Do smoking and fruit and vegetable intake mediate the association between socio-economic status and plasma carotenoids?
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Background: The aim was to study whether the association between educational attainment and antioxidant status is mediated by smoking and fruit and vegetable intake. **Methods:** Cross-sectional analyses of the Oslo Youth Study 2006 wave were carried out. Information about education, smoking habits and diet was collected by questionnaire for 261 subjects (142 women and 119 men aged 38–42 years). Blood samples, height and weight measurements were taken by the participants’ General Practitioner. Blood were analysed for plasma carotenoids. Linear regression analyses were used to examine whether smoking and fruit and vegetable intake mediate the association between education and plasma carotenoids. **Results:** Educational level was positively associated with \( \beta \)-cryptoxanthin, \( \alpha \)-carotene and lutein/zeaxanthin, but not with total carotenoids, \( \beta \)-carotene or lycopene. Education was negatively associated with smoking and positively associated with fruit and vegetable intake. Smoking was negatively associated with \( \beta \)-cryptoxanthin, and fruit and vegetable intake was positively associated with \( \beta \)-cryptoxanthin (adjusted for educational level). Moreover, cigarette consumption mediated the association between education and \( \beta \)-cryptoxanthin by 37%, while fruit and vegetable intake mediated this association by 18%. The total mediation effect was 55%. **Conclusion:** Smoking seemed to be more important as a mediator between education and plasma levels of \( \beta \)-cryptoxanthin than the intake of fruit and vegetables, but more studies are needed to establish the relative importance of smoking and diet as mediators of the association between education and antioxidant status.

Introduction

Antioxidants are shown to be important in health and disease.\(^1\),\(^2\) Although much is known about the mechanisms underlying a person’s antioxidant status, including dietary intakes and bioavailability, individual requirements, metabolism, expenditure and genetic factors,\(^3\),\(^4\) it is not fully understood which and how background variables affect antioxidant status.

As for other health-related factors, socio-economic status (SES), for which education is a common measure in health research,\(^6\) has been found to be of importance for antioxidant status.\(^7\)–\(^11\) However, only few studies have investigated the association between social status and carotenoids; a study from the United States found significantly lower serum carotenoid levels in neighbourhoods with high deprivation.\(^10\) Likewise, a study in an urban indigenous population in Australia showed a positive correlation between SES and plasma concentrations of several carotenoids.\(^7\) Findings from the CARDIA study showed that total carotenoids decreased with decreasing SES,\(^8\) and results from the Scottish MIDSPAN study showed strong inverse associations between plasma carotenoids and adult markers of social deprivation,\(^11\) while a study from Belgium found no association between social status and \( \beta \)-carotene deficiency.\(^9\) Only one of these studies examined possible mechanisms through which SES may affect antioxidant status. The CARDIA study by Janicki-Deverts et al. (2009)\(^8\) explored whether the proposed association between SES and carotenoids was mediated by smoking, alcohol consumption and depression, and found that these factors partly mediated the effect of SES on carotenoids.

There is substantial evidence for social inequality in health behaviours, with for instance poorer diet quality\(^12\),\(^13\) and higher prevalence of smoking among lower SES groups,\(^13\),\(^14\) and both dietary intakes and smoking habits are shown to have an impact on an individual’s antioxidant status.\(^8\),\(^15\) However, by which mechanisms SES impact on antioxidant status is not known, and to our knowledge, only one study has investigated whether the association between SES and antioxidant status is mediated by smoking\(^8\) while none to date have explored whether intakes of fruit and vegetables may mediate this effect. Thus, which of these health behaviours are the most important mediator in the association between educational level and antioxidant status has not been examined.

The main objective of the current study was to examine whether smoking and/or fruit and vegetable intake may mediate the association between socio-economic status measured by educational level and single and total plasma carotenoids.

