ZC4H2, an XLID gene, is required for the generation of a specific subset of CNS interneurons


1Greenwood Genetic Center, Greenwood, SC 29646, USA, 2Department of Biology, Chungnam National University, Daejeon 305-764, Korea, 3Department of Child Health, University of Missouri School of Medicine, Columbia, MO 65212, USA, 4Division of Genetics and Metabolism, University of Florida College of Medicine, Gainesville, FL 33612, USA, 5McKusick-Nathans Institute of Genetic Medicine, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD 21287, USA, 6Arkansas Children's Hospital, Little Rock, AR 72202, USA, 7Institute of Medical Genetics, Catholic University, Rome 00-168, Italy, 8Institute of Medical Genetics, University of Zurich, Schwerzenbach 8006, Switzerland, 9Department of Bioinformatics, Erasmus University Medical Center, Rotterdam 3015, Netherlands, 10Department of Physics and Astronomy, Clemson University, Clemson, SC 29634, USA, 11Wellcome Trust Sanger Institute, Hinxton, Cambridgeshire CB10 1SA, UK and 12Department of Neurobiology and Anatomy, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, UT 84112, USA

*To whom correspondence should be addressed. Tel: +1 864 9418140; Fax: +1 864 3881703; Email: ceschwartz@ggc.org (C.E.S.); Email: zebrakim@cnu.ac.kr (C.H.K.)

Abstract

Miles–Carpenter syndrome (MCS) was described in 1991 as an XLID syndrome with fingertip arches and contractures and mapped to proximal Xq. Patients had microcephaly, short stature, mild spasticity, thoracic scoliosis, hyperextendable MCP joints, rocker-bottom feet, hyperextended elbows and knees. A mutation, p.L66H, in ZC4H2, was identified in a XLID re-sequencing project. Additional screening of linked families and next generation sequencing of XLID families identified three ZC4H2 mutations: p.R18K, p.R213W and p.V75in15aa. The families shared some relevant clinical features. In silico modeling of the mutant proteins indicated all alterations would destabilize the protein. Knockout mutations in zc4h2 were created in zebrafish and homozygous mutant larvae exhibited abnormal swimming, increased twitching, defective eye movement and
pectoral fin contractures. Because several of the behavioral defects were consistent with hyperactivity, we examined the underlying neuronal defects and found that sensory neurons and motoneurons appeared normal. However, we observed a striking reduction in GABAergic interneurons. Analysis of cell-type-specific markers showed a specific loss of V2 interneurons in the brain and spinal cord, likely arising from mis-specification of neural progenitors. Injected human wt ZC4H2 rescued the mutant phenotype. Mutant zebrafish injected with human p.L66H or p.R213W mRNA failed to be rescued, while the p.R18K mRNA was able to rescue the interneuron defect. Our findings clearly support ZC4H2 as a novel XLID gene with a required function in interneuron development. Loss of function of ZC4H2 thus likely results in altered connectivity of many brain and spinal circuits.

**Introduction**

Miles–Carpenter syndrome (MCS) is an X-linked intellectual disability (XLID) syndrome first described in 1991 (1). The syndrome was characterized by males having short stature, microcephaly, exotropia, long hands, digit contractions, rocker-bottom feet and spasticity along with severe intellectual disability. A distinguishing feature was the presence of an excess of arch fingerprints or a low total ridge count. Additionally, it was one of the few XLID syndromes in which the carrier females have some somatic features, as well as mild cognitive impairment.

X-linked intellectual disability conditions account for about two out of 1000 males with intellectual disability (ID) and are quite heterogeneous. An estimated 150–200 genes are presumed to be responsible for XLID of which only about 100 have been identified. Indeed 30 XLID syndromes and 50 mapped families with non-syndromal XLID are without a known causative gene mutation (http://www.ggc.org/research/molecular-studies/xlid.html). In order to address this gap, a large collaborative resequencing project of 718 X genes in 208 XLID probands, (IGOLD, International Genetics of Learning Disability), was undertaken using Sanger sequencing to identify novel XLID genes (2). Besides identifying nine XLID genes, it generated over 500 non-recurrent missense mutations. As a partial follow-up of this project, 15 probands were sequenced for the same 718 genes using next generation sequencing. Novel, non-recurrent missense mutations were then pursued further. One such missense mutation, p.L66H, was identified in ZC4H2, a zinc finger gene for which very little information was available. Therefore, additional testing of families was undertaken. Screening of families linked to Xq11 and next generation X-exome sequencing of small XLID families identified two additional ZC4H2 mutations, a missense mutation, p.R213W, and an in-frame insertion of 15 amino acids, p.V75in15aa. Independently, a third ZC4H2 missense mutation, p.R18K, was identified in a family with XLID using whole exome sequencing (WES). Biophysical in silico modeling indicated all three missense mutations were destabilizing. Functional studies, using two KO zebrafish mutants created using the TALEN methodology, allowed us to determine that ZC4H2 is important for the specification and generation of a subset of central nervous system (CNS) interneurons. In addition, rescue experiments indicated that the L66H and R213W mutations result in loss of protein function. Further studies of ZC4H2 will provide a better appreciation for the important role this gene plays in brain development and function.

**Results**

**Mutations in ZC4H2**

**Family K8070**

Next generation resequencing of 718 genes located on the X-chromosome identified a mutation, c.197T > A; p.L66H, in a male from K8070 (Fig. 1). This family was previously published as having MCS, an XLID condition with severe ID, microcephaly, contractures and exotropia (Table 1) (1). The syndrome was mapped to a broad region of the X, spanning from Xp11.4 to Xq22.2. The location of ZC4H2 in Xq11.2 was consistent with this localization and segregation of the c.197T > A mutation was found in K8070 (Fig. 1A). The c.197T > A mutation was not listed in dbSNP nor in the 1000 Genome database. Additionally, a separate analysis of 1302 normal X chromosomes failed to detect the change, further substantiating the c.197T > A was not a rare polymorphism. Bioinformatic analysis revealed the mutation was likely pathogenic (Table 2). The L66 residue was also observed to be highly conserved down to Candida tropicalis.

