VAPB interacts with and modulates the activity of ATF6
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A mis-sense point mutation in the human VAPB gene is associated with a familial form of motor neuron disease that has been classified as Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis type VIII. Affected individuals suffer from a spinal muscular atrophy (SMA), amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS) or an atypical slowly progressing form of ALS. Mammals have two homologous VAP genes, vapA and vapB. VAPA and VAPB share 76% similar or identical amino acid residues; both are COOH-terminally anchored membrane proteins enriched on the endoplasmic reticulum. Several functions have been ascribed to VAP proteins including membrane trafficking, cytoskeleton association and membrane docking interactions for cytoplasmic factors. It is shown here that VAPA and VAPB are expressed in tissues throughout the body but at different levels, and that they are present in overlapping but distinct regions of the endoplasmic reticulum. The disease-associated mutation in VAPB, VAPBP56S, lies within a highly conserved N-terminal region of the protein that shares extensive structural homology with the major sperm protein (MSP) from nematodes. The MSP domain of VAPA and VAPB is found to interact with the ER-localized transcription factor ATF6. Over expression of VAPB or VAPBP56S attenuates the activity of ATF6-regulated transcription and the mutant protein VAPBP56S appears to be a more potent inhibitor of ATF6 activity. These data indicate that VAP proteins interact directly with components of ER homeostatic and stress signalling systems and may therefore be part of a previously unidentified regulatory pathway. The mis-function of such regulatory systems may contribute to the pathological mechanisms of degenerative motor neuron disease.

INTRODUCTION

A dominantly inherited familial form of motor neuron disease characterized in a large Brazilian family was recently shown to be associated with a mis-sense mutation in the human vapB gene (1). Affected individuals suffer from three different pathological conditions; a late on-set slowly progressing spinal muscular atrophy (SMA), a slowly progressing late on-set atypical amyotrophic lateral sclerosis ALS8 or a typical severe rapidly progressing ALS (2,3). Although familial forms of disease may represent less than 5% of the total incidences of ALS (4,5), they exhibit the same phenotypic heterogeneity as the more common sporadic disease (6–8). Information on the mechanistic basis of familial motor neuron diseases may, therefore, be relevant to all forms of motor neuron disease.

The first VAP protein was identified in Aplysia californica from its interaction in a yeast two-hybrid screen with VAMP/Synaptobrevin, hence the nomenclature VAMP/Synaptobrevin Associated Protein (9). VAP proteins are highly conserved, with homologous proteins found in all eukaryotes (10–15). There are two mammalian genes VapA and VapB (16). The proteins contain three prominent structural features; the N-terminal domain of approximately 120 amino acids is highly homologous to the nematode major sperm protein (MSP) (17), the central domain is amphipathic and predicted to form a coiled–coil structure, and the C-terminal 20 amino acids are hydrophobic and act as an intracellular membrane anchor (13,15,16).

The MSP domain binds to the ‘two phenylalanines in an acid tract’, or ‘FFAT’ motif found in several cytoplasmic
lipid-binding proteins (18–20). The structural basis of this interaction was recently determined for the MSP domain of VAPA (21). Thus, VAP proteins may act as docking sites for cytoplasmic factors to interact with the ER. VAP proteins may also act to maintain the structure of intracellular membranes such as the ER, by interacting with the cytoskeleton and mediating membrane trafficking (13,15,22).

The disease-associated mutation in ALS8 is a C to T substitution within exon 2 of the vapB gene replacing a proline residue with a serine in a highly conserved region of the protein. The mutant protein, VAPBP56S, forms aggregates when expressed in cultured cell lines or primary hippocampal neurons (1). The relationship of these aggregates to the pathological mechanism of the disease is not known. It has been suggested that the presence of aggregates containing VAPBP56S may result in disruption of the proteasome, activation of ER stress responses, fragmentation of the Golgi apparatus and induction of apoptosis (23). Teuling et al. (24) have also demonstrated that expression of VAPBP56S recruits the wild-type protein into aggregates and causes disruption of ER structure.

