Vitamin D deficiency, prevalent in 30–50% of adults in developed countries, is largely due to inadequate cutaneous production that results from decreased exposure to sunlight, and to a lesser degree from low dietary intake of vitamin D. Serum levels of 25-hydroxyvitamin D (25-OH D) <20 ng/mL indicate vitamin D deficiency and levels >30 ng/mL are considered optimal. While the endocrine functions of vitamin D related to bone metabolism and mineral ion homeostasis have been extensively studied, robust epidemiological evidence also suggests a close association between vitamin D deficiency and cardiovascular morbidity and mortality. Experimental studies have demonstrated novel actions of vitamin D metabolites on cardiomyocytes, and endothelial and vascular smooth muscle cells. Low 25-OH D levels are associated with left ventricular hypertrophy, vascular dysfunction, and renin–angiotensin system activation. Despite a large body of experimental, cross-sectional, and prospective evidence implicating vitamin D deficiency in the pathogenesis of cardiovascular disease, a causal relationship remains to be established. Moreover, the cardiovascular benefits of normalizing 25-OH D levels in those without renal disease or hyperparathyroidism have not been established, and questions of an epiphenomenon where vitamin D status merely reflects a classic risk burden have been raised. Randomized trials of vitamin D replacement employing cardiovascular endpoints will provide much needed evidence for determining its role in cardiovascular protection.
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Historical perspective
Identification of essential dietary nutrients that humans cannot synthesize started in the eighteenth century and led to the discovery of common diseases such as scurvy, beriberi, and rickets that were subsequently successfully treated by altering dietary intake. The fourth identified essential factor—referred to as “vitamin D” — was derived from cod liver oil and shown to be an effective cure for rickets. While vitamin D is found in foods, its name is a misnomer given that human skin can synthesize sufficient amounts with adequate exposure to sunlight.

Biochemistry and photobiology
Vitamin D is a group of fat-soluble molecules similar to steroids but with a ‘broken’ ring that are referred to as secosteroids (secos from Greek: “to cut”) (Figure 1). Several forms of vitamin D exist: cholecalciferol (or vitamin D3) is synthesized in response to ultraviolet (UV) irradiation of the skin resulting in the photochemical cleavage of 7-dehydrocholesterol, a precursor of cholesterol in the skin. A second form of vitamin D, ergocalciferol (or vitamin D2) is produced by irradiation of ergosterol, a membrane sterol found in the Ergot fungus. Dietary sources of vitamin D include fish oils (D3), egg yolks (D3), and mushrooms (D2) as well as artificially fortified cereals and dairy products (D2 or D3).

Energy received from the sun at UV wavelengths is most efficient in producing skin erythema and hence cleavage of 7-dehydrocholesterol. However, the amount of energy that reaches the earth’s surface is highly variable and is dependent on seasonal changes, distance from the equator and altitude, as well as the degree of ambient pollution and cloud cover. The ability to convert 7-dehydrocholesterol into vitamin D in the skin also decreases with age and with increasing skin pigmentation or sunscreen use.

Whether it is derived from the diet or synthesized cutaneously, vitamin D undergoes two successive hydroxylation steps. The first step occurs in the liver by mitochondrial and microsomal enzymes yielding 25-hydroxyvitamin D (25-OH D), the major circulating form of vitamin D. Less than 0.05% of 25-OH D is free in the circulation and the rest is bound to serum proteins with a half-life of 2 to 3 days.
3 weeks. The second hydroxylation step occurs in the kidney by 1α-hydroxylase, a tightly regulated enzyme found in the proximal convoluted tubule cells, that produces the hormonal form 1, 25-OH D₂ or calcitriol. Although many cell types express 1α-hydroxylase (e.g. cardiomyocytes, endothelial cells, and macrophages), renal 1α-hydroxylation is the major contributor of circulating calcitriol under normal conditions.