**Family K8615**

Once the L66H mutation in ZC4H2 was found in K8070, 30 families within the Greenwood Genetic Center (GGC) cohort of XLID families with linkage to Xq11 were screened for additional ZC4H2 mutations. The proband in family K8615 was found to have a p.R213W (c.637C > T) missense mutation. The mutation segregated in the family (Fig. 1B). The family has three affected males in three different sibships. The presentation was different from that of K8070 (Table 1). Contractures were only present at the knees and only one male had a club foot. Spasticity was noted in one male, but both males had motor developmental delay and ID. The carrier females did not exhibit any somatic features, but one had mild ID. The R213 residue is highly conserved down to Drosophila and bioinformatic analysis indicated it was very likely to be pathogenic (Table 2).

**Family K9333**

As part of a focused, NINDS funded, next generation sequencing of the X-chromosome-exome of families with XLID, another ZC4H2 mutation, c.225 + 5G > A, was found in a family with three affected males in three generations (Fig. 1C). No localization data were available due to the structure of the family and the lack of a sample on one affected male (II-5, Fig. 1C). The alteration segregated in the family (Fig. 1B). The family has three affected males in three different sibships. The presentation was different from that of K8070 (Table 1). Contractures were only present at the knees and only one male had a club foot. Spasticity was noted in one male, but both males had motor developmental delay and ID. The carrier females did not exhibit any somatic features, but one had mild ID. The R213 residue is highly conserved down to Drosophila and bioinformatic analysis indicated it was very likely to be pathogenic (Table 2).

**Family K9611**

After whole-genome sequencing of the proband (II-4, Fig. 1D) and his mother (I-2), X-linked variants were identified comparing the genome sequences of patient II-4 and his mother I-2. Fifty-two variants present in the heterozygous mother were transmitted to II-4, 16 of which are not present in the dbSNP or in the 1000 genomes database. Eight of these 16 variants map to the linkage region and cosegregation in the family was determined by Sanger sequencing of individual amplicons. Four of the eight variants caused a missense mutation, but only one of these, R18K in ZC4H2, had a high Polyphen-2 score (0.97) suggesting a deleterious effect on protein function (Table 2).
Tissue expression of ZC4H2

ZC4H2 expression analysis of human tissue was conducted using RT–PCR and a multiple human fetal tissue cDNA panel (Clontech). The expression of two different ZC4H2 transcripts, ENST00000374839 (224 amino acids) and ENST00000337990 (201 amino acids), was examined because, although, the R18H mutation does exist in the primary transcript of 224 amino acids, it was not present in the shorter form of 201 amino acids (Supplementary Material, Fig. S1A). Thus, the expression level across multiple tissues was explored for both transcripts. As seen in Supplementary Material, Figure S1B, both transcripts are expressed in the brain with the shorter one perhaps at a higher level. The long form is apparently not present in the liver. Since both transcripts were present in fetal brain, we examined the level of expression in different regions of human brain using a Rapid Scan Human Brain Panel (Origene). The findings were quite revealing (Supplementary material, Fig. S1C). The short form, missing exon 1 and the R18 residue, was highly expressed in all regions of the brain and the spinal cord. The long form was weakly expressed in all regions with perhaps higher expression in the hypothalamus, pons and medulla. It was not observed in the spinal cord sample. These observations might explain the mildness of the phenotype observed in the family with the R18H mutation relative to the other families, such as the lack of hypotonia, seizures and hyperreflexia in the affected males (Table 1).

In silico modeling of ZC4H2 mutations

Although the bioinformatic analyses indicated all four ZC4H2 mutations were likely pathogenic (Table 2), we undertook in silico analyses to predict the effect the three missense mutations had on the stability of the ZC4H2 protein. The experimental 3D structures of wild-type and mutant ZC4H2 proteins are not available and were modeled in silico as outlined in the Materials and Methods section.

R18K mutation

In comparing the predicted structures for the WT and mutant R18K, we were able to predict that the mutation will likely be destabilizing. Using the criteria described in the Materials and Methods section, we were able to reduce the five models in three force fields for a total of 15 structure options down to three viable options: model2 for Amber and Charmm and model3 for Amber force fields. A visual inspection of these models revealed that R18 forms two hydrogen bonds with the glutamic acid at position 106 (E106) in the model2 Amber WT structure (Supplementary Material, Fig. S2A), and three hydrogen bonds with E106 in model2 Charmm (Supplementary Material, Fig. S2B). No hydrogen bonds were formed between these residues in model3 Amber (Supplementary Material, Fig. S2C). Instead R18 is completely exposed to the water phase, which would allow it to form favorable hydrogen bonds with water.
Table 1. Clinical findings in four families with ZC4H2 mutations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Kindred</th>
<th>ZC4H2 mutation</th>
<th>Affected individuals</th>
<th>Growth</th>
<th>Adaptation</th>
<th>Facies</th>
<th>Skeletal</th>
<th>Neuromuscular</th>
<th>Other</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ZC4H2 mutation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>p.L66H</td>
<td>4M 6F</td>
<td>3M 6F</td>
<td>4M 4F</td>
<td>3M 4F</td>
<td>14M 17F</td>
<td>0/4</td>
<td>4/4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>p.R213W</td>
<td>0/4 0/6</td>
<td>0/3 0/4</td>
<td>3/3 1/4</td>
<td>0/3 0/4</td>
<td>0/2 0/3</td>
<td>2/6 0/3</td>
<td>0/4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>p.V75in15aa</td>
<td>3/3 0/4</td>
<td>0/3 0/3</td>
<td>3/3 0/4</td>
<td>3/3 0/4</td>
<td>0/3 0/4</td>
<td>2/6 0/3</td>
<td>0/4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>p.R18K</td>
<td>4M 4F</td>
<td>3M 1F</td>
<td>1/4 0/1</td>
<td>1/1 0/1</td>
<td>13/13 7/9</td>
<td>2/12 0/9</td>
<td>2/10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Clinical findings in four families with ZC4H2 mutations**

The folding free energy (ΔΔG) of model2 Amber and Charmm were both predicted to be destabilizing; however, the ΔΔG of model3 Amber was predicted to be stabilizing. The overall averaged ΔΔG is destabilizing but with a large deviation. The results of the energy calculations and the potential loss of favorable hydrogen bonds when R18 is mutated to K18 lead to our prediction that this mutation will be destabilizing and therefore potentially disease-causing. This prediction is consistent with third-party predictors and online web servers FoldX, Eris, PoPMuSiC, I-Mutant 2.0 and PolyPhen-2, all of which predicted destabilization of the gene due to the mutation (Supplementary Material, Table S1).