In this report, we show that both VAPA and VAPB are ubiquitously expressed but at differing levels in different tissues and that they accumulate on overlapping but distinct regions of the ER. Both VAPA and VAPB are shown to be capable of interacting with the ER stress regulated transcription factor ATF6, and over expression of VAPB or VAPBP56S attenuates the activity of an ATF6/XBP1 regulated promoter. This suggests that VAPB can have an inhibitory effect on ATF6 dependent transcription and that the disease-associated mutant, VAPBP56S, has an enhanced inhibitory activity towards ATF6-dependent transcription compared to the wild-type protein. An interaction between VAP proteins and ATF6 may represent a previously uncharacterized mechanism of ER homeostatic and stress response regulation.

It is concluded that the mis-regulation of ER stress response and homeostatic regulatory systems may contribute to the pathological mechanism of degenerative motor neuron disease associated with the VAPBP56S mutation.

RESULTS

VAPA and VAPB are expressed ubiquitously but at differing levels in different tissues

Immunoblot analysis of selected tissues from an adult male rat demonstrated that both VAPA and VAPB proteins are present in all tissues examined, but at different relative levels (Fig. 1A). This is in agreement with the wide expression profile of mRNA published previously (13,16,25). A second protein of slightly larger molecular weight is detected in the testis by VAPA anti-sera. An additional, less abundant, protein of approximately 14 kDa is detected by both anti-serum. The VAPB-related signal is a doublet, the expression of which is tightly restricted to the forebrain and cerebellum extracts, and not detected in the other tissues tested (Fig. 1A). The 14 kDa VAPB-related polypeptide is more widely expressed and detectable in pancreas, liver, forebrain, lung and thymus, kidney and testis; low levels are seen in the cerebellum and no signal is detected in heart or skeletal muscle. A peptide of similar size has been predicted from a splice variant of VAPB, termed VAPC. However, the peptide used to generate the VAPB anti-serum is not present in VAPC (16), and the VAPA anti-sera do not cross react significantly with vapB-derived species (Supplementary Material, Figure S1). It is concluded that these smaller molecular weight immunoreactive species are most likely generated by proteolysis of the VAP proteins.

It has been shown previously that both VAPA and VAPB are enriched on the ER membrane (13,15,21). A distinct subcellular distribution for the two proteins is seen by co-immunostaining of HEK293 cells (Fig. 1B). Both proteins are localized in a reticular pattern, but they exhibit only a modest level of co-localization. This distinct sub-cellular distribution of VAPA and VAPB is most striking in skeletal muscle (Fig. 1C). Fluorescent immunocytochemistry indicates a complementary distribution of VAPA and VAPB in the sarcoplasmic reticulum. VAPA is enriched on the A- and H-bands and the Z-line, while VAPB is restricted to the I-band and T-system regions (Fig. 1C). The I-band is enriched for IP3 receptors and RyR localize mainly at the T-system (26); VAPA and VAPB may therefore be associated with distinct intracellular calcium stores.

Interactions of the VAP MSP domain

The ALS8-associated mutation in the VAPB protein lies within a highly conserved region of the MSP domain. In a previous series of experiments, we had observed that, when expressed as an EGFP fusion protein, the MSP domains of both VAPA and VAPB formed intensely fluorescent, large intracellular aggregates and were toxic to HEK293 and PC12 cell lines, and to primary cultures of rodent hippocampal neurons (Skehel, unpublished). To investigate possible mechanisms of the MSP domain toxicity, a yeast two-hybrid screen was done to identify potential MSP interacting proteins. A sequence corresponding to amino acids 1–107 of mouse VAPA was used to screen a rat brain cDNA library. In addition to a number of FFAT- and MSP domain-containing proteins, a partial clone of the ER stress regulated transcription factor ATF6 was identified (27).

