

## Reviewer Report

**Title:** Population modeling with machine learning can enhance measures of mental health

**Version:** Revision 1      **Date:** 7/28/2021

**Reviewer name:** Bo Cao

### Reviewer Comments to Author:

The substantial revision improved the paper and is appreciated by the reviewer. The details have been enhanced. However, the reviewer still has some concerns about the basic logic and its presentation of the paper after reviewing all the comments from other reviewers and the feedback from the author. Figure 1 is helpful (BTW, the font is too small and smaller than other figures). But if we consider the current approach again, when the machine learning (ML) has perfect performance to generate the so called "proxy measures", these measures should match exactly each individual's age, fluid-intelligence and neuroticism. What the author claimed about proxy measures providing better assessment to other health related variables might be simply due to the imperfectness or the "residuals" from ML prediction to the real targets (age, fluid-intelligence and neuroticism). The author may need to address this and present the logic of the paper in a clearer way to help the readers understand the main point and results of the paper. In this regard, Figure 1 is incomplete in addressing the full flow of the paper, which is necessary for such a seemingly complex paper in the reviewer's opinion.

### Methods

Are the methods appropriate to the aims of the study, are they well described, and are necessary controls included? Choose an item.

### Conclusions

Are the conclusions adequately supported by the data shown? Choose an item.

### Reporting Standards

Does the manuscript adhere to the journal's guidelines on [minimum standards of reporting?](#) Choose an item.

Choose an item.

### Statistics

Are you able to assess all statistics in the manuscript, including the appropriateness of statistical tests used? Choose an item.

## Quality of Written English

Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript: Choose an item.

## Declaration of Competing Interests

Please complete a declaration of competing interests, considering the following questions:

- Have you in the past five years received reimbursements, fees, funding, or salary from an organisation that may in any way gain or lose financially from the publication of this manuscript, either now or in the future?
- Do you hold any stocks or shares in an organisation that may in any way gain or lose financially from the publication of this manuscript, either now or in the future?
- Do you hold or are you currently applying for any patents relating to the content of the manuscript?
- Have you received reimbursements, fees, funding, or salary from an organization that holds or has applied for patents relating to the content of the manuscript?
- Do you have any other financial competing interests?
- Do you have any non-financial competing interests in relation to this paper?

If you can answer no to all of the above, write 'I declare that I have no competing interests' below. If your reply is yes to any, please give details below.

I declare that I have no competing interests.

I agree to the open peer review policy of the journal. I understand that my name will be included on my report to the authors and, if the manuscript is accepted for publication, my named report including any attachments I upload will be posted on the website along with the authors' responses. I agree for my report to be made available under an Open Access Creative Commons CC-BY license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>). I understand that any comments which I do not wish to be included in my named report can be included as confidential comments to the editors, which will not be published.

Choose an item.

To further support our reviewers, we have joined with Publons, where you can gain additional credit to further highlight your hard work (see: <https://publons.com/journal/530/gigascience>). On publication of this paper, your review will be automatically added to Publons, you can then choose whether or not to claim your Publons credit. I understand this statement.

Yes Choose an item.