The activity of renal 1α-hydroxylase, unlike that produced by macrophages and other cell types, is under close modulation by a hormonal control loop that keeps calcitriol levels within a narrow range and maintains eucalcaemia. This dynamic process, in addition to calcitriol’s short half-life and narrow physiological range, even with decreased cutaneous synthesis or nutritional intake of vitamin D, make it unsuitable for clinical testing to define vitamin D status. This is in contrast to 25-OH D which has a longer half-life (weeks vs. several hours for calcitriol). Nevertheless, calcitriol levels are measured in hypocalcaemic or hyperparathyroid patients and in those with a decreased renal mass (i.e. kidney disease) and reflect activity of renal 1α-hydroxylase.

Biologic effects of vitamin D result largely from its binding to the nuclear steroid hormone vitamin D receptor (VDR), which is found in virtually all tissues and is also closely related to the thyroid, retinoid, and peroxisome proliferator-activator receptors. Although all vitamin D metabolites bind the VDR, most biological effects are likely mediated by calcitriol because of its greater receptor affinity.

Vitamin D receptor molecular activity

Elucidation of the molecular structure of VDR and recognition of its activity in non-classic tissues underscored the potential widespread physiological roles of vitamin D, and has prompted exploration of its extra-skeletal effects (Figure 2).1,2 Most well-known molecular effects of liganded VDR arise from its dimerization with the retinoid X receptor (RXR), forming a joint complex that serves as a transcription factor. This in turn binds to specific promoter regions referred to as vitamin D response elements (VDREs), modulating the expression of a multitude of genes.3 As with other hormonal nuclear receptors, a number of tissue- and target gene-specific co-activators and co-repressors dictate the milieu for liganded VDR activity.4 Non-nuclear, membrane-bound VDRs have been detected in several cells including cardiomyocytes, and may contribute to non-genomic functions. Nevertheless, these activities appear to be modulated by nuclear VDR activation and include controlling cation traffic across the cell membrane and regulating voltage-gated calcium channels.5,6

Vitamin D deficiency

Vitamin D metabolic pathways have been most extensively studied in areas related to its anti-rachitic effects and mineral ion homeostasis, including the hormonal control loop involving serum calcium levels, parathyroid hormone, induction of 1α-hydroxylase, synthesis of 1, 25-OH D₂, and the resulting alterations in intestinal and renal handling of mineral ions, as well as its effects on osteoblasts. Classically, clinical effects of vitamin D deficiency are considered to be the result of reduced intestinal absorption of calcium that in turn raises parathyroid hormone levels, and is accompanied by accelerated bone de-mineralization to maintain serum calcium concentration. Following chronic, severe vitamin D deficiency, frank hypocalcaemia ensues, but patients rarely present with acute symptoms (e.g. tingling or tetany), as this usually develops over an extended period of time.
Rather, the most common presenting symptoms of vitamin D deficiency include vague, local, or diffuse musculoskeletal aches and pains.

**Vitamin D and risk of morbid disorders**

Increased prevalence of several metabolic, autoimmune, and malignant disorders has been long noted in geographic locales with increasing latitude from the equator. In addition, the incidence of many morbid events increases during periods of decreased sunlight exposure (i.e., winter season), suggesting that the lack of vitamin D photosynthesis is a potential underlying mechanism. Epidemiological studies then demonstrated increased risks of chronic morbid disorders with lower levels of serum 25-OH D. More recently, vitamin D deficiency was implicated as an independent risk factor for incident cardiovascular disease and overall mortality in the general population.

**Cardiovascular biology and vitamin D**

Both VDR and 1-α-hydroxylase that convert vitamin D into the hormonal 1, 25-OH D₂ (calcitriol) form are actively expressed in cardiovascular tissues, including cardiomyocytes, endothelial, and vascular smooth muscle cells.

