 (3.46–12.45)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>3.12 (1.56–6.23)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>4.83 (1.68–13.91)</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matched SPECT/CCTA finding</td>
<td>7.48 (4.21–13.29)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>3.80 (1.76–8.21)</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>4.49 (1.63–12.37)</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MACE, major adverse cardiac events; MI, non-fatal myocardial infarction; HR, hazard ratio; CI, confidence interval; NA, not applicable.
group with matched hybrid findings was relatively small. This is due to the fact that the probability of early coronary revascularization (defined as exclusion criteria) is much higher in patients with matched findings. Hence, many of these patients with highest risk were excluded from further outcome analysis which leads to an underestimation of the risk assessment. Nevertheless, there was good risk discrimination between the matched and the other groups, further supporting our results. Thirdly, in the univariate analysis, the presence of ischaemia was an independent predictor of adverse outcome, although this fell short of statistical significance for the multivariate analysis in contrast to the previous study included into the multivariate analysis CCTA, hybrid, and MPI imaging results, i.e. findings which are linked by interaction. Fourthly, the additive radiation burden from combined SPECT and CCTA is a limitation and may have hampered its widespread use in daily clinical practice. However, the radiation dose can be decreased significantly when dedicated dose reduction techniques are implemented for SPECT and CCTA. In fact, the last 61 patients of the present study were scanned using prospective ECG triggering, resulting in an average radiation dose of 1.8 ± 0.6 mSv for the CCTA. Combined with new SPECT protocols including low-dose and stress-only MPI scanning, radiation dose optimization allows to reach values below 5 mSv for hybrid SPECT/CCTA scanning. These dose reductions may improve the clinical value of hybrid imaging, as the balance of harms and benefits is shifted to the favourable end allowing such combined non-invasive assessment of CAD to gain importance. Finally, data for MPI and CCTA were acquired on separate stand-alone scanners and hybrid images obtained by software fusion whereby misalignment could result in mismatch. The fact that despite potential misalignment matched findings allowed good risk stratification further strengthens our results. In addition, the accuracy of the fusion software and its clinical validity has been previously validated.

Conclusions

This is the first study to show the independent prognostic value of cardiac hybrid imaging findings. The unique advantage of cardiac hybrid imaging is the complete non-invasive assessment of anatomic and functional data. By revealing both coronary stenosis and its functional relevance, the hybrid approach can provide comprehensive information to guide management decisions in CAD patients. This, however, requires further validation in multicentre studies. In addition to being intuitively convincing and to providing incremental diagnostic information on functionally relevant coronary stenosis, the present study documents that cardiac hybrid imaging integrating SPECT with CCTA allows improved risk stratification.
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