Methods

The Oslo Youth Study began in 1979 when 1016 primary- and secondary school students (mean age 13 years, range 11–15) attending six schools in socio-economically disparate neighbourhoods of Oslo were invited to participate in a health survey. The objective of the study was to obtain epidemiological data on risk factors for cardiovascular disease and cancer and to evaluate the effects of an intervention program that aimed at discouraging smoking initiation and improve physical activity and dietary
The plasma levels of lutein and zeaxanthin were summed, as previously described in online supporting material by Karlsen et al. at the University of Oslo. Plasma carotenoids were analysed as described elsewhere. Overall participation rate among those invited in 2006 was 261 subjects (119 men, 142 women, mean age 40.0 years; range 38.0–42.0). Overall participation rate among those invited in 2006 was 32.6% (261/800).

Assessment of health behaviours, education, body mass index, diet and plasma carotenoids

Respondents were invited to attend a health examination survey at their primary care physician in addition to the questionnaire survey, see below.

The self-administered questionnaire contained enquiries regarding smoking habits, diet and education. 

Cigarette smoking was assessed by the question ‘How often do you smoke cigarettes?’, with five response alternatives—Never, Seldom more than once a month, About 1–2 times a month, About 1–2 times weekly and Daily. For smokers, number of cigarettes was recorded; ‘If you smoke, how many cigarettes/pipes do you smoke daily?’, with response categories <1, 1–5, 6–10, 11–20 and 20+, which were given scores 1–5, respectively. Non-smokers were given value zero on this variable.

Educational attainment in 2006 was ascertained from the questionnaire, and was used as an indicator of socio-economic status. The response categories were as follows: Elementary school (9 years), High school (10–11 years), High school/Comprehensive school (12 years), College/University (1–4 years) and College/University (at least 4 years).

In the 2006 health examination, height and weight measurements (in light clothing and without shoes) and a blood sample were taken. Body mass index (BMI) was computed based on the usual formulae \( \text{weight}/(\text{height})^2 \text{kg/m}^2 \).

Venipuncture was done by the participants’ General Practitioners, and all blood samples were analysed for the plasma carotenoids lutein, zeaxanthin, lycopene, \( \alpha- \) and \( \beta- \) carotene and \( \beta- \) cryptoxanthin at the University of Oslo. Plasma carotenoids were analysed as previously described in online supporting material by Karlsen et al. The plasma levels of lutein and zeaxanthin were summed, and the combined measure was used in the analyses.

Participants completed a validated quantitative food frequency questionnaire (FFQ) designed to assess usual diet during the past year. The questionnaire included 180 food items grouped together according to the typical Norwegian diet and meal pattern. Questions were phrased to assess the usual intake, and both frequencies (10 response alternatives) and amounts (ranging between 4 and 14 response alternatives dependent on food item) were reported by the participants. We estimated daily intake (in grams) of total fruit and vegetables (potatoes were excluded). The FFQs were scanned, and the image files transferred into data files using Cardiff Teleform 2006 software. The data files were checked for completeness, and daily intakes of fruit and vegetables were computed using the food database AE-07 and KBS software system (KBS, version 4-9, 2008) developed at the Department of Nutrition, University of Oslo, Norway. The food database AE-07 is based on the 2006 edition of the Norwegian food composition table (www.norwegianfoodcomp.no).

Statistical analyses

Effect modification by sex was tested by linear regression analyses, but as there were little evidence of an effect modification, analyses were run for men and women combined. Single- and two-mediator analyses were adjusted for sex, age, BMI and fasting status, and assumptions for the mediation analysis were met. Linear regression analyses were used in the single- and two-mediation models, which were carried out for the total effect of education on plasma carotenoids represented by the c-path (figure 1). The \( a_1 \)-path represented the relationship between education and smoking as one mediator (M1) and the \( a_2 \)-path represented the relationship between education and fruit and vegetables as a second mediator (M2). The relationships between each of the mediators M1 and M2 and plasma carotenoids were represented by the b-paths (which are adjusted for the independent variable, i.e. education in the present study). In two-mediation analyses, the c’-path represented the relationship between education and plasma carotenoids when adjusted for the mediators M1 and M2. Results for single-mediation analyses are not shown. The mediated effect \( (a_1 \text{path} \times b_1 \text{path} + a_2 \text{path} \times b_2 \text{path}) \) with 95% confidence intervals and statistical significance was obtained from bootstrap samples (1000), calculated with Andrew F. Hayes’ macro INDIRECT generated for SPSS to assess and calculate indirect effects in multiple mediator models (http://www.thehayes.com). Bias-corrected bootstrap analyses were performed as recommended to increase the power of the analyses by obtaining statistical power estimates of 0.8. Full or complete mediation is present when the total effect (the c-path) is significant, the direct effect (the c’-path) is non-significant and either \( a_1b_1 \) or \( a_2b_2 \) or both are significant, whereas partly or incomplete mediation is present when the direct effect is also significant. Inconsistent mediation is present when neither total nor direct effect is significant and either \( a_1b_1 \) or \( a_2b_2 \) or both are significant. T-tests and chi-square statistics were used to compare men and women, and those participating in 1981 (substituted with data from 1979 if missing) and in 2006 with 2006 dropouts (from the physical health examination). The Statistical Package for Social Sciences (version SPSS 19.0) was used in all analyses.