**L66H mutation**

For the L66H mutation, our criteria allowed for only one model to be included in the analysis: model5 Charmm force field. The model showed L66 being buried within the protein and protected from the water with no charged residues surrounding it (Supplementary Material, Fig. S3). This is an ambiguous alteration to predict, since it involves a titratable residue, the His residue, whose standard pKa is 6.5. No attempt was made to calculate the apparent pKa of H66 in the models because none of the existing predictors allows for protonation state adjustment. In our modeling, the H66 was kept fully ionized. The prediction of the average ΔΔG is destabilizing but no deviation can be given since only one model was used. All of the third-party servers agreed in their prediction of the mutation to be destabilizing (Supplementary Material, Table S1). This is what is expected since a replacement of the hydrophobic Leu with a charged His at position 66 in the hydrophobic core should be destabilizing.

**R213W mutation**

We were able to include three models for the R213W mutation including: model2 Charmm, and model4 Amber and Charmm (see Supplementary Material). Model4 Amber showed R213 forming two hydrogen bonds with the backbone oxygen at position 221 (Supplementary Material, Fig. S4), while all other models had R213 exposed to the water. Our energy results showed the R213W mutation would result in destabilization but the standard deviation is significant. All of the other servers also predicted this mutation to be destabilizing (Supplementary material, Table S1).

---

*aK8070 showed an excess of fingerprint arches in all males and females and cataracts in 1/4 males and 1/5 females.*

*bK8615 showed athetoid/dystonic movements of the hands in 2/2 males.*

*cK9611 showed palmar hyperkeratosis in 3/3 males, Sidney lines in 3/3 males and 1/1 female, and small testes and penis in 3/3 males.*

---

The €±ΔΔG of model2 Amber and Charmm were both predicted to be destabilizing; however, the ΔΔG of model3 Amber was predicted to be stabilizing. The overall averaged ΔΔG is destabilizing but with a large deviation. The results of the energy calculations and the potential loss of favorable hydrogen bonds when R18 is mutated to K18 lead to our prediction that this mutation will be destabilizing and therefore potentially disease-causing. This prediction is consistent with third-party predictors and online web servers FoldX, Eris, PoPMuSiC, I-Mutant 2.0 and PolyPhen-2, all of which predicted destabilization of the gene due to the mutation (Supplementary Material, Table S1).

**L66H mutation**

For the L66H mutation, our criteria allowed for only one model to be included in the analysis: model5 Charmm force field. The model showed L66 being buried within the protein and protected from the water with no charged residues surrounding it (Supplementary Material, Fig. S3). This is an ambiguous alteration to predict, since it involves a titratable residue, the His residue, whose standard pKa is 6.5. No attempt was made to calculate the apparent pKa of H66 in the models because none of the existing predictors allows for protonation state adjustment. In our modeling, the H66 was kept fully ionized. The prediction of the average ΔΔG is destabilizing but no deviation can be given since only one model was used. All of the third-party servers agreed in their prediction of the mutation to be destabilizing (Supplementary Material, Table S1). This is what is expected since a replacement of the hydrophobic Leu with a charged His at position 66 in the hydrophobic core should be destabilizing.

**R213W mutation**

We were able to include three models for the R213W mutation including: model2 Charmm, and model4 Amber and Charmm (see Supplementary Material). Model4 Amber showed R213 forming two hydrogen bonds with the backbone oxygen at position 221 (Supplementary Material, Fig. S4), while all other models had R213 exposed to the water. Our energy results showed the R213W mutation would result in destabilization but the standard deviation is significant. All of the other servers also predicted this mutation to be destabilizing (Supplementary material, Table S1).
Immunoﬂuorescence studies of wild-type and R213W in Cos-7 cells

As a preliminary analysis of the effect of the missense mutations on the ZC4H2 protein, immunoﬂuorescence studies were conducted in Cos-7 cells using GFP-tagged wt-type and mutant constructs. We noticed that in Cos-7 cells transfected with ZC4H2-wt, most of the protein resided in the nucleus (Supplementary Material, Fig. S5A). For two of the missense mutations, ZC4H2-R18K and ZC4H2-L66H, their location pattern was not much different from wild-type. However, for Cos-7 cells transfected with ZC4H2-R13W, the majority of the protein still resided outside of the nucleus (Supplementary Material, Fig. S5A). Because of this observation, the ZC4H2 protein was analyzed to see if it contained a nuclear localization signal (NLS). Using an NLS predictor (http://www.moseslab.csb.utoronto.ca/NLStradamus), a NLS domain was predicted to be contained within amino acids 207–224 (KAKSRRantesPKRKQDE). Residue R213 (underlined) is located in this stretch of amino acids. Thus, the immunoﬂuorescence data indicate the R213W mutation perturbs the NLS domain which might inﬂuence whatever function the ZC4H2 protein has within the nucleus, thereby contributing to the phenotype of males in family K8615 relative to males with other ZC4H2 mutations.
Spatiotemporal expression pattern of zebrafish zc4h2

To determine the potential function of ZC4H2 and the pathogenicity of the missense mutations in human patients, we chose to use a zebrafish model, which allows rapid genetic, behavioral and embryological experimental analysis of a vertebrate nervous system. Using whole-mount in situ hybridization, the spatiotemporal expression of the zc4h2 ortholog during zebrafish embryonic development was explored. zc4h2 transcripts were mainly detected throughout the developing CNS, but were excluded from the most medial ventricular zone suggesting expression in differentiating progenitors and mature neurons and/or glia (Fig. 3). Based on our observation of human ZC4H2 nuclear localization of in Cos-7 cells, the cellular localization of the zebrafish zc4h2 gene product was examined. As shown in Supplementary Material, Figure S5B, ecotopically expressed Zc4h2 was located mainly in the nucleus of zebrafish cells.

