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Reassessing the role of the secreted protease CPAF in Chlamydia trachomatis infection through genetic approaches
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This exciting study is a sorely needed advance that will be of significant interest to those who follow the field of Chlamydia pathogenesis. Although the data primarily refute prior reported roles of CPAF, the rationale is clear in the context of prior work. There are also new activities attributed to CPAF, and the reduced infectivity of the CPAF mutants is a new finding. The genetic approach used here will become the gold standard for the field.
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Abstract
The secreted Chlamydia protease CPAF cleaves a defined set of mammalian and Chlamydia proteins in vitro. As a result, this protease has been proposed to modulate a range of bacterial and host cellular functions. However, it has recently come into question the extent to which many of its identified substrates constitute bona fide targets of proteolysis in infected host cell rather than artifacts of postlysis degradation. Here, we clarify the role played by CPAF in cellular models of infection by analyzing Chlamydia trachomatis mutants deficient for CPAF activity. Using reverse genetic approaches, we identified two C. trachomatis strains possessing nonsense, loss-of-function mutations in cpa (CT858) and a third strain containing a mutation in type II secretion (T2S) machinery that inhibited CPAF activity by blocking zymogen secretion and subsequent proteolytic maturation into the active hydrolase. HeLa cells infected with T2S⁻ or CPAF⁻ C. trachomatis mutants lacked detectable in vitro CPAF proteolytic activity and were not defective for cellular traits that have been previously attributed to CPAF activity, including resistance to staurosporine-induced apoptosis, Golgi fragmentation, altered NFκB-dependent gene expression, and resistance to reinfection. However, CPAF-deficient mutants did display impaired generation of infectious elementary bodies (EBs), indicating an important role for this protease in the full replicative potential of C. trachomatis. In addition, we provide compelling evidence in live cells that CPAF-mediated protein processing of at least two host protein targets, vimentin filaments and the nuclear envelope protein lamin-associated protein-1 (LAP1), occurs rapidly after the loss of the inclusion membrane integrity, but before loss of plasma membrane permeability and cell lysis. CPAF-dependent processing of host proteins correlates with a loss of inclusion membrane integrity, and so we propose that CPAF plays a role late in infection, possibly during the stages leading to the dismantling of the infected cell prior to the release of EBs during cell lysis.

Introduction
The obligate, intracellular bacterial pathogen Chlamydia trachomatis primarily infects epithelial cells of the urogenital tract and the conjunctiva, leading to sexually transmitted diseases and conjunctivitis (Belland et al., 2004). Disease is often associated with immune damage resulting from chronic inflammation due to repeated and recurring infections. In women, genital tract infections can result in severe sequelae, such as pelvic inflammatory disease, ectopic pregnancies, and infertility (Darville & Hiltke, 2010). Similarly, recurrent and untreated C. trachomatis conjunctival infections can lead to trachoma, a prominent cause of blindness worldwide (Hu et al., 2010).

Chlamydia trachomatis infection begins with the attachment and entry of elementary bodies (EBs), the infectious...
form of *Chlamydia*, into epithelial cells. Upon entry, the EB form transitions into the replicative reticulate body (RB) form and establishes a parasitophorous vacuole (‘inclusion’) that avoids fusion with lysosomal compartments and ensuing degradation of its contents (Belland *et al.*, 2004). At mid-to-late stages of infection, RB replication becomes asynchronous to generate more RBs and intermediary forms that transition back to the EB form. By the end of the cycle, bacteria within the inclusion are released to infect neighboring cells. The process of exit from infected cells can occur through a lytic phase, where there is a programmed dismantling of the inclusion membrane that precedes loss of plasma membrane integrity, and a nonlytic, ‘extrusion’ exit mechanism that leads to the exocytosis of the entire inclusion or inclusion fragments (Hybiske & Stephens, 2007).

To modulate host cellular functions, *Chlamydia* employs a type III secretion (T3S) system to translocate ‘effector’ proteins that mediate cell invasion, rerouting of lipid transport, and manipulation of signaling pathways important in immunity (Valdivia, 2008). In addition, some chlamydial virulence proteins contain ‘classical’ signal peptides and presumably use the Sec secretory system to cross the bacterial cytoplasmic membrane (Chen *et al.*, 2010). How these signal peptide-containing proteins are further translocated from the bacterial periplasmic space to the inclusion lumen and eventually across the inclusion membrane is unclear. Potential delivery pathways include outer membrane vesicles (Giles *et al.*, 2006; Giles & Wyrick, 2008) and the type II secretion (T2S) machinery, which in gram-negative bacteria is required to secrete a subset of folded proteins across the outer membrane (Sandkvist, 2001). One prominent example of a protein that may follow this secretion pathway is the chlamydial protease-like activity factor (CPAF), a serine protease (Zhong *et al.*, 2001). Late in infection, CPAF localizes to the inclusion lumen and the host cell cytoplasm as assessed by immunofluorescence microscopy and comparative proteomics (Zhong *et al.*, 2001; Shaw *et al.*, 2002).

CPAF was the first *Chlamydia* virulence factor for which a biochemical activity (protease) and a target (transcription factors) had been established (Zhong *et al.*, 2001). CPAF-mediated degradation of the transcription factors RFX-5 and USF-1 was linked to the loss of expression of MHC class I and II – a cellular phenotype that had been observed in infected cells (Zhong *et al.*, 2000). CPAF has also been reported to cleave the pro-apoptotic factors Bim and Puma (Zhong *et al.*, 2001; Pirbhai *et al.*, 2006; p65/RelA, a transcription factor required for NFκB signaling (Christian *et al.*, 2010a); intermediate filaments vimentin and keratins 8 and 18 (Dong *et al.*, 2004a; Kumar & Valdivia, 2008); the adherence junction protein nectin1 (Sun *et al.*, 2008); the MHC-like lipid presentation protein CD1d (Kawana *et al.*, 2007); the pro-inflammatory mediator HMGB1 (Yu *et al.*, 2010); the mitotic cell cycle regulator cyclin B1 (Paschen *et al.*, 2008); securin (Christian *et al.*, 2011; Brown *et al.*, 2012); the Golgi tethering factor Golgin 84 (Christian *et al.*, 2011); and PARP – a mediator of DNA damage during apoptosis (Paschen *et al.*, 2008). Because of CPAF’s apparent preference for proteins important in host immunity and signaling, it has been proposed that CPAF-mediated proteolysis represents a core strategy employed by *Chlamydia* to modify host-signaling pathways and usurp the cellular machinery for its own benefit (Zhong, 2009, 2011). Additional functions of CPAF may include death of the infected host cell as ectopic overexpression of CPAF in mammalian cells initiates a host cell death pathway that mimics the necrotic cell death observed at the end of the *Chlamydia* life cycle (Paschen *et al.*, 2008). In addition, *Chlamydia* proteins have also been reported to be targets of CPAF-mediated processing, including early T3S effectors, which we postulated plays a role in protection from reinfec-
tion (Jorgensen *et al.*, 2011), and the abundant outer membrane protein OmcB (Hou *et al.*, 2013), which may represent a mechanism to generate soluble form(s) of OmcB that can access the host cell cytoplasm (Qi *et al.*, 2011).

