Myocardial infarction incidence and ischemic heart disease mortality: overall and trend results in repatriates, Germany
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Background: The burden of cardiovascular diseases (CVDs) is much more pronounced in Eastern Europe, a spatial gradient within Europe still exists. However, former studies showed a significantly lower CVD mortality of German repatriates from the Former Soviet Union compared with the German population. Methods: All-cause, CVD and ischemic heart disease (IHD) standardized mortality ratio (SMR), IHD standardized incidence ratio and annual age-standardized mortality and acute myocardial infarction (AMI) incidence rates were calculated in a retrospective cohort. Time trends were investigated by loess regression. Results: A total of 6378 German repatriates were observed from 1990 to 2010, accumulating 92 149 person-years. We observed a lower all-cause mortality [SMR = 0.86 (0.75, 0.98)] in females and CVD mortality [International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems, version 10 (ICD) 10:100–199; SMR = 0.82 (0.65, 1.03)] and IHD mortality (I20–I25) [SMR = 0.84 (0.60, 1.15)] in males. In contrast, AMI incidence was significantly higher in male repatriates [standardized incidence ratio = 1.30 (1.02, 1.65)]. Whereas in the general population, mortality rates of CVD, IHD and AMI incidence have continuously decreased over time, the pattern in the repatriates was not as clear. In male repatriates, mortality rates seemed to be lower after immigration and remained rather constant. Incidence rates possibly exceed Germans rates by now. Conclusions: A possible historical repatriates’ IHD advantage shown in former studies has disappeared. The increasing AMI incidence in (male) repatriates might demonstrate the delaying onset of the impact of changes in the CVD risk profile due to migration. Health politics and the health system should be sensitized and take care of the development of IHD mortality and AMI incidence among the repatriates.

Introduction

Since the beginning of the 90s and the collapse of the Former Soviet Union (FSU), the majority of a large ethnic German sub-population (the so-called German repatriates) migrated back to Germany, adding up to about 2 million persons between 1990 and 2007 who originated from FSU.1 Large differences in cardiovascular disease (CVD) mortality rates exist between Eastern and Western Europe. In 2005, for instance, age-standardized CVD mortality rates were up to six-fold higher in
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Eastern (highest rates: males in Kazakhstan 1078/100 000, females in the Republic of Moldova 683/100 000) than Western (lowest rates in France: males 174/100 000, females 102/100 000) Europe. The update of the European mortality pattern of CVD for 2008 (figure 1) shows that the east–west decline remained virtually unchanged. The rate ratios between Russia and Germany were 3.7 for males and 3.0 for females in 2008.

These differences are mainly due to different risk profiles, particularly lifestyle habits. Binge drinking and chain smoking are common in FSU; both CVD risk factors show worldwide highest prevalence there. Red meat and saturated fat consumption combined with low fruit and vegetable intake are widely disseminated as well. Additionally, not only the societal living conditions in the FSU but also socioeconomic problems the repatriates are confronted with in high-income target countries might account for developing CVD.

The German repatriates shifted from a specific setting in Russia to different environmental and societal conditions in Germany. Hence, they experienced phases of different health transitions in their course of life, where they were exposed to changing risk factors. It was assumed that the German repatriates pursued lifestyles similar to the origin FSU population temporarily after migration to Germany. Additionally, migration is often related to psychosocial and long-term mental stress and is known to be etiologic for psychiatric disorders, which could affect CVD occurrence. In contrast, usually younger, and therefore healthier, persons tend to emigrate, which can induce a selection bias. However, this so-called healthy migrant effect may not play an important role here, as there is some evidence that the German repatriates emigrated almost completely. Data of a former cohort in North-Rhine-Westphalia showed that mostly complete family associations migrated, thus hardly any selection occurred.

Owing to their origin, higher CVD and ischemic heart disease (IHD) mortality rates in the repatriates were expected, at least in the first years after arrival in Germany. Surprisingly, initial studies reported considerably and significantly lower overall mortality, mainly driven by a lower CVD mortality.

The area of Augsburg, South Germany, is a community where a high number of repatriates migrated to between 1990 and 1999. Additionally, in Augsburg, the study platform KORA (Cooperative Health Research in the Region of Augsburg) has been established. Within this framework, a population-based Myocardial Infarction Register (MIR) has been set up. This allows the analysis of both IHD mortality and myocardial infarction incidence.