Generation of zebrafish zc4h2 mutants

Two independent zebrafish zc4h2 null mutant alleles were created using TALEN methodology (Supplementary Material, Fig. S6). Homozygous mutant fish were viable beyond embryogenesis, and all mutant larvae exhibited active movements of the pectoral fins, continuous swimming movements and balance problems (Supplementary material, Videos S1 and S2), compared with wild-type siblings that had saccadic eye movements and were mostly stationary (Supplementary material, Videos S3 and S4). The mutants also exhibited an open mouth as well as continuous jaw movements (Supplementary material, Video S5).

Based on the expression of zc4h2 in the CNS during early development and the apparent hyperactivity exhibited by the fish, an anatomical analysis was undertaken using markers for specific classes of neurons. To address a potential motoneuron defect reported after morpholino knockdown of the gene (3), we stained wild-type and mutant fish at 24 h with an antisense probe for isl1 mRNA and a znp-1 (anti-synaptotagmin 2) antibody, both known markers for zebrafish motoneurons (4,5). Surprisingly, we observed no differences between wild-type and zc4h2 mutant embryos for either of these markers (Supplementary material, Fig. S7). However, in mutant embryos, expression of both vsx2, which labels V2a interneurons (6), and vsx1, which labels V2a/b interneuron precursors (7), was significantly decreased throughout the CNS (Figs 4E,F and 5A–D). To assess whether loss of V2 neuron markers was correlated with altered neural progenitor specification, we examined the expression of dbx2 and nkx6.1, markers for adjacent p1 and p2 progenitor domains, respectively (8). In mutant embryos, dbx2 expression was clearly expanded, with a corresponding loss of nkx6.1 expression in the midbrain tegmentum, hindbrain and spinal cord (Fig. 5E,F and I–L). Interneuron defects

Figure 3. Whole-mount in situ hybridization of the zebrafish ZC4H2 ortholog, zc4h2. (A and B) Whole mount in situ hybridization at 48 hpf. (C–E) Sections cut at the positions indicated in (B). zc4h2 shows CNS-specific expression outside the ventricular zone where stem cells are found.
appeared to be restricted to populations arising from these progenitor domains, as expression of dlx2a, which marks interneuron precursors in the forebrain, was unaffected (Fig. 5G-H).

Consistent with the defects observed in V2 markers, we found a significant reduction in the number of GABAergic neurons in the intermediate spinal cord of mutant embryos as evidenced by decreased staining for gad1 (Fig. 5M and N). Decreased expression of gata3, which encodes a transcription factor important for the generation of V2b inhibitory neurons (9), was also observed in mutant embryos (Fig. 5O and P). In addition, ectopic expression of glyt2a, a marker for glycinergic neurons, was observed in this same region in mutants (Fig. 5Q and R). In contrast, no difference between mutants and wild-type siblings was observed when vglut2.1, a marker for glutamatergic excitatory neurons, was examined (Fig. 5S and T). By 33 hpf, a reduction in GABAergic interneurons was observed in the midbrain tegmentum region of both gata2 and gata3, which is thought to control pectoral fin and jaw movements (Supplementary Material, Fig. S8A and B) (10). Further analysis showed similar reductions in the expression of both gata2 and gata3 in the spinal cord, hindbrain neurons and the midbrain tegmentum at 24 hpf (Supplementary Material, Fig. S8C-F). Additionally, the expression of snc1Lab, a voltage-gated sodium channel expressed in inhibitory interneurons (11), was slightly decreased (Supplementary material, Fig S8G and H). Together, these data suggest that zc4h2 functions to regulate the specification of p2 CNS progenitors, which normally generate a population of primarily GABAergic interneurons (Fig. 5U).

Human ZC4H2 mutations affect the ability to rescue zebrafish mutants

To explore the pathogenic nature of the three missense mutations in ZC4H2, wild-type and mutant human ZC4H2 mRNA constructs were injected into zc4h2 mutant zebrafish 1-cell embryos and expression of gad1 was examined at 24 h. Wild-type human ZC4H2 mRNA was able to restore gad1 expression (Fig. 6A and C, G and I), as well as the behavioral phenotype (Supplementary material, Video S6). However, both L66H and R213W mRNAs were only able to partially rescue gad1 expression (Fig. 6D and E, J and K), consistent with both mutations being pathogenic as predicted both bioinformatically and by in silico structural modeling. Interestingly, the R18K mRNA was able to restore gad1 expression (Fig. 6F and L), consistent with family K9611 having a somewhat milder phenotype (Table 1).

Discussion

XLIDs comprise many entities, some syndromic and many non-syndromic without any distinguishing clinical finding other than ID. With respect to the more than 110 syndromal XLID conditions, many present with microcephaly or spastic paraplegia. However, few present with scoliosis or congenital contractures. Therefore, identification of the genetic cause for a syndrome with all four of these clinical findings would likely prove to be quite informative as to their interconnection.

We have identified four mutations in ZC4H2, three missense and one in-frame insertion, in the original family with MCS and three other families with a constellation of microcephaly, short stature, contractures, spasticity and ID. ZC4H2 is located in Xq11.2 and finding mutations in four families in our study along with mutations in another five families (3) is consistent with the clustering of both XLID genes and families in the pericentric region of the X chromosome. Of the 170 XLID entities (syndromic and non-syndromic) with a gene finding, 70 (41%) of them are localized to the pericentric region and of the known 114 XLID genes, 50 (44%) are located in this same region (http://www.ggc.org/research/molecular-studies/xlid.html). The reason for this clustering is not evident at this time.

