Molecular mechanism of CHRDL1-mediated X-linked megalocornea in humans and in Xenopus model
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Abstract

Chordin-Like 1 (CHRDL1) mutations cause non-syndromic X-linked megalocornea (XMC) characterized by enlarged anterior eye segments. Mosaic corneal degeneration, presenile cataract and secondary glaucoma are associated with XMC. Beside that CHRDL1 encodes Ventroptin, a secreted bone morphogenetic protein (BMP) antagonist, the molecular mechanism of XMC is not well understood yet. In a family with broad phenotypic variability of XMC, we identified the novel CHRDL1 frameshift mutation c.807_808delTC [p.H270Wfs*22] presumably causing CHRDL1 loss of function. Using Xenopus laevis as model organism, we demonstrate that chrdl1 is specifically expressed in the ocular tissue at late developmental stages. The chrdl1 knockdown directly resembles the human XMC phenotype and confirms CHRDL1 deficiency to cause XMC. Interestingly, secondary to this bmp4 is down-regulated in the Xenopus eyes. Moreover, phospho-SMAD1/5 is altered and BMP receptor 1A is reduced in a XMC patient. Together, we classify these observations as negative-feedback regulation due to the deficient BMP antagonism in XMC. As CHRDL1 is preferentially expressed in the limbal stem cell niche of adult human cornea, we assume that CHRDL1 plays a key role in cornea homeostasis. In conclusion, we provide novel insights into the molecular mechanism of XMC as well as into the specific role of CHRDL1 during cornea organogenesis, among others by the establishment of the first XMC in vivo model. We show that unravelling…
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monogenic cornea disorders like XMC—with presumably disturbed cornea growth and differentiation—contribute to the identification of potential limbal stem cell niche factors that are promising targets for regenerative therapies of corneal injuries.

Introduction

X-linked megalocornea (XMC [MIM309300]) manifests as an inborn defect of the anterior eye segment characterized by a corneal diameter larger than 12.5 mm and a deep anterior eye chamber. XMC is therefore termed also anterior megalophthalmas and occurs without elevated intraocular pressure (IOP) (1,2). It is an important differential diagnosis for primary congenital glaucoma (IOP-associated buphthalmos) that leads to a high risk of vision loss and, thus, needs a fast diagnostic clarification and prompt treatment (3). Furthermore, XMC is classified as non-syndromic and has to be distinguished from megalocornea in the context of syndromes, e.g. neonatal Marfan syndrome or megalocornea-mental-retardation (MMR) syndrome [MIM249310] (4,5). Usually, XMC patients have mild to moderate myopia and some complain of photophobia and iridodonesis due to iris hypoplasia. Additional ocular anomalies can be arcus lipoides, mosaic corneal degeneration, pigment dispersion along the inner corneal surface (Krukenberg’s spindle), cataract and lens dislocation (2). Although the IOP is primarily normal, megalocornea might predispose to secondary glaucoma due to a widened ciliary body and a potential pigment deposition in the trabecular meshwork (6,7).

Different mutations in the Chordin-Like 1 (CHRDL1) gene have been identified as the disease causing genetic alteration in XMC (3,8,9). The initial finding was a 240 kb microdeletion on Xq23 encompassing the CHRDL1 gene (9). Sequence analysis of further patients with megalocornea revealed missense, nonsense and frameshift mutations in the CHRDL1 gene. CHRDL1 encodes the protein Ventroptin, which is a bone morphogenetic protein 4 (BMP4) antagonist (10). As a member of the regulatory network of transforming growth factor-beta (TGFβ) signaling, Ventroptin is involved in cell fate determination as well as in cell differentiation. CHRDL1 is expressed in the anterior eye segment (cornea, lens, trabecular meshwork) and in the retina, starting in embryonic development and lasting into adulthood (9,10). It is also differentially expressed in fetal brain regions, with highest expression in the cerebellum and the neocortex (9). Overexpression experiments in embryonic chick retina showed a role of Ventroptin in patterning of retinotectal projections (10). In contrast, presumed loss of function mutations in humans revealed only mild cone system dysfunction in retinal electrophysiology and interhemispheric asymmetry in visual evoked potentials in one subject with a microdeletion encompassing the 3’ end of CHRDL1 (9). Although focal white matter volume reductions could be found in some patients with megalocornea, no clinical correlate was observed in the reported patients so far (9). Recently, in one patient, a CHRDL1 missense variant has been detected in association with Neuhäuser syndrome, also known as MMR syndrome [MIM249310]. The authors postulate that this CHRDL1 variant accounts for the eye phenotype and that the MMR syndrome, in some cases, might be di- or multigenic (3).