To characterize this interaction further, expression constructs for full-length VAPA, VAPB and ATF6 were analysed by a fluorescent peptide complementation assay (28) (Fig. 2). In this assay, a fluorescent protein is generated from two separate parts of a split GFP, termed Venus1 and Venus2, only by the association of two test polypeptides expressed as fusion proteins. A functional fluorescent protein is generated when the two test proteins directly interact. Although the initial yeast two-hybrid interaction was between a truncated form of ATF6 and the MSP domain of VAPA, an interaction between full-length forms of VAPA and VAPB with ATF6 was readily detectable (Fig. 2). Similarly, the ALS8-associated mutant VAPBP56S was shown to be capable of interacting with ATF6 (Fig. 2). No interaction was detected between VAPA, VAPB or ATF6 when co-expressed with heterologous leucine zipper-Venus fusions. The reconstitution of a fluorescent protein clearly indicates that VAPA and VAPB are capable of interacting with ATF6. Similar results were also obtained with the converse Venus combinations, where
ATF6 was expressed as a fusion with Venus 1, and the VAP proteins were fused to Venus 2 (data not shown) (28).

Fluorescence analysis of HEK293 cells expressing EGFP-VAPB and FLAG-tagged ATF6 shows extensive regions of co-localization on the ER, but also some complementary distribution (Fig. 3). The aggregates of EGFP-VAPBP56S show some but not extensive co-localization with ATF6, although we cannot discount that low antigen accessibility may contribute to reduced ATF6 detection in VAPB<sup>P56S</sup> aggregates. Expression of VAPB<sup>P56S</sup> does not appear to cause gross disruption of ATF6 distribution in the ER (Fig. 3).

ATF6 is inhibited by VAPB and VAPB<sup>P56S</sup>

ATF6 is one of a family of transmembrane transcription factors (29). It functions in a regulated transcription pathway...
involved in ER homeostasis and response to stress known as the unfolded protein response (UPR) (30–32). Upon accumulation of unfolded proteins in the lumen of the ER, ATF6 translocates from the ER to the Golgi and is proteolyzed in turn by S1P and S2P. This results in the release of the DNA binding and transcription transactivation domain of ATF6 from the ER membrane allowing it to enter the nucleus and activate transcription (27,33).

ATF6 appears to interact with several promoter elements (31,34,35). A synthetic promoter has been generated that acts as an ATF6/XBP1 dependent transcription reporter (31). To determine if the interaction with VAPB affects the ability of ATF6 to activate transcription, luciferase-based transient transfection assays were done using this ATF6/XBP1-dependent reporter of transcription (31). In HEK293 cells, basal levels of transcription from this promoter are reduced by overexpression of myc-tagged forms of VAPB or VAPB56S (Fig. 4A). ATF6/XBP1-mediated transcription activated by the glycosylation inhibitor, tunicamycin, was also significantly reduced by over expression of VAPB or VAPB56S (36,37). Increasing levels of ATF6 by co-expression of a FLAG-tagged recombinant form of human ATF6 (38) increased basal and tunicamycin-induced expression from the ATF6/XBP1 reporter. In both cases, the elevated levels of ATF6/XBP1 dependent transcription were also reduced by over expression of either VAPB or VAPB56S (Fig. 4B). This effect requires the cytoplasmic domains of VAPB and does not appear to be a non-specific consequence of increasing levels of protein in the ER membrane since over expression of a DsRed fluorescent fusion protein of the C-terminal domain of VAPB did not affect basal transcription.

Figure 2. Peptide complementation assay for the interaction of VAPA and VAPB with ATF6. The coding sequences of mouse VAPA, VAPB and VAPB56S were expressed in HEK293 cells as fusion proteins with a truncated non-fluorescent form of YFP, Venus 1 (28). These proteins were co-expressed with the complementary ATF6-Venus 2 fusion protein. Fluorescence indicates reconstitution of a functional YFP and therefore a direct interaction of VAPA and VAPB with ATF6. Wild-type VAPB and mutant VAPB56S are capable of interacting with ATF6. Controls in which a homodimerizing leucine zipper peptide was expressed as either a Venus 1 or Venus 2 fusion proteins show no fluorescence when expressed with the complementary VAP or ATF6 fusion proteins. Bright field or fluorescence images were acquired from live cells through cell culture plastic.