As more CPAF targets began to emerge, correlations were made between the degradation of these factors and cellular phenotypes of *Chlamydia*-infected cells. This list includes, but is not limited to, Golgi fragmentation, inclusion expansion, resistance to reinfec-
tion, modulation of apoptosis and pro-inflammatory signaling pathways, disruption of the cell cycle, cell junction defects, and centrosomal abnormalities (reviewed in Zhong, 2011). Because molecular genetic tools to specifically inactivate chlamydial genes were not available at the time, many of these correlations could not be formally tested in CPAF-deficient mutants. The development of small molecule and peptide inhibitors that blocked CPAF activity *in vitro* and *in vivo* promised to be helpful in assessing some of these questions (Jorgensen *et al.*, 2011). Indeed, cell-permeable inhibitors blocked CPAF activity in cell culture infection models, decreased the replicative potential of *Chlamydia* (Christian *et al.*, 2011; Jorgensen *et al.*, 2011; Hou *et al.*, 2013), and often reversed cellular phenotypes of infected cells that have been associated with CPAF. However, these CPAF inhibitors also varied in their effect in cells and displayed varied levels of toxicity (Christian *et al.*, 2011; Jorgensen *et al.*, 2011).

CPAF is fairly selective in its target specificity, and broad degradation of proteins is not observed when crude cell lysates are treated with recombinant CPAF (Jorgensen *et al.*, 2011). However, the significance of CPAF-dependent proteolysis *in vivo* has recently come into question because postcell lysis sample preparation could expose putative substrates under examination to degradation by nonspecific proteases that are commonly released upon cellular lysis (Chen *et al.*, 2012). As such, the extent to which CPAF cleaves reported substrates in intact infected cells remains unclear (Chen *et al.*, 2012). Definitive experimental evidence for the *in vivo* target specificity of CPAF and the consequences of its proteolytic activity has been hampered by the difficulty in identifying CPAF substrate recognition sites that can be mutated, the redundancies in the function of host cell targets, and the lack of a system to generate defined mutations in *Chlamydia*. Furthermore, while cell-permeable inhibitors of CPAF demonstrated a role in

---

Pathogens and Disease (2014), 71, 336–351, © 2014 Federation of European Microbiological Societies. Published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd. All rights reserved
Chlamydial pathogenesis, off-target effects are difficult to anticipate or control and thus can limit their usefulness as compared to genetic knockout approaches (Christian et al., 2011; Jorgensen et al., 2011; Hou et al., 2013).

In this study, we sought to clarify the role played by CPAF by performing a phenotypic analysis of C. trachomatis mutants that are either defective for CPAF secretion or have loss-of-function mutations in cpa. In this manner, we confirm that CPAF is required for the efficient generation of Chlamydia infectious progeny. We also report that many of the cellular phenotypes of Chlamydia-infected cells that had been previously ascribed to CPAF are not CPAF dependent. However, it is important to note that this does not mean that all identified CPAF substrates are not targeted for proteolysis in vivo. We provide compelling evidence for CPAF-mediated processing of vimentin as well as a new CPAF substrate we identified in vitro, the nuclear envelope protein lamin-associated protein-1 (LAP1). These proteolytic events occurred in intact live cells late in infection soon after loss of inclusion membrane integrity, suggesting that the bulk of active CPAF is sequestered within the inclusion lumen.

Materials and methods

Reagents

Reagents were obtained from the following sources: mouse anti-GM130 (BD Biosciences), rabbit anti-Chlamydia MOMP (K. Fields, U. of Kentucky), mouse anti-CT813 (G. Zhong, U. of Texas Health and Science Center), mouse antivimentin (Invitrogen, clone V6630), rabbit anti-GAPDH (Abcam), mouse anti-EGFP monoclonal antibody (Clontech), rabbit anti-CPAF (Valdivia lab), mouse antiphosphotyrosine (Cell Signaling), Alexa Fluor 488-conjugated anti-mouse, Alexa Fluor 555-conjugated anti-rabbit, Hoescht 33258 (Invitrogen), FluorSave (Biolegend), mouse antiphosphotyrosine (Cell Signaling), Alexa Fluor 488-conjugated anti-mouse, Alexa Fluor 555-conjugated anti-rabbit, Hoescht 33258 (Invitrogen), FluorSave (Biolegend), Stauroporine (Cell Signaling), IL-1β (Biolegend), Cyclohexamide (Sigma-Aldrich), Stauroporine (Cell Signaling), IL-1β (Biolegend), Cyclohexamide (Sigma-Aldrich), Stauroporine (Cell Signaling), IL-1β (Biolegend), Cyclohexamide (Sigma-Aldrich), Slow Fade Gold Antifade Reagent (Invitrogen), and JetPrime (Polyplus transfection). Enhanced green fluorescent protein (EGFP)-tagged rat vimentin was provided by Ronald Liem (Columbia University, NY), and tandem dimer Tomato (tdTomato) was provided by Marc Caron (Duke University, NC). Full-length lamin-associated protein-1 (LAP1) was PCR-amplified from MGC Human sequence-verified cDNA clone 3458117 (Thermo Fisher) and inserted into pLEGFP-C1 (Clontech) downstream of EGFP to express an EGFP-LAP1 fusion protein. LDH release assays were performed with a commercial kit from Sigma-Aldrich.

Cell culture and Chlamydia infections

HeLa cells (CCL-2; ATCC) and Vero cells (CCL-81; ATCC) were maintained in DMEM HG supplemented with 10% FBS (CellGro Mediatech). Chlamydia trachomatis LGV-L2 434/ Bu and C. trachomatis mutant strains were propagated by infecting HeLa cells with EBs that had been stored in sucrose-phosphate-glutamate (SPG) buffer (0.25 M sucrose, 10 mM sodium phosphate, 5 mM L-glutamic acid, pH7.0) and purified on Omnipaque (GE Healthcare) density gradients (Saka et al., 2011). EBs were added to HeLa cells at the indicated multiplicities of infection (MOIs), and infections were synchronized by centrifugation at 2500 g for 30 min at 10 °C. Rifampin-, Spectinomycin-, and Trimethoprim-resistant C. trachomatis LGV-L2 variants were generated as previously described (Nguyen & Valdivia, 2013). The mutations leading to antibiotic resistance in these strains were determined by whole-genome sequencing: H471Y in CTL0567 (rpoB), G1197 in CTL_r01/CTL_r02 (16S rRNA gene copies 1 and 2), and G408R in CTL0369 lead to RifR, SpcR, and TmpR, respectively.

Plaque assays

Plaque assays were performed as previously described (Nguyen & Valdivia, 2012). Briefly, monolayers of Vero cells grown in a 6-well plate were infected with a concentration of 100 inclusion-forming units (IFUs) per well. Cells were incubated for 2 h at 37 °C and 5% CO2, allowing for bacterial internalization. The growth medium in infected cell monolayers was replaced with 6 mL of a DMEM/agarose overlay per well [DMEM HG, 10% FBS, 50 μg mL−1 gentamicin, 500 ng mL−1 cyclohexamide, 1X nonessential amino acids (Gibco), 0.5% SeaKem LE agarose (Lanza)], allowed to solidify for 10 min, and dried in a sterile cabinet without lids for 15 min. Cells were incubated for 10–14 days until plaques were observed. Plaques were isolated using a pipette tip and transferred to Vero cell monolayers for amplification.

Identification and whole-genome sequencing of CPAF-deficient LGV-L2 strains

Identification of cpa mutants

LGV-L2 strains containing the null alleles C127T (Q43*) and G882A (W294*) in cpa (CTL0233) were initially identified by whole-genome sequencing of pools of chemically mutagenized and plaque-purified C. trachomatis LGV-L2 434/Bu strains (R.J. Bastidas & R.H. Valdivia, unpublished results). Strain CTL2-M532 harboring the cpaC127T allele and strain CTL2-M169 harboring the cpaG882A allele were identified from two independent pools of mutants, and the mutations in the cpa locus (CTL0233) were confirmed by Sanger sequencing.