**Cardiomyocytes**

In ventricular myocytes isolated from neonatal rat hearts, calcitriol regulated the number of cells entering the synthesis phase of the cell cycle, therefore affecting subsequent maturation and differentiation. In addition, murine models lacking VDR exhibit increased ventricular mass, higher levels of atrial natriuretic peptide, in addition to cardiac metalloproteinases and fibroblast dyshomeostasis that precipitate a fibrotic extra-cellular matrix. These changes eventually lead to ventricular dilation and impaired electromechanical coupling. Moreover, rats fed a vitamin D-deficient diet show higher systolic pressure and serum creatine phosphokinase that parallel decreases in calcium levels. All these effects are readily corrected by vitamin D analogues. Finally, vitamin D analogues attenuated the left ventricular hypertrophy associated with increased sodium load in salt sensitive rats via modulation of several protein kinase pathways.

**Vascular endothelial cells**

Endothelial cells express VDR and its activation affects the development of immature cells, partly by modulating response elements in the vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) promoter. While VDR is up-regulated under stress in endothelial cells, active vitamin D analogues decrease cytokine induced expression of adhesion molecules and protect against advanced glycation products. Furthermore, vitamin D metabolites reduced endothelium-dependent vascular smooth muscle contractions and vascular tone in hypertensive models, an effect mediated by affecting calcium influx across endothelial cells.
Renin–angiotensin–aldosterone system

Renin expression was shown to be highly deregulated in VDR knock-out murine models, despite maintenance of a normal electrolyte balance. Tonic scission of angiotensinogen sharply increased angiotensin II levels and resulted in hypertensive heart disease. Similar abnormalities were observed with defective calcitriol synthesis in a wild-type model, independent of calcium metabolism, which were normalized following calcitriol administration. These findings suggest an active role for vitamin D in the pathogenesis of cardiovascular disorders and parallel results from clinical investigations.

Cardiovascular diseases and vitamin D

Although evidence confirms a robust association between vitamin D status and several cardiovascular disorders, a causal relationship remains to be fully elucidated. Mechanisms by which vitamin D deficiency may confer increased cardiovascular risk include the development of electrolyte imbalances, pancreatic β-cell dysfunction, and RAS activation. In addition, disrupted adaptive immune responses with severe vitamin D deficiency result in an inflammatory milieu that promotes vascular dysfunction and insulin resistance. Indeed, most epidemiological studies have reported an inverse relationship between vitamin D status and the prevalence of established cardiovascular risk factors such as age, hypertension, diabetes, and hypertriglyceridaemia (Table 1). Serum 25-OH D levels are also lower in women, in obesity, and in those with decreased physical activity.

Vitamin D and hypertension

The association between vitamin D deficiency and elevated blood pressure perhaps offers the most convincing evidence for the involvement of vitamin D metabolism in the pathogenesis of cardiovascular disease. A cause–effect relationship is postulated based on experimental and translational evidence demonstrating vital modulatory effects of vitamin D on the RAS axis. For example, studies in normotensive and hypertensive subjects reveal an inverse relationship between vitamin D metabolites and plasma renin activity, regardless of baseline renin levels or salt intake. In addition, dietary salt loading results in blood pressure increases that are worse with vitamin D deficiency, and are positively correlated with calcitriol synthesis. Importantly, high-dose cholecalciferol therapy (15 000 IU/day for 1 month) in obese, hypertensive patients increased renal plasma flow (RPF) and decreased mean arterial pressure. Moreover, infusion of angiotensin II following cholecalciferol therapy resulted in a greater RPF decline and higher aldosterone secretion when compared with pre-treatment infusions. This is similar to established findings of increased tissue sensitivity to angiotensin following RAS antagonist therapy. RAS activation and subsequent synthesis of angiotensin II are known to increase vascular tone and arterial stiffness, which precede and contribute to the development of hypertension and are also strong predictors of overall CVD risk. In this context, we observed a higher augmentation index (AIX) and a lower subendocardial viability ratio (SEVR), both considered as complex and composite markers of arterial wave reflections and systemic stiffening with lower 25-OH D levels, independent of concomitant vascular risk factors in healthy subjects (Figure 3). Furthermore, those with a normalized vitamin D status after 6 months exhibited significant improvements in vascular function measurements.