In the present study, we report on a novel CHRDL1 frameshift mutation in a family with XMC. Moreover, we investigated the molecular mechanism underlying XMC and its phenotypic variability. By a knockdown approach, using Xenopus laevis (Xl) as the first model organism for XMC, we characterized the impact of CHRDL1 deficiency on eye development. Furthermore, we revealed the influence on BMP signaling in Humans and Xenopus. Finally, our data suggest that CHRDL1 (Ventroptin) is very likely a novel limbal stem cell niche factor of the adult human cornea. These findings could contribute to regenerative approaches for the treatment of corneal lesions, as advances in cornea regeneration concepts by targeting limbal stem cell niche factors are becoming reasonable therapeutic options for allogenic transplantation (11,12).

Results

XMC shows a broad intrafamilial phenotypic variability regarding biometric abnormalities and associated ocular anomalies

The index patient (IV-1), first son of non-consanguineous healthy parents, was born at 40 weeks’ gestation after uncomplicated pregnancy with remarkably large eyes reminding of buphthalmos (Fig. 1A). Instant diagnostic efforts were made to exclude primary congenital glaucoma and to prevent visual loss of the patient. However, this suspected diagnosis was discarded due to normal IOP. Since no other organ anomalies were detected, a syndromic condition seemed not to be likely. In follow-up examinations, no progression of the eye phenotype was noted and the patient developed age-based with regard to motor and mental function.

The family history of the patient was positive for enlarged eyes and pedigree analysis suggested a X-chromosomal recessive inheritance. Four affected males related to each other by obligate carrier females could be identified and no male-to-male transmission was observed (Fig. 1B). Altogether, the clinical history of our index patient IV-1 and the pedigree analysis enabled us to diagnose non-syndromic XMC, a rare genetic eye disorder. The deceased great-grandfather I-1 of patient IV-1 had been the first family member with obvious XMC phenotype. Moreover, he had undergone bilateral cataract surgery at age of ~56 years and had developed loss of corneal transparency at age of 85 years probably due to decompensation of corneal endothelium. Furthermore, he had a restricted peripheral vision field on both sides probably due to glaucoma, but retrospectively IOP values and optic nerve findings were not available to verify this assumption. Consistent with the X-chromosomal recessive inheritance, none of his sons and daughters was described as being affected. From the second, third and fourth generation of our study family, we were able to perform ophthalmic investigations of two further affected males (III-5, III-8), besides the index patient IV-1, who was by now 14 years old, and some of the healthy brothers (III-3, IV-2) as well as obligate carrier females (II-2, III-1).

The patients IV-1 and III-5 showed spheroic refraction anomalies on both eyes ranging from −1.5 to −2.5 dioptres revealing mild myopia. Horizontal corneal diameters of these patients were at 16 mm and 14.5 mm, whereas the corneal diameters of their unaffected brothers III-3 and IV-2 were normal at 12 mm (normal range 11–12.5 mm), respectively (Fig. 1C). The lateral corneal profiles of the affected males III-5, III-8 and IV-1 appeared pre-bulged. Although the index patient IV-1 showed a dome-shaped cornea, the lateral aspects of the corneas of III-5 and III-8 appeared to be keratoconus-like. The obligate carrier females II-2 and III-1 as well as the unaffected male sibling IV-2 of the index patient IV-1 revealed flat corneas (Fig. 1D).
By optical interferometry, we determined elevated ratios of anterior chamber (AC) depth versus axial length (AL) being at 0.26 in patient IV-1 and 0.20 in patient III-5 (Fig. 2E). In contrast, the AC/AL ratios in the unaffected relatives ranged from 0.13 to 0.16. Slit lamp examinations confirmed a very deep anterior eye chamber of patient IV-1 compared with his unaffected brother IV-2 (Fig. 2C and D).

Consistent with a non-progressive isolated anterior megalophthalmos, an underlying glaucoma could be excluded in patient IV-1 once again at the age of 14 years. His IOP was not elevated (Fig. 2I) nor did the Heidelberg Retina Tomography (HRT) confocal laser scanning display an pathologic cupping of the optic nerve head (Fig. 2G and H). Corneal pachymetry indicated a reduced corneal thickness up to 350 µm at the corneal apex of patient IV-1 compared with his unaffected brother IV-2 (Fig. 2C and D).