Genomic sequencing

Strains were harvested from infected Vero cells grown in a 6-well cell culture plate by hypotonic lysis of host cells with 800 μL of D2H2O per well (for 20 min) followed by addition of 200 μL of 5X SPG buffer. Lysates were sonicated (2 × 10 s in ice water) and bacterial cells spun down at 18 000 g for 15 min at 4 °C. Bacterial pellets were pooled and resuspended in 1X DNAse I buffer (New England Biolabs, Ipswich, MA). Depletion of host DNA was achieved by treating cell suspensions with 4 units of DNase I (New...
England Biolabs) for 1 h at 37 °C. Bacterial pellets were washed with PBS buffer, and total DNA was isolated with a DNA isolation kit (DNeasy tissue and blood kit, Qiagen) following the manufacturers’ instructions. M169-enriched (1 μg) and M532-enriched DNA (1 μg) was each sheared with an Adaptive Focused Acoustics S220 instrument (Covaris). DNA sequencing libraries were prepared with a library construction kit (TruSeq DNA Sample Preparation Kit v2, Illumina, Inc., San Diego, CA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Libraries were sequenced in a MiSeq DNA Sequencing Platform (Illumina) at the Duke University IGSP DNA Sequencing Core facility. Genome assembly and single nucleotide variant (SNV) identification were performed with GENEIOUS Software version 6 (Biomatters – http://www.geneious.com). The C. trachomatis LGV L2 434/Bu genome (GenBank no. NC_010287) was used as reference sequence. All nonsynonymous single nucleotide variants (SNVs) identified in M169 and M532 (Supporting Information, Table S1) were verified by Sanger dyeoxy DNA sequencing.

**Generation of M169 RST recombinant strains**

Recombinant LGV-L2 strains were generated as previously described (Nguyen & Valdivia, 2012). Briefly, confluent Vero cells grown on a 24-well plate were coinfected with M169 (RifR) and a SpcR mapping strain at an MOI ratio of 3 : 3. Recombinant progeny were selected from plaques that formed in the presence of 200 ng mL⁻¹ Rif and 200 μg mL⁻¹ Spc. Plaque-purified recombinants were further expanded in Vero cells and genotyped to assess the segregation of mutations present in the CTL2-M169 parental strain. RifR and SpcR M169 recombinants harboring the cpaG882A allele were further backcrossed to a trimethoprim-resistant (TmpR) mapping strain as described previously and progeny selected from plaques that formed in the presence of Spc and 150 μg mL⁻¹ Tmp. These second-generation, plaque-purified recombinants were further expanded in Vero cells, and a M169-derived recombinant strain harboring the cpaG882A allele [M169 RST17 (CPAF⁻)] was identified by genotyping with SNV-specific primers. A cosogenic recombinant strain [RST5 (CPAF⁺)] that shares the same background SNVs as RST17 (CPAF⁻) and that inherited a wild-type cpa allele was also identified. The genomes of both RST recombinant strains were resequenced and the relevant SNVs identified (Table S2). A list of C. trachomatis strains described in this study can be found in Table S3.

**Western blot analyses**

HeLa cells were grown in 6-well plates to confluency and infected with *C. trachomatis* LGV-L2 RifR parent or its derived mutant strains at an MOI of 1. At the indicated hours postinfection, cells were washed with 1× PBS (Invitrogen), lysed with 1% SDS buffer (1% SDS, 150 mM NaCl, 50 mM Tris–HCl, pH 7.5), and heated in boiling water bath immediately before addition to cells. Lysates were incubated at 65 °C for 10 min to solubilize and denature proteins and sonicated 2 × 10 s to shear DNA. Protein concentrations were determined by the DC protein assay (Bio-Rad). Equal amounts of lysate were loaded into SDS-PAGE 4–15% gradient gels (Bio-Rad), transferred to 0.45 μm nitrocellulose membranes using a Trans-Blot SD Semi-Dry Electrophoretic Transfer Cell (Bio-Rad), blocked in Odyssey blocking buffer (LI-COR), and incubated in primary antibodies, followed by incubation with goat anti-rabbit IRDye 680LT (LI-COR) or goat anti-mouse IRDye 800CW (LI-COR). Membranes were imaged with the LI-COR Odyssey infrared imaging system.

**In vitro CPAF cleavage assays**

HeLa cells grown in 6-well plates were mock-infected or infected with the *C. trachomatis* LGV-L2 RifR strain or with the indicated mutant strains at an MOI of 1. At 40 h postinfection (hpi), crude protein extracts were prepared by lysing infected cells in RIPA buffer (50 mM Tris pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 0.1% SDS, 0.5% sodium deoxycholate, 1% NP-40) supplemented with a protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche). Purified recombinant CPAF (40 μg) (Bednar et al., 2011) or crude protein extracts were prepared as described previously and incubated with 20 μg recombinant GST-CT695 for 1 hr at 37 °C, and cleavage was assessed by colloidal blue Coomassie Blue staining (Invitrogen). Figures were compiled and intensities adjusted for display using PHOTOSHOP CS6.

To test the effectiveness of 1% SDS buffer in preventing postlysis degradation by CPAF, the activity of recombinant CPAF in this denaturing buffer was assessed. Crude protein extracts were prepared from HeLa cells by rinsing monolayers with PBS, adding 1% SDS buffer prewarmed to 100 °C, transferring to a microfuge tube, heating at 65 °C for 10 min, and then clarifying the lysate by centrifugation (10 000 g, 15 min, room temperature). For the *in vitro* CPAF cleavage assays, 200 μg of total HeLa protein extract with or without 100 μM of the CPAF-inhibitory peptide Pep2 (Bednar et al., 2011) was mixed with 0.5, 2.5, or 5 μg recombinant CPAF in a final volume of 100 μL. The reactions were assembled at room temperature, incubated at 37 °C for 20 min, and then inactivated with SDS-PAGE sample buffer and incubation at 65 °C for 10 min. As a positive control, reactions were also performed under non-denaturing conditions. Crude protein extracts were generated from HeLa cells by rinsing monolayers with PBS, adding ice-cold TNEX buffer [20 mM Tris–HCl, pH 8.0, 150 mM NaCl, 2 mM EDTA, 1% Triton X-100, complete protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche)], incubating at 4 °C for 10 min, and then clarifying the lysate by centrifugation (10 000 g, 15 min, 4 °C). Reactions were assembled on ice, incubated at 37 °C for 20 min, and subsequently processed as described for the reactions in 1% SDS buffer. CPAF activity was determined by monitoring vimentin cleavage via Western blot analysis.

**In vitro cleavage assays**

*In vitro* cleavage assays were used to demonstrate that CPAF cleaves EGFP-LAP1. HeLa cells transfected with the EGFP-LAP1 construct were harvested in TNEX buffer, and crude protein extracts were generated as described earlier.
For the reactions, 100 µg of transfected or nontransfected extract was incubated with either recombinant CPAF or TNEX protein extracts prepared from mock-infected or LGV-L2-infected (44 h postinfection) HeLa cells. The reactions were assembled on ice, incubated at 37 °C for 30 min, and then inactivated with SDS-PAGE sample buffer and incubation at 65 °C for 10 min. Cleavage was assessed by Western blot analysis with mouse anti-EGFP and rabbit anti-LAP1 antibodies.

