SOX9 controls epithelial branching by activating RET effector genes during kidney development
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Congenital abnormalities of the kidney and urinary tract are some of the most common defects detected in the unborn child. Kidney growth is controlled by the GDNF/RET signalling pathway, but the molecular events required for the activation of RET downstream targets are still poorly understood. Here we show that SOX9, a gene involved in campomelic dysplasia (CD) in humans, together with its close homologue SOX8, plays an essential role in RET signalling. Expression of SOX9 can be found from the earliest stages of renal development within the ureteric tip, the ureter mesenchyme and in a segment-specific manner during nephrogenesis. Using a tissue-specific knockout approach, we show that, in the ureteric tip, SOX8 and SOX9 are required for ureter branching, and double-knockout mutants exhibit severe kidney defects ranging from hypoplastic kidneys to renal agenesis. Further genetic analysis shows that SOX8/9 are required downstream of GDNF signalling for the activation of RET effector genes such as Sprouty1 and Etv5. At later stages of development, SOX9 is required to maintain ureteric tip identity and SOX9 ablation induces ectopic nephron formation. Taken together, our study shows that SOX9 acts at multiple steps during kidney organogenesis and identifies SOX8 and SOX9 as key factors within the RET signalling pathway. Our results also explain the aetiology of kidney hypoplasia found in a proportion of CD patients.

INTRODUCTION

Development of the metanephros commences with a molecular signal involving the glial-derived neurotrophic factor GDNF, which is released from the metanephric blastema, a mesenchymal tissue located at the caudal end of the nephrogenic cord. Binding of GDNF to its receptors GFRα1 and RET, both expressed in the mesonephric (Wolffian) duct, triggers tyrosine kinase signalling, which induces the outgrowth of the ureteric bud (reviewed in 1). Once the ureter has invaded the metanephric mesenchyme, continuous GDNF signalling leads to repetitive branching of the ureter to form the ureteric tree that eventually will give rise to the collecting duct system. The importance of the GDNF/RET signalling pathway is underlined by mutation analysis in mice: loss of Gdnf (2–4), Ret (5,6) or Gfrα1 (7) causes severe kidney defects ranging from renal dysplasia to complete agenesis. On the molecular level, GDNF binding induces a range of intracellular signalling cascades including the ERK/MAPK and the PI3K pathway. Recent evidence suggests that, for ureter branching, PI3K activation is more important and pharmaceutical inhibition of this pathway interferes with the activation of downstream target genes (8). How these targets are activated on the transcriptional level and which factors are involved in this process, however, remain elusive.

Important insights into the transcriptional programme activated by GDNF/RET signalling have recently been made (8). Two key genes in this pathway appear to be the Ets-related
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transcription factors *Etv4* and *Etv5*. Indeed, reduction of *Etv4*/*Etv5* gene dosage in compound mutant animals leads to reduced ureter branching, and many *Etv4*/*−*/*Etv5*/*−* mutants display renal agenesis.

Although mesenchymal signalling is important for ureter growth, signals released from the ureter are required for the survival of mesenchymal cells and the induction of the nephrogenic programme. Since the ureter is in direct contact with uninduced mesenchymal cells, it is believed that an active mechanism involving the transcription factor SIX2 suppresses premature nephron formation in the outermost kidney progenitor cells to maintain a self-renewing pool of nephron progenitors (9). Indeed, deletion of *Six2* results in ectopic nephron formation and hypoplastic kidneys as a consequence of renal progenitor depletion (10). An important factor in nephron induction appears to be WNT9b, which is believed to activate the canonical Wnt/β-catenin signalling pathway (11). Genetic analysis demonstrated that Wnt9b is required for mesenchyme-to-epithelial transition (MET), and mutant mice show a complete absence of nephrons (12).

*Sox* genes are developmental regulators that can be identified by the presence of a DNA-binding domain that shares high homology with the HMG box of the sex-determining gene *Sry*. *Sox* genes can be classified into different groups according to their structure and evolutionary conservation (13). In mammals, the *SoxE* group consists of the three members *Sox8*, *Sox9* and *Sox10*, all of which appear to act as potent transcriptional regulators. Studies in mice have demonstrated that deletion of *Sox8* does not cause severe developmental defects (14), although male mice display lipo-dystrophy, mild osteopenia and fertility problems at older ages at least on some genetic backgrounds (15–17).