Results

The attrition analyses revealed significant differences between 2006 participants and non-participants with regard to sex, age, smoking status and parental education. 2006 participants were more likely to be female \([62.6\% \text{ vs. } 42.7\%]\), \( P < 0.001 \) (Chi square)], to be older \([14.7 \text{ vs. } 14.4 \text{ years}, P < 0.01 \) (T-test)], less likely to be a weekly smoker \([11.3\% \text{ vs. } 16.8\%], P < 0.05 \) (Chi square)] and more likely to have parents with higher education (at least 12 years, high school/ comprehensive school or college/university) \([52.5\% \text{ vs. } 41.6\%], P < 0.01 \) (Chi square)] in 1981 (or 1979) compared with 2006 dropouts. There were no significant differences in BMI or intake of fruit and vegetables in 1981/1979 by participation in the 2006 health examination.
Mediation (two-mediation model) of the association between parental education and plasma carotenoids by smoking and fruit and vegetable intake

**Table 1 Characteristics of study participants**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Background variables</th>
<th>n (%)</th>
<th>Mean (SD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sex, n (% women)</td>
<td>142 (54.4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, years, mean (SD)</td>
<td>40.0 (0.9)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI, mean (SD)</td>
<td>25.2 (4.3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fasting, n (%)</td>
<td>154 (59.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carotenoids in plasma (µmol/L), mean (SD)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total carotenoids</td>
<td>1.649 (0.808)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β-carotene</td>
<td>0.167 (0.135)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α-carotene</td>
<td>0.119 (0.115)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β-cryptoxanthin</td>
<td>0.504 (0.397)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lutein and zeaxanthin</td>
<td>0.205 (0.102)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lycopene</td>
<td>0.654 (0.390)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elementary/secondary school (&lt;9 years)</td>
<td>11 (4.2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High school (10–11 years)</td>
<td>34 (13.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High/comp/secondary school (12 years)</td>
<td>67 (25.7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>College/university (1–4 years)</td>
<td>90 (34.5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>College/university (≥5 years)</td>
<td>59 (22.6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking (cigarettes per day), n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-smokers</td>
<td>185 (70.9)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>14 (5.4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1–5</td>
<td>10 (3.8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6–10</td>
<td>17 (6.5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11–20</td>
<td>31 (11.9)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥20</td>
<td>4 (1.5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fruit and vegetable intake, grams/day, mean (SD)</td>
<td>452 (271)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Oslo Youth Study follow-up 2006.

Study participants are described in table 1 with regard to antioxidant level in plasma, education, smoking and fruit and vegetable intake as well as the confounders sex, age, BMI and fasting status. Total carotenoid level was 1.649 µmol/L. The proportion of non-smoking participants were 71%, and the average intake of fruit and vegetables was 452 grams per day.

The associations between education, cigarette consumption, fruit and vegetable intake and carotenoids are presented in table 2 in two-mediation models. Results from single-mediation models did not change noticeably when both mediators were included in two-mediation models, and therefore only results from the two-mediation models are presented. Education was associated with β-carotene, α-carotene and with lutein and zeaxanthin combined (c-path, which are identical for single- and multi-mediation models), but not with total carotenoids, β-carotene or lycopene.

In the a-paths (that is the associations between the independent variable and the mediators, and identical for all end points and single- and two-mediation models), the association between education and cigarette consumption and between education and fruit and vegetable intake was significant; education was negatively associated with smoking and positively associated with fruit and vegetable intake.