In this article, we present the overall, CVD (ICD 10: I00–I99) and IHD (ICD 10: I20–I25) mortality and myocardial infarction incidence as well as time trends in the Augsburg cohort of German repatriates from the FSU.

**Methods**

**Data sources and study population**

Mortality and myocardial infarction incidence follow-up was done retrospectively by assessing the German repatriates’ individual vital status and linking these data with disease and mortality data from comparison populations.

Cohort inclusion criteria were the origin from FSU with the officially recognized status of being a German repatriate according the Federal Refugee Act of Germany. Using the population register of Augsburg, we identified 6378 repatriates, who migrated to the area of Augsburg from the FSU in the period from 1 January 1990 to 31 December 1999. Vital status was ascertained through local population registries within the observation period from 1990 to 10 May 2010. In case of a deceased person, we requested an
anonymous copy of the death certificate from the responsible local health authority within Germany. The MIR coded the causes of death according to ICD 10 (Supplementary figure S1 shows the study population flow chart).

Annual data of causes of death and population figures of the general German population were obtained from the Web site of the German Federal Health Monitoring Information System. The MIR provided data on myocardial infarction incidence and IHD mortality. Since 1984, all cases of fatal and non-fatal acute myocardial infarctions (AMI) of the 25–74-year-old study population in the region of Augsburg (about 600 000 inhabitants; about 400 000 in the range of 25–74 years of age) have been continuously registered. Data sources for hospitalized patients include 10 hospitals. Methods of case finding and diagnostic classification of events have been described elsewhere. In brief, cases of initially non-fatal AMI are interviewed during their hospital stay using a standardized questionnaire, and further data is recorded by chart review. Fatal AMI, that is, out-of-hospital deaths and in-hospital deaths within 24 h, are identified through the regional health offices by checking all death certificate diagnoses suspect for IHD as main cause of death. Deaths from AMI were validated by autopsy reports, chart review and information from the last treating physician. An incident event is defined as one in a patient without a history of AMI.

Up to 31 July 2009, the MIR incidence data were validated and completed when performing the analyses. We identified first-ever AMI by linking the cohort with the MIR and calculated standardized incidence ratios (SIR) and age-specific and age-standardized incidence rates. Linkage was done with the variables sex, initials of the names and date of birth. Repatriates were considered at risk during the time registered as living within the study region and within the age frame of 25–74 years of age. End of follow-up was set to time of event (obtained from the matching physician), date of moving out of study region, date of death or 31 July 2009.

Statistical analyses

Person-year (PY) calculations were done using a macro developed in the software SAS. Standardized mortality ratio (SMR) analyses were performed for overall mortality and the ICD 10 groups 100–199 (CVD) and I20–I25 (IHD). As the MIR population (basis of the SIR analysis) is age-restricted, we calculated the SMRs both with the German rates considering all ages and restricted to MIR age frame (25–74 years of age) as reference. We chose the German rates as baseline rather than the specific Augsburg rates because the German rates, based on a larger population, are more stable and because the Augsburg all-cause mortality was similar to the overall German rates. Additional SMR analyses corrected for missing death certificates were age-standardized.

SMRs were calculated for AMI using the rates of thelsrunderischer medizinischer carys where ICD coding was not possible. In brief, cases of initially non-fatal AMI are interviewed during their hospital stay using a standardized questionnaire, and further data is recorded by chart review. Fatal AMI, that is, out-of-hospital deaths and in-hospital deaths within 24 h, are identified through the regional health offices by checking all death certificate diagnoses suspect for IHD as main cause of death. Deaths from AMI were validated by autopsy reports, chart review and information from the last treating physician. An incident event is defined as one in a patient without a history of AMI.

Up to 31 July 2009, the MIR incidence data were validated and completed when performing the analyses. We identified first-ever AMI by linking the cohort with the MIR and calculated standardized incidence ratios (SIR) and age-specific and age-standardized incidence rates. Linkage was done with the variables sex, initials of the names and date of birth. Repatriates were considered at risk during the time registered as living within the study region and within the age frame of 25–74 years of age. End of follow-up was set to time of event (obtained from the matching physician), date of moving out of study region, date of death or 31 July 2009.

Results

Mortality

The German repatriates in Augsburg show a similar age distribution as observed in other studies, with the most PYs aggregated in young age groups. Difference in sex median age was 3 years (females: 30 years, males: 27 years) at immigration time and 4 years (females: 46 years, males: 42 years) at end of observation (Germany: 45.2 in females, 42.6 in males; estimates of 2009).