In contrast to *Sox8*, *Sox9* is crucial for normal development, and heterozygous mutations in human cause campomelic dysplasia (CD), a syndrome characterized by severe bone malformations, XY sex reversal and perinatal death. Interestingly, a mouse knockin which expresses a dominant-negative version of *Sox9*, called *Sox9*<sup>ΔN</sup>, demonstrates that deletion of *Sox9* resulted in renal cysts (18). These phenotypes strongly suggest that *Sox9* has also an important function during kidney formation.

We have previously generated a conditional knockout allele for *Sox9* (19), which allows us to analyse the function of this gene in a tissue-specific manner. Here we show that *Sox9* together with *SOX8* regulates epithelial branching morphogenesis of the ureter, where they are required for the activation of RET downstream targets.

**RESULTS**

*Sox8* and *Sox9* show a dynamic expression pattern during kidney development

The global expression patterns for *Sox8* and *Sox9* have been described previously (14,20,21), and very recently a more detailed evaluation of *Sox8* expression during ureter development has been published (22). Our own analysis extends the presently described expression domains for *SOX9*. In situ hybridization (ISH) and antibody staining against *SOX9* demonstrated low levels of expression within the uninduced Wolffian duct (Fig. 1A). Upon ureter induction, epithelial expression of *SOX9* became restricted to the tip of the ureter, where it was maintained throughout kidney development (Fig. 1B–D). Interestingly, the amount of *SOX9* protein per cell—as judged by immunofluorescent analysis—was not homogenous throughout the ureteric tip. Apart from the ureteric tip, strong staining was also seen from E11.5 in the mesenchyme surrounding the ureter (Fig. 1B), a tissue that later on will give rise to the smooth muscle cells of the ureter (23). In addition, expression could be detected in the epithelium of the forming ureter from E11.5 until E16.5 (Fig. 1C, E14.5). Finally, *SOX9* was expressed in a regionalized pattern during nephrogenesis: immediately after MET, *SOX9*-expressing cells were detected in the renal vesicle in a subdomain adjacent to the ureteric tip (Fig. 1F). As nephron development proceeded, *SOX9* expression became localized to the intermediate and distal domains of the S-shaped body (Fig. 1G). In contrast to *SOX9*, *SOX8* expression was absent from the developing nephrons and restricted to the epithelial tips of the growing ureter (Fig. 1H–J).

Before discussing the role of *Sox8* and *Sox9* in ureter development in detail, we would like to point out that a proportion of *Pax2:Cre;Sox9*<sup>ΔN</sup> animals showed defects in nephron patterning. A detailed analysis of this phenotype will however require further studies and will be reported separately.

*Sox8/Sox9* are required in a functionally redundant manner for ureter branching

The early expression of *Sox8* and *Sox9* within the Wolffian duct and the ureteric tips suggested that these genes play a role during metanephric kidney induction. Deletion of *Sox8* alone does not result in an overt renal phenotype, and mutant mice appear to be normal (14; Supplementary Material, Fig. S1). Complete deletion of *Sox9* using germline-specific Cre lines (male *Sox9*<sup>*<sup>ΔN</sup>*,<sup>Prm1:Cre × female *Sox9*<sup>ΔN</sup>*,<sup>Zip3:Cre</sup> from now on called *Sox9*<sup>co/co</sup>) results in embryonic lethality (24). To address the function of *Sox8* and *Sox9* in kidney induction and ureter branching, we first analysed *Sox8*<sup>−/−</sup>;*Sox9*<sup>co/co</sup> mutants at E11.5, the latest stage at which mutant embryos could be recovered alive. In wild-type control or *Sox8*<sup>−/−</sup>;*Sox9*<sup>ΔN</sup> embryos, the ureter had invaded the metanephric mesenchyme and undergone the first dichotomous branching event (Fig. 2A and data not shown). In contrast, in the majority of double-knockout embryos, induction of the ureteric bud occurred, but it failed to initiate branching (Fig. 2B). Since the metanephric mesenchyme was normally condensed, the branching defect is likely to have a ureteric bud origin.