ZC4H2 is a zinc-finger protein belonging to the family of proteins with a C-terminal zinc-finger domain characterized by four cysteine residues and two histidine residues. Additionally, ZC4H2 has a coiled-coil domain. However, little was known about the
Figure 5. Whole-mount in situ hybridization for neural markers in wild-type sibling (wt) and zc4h2 KO mutant (mut) zebrafish. (A–D) At 24 h, expression of vsx2 and vsx1, markers for V2a interneurons and V2a/b precursors, respectively, was significantly reduced in the brain and spinal cord of mutants. (E and F) At 33 hpf, expression of dbx2 (blue) was increased and expression of nkd6.1 (red) was decreased in the midbrain tegmentum (*), and hindbrain of mutants. (G and H) At 35 hpf, expression of dlx2a, a marker of forebrain GABAergic precursors, was unaffected in mutants. (I–L) At 24 hpf, expression of dbx2 was increased and expression of nkd1 was decreased (brackets) in the spinal cord of mutants. (M and N) Expression of gad1, a marker for GABAergic neurons, was decreased in V2 interneuron territories of the mutant spinal cord (White rectangles). (O and P) gata3 expression, a marker for V2b inhibitory interneurons, was dramatically reduced in the mutant spinal cord. (Q and R) glyt2a, a marker for glycineric neurons, was ectopically expressed in the mutant spinal cord. (S and T) vglut2.1 expression, a marker for glutamatergic excitatory neurons, was unaffected in zc4h2 homozygous mutant zebrafish. (U) Model for Zc4h2 function in zebrafish interneuron specification.

Figure 6. Rescue of gad1 expressing interneurons in zebrafish zc4h2 KO mutant by injection of human ZC4H2 mRNAs. (A and B) gad1 transcripts in un.injected control wild-type sibling (wt) and zc4h2 homozygous mutant (mut) embryos at 24 hpf. (C–F) Rescue experiments with mRNA containing the indicated human ZC4H2 WT and mutations. White rectangles indicate V2 interneuron territories. (G–L) Quantitative analysis of gad1 transcripts in zc4h2 mutant (mut) embryos with and without injected mRNA. *P < 0.001, **P < 0.05.
function of the ZC4H2 protein other than it was proposed as a candidate gene for XLID based on a computational approach (12). Our in silico modeling of the effects of these three missense mutations of the wt properties of ZC4H2 protein predicted that the mutations would destabilize the structure of ZC4H2 and thus would indirectly affect its function.

The phenotypes of the families reported by Hirata et al. (3) and here (Table 1) have quite similar clinical findings. Family 1 in Hirata et al. (3), reported as Wieacker–Wolff syndrome (13), was not originally considered as having arthrogryposis multiplex congenita. Rather, both Wieacker–Wolff syndrome and MCS appear to have had some lower limb musculoskeletal findings at birth, but clearly not something suggestive of early onset arthrogryposis. It is possible that both syndromes, as well as our three other families represent a condition that is progressive in nature.

In order to unravel the function(s) of the ZC4H2 protein, two zebrafish mutant alleles were generated using TALEN methodology. Homozygous mutant larvae for both alleles showed motor hyperactivity, abnormal swimming and continuous movement of their jaws. The fish also exhibited contractures of the pectoral fins and abnormal eye positioning suggestive of extrophy. Together, these phenotypes are highly reminiscent of the human patients carrying ZC4H2 mutations, indicating that our zebrafish model can be used to determine the underlying cellular and molecular mechanisms of motor dysfunction.

Contrary to a previous study carried out using morpholino knockdown of zc4h2 (8), we observed no defects in motoneurons or tail coiling of mutant larvae (Supplementary Material, Video S7 and Figs S7 and S9). It is therefore possible that some of the zebrafish phenotypes observed in this earlier work may have been due to off-target effects of morpholinos (14). Instead, we observed a clear loss of multiple markers of the V2a and V2b interneuron lineages in the hindbrain and spinal cord, as well as loss of GABAergic neurons in the midbrain tegmentum. In addition, we observed accompanying ectopic glycineergic neurons in the ventral spinal cord, consistent with a defect in neuronal fate specification. Analysis of neural progenitor markers strongly suggested that the loss of V2 interneurons results from mis-specification of adjacent spinal cord progenitor domains. Intriguingly, the neuronal subtypes that are specifically affected in mutant larvae provide a potential physiological basis for the behavioral phenotypes observed in both zebrafish and humans. While we cannot rule out an additional role for ZC4H2 in excitatory synaptic function as previously reported (3), these findings were based on overexpression studies of GFP-tagged protein and may not reflect an endogenous role. Furthermore, our data indicate that wild-type protein tagged with small epitopes is primarily localized to the nucleus when expressed in both Cos-7 cells and zebrafish embryos.

The presence of two isoforms for ZC4H2 with different levels of expression in tissues and sections of the brain likely contributes to the broad clinical spectrum of involvement of the central and peripheral nervous systems observed in our four families and those reported by Hirata et al. (3). Additionally, it is quite possible ZC4H2 has more than one function as it contains both a zinc-finger domain and a coiled-coil domain. These possible explanations for the clinical variability are evident in that males in family K8615 whose mutation, R213W, affects nuclear localization of the proteins, do not exhibit the narrow shoulders, hypertonia or degree of distal contractures observed in the other families and males in family K9333 whose mutation, R18K, would not be present in the short form of ZC4H2 do not present with spasticity, drooling and hypertonia.

In summary, our work provides a novel mechanistic model for the etiology of MCS and other XLID entities with mutations in ZC4H2. The expression of ZC4H2 in the developing CNS, as well as the neuroanatomical defects in zebrafish mutants, suggest a required function in neural tube progenitor specification that ultimately affects interneuron fate and connectivity throughout the brain and spinal cord. Because loss of GABAergic neurons is the most prominent phenotype arising from this mis-specification, ZC4H2 loss of function may therefore result in hyperexcitability of multiple brain and spinal circuits.