The sex composition of the repatriates (47.5% male) differs from the general population (49% male in 2009) but is similar to the FSU, where the difference between both sexes reaches 7% in some parts of the countries (table 1). Overall, about 92 000 PYs accumulated in the study population. The migration was relatively constant from 1990 to 1999 (reported in Supplementary table S1). Up to 10 May 2010, altogether 487 deaths were observed, of which 90 were related to IHD (ICD 10: I20–I25) and 199 to the general group of CVD (ICD 10: I00–I99). In 18 (3.7%) cases, no death certificate, and thus no cause of death was available. Only 134 (2.1%) persons were lost to follow-up.

For overall mortality, SMR estimates less than 1 were observed, significant in females only [SMRmales = 0.96, 95% confidence interval (CI): 0.85, 1.09] and [SMRfemales = 0.85, 95% CI (0.75, 0.97); table 2]. Regarding CVD and IHD mortality, a lower mortality compared with the general German population was observed in males, although it was not significant. Adjusting for ICD code missing owing to unavailable death certificates (3.7% of all deaths), the SMRs and corrected CIs moved slightly towards higher numbers, but the effects in general remained the same.

The SMR estimates restricted to the MIR age frame were similar to the results based on all ages but showed wider CIs (reported in Supplementary table S2).

Incidence of myocardial infarction

Altogether, we observed 86 first-ever AMI (fatal and non-fatal) in the repatriates until 31 July 2009. The observed number of PYs was lower than in the SMR analyses because out-migrated individuals were no longer under observation and thus not under risk (table 1). The resulting AMI SIR was 1.30 (95% CI: 1.02, 1.65) in male repatriates and 0.92 (95% CI: 0.60, 1.43) in females (table 2).

Time trends

Time trends of the age-standardized IHD mortality and incidence rates are displayed in figure 2, together with the rates of the general German population and the MIR, respectively, and the smoothed rates of the German repatriates derived from loess modelling.

For both mortality and incidence, there was a significant average yearly decline of about 3.2 and 1.6%, respectively (both sexes combined), in the reference populations. Owing to limited sample size, the picture is not as clear in the repatriates. In male repatriates, the results suggest that the repatriates may have had lower IHD mortality rates in the 90s, when the cohort members arrived in Germany, but adapted to the German rates by now. Females seemed to have slightly higher mortality rates in comparison with the general population at any time. In both sexes, the area under the curve ratio corresponds to the overall SMR estimate.

In incidence, male repatriates had slightly lower AMI rates in the beginning of the observation period, but their situation seems to worsen in recent years. In females, the smoothed curve is similar to the German rates for many years. Here as well, the area under the curve ratio corresponds to the SIR findings.

Discussion

To our knowledge, this is the first study in which in addition to the overall and CVD mortality, both IHD mortality and AMI incidence
were investigated in German repatriates. Whereas earlier studies have shown surprisingly lower overall and CVD mortality compared with the autochthonous population, we have been able to enhance the picture with a longer observation period and by presenting AMI incidence results.

Overall, the lower all-cause mortality in males was not as strong as described earlier, but in females, the effect was still significant. We observed a lower CVD mortality particularly in males, thus confirming previous results, although the effect appeared less pronounced and not significant. In deaths caused by IHD, males showed a similar pattern to that reported previously. In women, the effect was somewhat different from former findings, but not significant. The SMR estimates only slightly changed after adapting to the MIR age range definition, which mainly induced extended CIs owing to a lower number of events available.20,21

In contrast to CVD and IHD mortality, a significantly higher overall rate of first-ever AMI was observed in male repatriates, which was primarily driven by higher AMI rates in the last years of observation.

The population composition in Augsburg is different from the general German population. The proportion of citizens with foreign nationalities living in Augsburg city is 17% compared with 8.7% in Germany overall (2009). As adjacent smaller cities report much lower numbers of foreigners, the register region average is about 11%.31 The proportion of persons with migration history overall (including the repatriates) is estimated to be about 41% in Augsburg, compared with 19.6% in Germany.33,34 The proportion of German repatriates originating from FSU and living in Augsburg city is 8.4% (2009; Amt für Statistik und Stadtforschung, personal communication). The higher proportion of persons with migration history could perhaps result in lower overall AMI incidence in the Augsburg region. The integration of German repatriates into the labour market has proven to be difficult, with the highest unemployment rates observed in the upper educational levels. In 2004, 28.6% of the German repatriates in Bavaria were unemployed, in contrast to 14.9% of the foreigners and 6.6% of the Germans.35 A lower socioeconomic position is known to be linked with CVD risk factors; unemployment can be accompanied by lifestyle changes such as increased tobacco consumption.13 Hence, in the German repatriates, the long-term negative effect of the sudden fall to constant lower socioeconomic position might have started to outweigh a possible positive migration effect, what could explain the higher AMI incidence in comparison with the average of the Augsburg region.