To assess the role of *Sox8* and *Sox9* in ureter branching in greater detail, we placed kidneys dissected from wild-type and mutant E11.5 embryos in culture and counted the number of ureteric tips after 48 h of growth in vitro (Fig. 2C–E). Deletion of *Sox8* alone had no effect on ureter branching when compared with wild-type animals (data not shown). In contrast, removal of one additional copy of *Sox9* revealed a significant reduction of ureteric tips in *Sox8*<sup>−/−</sup>;*Sox9*<sup>ΔN</sup> tissues (Fig. 2D and F). Strikingly, complete deletion of *Sox8* and *Sox9* (*Sox8*<sup>−/−</sup>;*Sox9*<sup>co/co</sup>) resulted in severely reduced ureter branching (>6 ×, Fig. 2E and F),
and a complete absence of the ureter was observed in many cases.

Sox8 activation in the developing testis depends on the expression of Sox9 (25) and we wondered whether a similar dependency also exists for the kidney. Expression of Sox8 within the early branching ureter persisted in the absence of Sox9 at E11.5 (Supplementary Material, Fig. S2A and B). Similarly, Sox9 expression was maintained in E16.5 Sox8^2/2 kidneys (Supplementary Material, Fig. S2C). We conclude that neither Sox8 nor Sox9 expression in the developing kidney is dependent on the activity of its parologue.

To further assess the role of Sox9 in kidney development, we specifically deleted this gene within the mesonephric duct and ureter using a HoxB7:Cre line (26) in combination with our conditional Sox9 knockout allele (19). Alternatively, a Pax2:Cre line was used, which also mediated deletion within the cap mesenchyme (27). Macroscopic analysis of embryos and newborn mice demonstrated that a proportion of mice carrying a kidney-specific deletion of Sox9 displayed either unilateral or bilateral agenesis (Fig. 3A–D). The low penetrance of the renal agenesis phenotype could be due to inefficient deletion of Sox9 and/or delayed expression of the Pax2:Cre transgene. Analysis by immunofluorescence staining, however, indicated that excision of the Sox9^fl allele within the ureter was complete even at early time points (Fig. 3E–H). We therefore speculated that persistent expression of Sox8 at the tips of the ureter compensated for the loss of Sox9. Indeed, mice carrying deletions for both Sox8 and Sox9 (Pax2:Cre;Sox9^fl/fl;Sox8^2/2) showed renal agenesis with >90% penetrance (45% bilateral; 45% unilateral, Fig. 3I). In those cases where kidneys did form, they were always hypoplastic, reaching sometimes only 50% of their normal size (Fig. 4). Taken together, these results demonstrate that SOX8 and SOX9 play crucial but partially redundant roles in kidney development.

**GDNF/RET signalling is impaired in Sox8/Sox9 double-mutant mice**

Ureter branching is regulated by GDNF/RET signalling, and mutations in these genes result in a kidney phenotype similar to that found in Sox8/Sox9 double-mutants (2–5). Given the overlapping expression patterns at the tip of the
ureter, we speculated that SOX8/SOX9 might be directly involved in the transcriptional regulation of the Ret gene. ISH analysis and quantitative PCR assays did not reveal dramatic changes in Ret expression in E14.5 Sox8/9 double-mutants (Fig. 5A and B and data not shown).

Since Ret expression seemed independent of the presence or absence of SOX8/SOX9, we next asked whether these two genes might be downstream targets of the RET signalling pathway. To test this hypothesis, we analysed tissues from Ret<sup>m2(RET)<sup>fl/fl</sup> mutant mice (28), in which kidneys are hypoplastic due to a severely reduced RET signalling. Although ureteric tips were sparse in these mutants, those that formed showed strong nuclear expression of SOX9 comparable with that found in wild-type kidneys (Fig. 5C and D). SOX9 expression within the forming nephrons also persisted in these mutants.