Figure 3. Co-localization of VAPB and ATF6. HEK293 cells were transfected with FLAG-ATF6, EGFP-VAPB and EGFP-VAPB56S. In colour plates, FLAG-ATF6 is shown in red and EGFP-VAPB or EGFP-VAPB56S is in green. There is extensive, but not total co-localization of VAPB and ATF6 in a reticular distribution. ATF6 co-localizes with the aggregates formed by VAPB56S, but not in a punctate pattern. Note that VAPB56S does not cause a gross change in the distribution of ATF6.
hydrophobic domain of VAPB does not reduce the basal or tunicamycin-induced expression from the ATF6/XBP1 reporter (Fig. 4A and Supplementary Material, Figure S3). Overexpression of VAP proteins does not reduce expression levels of luciferase directed from a CMV promoter; therefore, the repressive affect on the ATF6/XBP1 reporter is unlikely to be the result of a general repression of transcription (Supplementary Material, Figure S4).

A similar inhibitory affect was also seen in the motor neuron derived cell line NSC34 (Fig. 4C and D). In NSC34 cells, basal levels of expression from the ATF6/XBP1 reporter are less than in HEK293, perhaps indicating lower levels of endogenous ATF6.

Consistent with the inhibitory affect seen by overexpression of VAPB, siRNA-mediated reduction of endogenous VAPB results in an increase of basal and induced levels of ATF6/XBP1-dependent transcription (Fig. 5).

When equal amounts of expression plasmid DNA for VAPB and VAPB<sup>P56S</sup> were used for cell-transfections, the overall level of attenuation was similar between the wild type and mutant forms of VAPB (Fig. 4). Immunoblot analysis of total protein from transfected cells, however, indicated that the mutant protein, VAPB<sup>P56S</sup>-myc, accumulated to significantly lower levels, reaching only 20% of the level of wild-type protein (Fig. 6). This suggests that VAPB<sup>P56S</sup>-myc may exert a stronger inhibition on ATF6 than the wild-type VAPB-myc, since a similar level of inhibition is achieved from a lower amount of protein. The difference in protein levels is less pronounced when VAPB and VAPBP<sup>P56S</sup> are expressed as EGFP fusion proteins (Fig. 6), which indicates that the presence of the GFP moiety may have a stabilizing effect on VAPB<sup>P56S</sup>. Consistent with this, the inhibition of ATF6/XBP1-dependent transcription is more pronounced for VAPB<sup>P56S</sup>-GFP than VAPB-GFP (Fig. 6). Thus, VAPB<sup>P56S</sup> appears to have a significantly greater inhibitory affect on ATF6 mediated transcription than wild-type VAPB. These results suggest that mis-regulation of ER stress responses may be important for the pathological effect of VAPB<sup>P56S</sup> that leads to motor neuron degeneration.

**DISCUSSION**

The identification of a mutated gene responsible for a familial form of motor neuron disease greatly facilitates molecular and cellular studies of potential disease mechanisms. Understanding the cellular function of VAPB may indicate what molecular and cellular events are associated with the disease process of ALS8. It is likely that this information will be of relevance to both the inherited condition and the more common sporadic forms of disease.