Novel hemizygous CHRDL1 frameshift mutation results in a premature termination codon causing reduced CHRDL1 mRNA levels in patient-derived cells

To screen for disease causing mutations in the recently identified candidate gene CHRDL1 or possible other unknown X-chromosomal candidate genes for megalocornea, a DNA sample of patient III-5 was analysed by next-generation sequencing after targeted enrichment of the X-chromosomal exome. This analysis yielded raw sequence data for the exons of the target region on X-chromosome comprising 610 kb. 517 kb of this region was covered by more than 10 reads, and 498 sequence variants were
detected with SAMtools. Further filtering of the sequence variants by GeneTalk resulted in seven variants of unknown clinical significance including a hemizygous two basepair deletion (c.807_808delTC) in exon 9 of the CHRD1 gene (Fig. 3A). This deletion causes a frameshift mutation leading to a substitution of histidine to tryptophane at codon 270, and a premature termination codon 22 amino acids downstream (p.H270Wfs*22). We validated the identified CHRD1 mutation by Sanger sequencing and showed that the allele segregates with the phenotype in the study family (Fig. 3B) confirming a X-chromosomal recessive inheritance pattern: the same mutation was detected in all other affected males (III-8 and IV-1) and in the asymptomatic obligate carrier females, showing a hemizygous or a heterozygous genotype, respectively. Non-affected male siblings did not carry the CHRD1 frameshift mutation.

Knockdown of chrdl1 in Xl recapitulates the human XMC phenotype and influences bmp4 expression

To proof that the XMC typical phenotypes are caused by CHRD1 loss of function, we used the African clawed frog Xl as a model organism. First, we determined the temporal expression of chrdl1 in Xl at consecutive developmental stages by RT–PCR. No chrdl1 transcript was traceable at early stages, whereas expression was detectable at Nieuwkoop and Faber (NF) stage 30 until the latest stage analysed (NF stage 42; Fig. 4A). This result was corroborated by whole mount in situ hybridization (WMISH) with chrdl1-specific probes and showed a signal only in late stage embryos (NF stage 37; Fig. 4B). No staining was detectable at earlier stages (not shown). We observed chrdl1 expression in the optic cup, the lens and the ciliary marginal zone indicating a specific role of CHRD1 during eye development. In addition, the otic vesicle, the ependymal layer of the ventricle system and the notochord were strongly positive for chrdl1 transcript signal.

To induce a chrdl1 loss of function in Xenopus, we established a morpholino (MO) knockdown using a mixture of two different MO anti-sense oligonucleotides (MO1 + 2) directed against the splice sites of chrdl1 exon1/intron1 or intron1/exon2. We performed the MO1 + 2 injection experiments in the 2-cell stage of Xl to reach an...
efficient knockdown. As chrdl1 interferes in early developmental stages with bmp4 and influences body patterning, we desisted from rescue experiments by simultaneous chrdl1 overexpression (10). As shown by RT–PCR analysis (Fig.5E), the application of MO1 + 2 efficiently repressed chrdl1 splicing in treated embryos. Figure 5A shows representative photographs of stage 42 embryos. Phenotypic alterations caused by chrdl1 MO1 + 2 injection include changes of the eye size, mainly enlargement and, in addition, coloboma (Fig.5A and B). As indicated, some embryos revealed pre-bulging of the lens and cornea, similar to the dome-shaped cornea in XMC patients. Histological sections demonstrated that XI, in contrast to the human eye, reveals only a single eye compartment at least at tadpole stage. This may explain the enlargement of the whole eye instead of just the anterior part (Fig. 5C). Interestingly, the pupil and lens of the MO-treated XI eye appeared to be slightly ectopic, a feature also observed in XMC patients with regard to the pupil (Figs 1C and 2A).

From three clutches, 81% of chrdl1 MO1 + 2 injected embryos showed an alteration of the eye’s structure, compared with 19% of standard MO-injected controls (total number of embryos n = 384) (Fig. 5B). Statistical analysis confirmed the correlation of the eye phenotypes observed with chrdl1 MO1 + 2 injection (P < 0.001; χ²-test). Next, average eye diameters were measured and compared between the chrdl1-injected site (is) and the non-injected site (nis) (Fig.5C and D). Interestingly, we observed a statistically significant increase in the eye’s size when chrdl1 function was suppressed (P = 0.0028, one-tailed t-test). We measured an increase in the average eye diameter from 477 to 515 μm at NF stage 42 (n = 9).

CHRD1 interacts with BMP4 in vertebrates (10,13). To investigate the correlation of chrdl1 and bmp4 in the XI XMC model, we performed WMISH using a bmp4-specific probe in chrdl1 MO-injected embryos (Fig.6A). Similar to chrdl1, bmp4 is specifically expressed in the ocular and periocular regions. Additionally, we detected strong expression in the otic vesicle and to a broader extent in the ventricular zone of the forebrain. In contrast to the chrdl1, the lens expresses bmp4 at a much lower level. Bmp4 expression appears to be reduced on the chrdl1 MO-injected side, especially in the ventral ocular and periocular region as well as in the otic vesicle (Fig. 6B).