GDNF/RET signalling results in the activation of a series of downstream target genes, with Etv4(Pea3) and Etv5(Erm) seemingly acting as key effectors of this signalling pathway (8). Complete ablation of Etv5 results in embryonic lethality before kidneys form and thus does not allow us to test for SOX9 expression in this mutant. However, Etv4<sup>−/−</sup>;Etv5<sup>−/+</sup> compound mutants can be generated, which develop severely hypoplastic kidneys. Downstream target genes of ETV4/5, such as Cxcr4, are dramatically reduced in these mutants (8). Immunofluorescent analysis demonstrated that SOX9 expression was maintained in these compound mutants (Fig. 5E and F). Thus, SOX9 expression appears to be independent of functional RET signalling and does not depend on the expression of ETV4/5.

Since SOX9 neither regulates Ret expression nor is controlled by RET signalling, we speculated that it may act as a

**Figure 2. Sox8 and Sox9 are required for ureter branching.** (A and B) Histological analysis showing a blind ending ureter in double-knockout animals (generated by crossing male Prm1:Cre;Sox9<sup>fl/fl</sup>;Sox8<sup>−/−</sup> with female ZP3:Cre;Sox9<sup>fl/fl</sup>;Sox8<sup>−/−</sup>). (C–E) In vitro analysis of ureter branching demonstrates a mild reduction after the removal of both copies of Sox8 and one copy of Sox9 and an almost complete absence of ureter branching in double-mutants. The ureter is visualized using an anti-cytokeratin antibody. (F) Quantification of data from (C) to (E). The y-axis represents the number of ureteric tips. The number of explants analysed for each genotype is given below the diagram.
mediator of RET activation. We therefore tested whether the expression of RET effector genes may be affected in our Sox8/9 mutants. Indeed, ISH analysis demonstrated that while Etv5 expression was maintained in the condensing mesenchyme, ISH signal was specifically lost within the ureteric tips of double-mutant kidneys (Fig. 6A and B). Similarly, the RET downstream targets Etv4, Cxcr4, Met, Spry1 and Dusp6 were severely reduced or absent in the ureteric tips of mutant mice (Fig. 6 and data not shown).

To test whether SOX9 may be sufficient to activate RET downstream targets in vivo, we next cloned a Sox9 cDNA downstream of HoxB7 regulatory elements (HoxB7:Sox9). Analysis of transient HoxB7:Sox9 transgenic animals revealed the expression of SOX9 along the collecting ducts of the ureter in addition to the endogenous expression at the ureteric tips (Supplementary Material, Fig. S4). No major changes in renal architecture were observed upon histological examination. Importantly, ISH analysis failed to reveal the activation of RET downstream target genes in the ureteric stalk expressing SOX9 ectopically. We conclude that SOX8/9 are required, but not sufficient, for GDNF/RET signalling by controlling the expression of its major effector genes.

Abnormal nephron induction at the end of embryonic development

Nephrogenesis during kidney formation occurs in a repetitive manner, with new nephrons being born throughout development at the outer cortex of the kidney. Precursor cells residing in this area proliferate to replenish a pool of mesenchymal cells that are then transformed into nascent nephrons. This process is highly regulated, involving both inductive and repressive signals of nephrogenesis. Six2 appears to fulfill a key role in this process by actively suppressing nephrogenesis in uninduced mesenchymal precursor cells (10).

Although nephron induction at early stages of metanephric kidney development appeared normal in Sox8/Sox9 mutant mice, histological analysis from E16.5 onwards demonstrated...
the presence of highly dilated ureteric tips accompanied by abnormal cellular aggregates in the outermost layer of the cortex of all Sox8/Sox9 double-mutant kidneys (Fig. 7A–D). Similar histology was also found in 100% of the Pax2:Cre;Sox9fl/fl, but not in Sox82/2 animals. ISH analysis demonstrated the expression of early nephron markers such as Lim1, Fgf8 and Wnt4 (Fig. 7E and F and data not shown), suggesting that these ectopic structures represent pretubular aggregates that are located at the peripheral side of the ureter tips. Expression of Six2 remained unchanged in the mutant kidneys but, as expected, expression was excluded from ectopic pretubular aggregates (Fig. 7G and H).