Cigarette smoking was negatively associated with β-cryptoxanthin in single- (not shown) and two-mediator models (b-paths), and fruit and vegetable intake was positively associated with β-cryptoxanthin in single- (not shown) and two-mediator models (b-paths). Cigarette consumption fully mediated the association between education and β-cryptoxanthin in the two-mediation model. There were no significant associations between cigarette consumption or fruit and vegetable intake and total carotenoids, α- or β-carotene, lutein/zeaxanthin or lycopene in single- (not shown) or two-mediation models.

**Discussion**

**Findings**

Education was positively associated with plasma β-cryptoxanthin, α-carotene and lutein/zeaxanthin as well as with cigarette consumption and fruit and vegetable intake. Cigarette consumption seemed to be more important as a mediator between education and plasma levels of β-cryptoxanthin than the intake of fruit and vegetables.

**Other studies**

The positive association found between educational level and β-cryptoxanthin, α-carotene and lutein/zeaxanthin in the current study is partly supported by previous research; only few of the earlier studies have, however, included β-cryptoxanthin as an endpoint.7,10 While more studies have included α-carotene,7,10,11 The two identified studies including β-cryptoxanthin have conflicting results regarding the influence of education and SES on this specific carotenoid; one study7 did not find any association between SES and β-cryptoxanthin, while the other one10 found that higher SES predicted higher levels of β-cryptoxanthin. In line with our finding, three previous studies found that different measures of SES, including educational level, were positively associated with α-carotene.7,10,11 However, unlike our findings that education was not associated with β-carotene, lycopene and total carotenoids, previous research have reported that higher SES predicts higher levels of antioxidants.7–11

Education was strongly associated with smoking and fruit and vegetable intake in the current study, with a negative association with smoking and a positive association with fruit and vegetables. These results are in line with previous research.12–14 Consistent with other studies, smoking was negatively associated with β-cryptoxanthin in the current study.23–26 Previous research has been equivocal regarding the association between α-carotene and smoking; in the present study, smoking was non-significantly and negatively associated with α-carotene. Other studies report both negative25,26 and no associations.23,24 In the current study, we did not find a significant negative association between smoking and β-carotene and zeaxanthin-plus-lutein as previously reported.
However, previous research has demonstrated a strong association between dietary intakes of antioxidants and anti-smoking. 

Consuming fewer fruits and vegetables than non-smokers. This might explain some of the inconsistencies between this and earlier research.

The only statistically significant association between fruit and vegetable intake and antioxidants found in the current study was for β-cryptoxanthin, with higher intakes associated with higher levels of β-cryptoxanthin. This is in agreement with earlier research. However, previous research has demonstrated a strong association between dietary intakes of antioxidants and anti-oxidant level in plasma/serum, which we did not find here.

Explanations

The inconsistencies between the current study and studies from other countries might be ascribed to differences in age, ethnicity, socio-economic status, unadjusted confounders, for example other health behaviours, and methodological issues. The participants’ age range in the current study was narrow, originally they were all from the capital of Norway and owing to a high dropout rate, selection bias might have occurred, which may contribute to low variability in socio-economic status and health behaviours. Further, different measures of socio-economic status and different methods used to examine dietary habits, smoking and carotenoid status can not necessarily be used interchangeably.

Epidemiological studies have shown that cigarette smokers consume fewer fruits and vegetables than non-smokers. A weak and non-significant negative correlation was observed between smoking and intake of fruit and vegetables in the present study (Spearman’s rho = −0.14, P = 0.020). However, results from previous studies indicate that smoking is associated with antioxidant status independent of dietary intakes. The mediating effect of smoking on the association between education and antioxidant status in the present study is likely to be beyond the effect of different dietary habits because including intake of fruit and vegetables in the analyses did not change the effect of smoking. A French study found that plasma β-carotene was lower in smokers compared with non-smokers, and that the association did not change after adjustment for dietary intakes.