In the same way, measures of arterial stiffness inversely correlated with vitamin D status in the Baltimore Longitudinal Study of Aging and in a British multiethnic study, as well as in studies looking specifically at patients with diabetes, rheumatological conditions, peripheral arterial disease, and renal insufficiency. However, only a few studies examined effects of vitamin D therapy on vascular function, and so far results have been contradictory.

Thus, current evidence indicates that vitamin D deficiency may promote vascular dysfunction and sustained RAS activation, while sufficient levels may afford “endogenous”, proximal inhibition.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Third National Health and Nutrition Examination</td>
<td>+13 000</td>
<td>↑↑ Body mass index, blood pressure, lipids and hyperglycaemia in subjects with low 25-OH D levels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1958 British Birth Cohort</td>
<td>6810</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>German National Health Survey and Examination</td>
<td>4093</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health Professional’s Follow-up Study</td>
<td>613</td>
<td>25-OH D &lt; 15 vs. ≥ 30 ng/mL with three-fold ↑↑ risk for future HTN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nurse’s Health Study</td>
<td>1198</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Framingham Offspring Study</td>
<td>1739</td>
<td>↑↑ Incidence of CVD events with 25-OH D &lt; 15 ng/mL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women’s Health Initiative</td>
<td>36 282</td>
<td>No CVD benefit to low-dose vitamin D in postmenopausal women</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
levels > 30 ng/mL. Similarly, in a study that estimated 25-OH D levels based on dietary surveys in over 110,000 healthcare professionals, those with low “predicted” 25-OH D levels had a higher incidence of hypertension during nearly 16 years of follow-up.58,59

**Vitamin D therapy in hypertension**

The cardiovascular benefits of vitamin D therapy in those with chronic kidney disease and hyperparathyroidism have been long recognized, including blood pressure reduction, improved electrolyte balance, and an overall reduced cardiovascular mortality in haemodialysis patients.60,61

It is less clear if vitamin D therapy in essential hypertension, without overt kidney disease or electrolyte disturbances, will provide similar benefits. Trials reporting these measurements have either shown no blood pressure changes or small reductions in BP; however, these were limited by small and heterogeneous study samples, widely variable dosing strategies, and a short duration of follow-up.62,63 Several meta-analyses and systematic reviews have also arrived at conflicting conclusions; while a net significant hypotensive effect of vitamin D replacement was reported by some, others found either no change or only reductions in systolic BP, which may be apparent in specific subgroups such as those with vitamin D deficiency at baseline.32,64–67

Another complication in determining effects of vitamin D on blood pressure is that exposure to UV light also causes reductions in blood pressure, independent of vitamin D photosynthesis. Significant, immediate hypotensive effects of erythaemal and pre-erythaemal doses of UV irradiation have been demonstrated in both normotensive and hypertensive subjects.68–70 These effects are likely to be the result of overall decreases in vascular resistance with diffuse skin vasodilatation, and this “photorelaxation” is thought to be partly mediated by increased nitric oxide release in cutaneous vascular beds.71,72

**Vitamin D and diabetes mellitus**

Vitamin D deficiency is associated with disorders of insulin synthesis, secretion, and sensitivity. Experimental evidence highlights mechanisms by which vitamin D may influence glycaemic control; these include modulation of pancreatic RAS activity and regulation of calcium ion traffic across β-cells that directly affect insulin synthesis and secretion. Furthermore, vitamin D deficiency results in aberrant immune responses that precipitate an inflammatory milieu and subsequent insulin resistance.2,73,74

However, discrepancies in experimental and clinical evidence underscore knowledge gaps in determining the relationship between vitamin D metabolism and glycaemic control.2 For example, while human adipocytes express membrane-bound VDR that modulates lipolysis and lipogenesis activity in vitro, VDR null murine models exhibit a lean phenotype and increased energy expenditure, associated with adipose tissue atrophy. Further, models heterozygous for VDR show a similar, albeit less severe phenotype.75 Alternatively, increased adiposity and body fat mass observed in most insulin-resistant subjects may partly account for the lower 25-OH D levels seen in this population, as lipid-soluble vitamin D may be sequestered in adipose tissue, thus decreasing 25-OH D bioavailability.76
Vitamin D deficiency and epidemiology of diabetes mellitus