Altered BMP signaling in association with CHRD1 mutation

To determine whether the CHRD1 mutation of our XMC patients influences the BMP pathway, intracellular phospho-SMAD1/5 was quantified in lymphoblastoid cell lines (LCLs) by flow cytometry...
as functional read-out for BMP signaling activity. Under unstimulated conditions, we measured a slightly higher level of intracellular phospho-SMAD1/5 in LCLs of patient IV-1 (ΔMFI: 133) when compared with LCLs obtained from his healthy brother VI-2 (ΔMFI: 81) (Fig. 7A). In contrast, BMP2/4 stimulation caused an increased phospho-SMAD1/5 induction in LCLs from the healthy brother IV-2 (ΔMFI: 534) compared with LCLs from patient IV-1 (ΔMFI: 300) (Fig. 7B). This correlates with less abundance of BMP receptor 1A (BMPR1A) on the cellular surface (Fig. 7C) as well as in the intracellular compartment (Fig. 7D) of LCLs from patient IV-1 (ΔMFI: 19 and ΔMFI: 5510, respectively) compared with LCLs from his healthy brother IV-2 (ΔMFI: 62 and ΔMFI: 7422).

CHRDL1 (Ventroptin) is expressed like a limbal stem cell niche factor in adult human cornea

Immunohistochemistry of the CHRDL1-encoded protein and BMP4 on healthy human cornea samples from anatomical sections revealed an almost mutual exclusive pattern of CHRDL1 and BMP4 proteins, especially in the corneal epithelium (Fig. 8A). Although BMP4 is uniformly distributed over the whole corneal epithelium, CHRDL1 is markedly expressed in the basal epithelium with a high-low expression gradient from the limbal stem cell niche to the mid corneal region. Additionally, the CHRDL1 protein signal is enhanced in stromal keratocytes of the limbus with fading levels centripetally. In contrast, BMP4 is expressed to a lesser extent in corneal stroma. Both proteins are strongly expressed in the corneal endothelium as well.

Discussion

Based on the finding of a novel CHRDL1 frameshift mutation in a large family with XMC, we initiated a comprehensive investigation to understand the molecular mechanism. By clinical assessment and segregation analysis, we show that the CHRDL1 mutation is fully penetrant, but the broad intrafamilial phenotypic variability is challenging with respect to an appropriate medical management and prognostic estimation: first, clinical signs of XMC can be overlooked in newborns or be misdiagnosed as primary congenital glaucoma, leading to unnecessary sedation for the IOP measurement. Second, the severity of biometric abnormalities does not correlate with congenital cataract or pigment dispersion. Third, spontaneous retinal detachment due to vitreous degeneration has to be considered (14). Therefore, a robust genetic testing is important for early diagnosis and adequate patient care (Table 1).

The identified CHRDL1 mutation in our study family confirms the homogenous genetic origin of XMC (3,8,9). It emphasizes the pathomechanistic role of the TGFβ signaling, which has also been found in connective tissue disorders associated with megalocornea, e.g. Marfan syndrome (5). The autosomal-recessive type of megalocornea with marfanoid habitus and zonular weakness (MIM251750), caused by genetic alterations in the Latent transforming growth factor-beta-binding protein 2 (LTBP2) [MIM602091], is associated with the same pathway (15,16).

The CHRDL1 frameshift mutation in our patients leads to a premature stop codon presumably resulting in decreased CHRDL1 mRNA levels. One frequently used mechanism to abolish...
non-functional mRNA molecules is nonsense-mediated RNA decay (NMD) \(^{(17,18)}\). Indeed, we measure complete loss of \(\text{CHRDL1}\) mRNA in PMN cells and mRNA reduction in MNC cells. Therefore, as reported for most XMC-associated \(\text{CHRDL1}\) mutations, we assume a \(\text{CHRDL1}\) loss of function as the underlying molecular mechanism. On the one hand, cell type-specific differences in NMD efficiency are known \(^{(18)}\), which could explain the different degrees of \(\text{CHRDL1}\) mRNA reduction observed in different cells of our patients. On the other hand, the known \(\text{CHRDL1}\) transcripts could differ in their stability, since they vary in their 3' UTR or possibly in their transcriptional rate depending on cell type. The abundance of different transcripts in the cell types could also explain the difference in the level of \(\text{CHRDL1}\) mRNA reduction. In MNCs, the resulting truncated protein, containing only two of the three cysteine-rich highly conserved von Willebrand factor, type C domains (VWFC), with BMP binding affinity, should have a reduced antagonistic effect on BMP4. So far, 13 from 19 currently described \(\text{CHRDL1}\) mutations cluster to the VWFC domains. Most of them lead to premature stop codons at the beginning of the coding sequence and should effectively be null mutations due to NMD \(^{(3)}\). Two whole gene deletions support the assumed \(\text{CHRDL1}\) loss of function mechanism in XMC. Regarding the broad phenotypic variability of XMC, even in an intrafamilial setting, an interfamilial genotype–phenotype correlation with respect to ocular and most probably subclinical non-ocular organ manifestations is quite challenging and remains to be elucidated. It is not yet fully understood how \(\text{CHRDL1}\) mutations can cause an eye-specific phenotype, although it is widely expressed in different tissues \(^{(9,10,13,19)}\). Among others, the functional outcome of the mutation (loss versus gain of function), the co-expression with redundant BMP antagonists (e.g. \(\text{CHRD}\)) in certain tissues and the above-mentioned cell type-dependent NMD efficiency or RNA stability should play an important role in the organ-specific manifestation.