IFU burst assays

Vero cells were seeded onto 96-well plates (density of 15,000 cells per well) and infected with each of the strains analyzed (six biological replicates per each time point) at an MOI of 0.6. To determine the input IFUs, a set of infected wells was fixed with 100% methanol (EMD Millipore) for 10 min on ice and stained with polyclonal anti-LGV-L2 sera followed by Alexa-fluor-conjugated secondary antibodies and cell samples were mounted for fluorescence microscopy using the FluorSave reagent. Images were acquired in a Zeiss AxioSkop 2 upright widefield microscope, and the number of inclusions in at least five fields per replicate was counted. Output IFUs at 30 hpi and 48 hpi were determined by lysing infected cells as described in Nguyen & Valdivia (2012) and infecting Vero cells with serial dilutions of harvested cell lysates. After 40–42 hpi, cells were fixed and immunostained, and the number of inclusions was determined as described previously. To determine the infectious progeny generated per input bacteria (‘IFU burst’), the total number of output IFUs was divided by the total number of input IFUs.

Immunofluorescence microscopy

For routine indirect immunofluorescence, HeLa cells were grown on glass coverslips and infected at the indicated MOIs. Cells were fixed with 3% formaldehyde/0.025% glutaraldehyde or 4% paraformaldehyde or methanol in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) for 20 min and permeabilized with 0.1% Triton X-100 for 10 min. After blocking with 5% bovine serum albumin (BSA) in PBS for 20 min, cells were stained with specific antibodies followed by Alexa-conjugated secondary antibodies at room temperature for 20 min. Host and bacterial DNA was stained with 1 µg mL⁻¹ Hoechst. Coverslips were mounted with FluorSave or Slow Fade.

Golgi fragmentation analysis

HeLa cells were seeded onto coverslips to visualize the Golgi apparatus in cells infected with RifR or M532 (CPAF) C. trachomatis strains. A set of infected cells was treated with Z-WEHD-fmk (75 µM, Enzo Life Sciences) for 9 h after infection as a positive control for blocking Golgi fragmentation. At 24 h after infection, cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde for 20 min at room temperature, permeabilized, and blocked with BSA-PBS. Bacteria, the Golgi apparatus, and DNA were detected by incubating cells with rabbit anti-Chlamydia MOMP and mouse anti-GM130 antibodies for 20 min followed by incubation with fluorescently conjugated secondary antibodies and Hoescht for 20 min at room temperature. Cells were mounted with FluorSave and allowed to cure at room temperature overnight before imaging. Images were acquired with a Zeiss 780 scanning confocal microscope with the same settings for each sample with a 100× objective and processed using IMAGEJ. Confocal images of specific samples were used to quanitate Golgi fragmentation. The number and area of Golgi elements in 18 cells at minimum per condition were counted after applying a threshold calculated with the Otsu algorithm for each image and using the Analyse Particles function in IMAGEJ software excluding particles smaller than 0.1 µm. Three independent experiments were performed and imaged to analyze fragmentation. Figures were compiled and intensities adjusted for display using ADOBE PHOTOSHOP CS6. All raw data are available upon request.

Detergent extraction assays

For immunofluorescence assays after detergent extraction, HeLa cells were seeded in 96-well plates, with three biological replicates per condition (± Triton X-100 and – Triton X-100), and infected at an MOI of 1 with the indicated strains. At 44 hpi, 52 hpi, or 60 hpi, cells in the untreated condition were washed twice with ice-cold PBS and fixed with 3% formaldehyde/0.025% glutaraldehyde at room temperature for 20 min prior to permeabilization and blocking with BSA-PBS. For live cell samples extracted with Triton X-100, infected cells were first washed twice with ice-cold PBS before incubation with prechilled 0.5% Triton X-100 in PBS supplemented with 100 µM Pep2 peptide (Bednar et al., 2011) on ice for 5 min. Cells were then fixed, blocked with BSA-PBS, and immunostained with mouse monoclonal antivimentin antibodies, followed by fluorophore-conjugated secondary anti-mouse antibodies. Samples were mounted with Slow Fade Gold Antifade reagent, and images from at least five fields for each replicate were acquired with a Zeiss AxioSkop 2 upright widefield microscope using AXIOVISION v3.0 software. The number of infected cells with altered vimentin staining was calculated for each replicate in 0.5% Triton X-100-treated and untreated samples. The average percentage of infected cells with altered vimentin (6-8% of total cells) staining in the untreated samples was subtracted from the treated control for each replicate. Figures were compiled and intensities adjusted for display using PHOTOSHOP CS6. TWO-way ANOVA with Bonferroni’s posttest was performed using GRAPHPAD PRISM for Windows, GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA.

NF-κB reporter assays

The NF-κB luciferase HeLa reporter cell line used in this study was generated by stably transducing HeLa cells with an NF-κB-Luciferase reporter system (SABiosciences) following the manufacturer’s instructions. NF-κB activity was assayed by infecting reporter cells in triplicate for each condition in 96-well plates with the indicated strains at an MOI of 10, 30, or 50. Experiments were performed in duplicate. At the time of infection, cells were treated with
10 ng mL\(^{-1}\) of IL-1\(\beta\) (BioLegend). After 24 h, cells were lysed and luciferase activity was measured using the Britelite plus reagent (PerkinElmer) according to manufacturer’s instructions and luminescence values determined using an EnSpirer 2300 Multilabel reader (PerkinElmer). Luminescence values obtained for each sample were normalized to the IL-1\(\beta\)-treated, mock-infected control. Data were analyzed and figures generated using GRAPHPAD PRISM (GraphPad Software).

**Apoptosis induction assay**

HeLa cells grown on glass coverslips were infected with an MOI of 0.5 with the indicated strains (two biological replicates and two technical replicates per condition). Six hours prior to fixation, cells were treated with 2 \(\mu\)M staurosporine (Cell Signaling). At 24 hpi, 36 hpi, and 48 hpi, infected cells were fixed with methanol and stained with anti-LGV-L2 antibodies followed by Hoechst and Alexa-conjugated secondary antibodies. The number of infected or mock-infected cells with condensed nuclei was counted using a Zeiss Axioskop 2 upright widefield microscope with AXIOVISION v3.0 software for 200 infected cells in each condition. Data were analyzed and figures generated using GRAPHPAD PRISM.

**Assessment of secondary infections**

HeLa cells were seeded on coverslips in 24-well plates (50 000 cells per well) and incubated overnight. For primary infections, cells were infected with purified EBs from either *C. trachomatis* L2 434/Bu or its mutant Rif\(^R\) derivatives, as indicated at an MOI of 1. At 29 hpi, secondary infections were performed using a *C. trachomatis* L2 434/Bu strain transformed with the GFP-expressing plasmid pGFP-SW2 (Wang et al., 2011). After 1 h, cells were fixed (3% formaldehyde/0.025% glutaraldehyde, 20 min, RT) and immunostained with mouse antiphosphotyrosine monoclonal antibodies without permeabilization and counterstained with anti-mouse Alexafluor 555-conjugated secondary antibodies (Invitrogen). Coverslips were mounted in Slow Fade Gold Antifade media, and images were acquired using a Zeiss Axioskop 2 upright epifluorescence microscope with AXIOVISION v3.0 software. Phosphotyrosine-containing foci were counted in 35 cells for each of two biological replicates, in two independent experiments performed by two independent observers. One-way ANOVA with Bonferroni’s multiple-comparison test was performed using GRAPHPAD PRISM.