Previous studies have demonstrated a role for VAP proteins on the ER. The N-terminal MSP domain contains an FFAT-motif binding site (21). This interaction has been shown to localize a number of cytoplasmic lipid-binding proteins to the ER and ER-derived membranes (18–20,39). FFAT-dependent interactions between VAPA and Nir2 and 3 have also been shown to affect the gross structure of the ER (22). Both VAPA and VAPB appear to be expressed at different relative levels in specific tissues [(16,25) and this study].
Both proteins are enriched on the ER and co-localize to a large extent (24). There are, however, many regions where the two proteins do not co-localize, suggesting they are present in distinct functional regions of the ER. This is most clearly seen in skeletal muscle where VAPA is enriched within the A and H bands and Z-line, whereas VAPB is seen predominantly in the I-bands and T-region. The localization of VAPA is similar to that of the IP3 receptor (26), whereas VAPB more closely resembles the distribution of Ryanodine receptors (40). Any disruption of VAPB function caused by the P56S mutation associated with ALS8 might, therefore, affect intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) storage and Ca\(^{2+}\) signalling capacities. Intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) levels have been implicated in many degenerative conditions (reviewed in 41), and inhibition of Ryanodine receptor activity has been recently suggested as a possible pathological mechanism for motor neuron disease (42).

The proline residue at codon 56 within the MSP domain does not appear to contribute directly to FFAT-binding but co-immunoprecipitation of FFAT-containing proteins is reduced for VAPBP56S (24). Perturbation of FFAT-dependent association with the ER could disrupt the sorting of lipids within and between cellular membranes (19,20). A phosphoinositide-binding activity has been identified in the MSP domain of the yeast protein SCS2 that is a homologue of VAPA and VAPB (43).

Disruption of the MSP domain in VAPBP56S could affect a similar activity in the mammalian proteins. Changes in membrane composition have been suggested as a cause of neurodegeneration (44), and hyperlipidaemia is one of the clinical effects reported for VAPBP56S families (3). Disruption of ER and Golgi structure and/or function has been suggested previously as a possible pathological mechanism for degenerative diseases of neurons (45–47). More recently, ER stress in particular has been associated with sporadic and experimental models of motor neuron disease (48–50), and neurodegeneration in general (reviewed in 46,47,51). A recent report has also suggested that VAPB levels may induce the ER UPR by affecting the activity of IRE1 (23). In this report, we show that VAPA and VAPB can interact directly with the ER-localized transcription factor ATF6. Moreover, increasing the expression of VAPB attenuates the activity of ATF6, whereas reducing VAPB levels enhance ATF6-dependent transcription. Over expression of the mutant protein VAPBP56S appears to attenuate the activity of ATF6 more profoundly than does wild-type VAPB. The pertinacious aggregates formed by VAPBP56S do not appear to sequester ATF6 to a significant extent. The enhanced inhibitory affect of VAPBP56S levels on ATF6 activity may not, therefore, be due simply to a reduction in available ATF6. There are a number of stages in the activation of ATF6 that VAPB could influence. In response to accumulation of unfolded protein in the ER lumen ATF6 translocates from the ER to the Golgi. There it is sequentially processed by S1P and S2P proteases to release an amino terminal portion of the protein containing DNA binding and trans-activation domains (33). The lumenal COOH-terminal domain of ATF6 is required to detect the accumulation of unfolded proteins in the lumen of the ER. As VAPB has very little luminal structure, it is unlikely to directly inhibit the ability of ATF6 to detect ER stress. Over expression of VAPB can disrupt membrane trafficking and so may indirectly inhibit the activation of ATF6 by reducing the translocation of ATF6 to the Golgi (15). Alternatively, VAP proteins might directly inhibit the translocation of ATF6 to the Golgi. It is also possible that VAP acts after translocation of ATF6 to the Golgi by a mechanism similar to that of Nucleobindin1 which represses S1P activation of ATF6 (52).