According to the currently prevailing model, cornea growth is achieved by differentiation of limbal stem cells during eye development \(^{(20,21)}\). Therefore, we postulate that XMC results from premature cornea development due to accelerated growth and differentiation of limbal stem cells by an imbalanced \(\text{CHRDL1}-\text{BMP4}\) antagonism in favor of BMP signaling and vice versa in

**Figure 5.** Knockdown of \(\text{Chrdl1}\) in \(\text{Xl}\) recapitulates the human XMC phenotype. (A) Phenotype of \(\text{Xl}\) embryos injected with a mixture of \(\text{chrdl1}\) MOs (MO1+2) or standard MO into one blastomere of 2-cell stage embryos grown to NF stage 42. is, injected side; nis, non-injected side; Std, standard. green arrow, coloboma; red arrow, pre-bulged lens. \(\text{Xl}\) can differ in skin pigmentation. (B) Respective phenotypes are quantified and presented as a bar graph in percent (%) (black, phenotype; white, no pathological phenotype; double asterisk indicate the statistical significance calculated by the \(\chi^2\) test. Phenotype subgroups are presented as a pie chart (right), for phenotypic subgroups of standard MO, see Supplementary Material, Figure S2. (C) Histomorphological and biometrical acquisition of \(\text{Xl}\) eyes. Shown are plan view magnifications of injected versus non-injected eyes with two diameters (measured orthogonal to each other). (D) Bar graphs of the average eye diameter (\(\mu\)m) with statistical analysis (one-tailed t-test). (E) Semi-quantitative RT–PCR analysis measuring the MOs MO1+2 induced \(\text{chrdl1}\) knockdown efficiency.
the case of microcornea (Fig. 8B). Consistent with this hypothesis, BMP4 loss of function is known to cause microcornea in the form of syndromic microphthalmia type 6 (MCOPS6; MIM607932) (22,23). To prove our hypothesis, we established the first in vivo XMC model using a knockdown approach in \textit{Xl}. Until now, only the impact of \textit{chrdl1} overexpression was tested on \textit{Xl} development. These results demonstrate that \textit{chrdl1} similar to \textit{chordin} is able to induce secondary axis formation after mRNA injection (10). We show that the suppression of \textit{chrdl1} function induces eye phenotypes in the \textit{Xenopus} tadpole that resemble the human XMC phenotype, i.e. larger eyes with specific findings in the anterior eye segment. Together with the spatiotemporal expression of \textit{chrdl1} at tadpole stages, we give compelling evidence that \textit{chrdl1} plays a conserved role during eye development. We therefore speculate that \textit{chrdl1} knockdown in \textit{Xl} induces accelerated ocular growth. Our additional finding of pathologically widened coloboma has not previously been reported for the phenotypic spectrum of XMC. Thus, we propose that CHRD1L1 gene analysis should be considered for patients with iris coloboma. Interestingly, the mild ectopic pupils observed in XMC patients can be the result of a mild variant of iris fissure closure. This observation is consistent with the association between coloboma and loss of function of \textit{Smoc1} (SPARC-related modular calcium binding 1), another BMP antagonist, in gene-trap mice (24). Furthermore, we observe a difference between \textit{chrdl1} and bmp4 expression levels in the lens of \textit{Xl}. We speculate that CHRD1L1 physiologically prevents the development of cataract by preserving either a metabolic or structural homeostasis to maintain the transparency of the lens. Additionally, we are the first to report on \textit{chrdl1} expression in the otic vesicle and the ependymal layer of the cerebral ventricular system. A possible clinical relevance of these findings remains to be elucidated in XMC patients.