How cigarette smoking leads to lower levels of antioxidants is not fully understood. Reasonable theories are that cigarette smoking could result in increased metabolic turnover because of increased oxidative stress, or that smoking could decrease micronutrient absorption. Exposure to cigarette smoke has been observed to degrade α-tocopherol, β-carotene, cryptoxanthin, lutein/zeaxanthin and lycopene in human plasma. This can explain our finding that the other investigated antioxidants did not show a significant association with smoking may be due to lack of statistical power to detect significant associations. Alberg (2002) concluded in a review of the literature on the influence of smoking on circulating antioxidants that the effect of cigarette smoking was weaker for non-provitamin A carotenoids as zeaxanthin-plus-lutein and lycopene than for the provitamin A carotenoids α- and β-carotene and β-cryptoxanthin. Our findings are consistent with this.

Table 2 Mediation effects of fruit and vegetable intake and smoking in the relation between education (X) and single and total plasma carotenoid level (Y) among 261 men and women

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total carotenoids</th>
<th>c-path (SE)</th>
<th>c'-path (SE)</th>
<th>a-path (SE)</th>
<th>b-path (SE)</th>
<th>a*b (SE)</th>
<th>95% CI of a*b</th>
<th>a*b/c</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td>0.0492 (0.0460)</td>
<td>0.0255 (0.0507)</td>
<td>-0.4955 (0.0836)***</td>
<td>-0.0145 (0.0344)</td>
<td>0.0072 (0.0199)</td>
<td>-0.0390, 0.0430</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smokingβ</td>
<td>0.0230 (0.0075)**</td>
<td>0.0103 (0.0081)</td>
<td>-0.4955 (0.0836)***</td>
<td>-0.0171 (0.0055)**</td>
<td>0.0085 (0.0026)</td>
<td>0.0042, 0.0150</td>
<td>37.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F&amp;V intakea</td>
<td>66.6435 (12.2815)***</td>
<td>0.0001 (0.0000)***</td>
<td>0.0042 (0.0022)</td>
<td>0.0007, 0.0099</td>
<td>18.3</td>
<td>0.0127 (0.0035)</td>
<td>0.0067, 0.0209</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β-cryptoxanthin</td>
<td>0.0204 (0.0063)***</td>
<td>0.0168 (0.0070)*</td>
<td>-0.4955 (0.0836)***</td>
<td>-0.0053 (0.0047)</td>
<td>0.0026 (0.0020)</td>
<td>-0.0009, 0.0068</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td>0.0171 (0.0227)</td>
<td>0.0058 (0.0250)</td>
<td>-0.4955 (0.0836)***</td>
<td>-0.0181 (0.0170)</td>
<td>0.0090 (0.0094)</td>
<td>-0.0084, 0.0296</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smokingβ</td>
<td>66.6435 (12.2815)***</td>
<td>0.0000 (0.0000)</td>
<td>0.0023 (0.0060)</td>
<td>0.0095, 0.0154</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F&amp;V intakea</td>
<td>0.0132 (0.0058)*</td>
<td>0.0087 (0.0063)</td>
<td>-0.4955 (0.0836)***</td>
<td>0.0036 (0.0043)</td>
<td>0.0018 (0.0023)</td>
<td>-0.0025, 0.0072</td>
<td>3.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lutein and zeaxanthin</td>
<td>0.0132 (0.0058)***</td>
<td>0.0087 (0.0063)</td>
<td>-0.4955 (0.0836)***</td>
<td>0.0036 (0.0043)</td>
<td>0.0018 (0.0023)</td>
<td>-0.0025, 0.0072</td>
<td>3.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td>0.0132 (0.0058)***</td>
<td>0.0087 (0.0063)</td>
<td>-0.4955 (0.0836)***</td>
<td>0.0036 (0.0043)</td>
<td>0.0018 (0.0023)</td>
<td>-0.0025, 0.0072</td>
<td>3.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smokingβ</td>
<td>66.6435 (12.2815)***</td>
<td>0.0000 (0.0000)</td>
<td>0.0027 (0.0021)</td>
<td>0.0006, 0.0077</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F&amp;V intakea</td>
<td>-0.0244 (0.0224)</td>
<td>-0.0162 (0.0245)</td>
<td>-0.4955 (0.0836)***</td>
<td>0.0296 (0.0167)</td>
<td>-0.0147 (0.0102)</td>
<td>-0.0398, 0.0031</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lycopene</td>
<td>-0.0244 (0.0224)</td>
<td>-0.0162 (0.0245)</td>
<td>-0.4955 (0.0836)***</td>
<td>0.0296 (0.0167)</td>
<td>-0.0147 (0.0102)</td>
<td>-0.0398, 0.0031</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Two-mediator model (number of bootstrap samples for bias-corrected bootstrap confidence intervals: 1000). The Oslo Youth Study follow-up 2006.