### Table 1 Characteristics of the German repatriates from FSU in the Augsburg region

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Person-years</th>
<th>Overall deaths</th>
<th>Death certificate missing</th>
<th>ICD 10 I20–I25</th>
<th>ICD 10 I00–I99</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mortality follow-up (all ages; end of follow-up 10 May 2010)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Males</td>
<td>3031</td>
<td>47.5</td>
<td>43 658</td>
<td>251</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Females</td>
<td>3347</td>
<td>52.5</td>
<td>48 491</td>
<td>236</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vital status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deaths</td>
<td>487</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>4285</td>
<td>487</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lost to follow-up</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>958</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alive</td>
<td>5756</td>
<td>90.3</td>
<td>86 906</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>6378</td>
<td></td>
<td>92 149</td>
<td>487</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incidence follow-up (limited to Myocardial Infarction Registry study region; 25–74 years of age; end of follow-up 31 July 2009)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Males</td>
<td>2345</td>
<td>47.8</td>
<td>23 903</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Females</td>
<td>2564</td>
<td>52.2</td>
<td>27 174</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vital status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First AMIa</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>693</td>
<td>26</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lost to follow-up</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>431</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deathsb</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>1234</td>
<td>172</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Event-free</td>
<td>4572</td>
<td>93.1</td>
<td>48 719</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>4909</td>
<td></td>
<td>51 077</td>
<td>198</td>
<td></td>
<td>31</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**a**Acute myocardial infarction.  
**b**All-cause deaths except first acute myocardial infarction.

### Table 2 SMRs for overall mortality, CVD and IHD mortality and SIRs for AMI of German repatriates from Augsburg region compared with different reference populations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cause of death</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Obs.</th>
<th>Exp.</th>
<th>Person-yearsa</th>
<th>Ratio (95% CI)</th>
<th>Corrected ratio (95% CI)a</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SMRb</td>
<td>Females</td>
<td>236</td>
<td>276.1</td>
<td>48 491</td>
<td>0.85 (0.75, 0.97)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>Males</td>
<td>251</td>
<td>261.1</td>
<td>43 658</td>
<td>0.96 (0.85, 1.09)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I00–I99 (CVD)</td>
<td>Females</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>124.8</td>
<td>48 491</td>
<td>0.95 (0.79, 1.14)</td>
<td>0.99 (0.80, 1.21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Males</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>97.8</td>
<td>43 658</td>
<td>0.82 (0.65, 1.02)</td>
<td>0.85 (0.66, 1.09)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I20–I25 (IHD)</td>
<td>Females</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>46.1</td>
<td>48 491</td>
<td>1.08 (0.80, 1.43)</td>
<td>1.12 (0.81, 1.52)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Males</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>47.7</td>
<td>43 658</td>
<td>0.84 (0.60, 1.14)</td>
<td>0.87 (0.61, 1.22)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIRc</td>
<td>Females</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>21.68</td>
<td>27 174</td>
<td>0.92 (0.60, 1.43)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMId</td>
<td>Males</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>50.82</td>
<td>23 903</td>
<td>1.30 (1.02, 1.65)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**a**Adjusted for missing death certificates.27  
**b**Reference population: general German population, all ages.  
**c**Reference: acute myocardial infarction rates of the Myocardial Infarction Register, ages 25–74.  
**d**Acute myocardial infarction.  
Bold values: significant results.
This study found some indications for a different course in male repatriates’ IHD mortality and AMI incidence in comparison with the reference populations. Whereas in the German population, the IHD mortality has been declining over the past decades with an average yearly decline of about 3.7% in males and 2.7% in females, the pattern in the repatriates is less clear. Although the relatively low sample size precludes generalization of the results, the smoothed curve in male repatriates up to 2002 is in line with findings from a former study where nearly constant but, compared with the general population, significantly lower CVD mortality rates (in which IHD plays a major role in) have been reported.36 Regarding AMI incidence, in contrast to the general German population, the course in male repatriates even appears to have possibly deteriorated in the recent years. Owing to the high variability of the rates from year to year, the non-parametric loess regression is a good means to present temporal trends. However, in consequence of the compromises made in modelling here, the results regarding the time trends have to be interpreted in a descriptive manner only.