Materials and Methods

Clinical reports

Fourteen males were affected in the four kindreds (Table 1). The 14 prominent findings, i.e. those occurring in over 50% of males, included intellectual disability, motor and speech delay, short stature, microcephaly, distal muscle weakness, foot abnormalities (rocker-bottom, pes planus, club foot), knee and/or elbow contractures, narrow shoulders and upper torso, ptosis and/or exotropia, long philtrum, highly arched palate, spinal curvature (kyphosis, scoliosis, lordosis), hypertonia and/or drooling and spasticity. An excessive number of fingerprint arches was present in all affected males and females in K8070 but was not noted in the other kindreds.

Seventeen carrier females were identified in the four kindreds. Clinical information on the females is most complete in K8070 and K8615, somewhat complete in K9611 and not available in K9333 (Table 1). Growth was typically normal but with head circumference and stature in the lower centiles: only one carrier female had short stature (<3rd centile) and only one had microcephaly (<3rd centile). Although IQ measurements were not available, most carrier females (seven out of 11) were considered to have low or borderline cognitive function. None had hypotonia, drooling or spasticity. Six females had elbow or knee contractures, two had seizures, three had camptodactyly and two had narrow shoulders/thorax. Craniofacial features were not distinctive although several carrier females in K8070 had ptosis, long philtrum, high-arched palate and broad alveolar ridges and all except 1 (five out of six) had exotropia. Regarding X-inactivation (XI), it varied within families (Fig. 1). Thus, it was difficult to observe a correlation between skewing of XI and the lack of phenotype in the carrier females or the severity of the phenotype in males in the family.

K8070
Clinical details of the four affected males and six affected females were reported in 1991 (1). The prominent findings are given in Table 1 and the pedigree shown in Figure 1A. The gene was linked to DXYS1 at Xq 21.31 (lod score 2.788).

K8615
Three males in three generations are affected in this kindred (Fig. 1B and Table 1). The carrier II-4 has intelligence in the mildly disabled range and has seizures. II-2 is said to function in the low normal range. Neither have spasticity. III-3 is considered to be normal.

II-1 had a birth weight of 4 kg. Club feet were present. Development was globally delayed with sitting at 2 years, crawling at 2 years and only two indistinct words by 2 years. At 2 4/12 years, his height was 85 cm (10th centile), weight 12.6 kg (20th centile) and OFC 50.5 cm (75th–90th centile). The face appeared normal. There was no nystagmus but some tongue protrusion, drooling and dystonic movements of the oropharynx. Fifth finger clinodactyly and horizontal palmer creases were present. The lower
limbs showed weak muscles, hypertonia, hyperreflexia, knee contractures and several scars from club foot surgery. MRI at age 1 year was normal. He now lives in a community living facility and has a reported IQ of 60.

Few details are available on III-4 and III-5 except they are intellectually disabled and have no speech, use wheelchairs for ambulation and have lower limb weakness and spasticity.

**K9333**

Three males in two generations are affected in this kindred (Fig. 1C and Table 1). Ptosis and a long philtrum have not been recognized. Nor have narrow shoulders, contractures, camptodactyly or ulnar deviation of the fingers. All have had scoliosis.

II-4 (female) is reported to have a speech impediment, seizures and abnormal gait. The father of III-4 had congenital cataracts.

II-5 was globally delayed from birth. He fed poorly. He never spoke, nor walked. He kept his arms and knees bent, but he did not have contractures. He was considered to have cerebral palsy. His maximum weight was 40 lbs. He had significant scoliosis by the age of 10, but was not regarded as suitably robust for any surgery. A prolonged seizure occurred on at least one occasion. He died suddenly at age 22 years.

III-2, the nephew of II-5, had poor feeding in infancy and delayed development. It was suspected early that he had the same condition as his uncle. He has had seizures, stenosis of the foramen magnum and upper cervical spine (not requiring surgery) and scoliosis.

III-4, born 17 months after III-2, had a birth length of 48 cm and weight of 3.2 kg. Bilateral cataracts, like his father’s, were present. Development was globally delayed, and he was soon recognized as having the familial condition. Motor delay was apparent by 6 months. At 23 months, he was not sitting alone and had poor muscle tone and head control. Examination then showed length 79.5 cm (<3rd centile), weight 11 kg (20th centile) and OFC 44.5 cm (<3rd centile), roving eye movements, increased startle reflex, hypotonia, everted lower lip, hyperreflexia and Babinski reflexes. MRI was unremarkable. He has continued to have profound delays, but is aware of his surroundings. He does not have seizures.

**K9611**

The Italian family was ascertained through individual III-3 (Fig. 1D) who sought advice for her reproductive options, considering the presence of psychomotor delay and intellectual disability in her two stepbrothers (III-4 and III-5) and her maternal uncle II-4. Individual IV-4 also reportedly had poor growth and psychomotor delay, still did not speak, and had not started primary school at age of 7 years because of complicated pneumonia. Clinical findings are reported in Table 1 and are briefly summarized here.

Patient II-4 is 59 years old. He is a gentle person living in a sheltered residence. He speaks a few words and walks with great difficulty. He has short stature (159 cm) and small head circumference (52.5 cm), small hands with short fingers and no fingerprint arches. Patient III-4 is 36 years old. He has short stature (157 cm) and small head circumference (52 cm), small hands with short fingers and one arch on the right index finger. Patient III-5 is 33 years old. He also has short stature (159 cm) and small head circumference (52 cm), short palm with normal middle finger and three fingerprint arches. All patients have palmar hyperkeratosis and complete or partial Sidney line that is also observed in examined female carriers. Also patients III-4 and III-5 had persistence of deciduous teeth (premolars), while III-4 had two connatal teeth in the lower jaw. All affected males (II-4, III-4 and III-5) had small testes and short penis.