**EGFP-vimentin and EGFP-LAP1 transfection and live cell microscopy**

Cells were seeded onto #1.5 glass-bottom plates; infected with Rif\(^R\) L2 434/Bu, M532 (CPAF\(^-\)), M169 (CPAF\(^-\)), and RSTE4 (TS2\(^-\)) strains; and cotransfected with either EGFP-vimentin or EGFP-LAP1 and tdTomato using the lipid-based JetPrime reagent 4 h after infection. Cells were imaged every 7 min from 54 to 76 hpi after infection at 37 °C under 5% CO\(_2\) using a motorized Zeiss Axio Observer Z1 widefield fluorescence microscope equipped with a 40× air objective. Fifteen stage positions at minimum were recorded with 6–23 instances of inclusion rupture in transfected cells observed for each condition. Only cells expressing moderate levels of the fluorescent reporters were included in the analysis. Images were viewed with METAMORPH to manually assess inclusion rupture by tdTomato diffusion into the inclusion lumen and the structured or diffuse nature of the EGFP signal. Images were deconvolved using HUYGENS ESSENTIAL and processed with IMAGEJ and PHOTOSHOP for presentation.

**Results and discussion**

A *Chlamydia* mutant defective for type II secretion accumulates unprocessed CPAF zymogen

*Chlamydia trachomatis* remains poorly characterized because of its history of intractability to routine molecular genetic manipulation. Recently, we developed a combinatorial approach to rapidly generate a comprehensive library of genetically defined mutants (Nguyen & Valdivia, 2012). Chemical mutagenesis coupled with whole-genome sequencing (WGS) was used to generate *Chlamydia* mutants with distinct phenotypes and to map the underlying genetic lesions. As a result, we identified a *C. trachomatis* LGV-L2 variant [RSTE4 (TS2\(^-\))] bearing a point mutation in the type II secretion ATPase GspE (Nguyen & Valdivia, 2012). This mutant is attenuated for growth and accumulates insoluble glycogen granules within inclusions, presumably as a result of the impaired secretion of glycogen processing enzymes (Nguyen & Valdivia, 2012). Given the known role of TS2 in the export of folded hydrolases from the bacterial periplasm (Sandkvist, 2001), we hypothesized that this secretion system is also responsible for the export of CPAF. Indeed, immunofluorescence analysis with anti-CPAF antibodies of HeLa cells infected with *C. trachomatis* RSTE4 (TS2\(^-\)) mutants revealed that all CPAF was exclusively associated with bacteria within the inclusion and no immunoreactive material was present in the host cytoplasm (Fig. 1a–b). An immunoblot analysis of total protein lysates from cells infected with RSTE4 (TS2\(^-\)) further showed that the processed 35-kDa and 29-kDa bands of mature CPAF (Dong et al., 2004b), which are prominent in cells infected with wild-type *C. trachomatis*, are absent. Instead, a higher molecular weight 70-kDa band consistent with the size of unprocessed CPAF zymogen (Dong et al., 2004b) was detected, albeit at lower abundance (Fig. 1c). Lysates from cells infected with an unrelated *C. trachomatis* slow-growing mutant [SPQ6-2 (TrxB\(^*\))] (Nguyen & Valdivia, 2012) also showed the processed forms of CPAF, indicating that the accumulation of unprocessed CPAF in cells infected with the TS2\(^-\) mutants is not due to a slower replication rate. Consistent with this observation, crude lysates from cells infected with a *gspE* mutant lacked in vitro CPAF activity against a purified substrate (recombinant GST-CT695; Fig. 1d). Overall, these results suggest that CPAF is a substrate of TS2 and that secretion is coupled to its processing into an active form.
Identification of Chlamydia strains with loss-of-function mutations in CPAF

The finding that RSTE4 (T2S-) mutants did not possess CPAF activity suggested that CPAF is not absolutely essential for bacterial viability. This is consistent with previous observations made with CPAF inhibitors, where five- to tenfold differences in the yield of infectious units were reported (Christian et al., 2011; Jorgensen et al., 2011; Hou et al., 2013). We subsequently generated a bank of chemically mutagenized C. trachomatis LGV-L2 strains and screened for mutants that consistently formed small plaques on Vero cell monolayers overlaid with soft agar. We isolated c. 1000 small plaque-forming mutants.

Fig. 1 Identification of Chlamydia trachomatis strains deficient in CPAF secretion or expression. (a–b). CPAF fails to accumulate in the cytoplasm of cells infected with a T2S-deficient mutant and is not detectable in a CPAF-truncation mutant (M169). The subcellular localization of CPAF in HeLa cells infected with the indicated C. trachomatis mutant strains was assessed by indirect immunofluorescence with polyclonal anti-CPAF antibodies (green), DNA with Hoescht (blue), and the inclusion membrane with anti-CT813 antibodies (red). Immunoreactive anti-CPAF material could not be detected in cells infected with a CPAF-deficient strain, or in the cytoplasm of cells infected with a T2S-deficient strain. The relative distribution of CPAF at 32 hpi in infected cells (n = 100) is shown in (b). (c) T2S-deficient mutants fail to process CPAF into its active form. Immunoblot analysis of total protein lysates from HeLa cells infected with the indicated strains at 48 hpi. Strains containing mutations in cpa that do not express CPAF- and T2S-deficient strains exhibit accumulation of a larger molecular weight band consistent with the size of unprocessed CPAF (proCPAF). The C-terminal fragment and N-terminal fragment of CPAF are indicated by ‘CPAFc’ and ‘CPAFn’, respectively. (d) Lysates from cells infected with CPAF- or T2S-deficient C. trachomatis mutants do not process CPAF substrates in vitro. Purified recombinant CPAF (rCPAF) or lysates from HeLa cells that were mock-infected or infected with the indicated strain were harvested at 40 hpi and incubated with recombinant GST-CT695, an in vitro CPAF substrate. Cleavage products were monitored by SDS-PAGE and staining with Coomassie Blue. FL: full-length GST-CT695; C: cleavage product. (e) M169-derived recombinant C. trachomatis strains retaining the cpa mutation do not express CPAF. Immunoblot analysis of total protein lysates of HeLa cells infected with RST17 (CPAF-) or its nearly coisogenic recombinant sibling RST5 (CPAF+) for 48 h. CPAF expression is restored in RST5 (CPAF+) bacteria but still absent in the RST17 (CPAF-) strain. The genotypes of these strains are shown in Table S2.
which were amplified in Vero cells, and their DNA was isolated and sequenced (R.J. Bastidas & R.H. Valdivia, unpublished data). Among this collection of mutants, we identified two strains (M169 and M532) with nonsense mutations in cpa (Table S1). We determined that a representative CPAF-truncation mutant (M169) had no detectable CPAF protein by immunofluorescence microscopy (Fig. 1a–b) or Western blots (Fig. 1c). Furthermore, as observed for the T2S-deficient Chlamydia mutant, lysates of HeLa cells infected with the strains M169 and M532 lacked in vitro protease activity (Fig. 1d).

**CPAF mutants are defective for the generation of infectious EBs**

We next sequenced the genome of the CPAF-deficient isolate M169 and identified 19 additional nonparental SNVs (Table S1). To segregate the cpa mutation from these other mutations, we coinfected Vero cells with M169, which had been generated in a RifR background, and a wild-type SpcR LGV-L2 strain. Progeny from this coinfecion were amplified in the presence of rifampicin and spectinomycin to isolate recombinant strains as previously described (Nguyen & Valdivia, 2012). In this manner, we generated SpcR RifR recombinants where only four mutations were inherited from the parental M169 strain. These strains were further ‘crossed’ to a TmpR LGV-L2 strain by new coinfections to further decrease the number of extraneous mutations. As a result, two strains were produced, RST5 (CPAF+) and RST17 (CPAF−; Table 2), where the only difference between their genotypes in addition to a nonsense mutation in cpa (CTL0233) in RST17 (CPAF−) is the presence of an L to F substitution in a nonconserved residue of FtsH (CTL0213) in RST5 (CPAF+) and an S to F substitution at residue 555 in CTL0884 in RST17 (CPAF−) that was present in the SpcR strain used for crosses.