Many retrospective, cross-sectional, case–control, and prospective studies demonstrate a higher incidence and prevalence of type 1 diabetes mellitus with depressed vitamin D status. Similarly, low serum 25-OH D correlates with insulin resistance, obesity, aberrant phasing of insulin responses to glucose loading, glucose intolerance, fasting hyperglycaemia, and frank type II diabetes mellitus.77–79

Vitamin D therapy in diabetes mellitus

Observational, case–control, and prospective evidence strongly suggests that supplementing infants with vitamin D may significantly reduce the future incidence of type I diabetes. Dosage and timing of therapy appear to modulate this protective effect.80 The evidence for type II diabetes is weaker. Recent results from the Women’s Health Initiative in which 33,591 postmenopausal women were randomized to both daily calcium and cholecalciferol (1 g and 400 IU, respectively) or placebo demonstrated no primary prevention benefit of vitamin therapy in 2291 incident cases of diabetes mellitus after 7 years of follow-up.81 Limitations of this study include study subjects’ enrolment in additional dietary and hormonal interventions, inclusion of subjects already taking vitamin D supplements and the exclusion of men.82

While several smaller and non-randomized clinical trials show promising improvements in glycaemic control with vitamin D therapy, a recent Endocrine Society statement emphasized the lack of solid evidence supporting benefits of vitamin D therapy in diabetes mellitus.2

The role of vitamin D in modulating adaptive immunity, vascular inflammation, and endothelial function

Other potential consequences of vitamin D metabolism on human vasculature derive from several lines of experimental investigation and include exacerbation of atherogenesis and acceleration of arterial calcification. For example, the established anti-lymphoproliferative effects of vitamin D extend to regulation of monocyte/macrophage differentiation and the concomitant response to, and secretion of, inflammatory cytokines.28,83–86 This in turn may determine monocyte infiltration and cholesterol retention in the vascular wall and may corroborate clinical evidence of increased plaque instability and incident myocardial infarctions in vitamin D-deficient patients, in addition to the observed improvements in inflammatory biomarker levels in heart failure patients following vitamin D therapy.86–89

Additionally, aberrant vitamin D signalling induced in murine models caused extensive calcification of medium and small sized arteries, resembling human age-related Mönckeberg’s disease.90 In humans, vitamin D deficiency independently predicted prevalence, incidence, and progression of coronary calcification in 374 diabetic patients over 6 years of follow-up.91 Similar to experimental studies that show endothelial cell function modulation by vitamin D analogues,25,26 indices of endothelial function and microvascular reactivity assessed as brachial-artery flow-mediated dilation and reactive hyperaemia index, respectively, were independently correlated with 25-OH D levels in a study we conducted in asymptomatic individuals (Figure 3).

Vitamin D therapy and cardiovascular outcomes

Vitamin D deficiency has been implicated as an independent risk factor for incident cardiovascular events and all-cause mortality in several large prospective studies.12,13,92 To date, less than 60 randomized trials have reported cardiovascular outcomes, and less than half of these were designed with any a priori cardiovascular endpoints.67,93,94

The Women’s Health Initiative, with pre-specified cardiovascular secondary efficacy endpoints, is the largest randomized trial of vitamin D therapy to date. One year following randomization of 36,282 postmenopausal women to hormonal replacement therapy and/or dietary modifications, participants were asked to participate in a double-blinded vitamin D plus calcium supplementation trial. After 7 years of follow-up, rates of incident myocardial infarction and coronary disease related death, revascularization, confirmed angina, strokes, and transient ischaemic attacks did not differ between the treatment and placebo groups.95 Interestingly, post hoc analysis in women not taking vitamin D or calcium at baseline revealed significant decreases in colorectal and breast cancer incidence, but not in fractures or overall mortality.82