While non-carrier females, like proband III-3 and her sister III-1, have normal intelligence, heterozygous females have variable degree of intellectual disability and have never excelled at school. IV-3 is the only one who completed high school but with individual support and personalized programs and, according to her own mother, is the most intellectually impaired of the carriers. The X-inactivation ratio using the androgen receptor CAG repeat showed that IV-3 had markedly skewed X-inactivation with a 91:9 ratio. Given the close proximity of the ZC4H2 and AR genes in Xq11.2-q12 (2.6 Mb apart), we deduce from haplotype analysis that the ZC4H2 mutation is on the active X chromosome in informative carrier females and is expressed in 91% of blood cells of IV-3, compared to 72 and 71% in I-2 and II-2, respectively.

**ZC4H2 mutation detection**

**K8070**

Exon capture and deep sequencing of 718 genes on the X-chromosome was done as detailed in (15).

**K8615**

Screening of XLID families linked to the Xq12 region of the X chromosome was conducted using M13 tagged primers for the exons of ZC4H2. The PCR products were amplified and then purified with ExoSap from USB. Using M13 primers and the ABI 3730 sequencer the PCR products were sequenced with BIGDye Terminator v3.1 Cycle sequencing Kit from Life Technologies. The sequence data were analyzed using SEQUAM from DNASTAR. The R213W alteration was found in an affected male and then tested and confirmed in the entire family.

**K9333**

Genomic DNA samples from 82 XLID male samples [30 unrelated and 52 related (26 sib-pairs)] were used to generate Illumina sequencing library using TrueSeq DNA sample Preparation kits. The X chromosome exome was enriched using an Agilent SureSelect X chromosome exome kit. Individual libraries were uniquely barcoded and sequenced on the Illumina HiSeq2000 platform using either 75 bp or 100 bp pair-end read modules. INDEL realignment and base recalibration were conducted using GATK. Unified Genotyper (GATK) was used for variant calling [parameters: –ploidy 1, all else at default]. The final variant output was pre-processed by removing variants with zero coverage from one strand and by removing variants in close proximity (<10 bp) to another variant in the same sample. Such variants are most likely to be erroneous.

Potential XLID variants were identified using a sib-pair comparison filter. Given the rarity of XLID, this filter assumes that unique XLID mutations are rare and shared only between affected family members. Variant output from sequencing was filtered by retaining only those variants shared between related samples and by removing variants shared between unrelated samples in our cohort. Additionally, variants shared with 162 male samples (unaffected controls) from the 1000 Genomes project were removed. This filter effectively reduced the total number of variants from 1774 ± 240 variants per samples to 31 ± 5 variants per sample, easing identification of potential disease-causing mutations.

**K9611**

DNA was extracted from all individuals of generation II and III as well as from I-2, IV:1 and IV:3 (Fig. 1D). Linkage was established
between markers DXS993 (Xp11.4) and DXS8055 (Xq23) identifying a minimal interval of 70 Mb. Considering the large interval and the corresponding elevated number of candidate genes, whole-genome diploid sequencing was performed on individuals I:2 and II:4 by Complete Genomics Inc. (Mountain View, CA, USA) using a sequencing-by-ligation method as described by Drmanac et al. (16). Briefly, the human genome sequencing procedures include DNA library construction, DNA Nano-Balls (DNBs) generation, DNB array self-assembling, cPAL-based sequencing, imaging, image data analyses including basecalling, DNB mapping and sequence assembly. Data were provided as lists of sequence variants (SNPs and short indels) relative to the reference genome. Human Genome Build 36 was used as a reference to identify sequence variations in each sample. Analysis was done using Complete Genomics analysis tools (cgA tools) and TIBCO Spotfire.

**Confirmation of ZC4H2 variants**

The variants in ZC4H2 were confirmed using Sanger Sequencing in a second sample of genomic DNA. Once confirmed, other members of the four families were also sequenced.

**Polymorphism analysis**

Screening of normal control individuals (male and female) for the ZC4H2 c.197T > A variant consisted of utilizing allele specific amplification (ASO) using primers:

\[
\begin{align*}
ZC4H2 ASO & \text{KO870F} & 5' & \text{ATGGCCCATGTGAGAATGCa} & 3' \; \text{ZC4H2 ASO} & \text{KO870R} & 5' & \text{CACTACCACAGCCTGCACTGA} & 3'.
\end{align*}
\]

A control amplification was amplified using primers for ZNF711: ZNF711 ex 4AF TTTCCTGTTTGTGAGTTTT; ZNF711 ex 4AR ACAAGGTCAGCCACG AAAAC. The ZC4H2 product was 177 bp and the ZNF711 product was 498 bp. PCR products were used.

**ZC4H2 tissue expression analysis**

Human Fetal MTC panel (Clontech) was utilized for analysis of ZC4H2 expression in fetal tissues. The MTC cDNA preparations utilized for RT-PCR analysis were conducted as outlined by the manufacture in a final reaction volume of 20 µl. Primers for ZC4H2 were: ZC4H2 long cDNA F CTCTTCCTGCTGGTCTTA TTTG; ZC4H2 long cDNA R TAGGAGACTTCTGTGGGTGTYG and primers for ZC4H2 short were: ZC4H2 short cDNA ATGGAGAT CAAGGCCCTCTGTTACCC; ZC4H2 short cDNA TTATTCATCCTGCTGGTGTG YCAGAGAATGAGCAGCCACG AAAAC. The RT-PCR reaction volume of 20 µl. Primers for GAPDH were: GAPDH primers 5’TAG AAG GCA CAG TCG AGG 3’ and in primers for GAPDH were: GAPDH primers 5’TAG AAG GCA CAG TCG AGG 3’