Next, we determined whether RST5 (CPAF+) displayed any differences in growth potential as compared to its nearly coisogenic partner RST17 (CPAF−). Cells infected with the cpa mutant RST17 (CPAF−) consistently displayed an approximately threefold decrease in EB yields per input EB as compared to RST5 (CPAF+; Fig. 2). These findings support a role for CPAF in the generation of fully infectious forms of C. trachomatis.

**HeLa cells infected with CPAF-deficient Chlamydia mutants display Golgi fragmentation, activation of NFκB, and resistance to apoptosis and reinfection**

Because the expected consequences of the degradation of previously identified CPAF targets correlated with cellular phenotypes observed in C. trachomatis-infected cells, and because these phenotypes were reversed by CPAF inhibitors, it has been widely assumed that CPAF may be required for these cellular disruptions (Christian et al., 2011; Jorgensen et al., 2011; Zhong, 2011; Hou et al., 2013). We tested this premise through the use of T2S− and CPAF− C. trachomatis mutants. We monitored the following cellular phenotypes associated with Chlamydia infections:

**Expression of NFκB-dependent genes late in infection**

The RelA/p65 subunit of the NF-κB transcription factor has been identified as a CPAF target (Christian et al., 2010b). RelA/p65 is required for the expression of inflammatory genes during Chlamydia infections, and Chlamydia-infected cells are impaired in their ability to express NF-κB-dependent genes upon stimulation with IL-1β (Christian et al., 2010b). These cleavage events and loss of responsiveness to IL-1β can be recapitulated in a cell line expressing active CPAF (Christian et al., 2010b). To formally test the role

**Golgi fragmentation**

Chlamydia trachomatis infection leads to fragmentation of the Golgi apparatus into mini-stacks (Heuer et al., 2009), a phenotype that can be recapitulated by expression of active CPAF in infected cells (Heuer et al., 2009; Christian et al., 2011). Golgi fragmentation in infected cells correlates with the cleavage of golgin-84 (Heuer et al., 2009). The levels of Golgi fragmentation in cells infected with wild-type LGV-L2 or M532 (CPAF−) were assessed by quantitating the number and size of GM130-positive Golgi structures, using previously described methods (Heuer et al., 2009; Christian et al., 2011). No significant differences between the wild-type and the cpa mutant were observed, as both strains exhibited Golgi fragmentation (Fig. 3a–b). As a control, we treated infected cells with the caspase-1 inhibitor z-WEHD-fmk, which has been reported to block CPAF activity and to inhibit golgin-84 cleavage and Golgi fragmentation (Christian et al., 2011). Indeed, z-WEHD-fmk partially rescued Golgi fragmentation in both wild-type and the cpa mutants (Fig. 3c). Golgin-84 was not cleaved in cells infected with cpa mutants (data not shown). These experiments suggest that CPAF is not essential for the Golgi fragmentation phenotype observed in Chlamydia-infected cells.
of CPAF in this process, we infected HeLa cells stably expressing a luciferase reporter under the control of an NFκB-responsive promoter with increasing MOIs of RST5 (CPAF'), RST17 (CPAF'), and M532 (CPAF') for 24 h in the presence of 10 ng mL⁻¹ of IL-1β (Fig. 3d). Mock-infected cells displayed a robust activation of the

Fig. 3 CPAF is not required for many of the cellular phenotypes associated with Chlamydia trachomatis infection. (a–c) Chlamydia trachomatis-induced Golgi fragmentation occurs in a z-WEHD-fmk-dependent manner in the absence of CPAF. HeLa cells were infected with the indicated strains for 24 hpi and processed for immunofluorescence to visualize the Golgi apparatus (GM130, red), C. trachomatis (MOMP, green), and DNA (Hoescht, blue). No difference was observed in Golgi fragmentation as assessed visually and by postacquisition processing to assess size and number of Golgi elements between wild-type and CPAF-deficient strain infections (a and b). In the same experiment, cells were treated with 75 μM z-WEHD-fmk from 9 hpi, which inhibited Golgi fragmentation in cells infected with wild-type and a CPAF-deficient strain (a and c). Three independent experiments, SEM. (d) HeLa cells stably expressing an NFκB luciferase reporter were infected with the indicated strains at an MOI of 10, 30, or 50 for 24 h and were simultaneously treated with 10 ng mL⁻¹ IL-1β. Luciferase activity was measured in cell lysates and normalized to the treated mock-infected control. CPAF-deficient strains and RifR (WT) strains show an MOI-dependent decrease in luciferase activity. (e) Infected or mock-infected HeLa cells were treated with 2 μM staurosporine for 6 h prior to the indicated time points, and the percentage of infected cells with condensed nuclei was determined. (f) HeLa cells were either infected with the indicated strain or left uninfected for 29 h prior to being infected at an MOI = 25 with GFP-expressing LGV-L2 for 1 h. The number of phosphotyrosine foci per cell was determined for 35 cells. HeLa cells infected with the CPAF-deficient strain (M169) remain protected from superinfection. **P < 0.01, SEM, n = 3.
luciferase reporter upon treatment with IL-1β. The expression of the NF-κB-dependent reporter was inhibited by infection in a dose-dependent manner. However, this inhibition was independent of the presence of CPAF (Fig. 3d).

Staurosporine-induced programmed cell death

Chlamydia-infected cells are remarkably resistant to extrinsic and intrinsic pro-apoptotic stimuli (Byrne & Ojcius, 2004; Sharma & Rudel, 2009). Because BH3-domain pro-apoptotic proteins have been described as targets of CPAF-mediated degradation, it has been inferred that CPAF activity is required for host cell resistance to cell death, especially late in infection (Fischer et al., 2004; Pirbhai et al., 2006; Paschen et al., 2008). We formally tested this premise by infecting HeLa cells with wild-type or CPAF-deficient mutants. At time points of 18, 30, and 42 h postinfection, staurosporine was added for 6 h to induce host cell death (Fan et al., 1998). Unlike mock-infected control wells, where staurosporine treatment led to nuclear condensation in > 70% of cells, neither wild-type nor CPAF-deficient mutants showed apparent signs of nuclear condensation or cell death (Fig. 3e). These findings imply that CPAF is likely not essential for the antiapoptosis state of Chlamydia-infected cells.

Protection from reinfection

We recently reported that early effector proteins such as Tarp and CT694 are targets of CPAF-mediated degradation (Jorgensen et al., 2011). We postulated that one instance where such effectors would encounter CPAF is when effectors are delivered by an EB attempting to infect a cell that has an established inclusion. Consistent with this hypothesis, preinfected cells are partially protected from reinfection (Jorgensen et al., 2011). Because this protection from reinfection is reversed by treating infected cells with a CPAF-specific inhibitory peptide, we postulated that CPAF may play a central role in niche protection (Jorgensen et al., 2011). To formally test this premise, we preinfected cells with wild-type or M169 (CPAF−) mutants for 30 h, followed by a second round of infection with GFP-expressing LGV-L2 for 1 h. Cells were fixed and immunostained with anti-phosphotyrosine (Tyr) antibodies, which prominently label Tarp that is translocated at EB entry sites. As previously reported, preinfection decreased the number of phospho-Tyr-positive EBs (Jorgensen et al., 2011). However, these data suggest that protection from reinfection is not dependent on CPAF, because strain M169 (CPAF−) was not less susceptible to reinfection (Fig. 3f).