In a British fracture prevention trial, thrice yearly administration of a large oral dose of cholecalciferol in 2686 elderly subjects (76% men) for 5 years resulted in a non-significant trend towards decreased all-cause mortality, which was the secondary outcome, compared with placebo.96 More recently, a smaller randomized vitamin D only trial also failed to elicit significant changes in conventional cardiovascular risk factors. Significant favourable changes in lipoprotein composition were noted in treated individuals, but were deemed to be clinically unimportant by study investigators.97

Despite widely variable study populations and dosing strategies, review of available randomized vitamin D trials report similar findings; possible small reductions (up to 7%) in relative mortality risk.67,93,96 Yet, different investigators arrived at disparate conclusions; some suggested positive effects in certain subpopulations (e.g. institutionalized, elderly or female patients), while others reported likely cardiovascular benefits only with cholecalciferol therapy in moderate-to-high doses.93,99 Alternatively, other investigators were unable to demonstrate significant cardiovascular benefits based on available vitamin D therapy trials data.67,95

A recent meta-analysis of prospective studies that assessed the relationship between vitamin D status and CVD risk from 1966 to 2012, revealed an inverse relationship between levels of 25-OH D and future risk of CVD endpoints, including coronary heart disease, stroke, and total CVD mortality. The investigators examined over 6123 incident events that occurred in over 65,000 subjects who participated in 19-independent studies.100

It should be emphasized that most randomized vitamin D therapy trials to date were designed to investigate its protective skeletal effects; therefore subjects’ mean age exceeded 70 years, were mostly women (~75%) and many had established cardiovascular disease or risk factors.98 Additionally, many studies were tertiary prevention trials directed at patients with established morbid conditions such as end-stage kidney failure, debilitating fractures, and pulmonary tuberculosis.101–103 This in turn may affect the applicability of any
Vitamin D and cardiovascular disease: an epiphenomenon?

The independent association between vitamin D deficiency and incident cardiovascular disease, while implying a cause–effect relationship, is complicated by the fact that low 25-OH D levels may be a result of cardiovascular disorders rather than the cause of disease. Ambient sunlight exposure maintains physiological vitamin D levels and ambulatory subjects with normal outdoor exercise activities are likely to have higher 25-OH D levels and lower likelihood of cardiovascular disease, thus raising the concern that the link between CVD and vitamin D is an epiphenomenon. Indeed, we previously demonstrated an independent correlation between vitamin D status and cardiovascular fitness, measured by cardiopulmonary exercise testing in healthy adults.105

Additionally, though pharmacological preparations of cholecalciferol or ergocalciferol raise serum 25-OH D levels as effectively as sunlight exposure, other undefined physiological sequelae of either approach may vary. For example, cutaneous synthesis of cholecalciferol requires the cholesterol precursor 7-dehydrocholesterol and may produce other photoproducts which may affect lipid levels. This is supported by the observed seasonal variation in plasma lipid levels and lipoprotein composition, whereby higher total cholesterol and low-density lipoprotein are observed in the winter, and reach their nadir during the summer. These cyclical changes remain pronounced despite adjusting for dietary or physical activity changes.106 In contrast, short-term oral vitamin D therapy did not significantly alter lipid levels or lipoprotein composition in a recent randomized, placebo controlled clinical trial.107

Clinical considerations

While vitamin D deficiency is prevalent, non-institutionalized individuals that maintain moderate sun exposure will probably not benefit from additional supplementation. This is especially true in areas of lower latitude and in younger individuals who are physically active, with a normal body mass index and fairer skin complexions for which casual exposure of the face, arms and legs (as little as 10–15 min, thrice weekly) results in cutaneous production of sufficient amounts of vitamin D.11 Cutaneous synthesis raises serum 25-OH D to a plateau level above which sun exposure results in spontaneous 25-OH D degradation.108

In contrast, excessive intake of pharmacological preparations can cause severe 25-OH D elevation. Serum 25-OH D levels >240 ng/mL may displace protein-bound calcitriol and subsequently result in profound hypercalcaemia, with consequences ranging from hyperphosphataemia to nephro- and soft tissue-calcinosis. However, this condition is exceedingly rare and is usually the result of exposure to mega doses of pharmacological preparation of vitamin D.109