VAPB may act at the level of transcription. The yeast VAP homologue SCS2, originally identified as a suppressor of inositol auxotrophy, has been shown to localize activated genes to the nuclear membrane via an interaction with a FFAT domain-containing protein, Op1 (10,53). The localization to the nuclear membrane was essential for gene expression (53). If an analogous situation existed in mammals, over expression of VAPB could directly affect the activity of ATF6 at promoters adjacent to the nuclear membrane.
A regulatory role for VAP proteins on the surface of the ER

The UPR and ERAD systems respond to the environment of the lumen of the ER. Perhaps the interaction between the VAP proteins and ATF6 represents an additional element of ER regulation that responds to levels of proteins associating with the surface of the ER, or to proteins that do not have significant amounts of luminal structure.

VAP proteins interact with a broad range of other coiled–coil containing proteins such as VAMP/Synaptobrevin and syntaxin (12). If interactions of the coiled/coil domain also affected the MSP domain-dependent inhibition of ATF6, they could enable the levels of membrane proteins on the surface of the ER to activate ATF6.

VAP proteins and Hepatitis C virus replication

The Hepatitis C virus has exploited potential structural and regulatory functions of the VAP proteins. Hepatitis C replicates in association with the ER. Two of the viral proteins required for this association, NS5A and NS5B, can bind to both VAPA and B, interacting with the coiled–coil and MSP domains, respectively (54,55). Disrupting these interactions or down-regulating VAPA and VAPB protein levels inhibits virus replication (55,56). Hepatitis C protein expression can also induce ER stress, activating both ATF6 and XBP1. This does not lead to a full UPR (57,58), and it has been suggested that mis-regulation of the ER stress response may in some way favour viral replication (58).

Perhaps a similar mechanism may contribute to the pathogenesis of VAPBP56S. The mutant protein could lead to a mis-regulation of ER stress regulatory pathways via aberrant ATF6 activity. Such mis-regulation could also have a role in the pathological effects of Hepatitis C infection.

ATF6 activity and neurodegeneration

The increased level of ATF6 inhibition by VAPBP56S suggests that a possible pathological mechanism for ALS8 is mis-function of homeostatic regulatory systems of the ER. Kanekura et al. (23) recently demonstrated that increased VAPB levels could induce the UPR as indicated by activation of XBP1, and that the effect of VAPBP56S was to diminish this activation. Our study suggests that VAP proteins can also affect the activity of ATF6, and that the mutation VAPBP56S may have a greater effect than the wild-type protein VAPB.

From gene transcription analysis on the UPR in C. elegans, it has been shown that ATF6 mainly contributes to tonic levels of gene expression (59). In mammals, ATF6 appears to have a more extensive role in the ER stress response, where it is required for the induced expression of principal ER chaperones, and also acts as a heterodimer with XBP1 to induce components of the ER associated degradation pathway (ERAD) (30).

The direct interaction between VAP proteins and ATF6 represents a previously uncharacterized mechanism for the
regulation of transcriptional responses made to changes in ER metabolism. Overall, VAP proteins may have structural and regulatory functions based on interactions of the MSP domain. The pathological mechanism in ALS8, therefore, may be the result of an inability to deal appropriately with different forms of ER stress.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Antisera. VAPB-specific anti-serum was raised in sheep to a multi antigenic peptide (MAP) form of a peptide corresponding to amino acids 174–189 of mouse VAPB (Alta Bioscience). This sequence is identical in rats and mice. The serum was affinity purified using the immunizing peptide. The VAPA anti-serum has been described previously (13). Anti-myc was monoclonal 9E10 and anti-FLAG was M2 (Sigma).

Muscle staining

Muscle tissue was fixed in 0.1 M PBS containing 4% paraformaldehyde for 1–2 h. Muscles were blocked in 4% BSA and 0.5% Triton-X (both Sigma) in PBS for 30 min before incubation in primary antibodies overnight at 4°C. The primary antibodies used were sheep anti-VAPB (1:200) and rabbit anti-VAPA (1:300). After washing for 30 min in blocking solution, muscles were incubated for 4–5 h in PBS containing secondary antibodies. The secondary antibodies used were Donkey anti-Sheep Cy2 (1:100, Jackson Laboratories) and Donkey anti-Rabbit Cy3 (1:100, Jackson Laboratories). After a 2 h wash in PBS, muscles were mounted on glass slides in mowoil mounting medium [2.4 g mowoil (Poly vinyl Alcohol, Calbiochem), 6 g glycerol, 2.5% 1,4-diazobicyclo-octane (DABCO, antifade, Sigma), 12 ml 200 mM Tris (pH 8.5)]. These experiments are not shown.