The ureteric tip and stalk have two distinct cell identities that are characterized by the expression of different sets of marker genes. Although the ureteric tip has an important function for the survival and growth of the surrounding metanephric mesenchyme, signals from the stalk, notably WNT9b, have been proposed to induce nephron formation (12). We therefore wondered whether the formation of ectopic nephrons may be caused by changes of tip identity. ISH demonstrated that the tip-specific markers Ret and Wnt11 and also the more general markers Emx2, Gata3 and calbindin were dramatically reduced in E18.5 tips of Pax2:Cre;Sox9fl/fl;Sox82/2 kidneys (Supplementary Material, Fig. S3). Furthermore, expression of Wnt9b, an inducer of β-catenin signalling in the developing nephron, which is usually excluded from the extremity of the tips, extended throughout the entire epithelial structure in mutant embryos at E18.5 (Fig. 7I and J). Thus, ablation of Sox9 leads to a loss of ureteric tip identity at late stages of kidney development and redistribution of Wnt9b expression in the entire ureteric tip area.

**DISCUSSION**

Sox9 encodes a transcriptional regulator that fulfils essential roles during vertebrate embryogenesis. In this study, we have discovered a new function of Sox9 and its close paralogue Sox8 in kidney development and demonstrate a key role of these two genes in ureter branching.

The early ureter phenotype observed in our Sox8/Sox9 double-mutants is reminiscent of defects seen in RET knockout animals and we speculated that this signalling pathway could be disrupted in mutant kidneys. RET expression was
maintained at least initially in Sox8/Sox9 mutants, suggesting that they are not required for the activation of this gene. Similarly, Sox9 expression was maintained in a Ret hypomorph, demonstrating that activation of the RET pathway is not essential for Sox9 expression. These findings are also supported by microarray experiments performed on ureteric bud cultures, where activation of RET signalling by GDNF did not induce SOX9 expression (8).

In contrast, RET downstream targets that are specifically activated within the ureteric tip were severely affected in Sox8/9 mutant mice. In particular, expression of Etv4 and Etv5, two key players in ureter growth and branching (8), is lost from the epithelial tips in double-mutant animals. Analysis by Lu et al. (8) suggested at least two independent classes of genes downstream of Ret signalling. Whereas genes such as Cxcr4, Met and Myb depend on the expression of ETV4/5, others such as Spry1, Crf1 and Dusp6 seem to be regulated in an independent manner. Our data suggest that both classes of genes require the presence of SOX8/9 for their full activation. Although Sox8/9 are required for the activation of RET downstream targets, it does not seem to be sufficient to induce their expression. Indeed, expression of SOX9 along the ureter stalk in HoxB7:Sox9 transgenic animals did not result in ectopic activation of Etv5 (Supplementary Material, Fig. S4).

These results are in agreement with a model where a combination of RET signalling and SOX8/SOX9 is required for the activation of RET downstream effector genes.

If SOX8/9 are required for RET signalling, how do they act at a molecular level? Several potential modes of action can be envisaged: first, RET activation might induce posttranslational modification of SOX8/9, possibly through phosphorylation or sumoylation, both of which have been reported to influence the biochemical properties of SOX9 (29,30). Modified SOX9 alone or potentially in conjunction with an adaptor molecule may then lead to the activation of Etv5 and other downstream target genes. Although this is a possibility, we have so far been unable to demonstrate direct binding of SOX9 to Etv5 regulatory regions using ChIP technology, which may however be due to technical difficulties. Alternatively, SOX8/9 might act as a competence factor, by regulating the expression of a presently unknown mediator of RET signalling within the ureteric tip. Finally, it is conceivable that SOX9 is required to suppress genes that otherwise interfere with normal ureter branching. A potential candidate for such a gene could be Wnt9b, which is expressed along the ureteric stalk but excluded from the tips. Ectopic expression of Wnt9b along the entire ureter has recently been shown to interfere with branching events (31). However, although we observed ectopic expression of Wnt9b

Figure 6. SOX8/9 regulate downstream targets of RET signalling. Expression of Etv5 (A and B), Cxcr4 (C and D) and Sprouty1 (E and F) within the ureteric tips is severely reduced or completely absent in mutant mice. Note the persistence of Etv5 expression within the metanephric mesenchyme (inset in B).
Sox9\textsuperscript{+/-}; Sox8\textsuperscript{-/-} & Pax2:Cre; Sox9\textsuperscript{-/-}; Sox8\textsuperscript{-/-} \\