CPAF mediates cleavage of intermediate filaments late in infection

CPAF cleaves the head domain at the amino terminus of intermediate filaments in vitro (Dong et al., 2004a; Kumar & Valdivia, 2008; Bednar et al., 2011). Given the possibility that postlysis proteolysis may mask the extent to which truly CPAF-dependent processing occurs in live cells (Goodchild & Dauer, 2005; Chen et al., 2012), we reassessed our protein harvesting protocols to minimize postlysis proteolysis. In particular, because of the intrinsic lability of its parent thioester to long-term storage that likely explains batch-to-batch variability on the effectiveness of some commercial batches of lactacystin (data not shown), we shifted to performing lactacystin-free protein extractions either in hot 1% SDS at pH 7.0 or in normal sample buffer supplemented with a CPAF-inhibitory peptide (termed Pep2, which is cell permeable and inhibits CPAF reversibly with a 50 nM Ki) (Jorgensen et al., 2011). Under either of these lysis conditions, the activity of recombinant CPAF is completely inhibited (Fig. 4a). We therefore performed a time course of infection with C. trachomatis from 12 to 56 h and harvested total protein under these conditions. An immunoblot analysis of total protein lysates indicated that a vimentin cleavage product is detected as compared to the CPAF− control strain, and this degradation occurred at a later time point during infection. We also observed that the vimentin degradation occurred to a lesser extent than previously described (Kumar & Valdivia, 2008). This difference could be attributed to the degree to which the vimentin is proteolyzed intracellularly vs. postlysis or to other factors such as the degree to which vimentin fragments are extracted in 1% SDS. To exemplify the dynamic range of CPAF-mediated cleavage of vimentin, the example shown in Fig. 4b highlights an experiment where the least amount of vimentin proteolytic processing was observed. In parallel, we monitored the release of lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) from wells with infected cells and did not observe significant differences in LDH release, suggesting that cells remained intact during the course of infection (Fig. 4c). These findings are consistent with vimentin and other CPAF targets being processed late during the course of infection.

We previously observed that extraction of live cells with 0.5% Triton X-100 on ice for 5 min preferentially extracted filaments proximal to the inclusion, but not those at the periphery within the same cell or within adjacent cells (Kumar & Valdivia, 2008). These findings were interpreted as evidence of spatially constrained alteration of the cytoskeletal properties of intermediate filaments by CPAF. Although these detergent extractions were performed in the presence of lactacystin, the effectiveness of this inhibitor is somewhat difficult to control because the active form omuralide contains a beta lactone that is labile over longer periods of time in aqueous buffers. To address potential issues arising from CPAF proteolysis during the detergent extraction process, we repeated these experiments in the presence of the CPAF-inhibitory peptide Pep2 (Bednar et al., 2011). HeLa cells were infected with C. trachomatis for 44, 52, and 60 h, chilled on ice, and extracted with 0.5% Triton X-100 with excess amounts of the Pep2. Under these conditions, no solubilization of filaments adjacent to the inclusion for the majority of infected cells was observed (Fig. 5a lower left panel). These results indicate that either processing does not occur in all infected cells or that the extent of processing in the averaged infected cell does not lead to gross alterations in the physical properties of filaments.

Nonetheless, in a significant subset of infected cells, the vimentin filament network was completely extractable with Triton X-100 even when CPAF activity was carefully...
inhibited during the extraction process (Fig. 5a lower right panel). The proportion of these cells increased to c.7% of all cells as infection progressed to >60 h. To determine whether CPAF played a role in this processing, we infected HeLa cells with RST5 (CPAF+) or the CPAF-deficient mutants RST17 and M532 and recorded the number of infected cells with Tx100-extractable vimentin filaments in the presence of Pep2 (Fig. 5b). The presence of these ‘detergent-sensitive’ soluble vimentin filaments in infected cells was completely dependent on CPAF. These results strongly suggest that at late stages of infection a subset of infected cells display CPAF-mediated degradation of one of its well-described substrates.

Given the observation that brief extractions (<5 min) of live cells with cold Triton X-100 in the absence of robust inhibition of CPAF lead to the preferential solubilization of filaments at the inclusion periphery postlysis, we infer that the bulk of active CPAF resides within inclusion lumen where it may access chlamydial substrates, and then host substrates in a live cell when the integrity of the inclusion membrane is compromised. To formally test this premise, we performed live cell microscopy in HeLa cells coexpressing EGFP-vimentin and tdTomato during infection with either wild-type (RifR) or a CPAF-deficient mutant (M532). tdTomato is a red fluorescent protein that localizes to the host cell cytoplasm and is excluded from the inclusion lumen. Upon loss of inclusion membrane integrity, tdTomato becomes evenly distributed throughout the infected cell. Similarly, loss of plasma membrane integrity can be monitored as the overall loss of cell-associated red fluorescence, when cytoplasmic proteins rapidly diffuse into the extracellular media. In this manner, we determined that on average, plasma membrane integrity is not compromised until >30 min after the loss of inclusion membrane integrity (data not shown). Time-lapse events captured by fluorescence microscopy showed that in cells infected with wild-type Chlamydia, loss of inclusion membrane integrity at late stages of infection preceded the rapid (<7 min) processing of vimentin filaments (Fig. 7a and Video S1). In contrast, in CPAF-deficient mutants, the vimentin network remained unaltered upon loss of inclusion membrane integrity or even after loss of plasma membrane integrity.

CPAF mediates cleavage of the nuclear envelope protein LAP1

As part of the characterization of the molecular basis of developmental transitions and the metabolic properties of the EB and RB forms of C. trachomatis, we previously performed a large-scale, label-free quantitative proteomic analysis of LGV-L2 strain EB and RB forms and also used these methods to analyze the membranes of the pathogen-containing inclusion vacuole (Saka et al., 2011). During this analysis, in addition to chlamydial proteins expressed and processed, we observed that other proteins from the host cell were degraded only in infected cells. One of these proteins is the human lamin-associated protein-1 (LAP1)/Torsin 1A-interacting protein. LAP1 is a type II membrane

Fig. 4 Evidence for CPAF-mediated processing of vimentin in intact cells. (a) CPAF is not active in 1% SDS buffer. HeLa cell lysates prepared under denaturing conditions in 1% SDS buffer were incubated with 0.5, 2.5, and 5 μg recombinant CPAF (rCPAF) for 20 min at 37 °C. As a positive control, rCPAF was also incubated with HeLa lysates prepared under nondenaturing conditions in TNEX buffer. Where indicated, 100 μM CPAF inhibitor peptide Pep2 was included as a control. CPAF activity was assessed by monitoring the generation of vimentin cleavage products by immunoblot analysis. (b) Proteolytic processing of vimentin at later stages of infection is dependent on CPAF. The bottom panel represents an increased exposure (OE) of the middle panel. FL: full-length vimentin; C: cleavage product (c) LDH release by cells infected with wild-type and CPAF-deficient Chlamydia trachomatis strains. The supernatants of infected cells were collected at the indicated time points, and the amount of LDH release was measured and compared to total LDH levels. Error bars represent standard deviation, n = 3.
protein and a major constituent of the mammalian nuclear envelope, where it forms multimeric assemblies that are suspended in the inner nuclear membrane and are specifically associated with B-type lamins, where they serve to link nuclear lamins to the dystonia ER ATPase Torsin1A (Goodchild & Dauer, 2005). Mass spectrometry-based mapping of LAP1 cleavage sites indicated that the CPAF cleavage site most likely localized to domains of the protein within the nucleoplasm (Y. Kumar and R.H. Valdivia, unpublished data). Importantly, LAP1 cleavage was also observed in cell lysates of Chlamydia-infected cells that had been harvested under conditions that inhibited all CPAF activity. Consistent with these findings, we determined that endogenous LAP1 and an EGFP-LAP1 fluorescent construct were also cleaved in vitro by recombinant CPAF (Fig 6a), and this cleavage was blocked by Pep2 (not shown). As with vimentin, LAP1 was cleaved late during infection, and these cleavage events were not observed in HeLa cells infected with the CPAF-deficient mutant M169 or T2S-defective mutants (Fig. 6b).