a: All paths are adjusted for sex, age, BMI and fasting status.
b: Cigarettes per day had six categories—0, <1, 1–5, 6–10, 11–20, >20 cigarettes per day—and was entered as a continuous variable with values 0–5.
c: F&V intake = daily intake of fruit and vegetables.

*P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001.
Strengths and weaknesses—methodological issues

The FFQ used to obtain dietary data has been validated among Norwegian men and found to adequately rank people with regard to energy intake and most nutrients. Furthermore, due to that the intake of only selected nutritional supplements were assessed by the questionnaire combined with a high degree of missing on these questions, intake of such supplements could not be calculated. This may have influenced the results in the current study. Previous research indicates that intake of supplements is lower among smokers than others. If this holds true also in the present sample, the mediating effect of smoking may have been somewhat overestimated. Thus, this is a possible confounding variable which we were not able to control for, as data were not available.

The participants in the Oslo Youth Study were included through schools in different socio-economic areas in Oslo, indicating that they are fairly representative of the source population. The presence of missing data raises obvious concerns regarding selection bias, but although the attrition rate was rather high, it was comparable with that reported in previous longitudinal studies with similar follow-up periods. However, a small analytical sample in 2006 may have diminished the chances of detecting significant associations between smoking, fruit and vegetable intake and antioxidants. Furthermore, that the participants in the present study were more likely to be female, older and have parents with higher education and less often to be smokers in 1979/81, may indicate that the present sample has a healthier lifestyle than the initial sample. This should, however, not influence the associations between education, antioxidant status and intake of fruit and vegetables and smoking.

Cross-sectional data were used to conduct mediation analysis and therefore conclusions on causality cannot be made. Normally, mediation analyses require longitudinal data to be able to discern the causes and effects of several variables. However, when exploring the associations between education, intake of fruit and vegetables, smoking and antioxidant status, the associations are likely to be in the suggested direction. Also, educational level measured in the 1999-wave of the current study was highly correlated with educational level in 2006 (results not shown), indicating that education in 2006 represents education at an earlier point in time. However, investigating the mediation of an associated variable on an already established relationship between two other variables may imply where emphasis should be made in further analyses based on causality. Reverse causality cannot be completely ruled out owing to the cross sectional nature of the study.

Using cross-sectional data only means that changes over time cannot be detected. As measurements were based on self-reported data, the answers may be biased as well as socially desirable.

Conclusion

Both cigarette consumption and fruit and vegetable intake mediated the association between education and plasma levels of \( \beta \)-cryptoxanthin, of which smoking seemed to be the more important mediator. There was no evidence of a mediating effect for other single or for total carotenoids in plasma.

Acknowledgements

E.K. and L.F.A. generated the idea for the present manuscript which was developed by all co-authors, and wrote the first draft around analyses conducted by E.K., T.H.T. and M.K.K. G.S.T. designed and conducted the baseline and early follow-up surveys for the Oslo Youth Study and contributed substantially to data interpretation. N.B. analysed the blood samples and contributed to the interpretation of the results and data analyses. All authors have contributed to critical revision of the manuscript, and have read and approved of the final manuscript.

Funding

The 2006 wave of the Oslo Youth Study was funded by the Norwegian Research Council.

Conflicts of interest: None declared.

Key points

- It is not fully understood which background variables are of importance for a person’s carotenoid status, and how these background variables affect carotenoid status.
- In this study, smoking seemed to be somewhat more important than fruit and vegetable intake in mediating the association between education and plasma levels of \( \beta \)-cryptoxanthin.
- As our findings suggest that education may affect carotenoid status by means of dietary intakes and of cigarette smoking, interventions aiming at reducing the social inequality in health might benefit from including actions to improve diet as well as programs for smoking cessation.
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