Although UV irradiation causes direct DNA damage and is now an established skin carcinogen, the incidence of non-melanoma skin cancer heavily depends on skin tone (blacks have 1 of 80 the lifetime risk compared with Caucasians).110,111 Moderate sun exposure should therefore not be discouraged in at-risk individuals with darker skin pigmentation, particularly in areas of higher geographic latitude. Even in sunny locations, expanding urbanization and concomitant air pollution, together with increasing concerns of skin malignancies and resultant sun avoidant behaviour all adversely contribute to the high prevalence of vitamin D deficiency.112

Supplementation of food materials vitamin D is now an established public health strategy in preventing deficiency worldwide. While food fortification with vitamin D, initially by direct UV irradiation and later by adding vitamin D2 (ergocalciferol) to various foods,113 was implemented in most of North America and European countries in the first decades of the twentieth century, an outbreak of presumed vitamin D toxicity in infants from consumption of over-fortified milk in Great Britain resulted in a ban on fortification across most of Europe by the end of 1950’s.114 Nevertheless, supplementation of food material with vitamin D has been re-introduced in many parts of Europe, including milk supplementation, over the past decades.

Treatment and prevention of vitamin D deficiency

In healthy individuals, prevention of vitamin D deficiency can be readily achieved by a combination of casual sunlight exposure, consumption of fatty fish or fish oils, in addition to fortified foods and/ or supplements. While the current recommended dietary allowance of vitamin D in the USA ranges between 400 and 800 IU/day,115 as much as 2000 IU/day may be needed to maintain sufficient 25-OH D levels (≥30 ng/mL) in at-risk adults. As most diets generally provide less than the recommended daily allowance of vitamin D,116 pharmacological supplementation with vitamin D2 or D3 is, therefore, often required, particularly in locations where few foods are fortified with vitamin D or in individuals with increasing risk factors.117

Importantly, while an inverse linear dose–response relationship between 25-OH D and CVD risk exists at levels between 20 and 60 nmol/L (≈8–24 ng/mL), higher serum levels were not associated with a definitive increase or decrease in CVD risk. Thus, whereas vitamin D sufficiency confers a protective CVD effect compared with deficiency, further increases in 25-OH D by means of pharmacological supplementation may have no effects on CVD.

For treatment of documented vitamin D deficiency, a recent practice guideline statement by the The Endocrine Society recommends oral administration of 50 000 IU per week of either vitamin D2 or
D3 for 8 weeks, followed by daily maintenance doses between 1500 and 2000 IU. Both loading and maintenance doses may be held higher in those with increasing risks for the development or recurrence of vitamin D deficiency. Concurrent calcium supplementation is a key component of effective therapy, and a preventative strategy should always address underlying causes, if possible.117,118

In addition to maintaining sufficient serum 25-OH D levels, patients with end-stage renal and/or hepatic disease impairing vitamin D activation and resulting in hypocalcaemia, in addition to those with secondary hyperparathyroidism or hypoparathyroidism require activated vitamin D therapy (e.g. 1, 25-OH D2; 0.25–0.5 μg/day).119,120 Patients with granulomatous disorders and dysregulated 1,25-OH D2 activity may require vitamin D replacement, but 25-OH D levels >30 ng/mL can worsen the associated hypercalcaemia. Therefore, careful monitoring of vitamin D status, serum, and urinary calcium is necessary in these patients.117,121

Conclusion

Vitamin D deficiency is a highly prevalent condition and is independently associated with most CVD risk factors and to CVD morbidity and mortality. Despite a large body of experimental, cross-sectional, and prospective evidence that implicates vitamin D deficiency in the pathogenesis of CVD, the causality of this relationship remains to be established. Most importantly, randomized trials of vitamin D therapy with CVD endpoint are needed to support a role for vitamin D therapy in cardiovascular protection.
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