The establishment and preservation of stable developmental gradients appears to require multiple mechanisms to balance agonistic and antagonist effects on BMP signaling. Consistent with this theory, we observe a down-regulation of \textit{bmp4} expression caused by \textit{chrdl1} knockdown. This implies that an overactive Bmp signaling due to the deficient antagonist is additionally controlled on the transcriptional level by a negative feedback mechanism (25,26). Moreover, using intracellular phospho-SMAD1/5 as functional BMP signaling read-out, we measure paradoxical and obviously pathological effects in association with the here reported CHRD1L1 mutation. On the one side, the basal BMP signaling activity is elevated comparing XMC versus healthy state. On the other hand, the BMP signaling is less inducible in correlation with lower superficial and intracellular BMPR1A abundance.

---

**Figure 6. Chrdl1 knockdown influences bmp4 expression in Xl.** (A) Chrdl1 MOs MO1 + 2-injected embryos (NF stage 37) were used for in situ hybridization with \textit{bmp4} as a marker probe. is, injected side; nis, non-injected side. Expression of \textit{bmp4} in the optic cup (red arrows), the otic vesicle (green arrow) and the ependymal layer of the ventricle system (yellow arrow) in the embryo (A) and its corresponding sections (B).
comparing lymphoblastoid cells from XMC patient versus healthy brother. Together, we assume that the higher BMP signaling baseline in our XMC patient is the result from a failing BMP antagonism and consequently increased binding of BMP to BMPR1A. This induces a negative-feedback mechanism and leads to a compensatory down-regulation of BMP receptors (26). We assume that this kind of negative-feedback regulation modifies the mutational outcome in a cell-dependent manner and can also be a reason for organ-specific manifestations and phenotypic variability.

Finally, the protein distribution pattern in our adult human cornea studies suggests that the developmentally important CHRD1-BMP4 antagonism could play a role in postnatal homeostasis of the cornea. We speculate that CHRD1 acts as a stem cell
niche factor by attenuating the BMP4-mediated growth and differentiation, thereby preventing the exhaustion of the limbal stem cell pool. A similar role has been postulated for CHRDL1 in the intestinal stem cell niche (19). This concept is additionally supported by in vitro studies showing that the application of recombinant CHRDL1 inhibits differentiation and/or enhances proliferation of human mesenchymal stem cells and osteoblast precursor cell lines (25). Moreover, CHRDL1-mediated repression of BMP signaling leads to reactivation of embryonic homeobox genes like MSX1 in immature lymphoblastic leukemia cells (T-ALL) (27,28).

In the case of superficial lesions, FGF2 signaling regulates the reconstitution of the cornea layers without fibrosis, while deep lesions lead to the activation of TGFβ/BMP signaling in stromal keratocytes resulting in fibrosis (29). The increased expression of CHRDL1 in the stromal keratocytes of the corneal limbus suggests that CHRDL1 is also involved in repair mechanisms of the cornea.

Here, we propose a model, in which CHRDL1 influences BMP4 signaling to prevent fibrosis and limbal stem cell insufficiency, the latter often accompanied with irreversible lesions of the cornea. We suggest that CHRDL1 is a limbal stem cell niche factor and therefore an attractive target for regenerative medical therapies of corneal injuries.

Materials and Methods

Human and animal research

All subjects or their legal representatives gave written informed consent to the study, which was performed in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. The informed-consent protocol for diagnostic genetic testing complies with the regulations of the German law for gene diagnostics (Gen-Diagnostik Gesetz). Cornea tissue samples were obtained from human anatomical sections performed at the Kantonsspital Basel (Switzerland) in accordance with the local ethics committee. All animal research procedures were performed according to guidelines set by the German animal use and care laws (Tierschutzgesetz) and approved by the German state administration Saxony-Anhalt (Projekt/AZ: 42502-3-600 MLU).

Eye examination

An ophthalmologist (P.R.) clinically assessed patients and their family members. Standard evaluation consisted of assessment of refraction anomalies and photographic documentation including scale-adjusted corneal diameter measurement, lateral view corneal profile and slit lamp findings. Measurement of the axial eye length and the AC depth was performed by optical interferometry (IOLMaster, Carl Zeiss Meditec). For corneal pachymetry, the Dual Scheimpflug Analyzer (Galilei) was used. Glaucoma in patients and their relatives were excluded by non-contact tonometry, stereoscopic evaluation of the optic nerve and confocal scanning laser ophthalmoscopy (HRT, Heidelberg Engineering) (30).