This study has several limitations to be considered. The study population size was limited by the locally available cohort. Hence, CIs of most of the SMR and SIR estimates cover a wide range.

The linking procedure with the MIR could theoretically have led to an incorrect match. However, the probability of such an error is low because besides the initials of the names, the exact date of birth was part of the linkage.

First-ever AMI happening outside of the MIR region might not be registered if a person moves back to the study region and completion of the patient history might be impossible. However, because only a few \( n = 49 \) German repatriates moved out and resettled back later, it can be assumed that this effect is negligible.

SMRs and IHD mortality time trend calculations were done using the rates of the general German population, whereas SIRs and AMI incidence trends were referring to the rates of the MIR population. But, even though the CVD/IHD death rates reported by the MIR are slightly higher compared with the general German population, they follow the same trend.29 Hence, the combined interpretation of IHD death rates and AMI incidence is not biased owing to different reference populations.

The strength of this study lies in the long observation period of a closed cohort living in a localized region in Germany, which limits the number of unknown influential parameters on time trends and enables a direct comparison with the local autochthon population. Taking into account that the German AMI incidence estimates are based on the MIR population, the cohort located in Augsburg is an advantage when calculating and interpreting the SIR.

It is very probable that the study cohort identified in the population register represents the fully possible cohort meeting the inclusion criteria. Hence, the results do not refer to a specific repatriates’ subgroup only, like persons with low socioeconomic status for instance.

The less pronounced mortality effect seen in Augsburg in comparison with former studies probably results from the longer observation period, which may have led to a convergence of the rates towards the end of the period.20,21 However, if the mortality and incidence time pattern differ in the cohort and the reference population, as a few pointers suggest in our analysis, then an overall SMR or SIR might be insufficiently informative because it masks the temporal development.

Even though the (male) repatriates seem to have a lower CVD and IHD mortality in comparison with the general German population in SMR analyses spanned from 1990 to 2010, the time trends of the IHD mortality suggest that a possible former advantage of the male repatriates, as observed in previous studies, has changed into an equivalent situation by now.

In the general population, possibly risk reduction owing to changes in lifestyle habits may have influenced the CVD and IHD mortality decrease. Additionally, statins are increasingly prescribed to prevent CVD. However, a recent study in Denmark showed an inequitable distribution of statin applications primarily in high-risk individuals in lower risk socioeconomic subgroups.37 Such inequalities in statin therapy application could have contributed to
the increasing AMI incidence in male repatriates. But no data were available to assess their socioeconomic risk status. However, in females, a similar pattern was not observed.

Razum and Twardella (2002) attributed the frequently lower CVD mortality of first-generation migrants in high-developed host countries to different progresses in the health transition in the country of origin and the host country and different time lags in cause-specific mortality subject to changing risk factors.15 Whereas a reduced risk for infections in the host country could drastically lower infectious disease mortality within a short period after immigration, the higher exposure to CVD risk factors might become apparent many years later. Transferred to the repatriates, this might indicate that the German repatriates had healthier lifestyles with regard to CVD risks in FOUS compared with the ethnic Russians. This hypothesis is underpinned by findings of a recent study where significant lower alcohol consumption in repatriates in Germany is reported, for example.38 The increasing AMI incidence in (male) repatriates on the other hand might demonstrate the delaying onset of the impact of changes in the CVD risk profile due to migration. However, both the study size and observation period are probably too small to observe a similar impact on IHD mortality.

Conclusions

Previously reported results on overall and CVD-related mortality in German repatriates were largely confirmed in this study. However, a possible historical advantage seems to have disappeared in recent years, and the rates of the repatriates and the general German population may have converged. Regarding AMI incidence, male repatriates suffered more frequently from first-ever AMI overall in comparison with the general population, with steadily deteriorating rates in the recent years. Health politics should be sensitized and should contemplate to implement screening programmes specifically targeted to repatriates, for example.

Supplementary data

Supplementary data are available at EURPUB online.
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Key points

- Previously reported results on lower all-cause and CVD-related mortality in German repatriates were largely confirmed in this study.
- But, AMI incidence was significantly higher in male German repatriates.
- Time trends showed differences between German repatriates and the general German population.
- A possible historical advantage in the German repatriates may have transformed to a worse situation by now.
- Health politics and the health system should be sensitized and take care of the development of IHD mortality and AMI incidence among the German repatriates.
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