Preparations were analysed using a laser confocal scanning microscope (Biorad Radiance 2000). The strobing function was always enabled to prevent signal bleeding through from one channel to another. Confocal z-series were merged using Lasersharp (Biorad) software. All images were analysed and prepared for presentation in Adobe Photoshop.

Cell staining

HEK293 cells grown on cover slips were fixed in 3% paraformaldehyde, 0.03% glutaraldehyde (w/v) in PBS, at room temperature for 20 min. Fixative was quenched and cells permeabilized with a solution of 50 mM NH4Cl, 0.2% (w/v) saponin (Sigma), for 15 min at room temperature. Cells were washed, and antibodies diluted in a solution containing 0.2% (w/v) fish skin gelatin (Sigma G-7765), 0.02% saponin, in PBS. Inverted cover slips were mounted in Mowoil, and examined on a Zeiss Imager.Z1 microscope fitted with a LSM 510 Meta confocal excitation/acquisition system.

Peptide complementation

Full-length coding sequences for mouse VAPA, VAPB, VAPA(P56S), VAPB(P56S) and human ATF6a (NM_007348) were amplified in a PCR that introduced flanking BspEI and XbaI, or NotI and ClaI restriction sites, and sub-cloned into pcDNA3.1(zeo)-Venus[1] or pcDNA3.1(zeo)-Venus[2], respectively (28). HEK293 were transfected using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen). For each transfection 200 ng of total DNA was used. Images of living cells were acquired 24 h after transfection on an Olympus IX70 fluorescence microscope using Openlab software (Improvision). Representative images are shown.

Transcription assay

HEK293 or NSC-34 cells were grown in Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle’s Medium supplemented with 10% foetal bovine serum. Cells were transfected using Lipofectamine2000 (Invitrogen). Each transfection mixture contained 300 ng of p5xATF6-GL3 (31) and 100 ng of the internal control renilla luciferase reporter, pTK-RT. VAPB and VAPBP56S were expressed as EGFP-fusion proteins derived from pEGFP-C1 (Clontech), or as myc epitope tagged fusion proteins where the EGFP coding sequence was replaced with a myc epitope coding sequence. The total amount of DNA per transfection was 500 ng. ATF6 was over expressed as a FLAG-tagged fusion protein from pCMV-ATF6-3xFLAG7.1 (60). One hundred nanogram of each VAPB and ATF6 expression plasmid was used, with the total amount of DNA in each transfection made up to 600 ng with the vector pEGFP-C3 (Clontech). Twenty-four hours after transfection ER stress was induced for 12 h with 2 μg/ml tunicamycin (Calbiochem). Cells were then lysed and assayed for firefly and renilla luciferase activity using the Dual Glo™ Luciferase Assay System (Promega). Firefly and renilla luminescence were measured using a FLUOstar OPTIMA micro-plate reader (BMG LABTECH). Firefly luciferase luminescence values are normalized to renilla firefly luciferase luminescence values and are averages of four experiments with SE.

siRNA transfection

10⁶ HEK293 cells were nucleofected with 200 pMoles of VAPB siRNA (Quiagen) or a control GFP-siRNA (Dharmacon) using the Amaxa Biosystems nucleofector. Twenty-four hours after nucleofection, cells were transfected with p5xATF6-GL3 and pTK-RT as described above. After a further 24 h, cells were treated with 2 μg/ml Tunicamycin (Calbiochem) for 12 h and then assayed for luciferase activity as above.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

Supplementary Material is available at HMG Online.
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