X-chromosomal exome capture and next-generation sequencing

Genomic DNA samples from the patients were extracted from ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) peripheral blood samples. We enriched the X-chromosomal exome from 4 µg DNA of
Table 1. Comparison of etiology, phenotypes, management and prognosis of different disorders affecting cornea biometry

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Disease gene</td>
<td>CHRDL1</td>
<td>LTPB2</td>
<td>CYP1B1, MYOC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Locus</td>
<td>Xq23</td>
<td>14q24.3</td>
<td>2pq22.2, 1q24.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inheritance</td>
<td>X-chromosomal recessive</td>
<td>Autosomal recessive</td>
<td>Autosomal recessive/dominant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diagnostic findings</td>
<td>&gt;12.5 mm</td>
<td>&gt;12.5 mm (asymmetrical)</td>
<td>&lt;12.5 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corneal diameter</td>
<td>Dome-shaped</td>
<td>Dome-shaped</td>
<td>Cone-shaped</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corneal profile AC</td>
<td>Deep</td>
<td>Deep/Flat</td>
<td>Normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pigment dispersion</td>
<td>Krukenberg spine</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lens shape</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Spherophakia</td>
<td>Normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iris/ciliary ring</td>
<td>Enlarged + zonular weakness</td>
<td>Enlarged + zonular weakness</td>
<td>Primarily normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IOP</td>
<td>Primarily normal</td>
<td>Elevated in the case of lens dislocation</td>
<td>Primarily normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optic nerve cupping</td>
<td>Primarily normal</td>
<td>Primarily normal</td>
<td>Excavated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refraction anomalies</td>
<td>Mild myopia/astigmatism</td>
<td>Mild myopia/astigmatism</td>
<td>Severe myopia/astigmatism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lens luxation</td>
<td>Elevated risk</td>
<td>Highly elevated risk</td>
<td>No luxation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lens haze</td>
<td>Congenital cataract</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>No luxation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corneal opacification</td>
<td>Mosaic corneal degeneration</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Descemet-related</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retinal detachment</td>
<td>Axial eye length-related</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical management</td>
<td>Electively: trabeculotomy, cataract surgery, keratoplasty</td>
<td>Electively/instandy: lens removal</td>
<td>Instantly: goniotomy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Follow-up</td>
<td>Regularly, long-term complication adjusted</td>
<td>Short intervals, short-term complication adjusted</td>
<td>Contact lenses, cross-linking, electively keratoplasty</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prognosis</td>
<td>Low to middle risk for vision impairment</td>
<td>Middle to high risk for vision impairment</td>
<td>Low to middle risk for vision impairment</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

patient III-5 using the Agilent SureSelect enrichment Kit according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Single reads with a read length of 76 nucleotides were obtained by next-generation sequencing of one sample per lane with a mean coverage >30-fold on the illumina Genome Analyzer Ix. The raw sequence data were aligned to the NCBI37/hg19 assembly of the human genome by Novoaalign (31). Sequence variants were called by Samtools and analyzed for an X-linked recessive inheritance mode using GeneTalk (32,33). Sequence variants with an allele frequency above 0.1% in healthy controls were removed and reduced to alleles with a predicted effect on the protein level (missense, stop-gain, stop-loss, frameshift, non-frameshift, splicing).

Validation of CHRDL1 mutation by Sanger sequencing

PCR amplification and bidirectional sequencing of the identified CHRDL1 mutation were performed using exon 9 forward 5'-CCA TGG CTA TGA CAA GAA CAA A-3' and reverse 5'-TCT TCC AAG CAA CTG CCT TT-3' primers according to the standard protocols. The sequence analysis was performed on an ABI3130xl capillary sequencer (Applied Biosystems). Sequence data were processed using ABI software and were analyzed using Sequence Pilot (JSI medical systems GmbH) based on the complementary DNA (cDNA) reference sequence for CHRDL1 (NM_001143981; ENST0000372042). The identified mutation was submitted to the public database ClinVar http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/clinvar/ (ClinVar-Accession SCV000195644, date last accessed, 20 February 2015).

Semi-quantitative RT–PCR

Peripheral blood samples were fractionated into MNC cells and granulocytes using the ficoll-based standard protocol. RNA extraction was performed after cell lysis with TRizol reagent (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) according to the phenol-chloroform standard protocol. Approximately 500 ng of RNA was extracted and reversely transcribed into cDNA with oligo-dT' primers using MMLV RT (Life Technologies, USA). The CHRDL1 exon-spanning PCR was performed with exon 2 forward primer 5'-AAG GAG CCA AAA ACA GAG CAA A-3' and exon 3 reverse primer 5'-AAC CAA CCC ATA AGG TTC CA-3'. The PCR products were visualized by
running a 2% agarose gel with a mix molecular weight marker (NEB), staining with ethidium bromide and photo documentation under an UV transilluminator.