**Figure 7.** Abnormal induction of nephrogenesis at late stages of development in Sox8/Sox9 knockout kidneys. (A and B) Overview of E18.5 kidneys reveals the presence of highly dilated ureteric tips (black arrows, B). (C and D) Higher magnification shows dilated ureteric tips and abnormal epithelialization at the outermost layer of the kidney (black arrows, D). (E and F) ISH for Lim1 confirms the presence of both normal (red arrows) and abnormal renal vesicles (black arrows). Dotted lines represent the outline of the ureteric tips. (G and H) ISH analysis for Six2 shows no change of expression pattern in double-mutant animals. (I and J) ISH for Wnt9b showing extended expression throughout the entire epithelial structure in knockout tissue.

in the tips of E18.5 Sox8/9 mutant animals (Fig. 7), molecular analysis at earlier time points (E14.5/E15.5) showed an absence of Wnt9b from the ureteric tips (data not shown). Changes in Wnt9b expression are therefore unlikely to be responsible for the observed ureter branching defects. Further experiments using biochemical approaches will be required to precisely determine the cellular action of Sox8/9 during GDNF/RET signalling.

Since ETV4/5 are essential for ureter branching, the loss of activation of these genes explains the dramatic branching defects observed in most of the embryos of the Sox8/9 double-mutants. However, if Sox8/9 are essential for GDNF/RET
signalling, why do a proportion of mutants develop kidneys? Apart from the absence of Etv4/5 in the ureteric tip, deletion of SOX8/9 also leads to a dramatic downregulation of the FGF inhibitor SPRY1. Recent analysis in mice has demonstrated that in the absence of Spry1, kidney development does not require GDNF/RET signalling (32). We can therefore speculate that lack of SPRY1 in Sox8/9 mutant kidneys may lead to increased FGF signalling, which in turn may support ureter outgrowth and branching. An alternative explanation for the observed incomplete penetrance could be persistence of small amounts of other SOX proteins within the ureteric tip that may be able to partially compensate for the loss of SOX8/9 function.

Apart from the GDNF/RET pathway, ureter branching is also stimulated by FGF signalling (32). Similar to the GDNF SOX8/9 function. tip that may be able to partially compensate for the loss of small amounts of other SOX proteins within the ureteric precursors, was not changed in double-mutant kidneys. The fact could be released from the ureteric tip that is in constant contact with these mesenchymal precursor cells. The fact that even at early time points (E14.5) mutant kidneys are smaller than wild-type controls suggests that hypoplasia is not exclusively caused by premature exhaustion of the nephrogenic precursor compartment. This point of view is further corroborated by the fact that kidneys from mutant embryos (even carrying a single-mutant Sox9 allele) showed a clear reduction in the number of ureteric branches after 48 h in culture (Fig. 2).

A premature cessation of nephrogenesis could be an important factor contributing to the hypoplastic phenotype observed in our mutant animals. Although this may be the case, the fact that even at early time points (E14.5) mutant kidneys are smaller than wild-type controls suggests that hypoplasia is not exclusively caused by premature exhaustion of the nephrogenic precursor compartment. This point of view is further corroborated by the fact that kidneys from mutant embryos (even carrying a single-mutant Sox9 allele) showed a clear reduction in the number of ureteric branches after 48 h in culture (Fig. 2).

A proportion of CD patients carrying heterozygous mutations in SOX9 present with renal abnormalities that range from hydronephrosis to kidney dysplasia and, in rare cases, renal cysts (18). The tissue-specific knockout mice described in the present study also develop a hypoplasplasia phenotype and may therefore be considered a model for some aspects of the human disorder. In contrast, we have never observed hydronephrosis in mutant animals, which is the most common renal defect in CD patients. Although this may seem surprising at a first glance, Hoxb7:Cre- or Pax2:Cre-mediated deletion did not remove the strong SOX9 expression within the developing ureter mesenchyme (Fig. 3). Mesenchymal cells surrounding the ureter have been shown to be essential for both the normal differentiation of the ureteric epithelium and the development of the smooth muscle cell layer, as exemplified by recent studies describing the analysis of Tbx18- and Tshz3-deficient mouse mutants (23,33). Indeed, a recent study using the mesenchyme-specific Cre lines Pax3:Cre and Tbx18:Cre to ablate Sox9 demonstrated an essential function for this gene in smooth muscle cell differentiation of the ureter (22).