Based on our observations with vimentin processing in live cells, we predicted that LAP1 cleavage would also occur upon loss of inclusion membrane integrity late in infection, where CPAF is released into the host cytosol. We formally tested this prediction by infecting cells that had been cotransfected with tdTomato and EGFP-LAP1 with either RST17 (CPAF+) or RST5 (CPAF−) Chlamydia strains. As previously described (Goodchild & Dauer, 2005), EGFP-LAP1 prominently labeled the nuclear envelope (Fig. 7b). This localization pattern was not altered in infected cells. Time-lapse microscopy of live infected cells revealed that upon loss of inclusion membrane integrity, as assessed by the influx of tdTomato into the inclusion lumen, the localization of EGFP-LAP1 rapidly changed from the nuclear envelope to the cytoplasm, which most likely resulted from the cleavage of the EGFP moiety from the portion of the protein anchored to the nuclear membrane (Fig. 7b and Video S2). As with vimentin cleavage, these proteolytic events occurred within cells in which the integrity of the plasma membrane was not compromised and were dependent on CPAF.

**Conclusions and perspectives**

In the last 2 years, there has been remarkable advance in the development of systems for genetic analysis in *C. trachomatis*. This includes the generation and mapping of chemically generated mutants as well as the stable delivery of recombinant DNA into *C. trachomatis* (Kari et al., 2011; Wang et al., 2011; Nguyen & Valdivia, 2012; Conrad et al., 2013). We had previously identified and characterized a mutant defective in T2S (Nguyen & Valdivia, 2012), which we now report is also defective for CPAF secretion (Fig. 1a). In addition, we identified two *C. trachomatis* LGV-L2 strains with nonsense mutations in *cpa* (Fig. 1, Table 1). Equipped with these mutants, we can now clarify the role that CPAF plays in the various unique cellular phenotypes that have been attributed to *Chlamydia* infections. Overall, our findings
suggest that CPAF is not essential for blocking apoptosis, Golgi fragmentation, resistance to reinfection, or inhibition of pro-inflammatory signaling pathways. However, while CPAF does not appear necessary for these cellular processes to occur, we cannot exclude the possibility that this protease plays an auxiliary role or whether there are redundant factors contributing to these cellular phenotypes.

Tan and colleagues brought to the forefront the issue that the unique challenges of inhibiting CPAF's proteolytic activity postlysis can confound the degree of proteolytic activity that occurs within live infected cells (Chen et al., 2012). Indeed, the level of true CPAF-mediated proteolysis that occurs within infected cells has come into doubt with an understandable impulse to label most proteolytic events observed to date as artifacts (Conrad et al., 2013). Our findings indicate that it is premature to assume that traditional targets of CPAF are not cleaved during infection. We present evidence that the bulk of CPAF activity resides within the inclusion lumen and that CPAF rapidly cleaves at least one of its described targets when the inclusion membrane is compromised during late stages of infection (Fig. 7 and Video S1). Our results also indicate that the new CPAF substrate we identified, LAP1, is similarly processed upon loss of inclusion membrane integrity (Fig. 7 and Video S2). This could represent a pathogenic strategy to destroy key components in the cytoplasm or subcellular structures (e.g. nuclear envelope for LAP1) prior to bacterial exit from a lysing cell or a transient response to mechanical or immune stress on the integrity of the inclusion. Such a strategy may help degrade or modify factors that constitute danger signals to be sensed by immune cells or modify physical barriers that limit bacterial dispersal. Indeed, a role for chlamydial proteases in the dissolution of the infected host cell late in infection was first reported by Gerald Stokes almost 40 years ago (Stokes, 1973). CPAF mutants display a small (c. 2.5-fold) decrease in the generation of IFUs (Fig. 2), suggesting that CPAF also performs functions important for replication before the late exit stages. These functions could include the processing and turnover of bacterial proteins within the inclusion, as has been suggested for the outer membrane protein OmcB (Hou et al., 2013).

In addition, CPAF released from infected cells may play a prominent role in tissue colonization or dissemination in infected animals. Indeed, experimentally infected animals and human patients develop a strong humoral response to CPAF, and immunization with recombinant CPAF is protective against infections (Murthy et al., 2009, 2011), suggesting that this protein may have extracellular roles in tissue infections. It should also be emphasized that our findings are restricted to the role of CPAF in strain LGV-L2. We do not know whether CPAF plays different roles in urogenital and ocular C. trachomatis serovars.

At this stage, there are many unresolved questions as to the function of CPAF, yet these mutant strains offer a distinct advantage to deconstruct the function of CPAF, especially in light of very recent advance in transformation and genetic manipulation of Chlamydia (Wang et al., 2011). In this manner, we are equipped to address several issues such as what are the cytosolic substrates of CPAF and what are their roles in supporting pathogenesis. Is CPAF activity in the host cytosol regulated? Could there be additional structural motifs that are only present in a subset of ‘true’ CPAF substrates that are cleaved in the cytoplasm of cells with intact inclusions? In such a scenario, CPAF in the cytoplasm could act as a molecular ‘scalpel’ to specifically modify host cellular processes and as a ‘hammer’ when inclusion membrane integrity is compromised and the bulk of active CPAF is released into the cytoplasm. Preliminary analyses of the sequence specificity of CPAF (D. McCafferty, unpublished results) indicate a capacity for this enzyme to conduct endoproteolysis at multiple sites, as well as the participation of CPAF in complexes with other proteases. Therefore, CPAF may exhibit additional interactions with host proteins, mediated by intrinsic substrate specificity or by release into subcellular compartments, which may lead to the proteolytic activation of host hydrolases (or removal of inhibitory factors) to promote lytic egress as has been observed in viral and parasitic infections. Future work with Chlamydia expressing modified forms of CPAF should help...
Fig. 7 Cleavage of vimentin and LAP1 in live infected cells occurs after inclusion rupture and is dependent on CPAF and T2S. (a) CPAF-dependent modification of vimentin filaments occurs immediately after inclusion rupture. HeLa cells were infected with the indicated strains and transfected with N-terminally EGFP-tagged vimentin and tdTomato vectors and imaged using widefield deconvolution live cell microscopy every 7 min after 54 hpi for 14 h (Video S1). Inclusion rupture was assessed by the influx of dTomato signal into the inclusion lumen. Images acquired 7 min before and after inclusion rupture are shown. In cells infected with RifR (WT) LGV-L2, the filamentous EGFP-vimentin signal became diffuse immediately after inclusion rupture. EGFP-vimentin remained in a filamentous form after loss of inclusion integrity in cells infected with CPAF- or T2S-deficient strains (arrows). (b) CPAF-dependent processing of LAP1 occurs after inclusion rupture. HeLa cells were transfected with EGFP-LAP1 and tdTomato expression vectors and infected and imaged as in (a) (Video S2). Loss of EGFP-LAP1 localization to the nuclear membrane occurs rapidly following inclusion rupture in cells infected with RifR (WT), but not in cells infected with CPAF- or T2S-deficient strains.
address some of the outstanding questions remaining as to the function of this unusual protease.
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