LCL culture and treatment with BMPs
Lymphoblastoid cell lines were generated from peripheral blood MNC cells according to a previously described protocol (34). LCLs from XMC patient IV-1 and his healthy brother IV-2 were kept in the Roswell Park Memorial Institute medium supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum (FCS), 1% penicillin/streptomycin and 1% glutamine. Stimulation with 125 ng/ml recombinant human BMP2 (353-BM-010, R&D Systems, Germany) or 100 ng/ml recombinant human BMP4 (314-BP-010, R&D Systems, Germany) was performed on 5 × 10^5 LCLs/well in 24-well plates for 24 h.

Flow cytometry
Flow cytometric analyses for surface receptor expression on live cells and for intracellular components on fixed/permeabilized cells were essentially performed as recently described (35). Briefly, 1 × 10^5 cells were stained with specific antibodies in fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) buffer [phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) with 1% FCS and 0.3 mM EDTA]. Prior to specific antibody staining with anti-BMPR1A (ab38560, abcam, UK) and secondary anti-rabbit IgG-Alexa488 (A-11034, Invitrogen) on live cells, treatment with Fcγ block for 10 min on ice was performed. For live cell analyses, dead cells were excluded using PI staining. For intracellular analyses, cells were fixed for 10 min at 37°C with 4% paraformaldehyde. Followed by two washing steps, cells were permeabilized with 90% methanol buffer for 30 min on ice. Prior to specific antibody staining with anti-BMPR1A (ab38560, abcam, UK) and secondary anti-rabbit IgG-Alexa488 in FACS buffer, cells were incubated with Fcγ block for 15 min. Flow cytometry was performed using LSR Fortessa™ (Becton Dickinson) flow cytometer and FACS-Diva™ and FlowJo™ (Tree Star) software. To compare the protein levels between individuals, we calculated delta mean fluorescence intensities ΔMFI, meaning the subtraction of background MFI from specific MFI.

Immunohistochemistry
To investigate the physiological protein expression pattern of CHRD1l (Ventroptin) and BMP4 in the human anterior eye segment, paraffin-embedded ophthalmic tissues were analyzed by immunostaining. We used a polyclonal rabbit anti-CHRDL1 antibody (HPA000250, ATLAS, Sweden) and a polyclonal rabbit anti-BMP4 antibody (ab39973, abcam) diluted 1:2000, respectively. Secondary Biotin-labeled anti-rabbit antibodies as diluted by the manufacturer (KPL, USA) were incubated and detected by Streptavidin-conjugated horseradish peroxidase using TrueBlue™ (CHRDL1) or Vectorred (BMP4) as a substrate.

Organisms and maintenance
Frogs (Xl) were obtained from commercial suppliers (NASCO, USA). Production and rearing of embryos was performed as described previously (36) and embryos were maintained at 15°C and staged according to NF.

XI knockdown experiments
25-mer MOs (Gene Tools, LLC Philomath, Oregon) were designed to target the splice site between Exon1 and Intron1 (M01: 5’-ATC GAA ATG ATA TTA CCT CTC GTA G-3’, chrdl1rev-RT 5’TGG TTC GTG AGT CTC CCA CCA C-3’, chrdl1fwd-RT 5’-GCC ATT GTG AAG ACT CTC TCC ATT C-3’, odcrev-RT 5’-TCC GGG TGA TCT CTT GCC AC-3’). To analyze the spatiotemporal expression of chrdl1 during XI embryogenesis, a DIG-labeled ‘antisense’ RNA probe was generated by linearizing with Hind3-HF (NEB) and in vitro transcription with T7 RNA polymerase (Roche) as described before (37). Xenopus embryos were fixed at different developmental stages and whole-mount in situ hybridization was carried out as described previously (38). Embryos probed with antisense RNAs of chrdl1 were vibratome sectioned (30 μm) and photographed. RT–PCR was performed with the following following spanning primers to amplify both possible chrdl1 transcript variants scaffold71612 and scaffold10201, as annotated in Xenbase: chrdl1fwd-RT 5’-CTA GAG CCC TAT GGC CTT G-3’, chrdl1rev-RT 5’-TGG TTC GTG AGT CTC CCA CCA C-3’, odcrev-RT 5’-GCC ATT GTG AAG ACT CTC TCC ATT C-3’, odcrev-RT 5’TCC GGG TGA TCT CTT GCC AC-3’. For the preparation of vibratome sections, stained and vibratome-mounted ophthalmic tissues were analyzed by in situ hybridization with digoxigenin-labeled antisense and sense oligonucleotides. WMISH and RT–PCR

Supplementary Material
Supplementary Material is available at HMG online.
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