In conclusion, our study has identified SOX9 as a major regulator of kidney development. It acts as a central controller of epithelial branching by allowing GDNF/RET signalling to occur and maintains tip-specific cell identity, thus suppressing the formation of ectopic nephrogenesis in the outer cortex of the kidney.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Mouse strains and genetic background**

All animal work has been conducted according to national and international guidelines. All mouse lines were kept on a mixed 129/C57BI6 background. The generation of the Sox9fl allele (19), Hoxb7:Cre (26) and the Pax2:Cre lines (27) was reported previously. Mice with a homozygous deletion of Sox9 were generated using the Prm1:Cre (34) and Zp3:Cre (35) strain as described (25).

**Genotyping of embryos and mice**

Wild-type and Sox9fl alleles were identified using the primers 5′-GGGGCTTGTCTCCTTCAGAG-3′, or 5′-ACACAGCATAGGCTACCTG-3′ and 5′-TGTTAATGCTACACA GTAC-3′, respectively. The Sox9 knockout allele was identified using the primers 5′-GTCGAAGGCCACCAGTG-3′ and 5′-TGTTAATGCTACACAGTAC-3′. Genotyping for the Sox8 knockout allele was performed as described in Sock.
et al. (14). The presence of the Pax2:Cre transgene was determined using primers 5′-TCAATGGCTCTCCTCAAGC-3′ and 5′-AGCTGGCCAAATGTGCTG-3′.

Histological and immunological analyses

Embryonic samples from timed matings (day of vaginal plug = E0) were collected, fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde overnight at 4°C and then embedded in paraffin. Microtome sections of 7 μm thickness were stained with PAS according to standard procedures. Immunofluorescent analysis was performed after antigen retrieval in 10 mM Na citrate (pH 6) at 2 min in a pressure cooker. Sections were incubated for 45 min in blocking solution (3% BSA, 10% donkey serum, 0.1% Triton) at room temperature. Blocking solution was replaced by a solution of primary antibodies prepared in diluent (3% BSA, 3% donkey serum, 0.1% Triton) at the following concentrations: SOX9, SOX8 (1:1000) (36,37), WT1 (C-19: sc-192, Santa-Cruz, 1:100). Relevant Cy3- or Cy2-conjugated anti-rabbit or anti-rat antibodies (1:150, Jackson Laboratories) were used for the detection of primary antibodies. Slides were mounted using Vectashield, with DAPI as a mounting reagent (Vector Labs). Fluorescent antibodies. Slides were mounted using a Leica microscope DMLB, and pictures were taken with a spot RT-slider camera (Diagnostic Instruments) and processed with Adobe Photoshop.

ISH analysis

Embryos were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS overnight at 4°C. Further processing of the embryos and ISH were carried out as described (38). Riboprobes for Sox8 (14), Sox9 (39), Ret (40), Emx2, Gata3 (kind gift from M. Bouchard), Six2 (10), Lim1 (41), Wnt11 (42) were synthesized as described previously.

Whole-mount X-Gal staining

Embryos were fixed in 0.2% glutaraldehyde in 0.1 M phosphate buffer, 2 mM MgCl2 and 5 mM EGTA for 35 min and processed as described (43).

Kidney culture

Kidney rudiments were dissected from E11.5 mouse embryos and placed on filters (Millipore, 0.5 μm pore size) that were in direct contact with DMEM medium supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated newborn calf serum and 1% penicillin and penicillin/streptomycin (Sigma). After 48 h (37°C in 5% CO2), kidney rudiments were fixed in cold methanol at 4°C while still attached to their filters, washed in PBS and blocked for 1 h in 2% BSA/PBS at room temperature. Staining was performed using cytokeratin antibodies (C-2562, Sigma; 1:100 dilution in PBS/0.1% BSA/0.1% Triton) followed by detection with a Texas Red-conjugated anti-mouse antibody (1:150, Jackson Laboratories) and kidneys examined using a fluorescence microscope.
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