**Cos7 transfection**

**RNA**

RNA was prepared from a control cell line and from patient lymphoblast cell lines. A PCR reaction with the oligos 5’GGAGAGGGGAGGCTTGTAAT3’ and 5’GGAGAGTCCTTGTTGGTTG3’ and PFU Turbo (Stratagene catalogue number 600250) was employed to generate the insert. The fragment was run on a 1% TAE agarose gel and purified with a Gel Extraction Kit (Qiagen catalogue number 287040). The purified product was cloned into pcDNA3.1D/V5-His-Topo vector using the pcDNA3.1 Directional Topo Expression Kit (Invitrogen catalogue number K9400-01). All plasmids generated have a V5 tag on the c-terminus. The LacZ V5 plasmid was included as a positive control with the kit. Plasmids were sequenced to confirm the insert with the vector specific primers PC (5’ GGG AGA CCC AAG CTG GCT AGT 3’) and BGH (5’ TAG AAG GCA CAG TCG AAG 3’) and insert specific primers 5’CACCATGGCAGATGAGCAAGAAATC3’ and 5’TTCATCGCTTCGTTTCGGCTT3’. Site-directed mutagenesis was done to create the following alterations: L66H and R213W using the QuickChange II Site-Directed mutagenesis kit (Stratagene catalogue number 200524). All constructs were sequenced to verify the alteration.

**Cell culture**

Cos-7 cells obtained from American Tissue Culture Collection. The cells were cultured in DMEM (Sigma catalogue number D5796) supplemented with 10% FBS (Atlanta Biologicals catalogue number S12450) 1 x Penicillin/Streptomycin (Sigma catalogue number P0781), 2 mm glutamine (Sigma catalogue number G7513) in a 5% CO₂ humidified 37°C incubator.

**Transfection**

Cos-7 cells were cultured on poly-L-lysine (Sigma catalogue number P4707) coated 24-well tissue culture dishes in growth media 18–24 h prior to transfection. A transfection complex containing DMEM (Sigma catalogue number D5796), 1 µg of plasmid and 2 µl of Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen catalogue number 11668-027) was prepared and added to each well. After 24 h, the transfection complexes were removed, the cells washed one time with PBS and growth media was added back to the cells. Twenty-four hours post-transfection, the cells were moved to a poly-L-lysine coated glass slip and cultured in growth media for 24 h.

**Immunofluorescence**

The cells were washed twice with PBS, fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde/PBS, permeabilized with 0.1% Triton X-100/PBS and blocked with block (2% horse sera, 0.4% BSA in PBS). The cells were incubated with anti-V5 mouse monoclonal antibody (Invitrogen catalogue number R960.25), washed with block and incubated with Alexa Fluor 594 anti-Mouse IgG and Alexa Fluor 488 Phalloidin (Invitrogen catalogue numbers A21200 and A12379), washed with block, incubated with DAPI and mounted with Gold Prolong anti-fade medium (Invitrogen catalogue number P36930).

**ZC4H2 modeling**

Structures

X-ray structures are unavailable for Zinc Finger ZC4H2; therefore, it was necessary to utilize the online webserver I-TASSER (http://zhanglab.ccmb.med.umich.edu/I-TASSER/) (17) to create models for the wild-type structure of ZC4H2. Using the five WT models and the SCAP program, which is part of Jackal package (http://wiki.c2b2.columbia.edu/honiglab_public/index.php/Software: Jackal), we created separate structures for the three independent point mutations investigated in this work (R18K, L66H and R213W). Then the WT and the mutant structures were energetically minimized with two force fields: Amber (18) and Charmm (19). This resulted in total of 40 structures (five general models,
Each model including a WT, R18K, L66H and R213W version, and each WT or mutated structure being minimized using the two aforementioned fields.

The sequence similarity between the ZC4H2 sequence and any available file in the Protein Data bank (PDB) (20) is very low and it is anticipated that in silico generated models will be of low quality. Since the goal is to assess the effect of single point mutations on ZC4H2 protein stability, one can argue that global structural feature will be less important than the local structural arrangement. Because of that, we applied local structural considerations to eliminate insufficient models. The considerations are as follows: (i) all of the models were submitted to a third party server, Verify3D (http://nihserver.mbi.ucla.edu/Verify_3Dv), which provides an analysis of the quality of a model. Scores are marked on a scale of -0.5 to 1.0. Models below a particular manually selected threshold value of 0.20 were eliminated; all models were then visually inspected to verify acceptable structural features including; (ii) the formation of favorable hydrogen bonds between the target and near-by residues; (iii) the hydrophilic R18 and R213 being exposed to the water phase and hydrophobic L66 being at least partially buried or protected from the water phase; (iv) to assure that like charges are either at distances greater that 6Å or are involved in hydrogen bonding; and finally (v) to verify that the surrounding residue environment close to the target sites does not include unacceptable features such as buried charged residues that are not involved in hydrogen bonds and over packing. Only structural models satisfying these conditions were used for the energy analysis (all models can be downloaded from http://compbio.clemson.edu/ZC4H2).

**Modeling the effect of mutations on ZC4H2 protein stability**

The folding free-energy changes were calculated with the Molecular Mechanics Generalized Born (MMGB) (21):

\[
\Delta G(\text{folding}) = G(\text{folded}) - G(\text{unfolded})
\]

\[
= G(\text{folded}) - G_0(\text{unfolded}) - G_0(\text{unfolded}). \tag{1}
\]

Where \(G(\text{folded})\) is the total potential energy of the folded state and the \(G(\text{unfolded})\) is the total potential energy of the unfolded state. The energy, \(G(\text{unfolded})\), of unfolded state, is split into two terms, \(G_0(\text{unfolded})\) and \(G(\text{unfolded})\), as discussed in our previous works (22,23). \(G(\text{folded})\) is the energy of unfolded state of \(x^*\) residue segments at the center of mutation site, while \(G_0(\text{unfolded})\) is the energy of unfolded state of the rest of protein. Under such an assumption, the \(G(\text{folded})\) is identical for WT and mutants and cancels out in Eq. (2) and therefore does not need to be calculated. The folding energy change due to a mutation is calculated with the following equation:

\[
\Delta \Delta G(\text{folding-mutation}) = \Delta G(\text{folding-WT}) - \Delta G(\text{folding-mutant})
\]

\[
= G(\text{folded-WT}) - G_0(\text{unfolded-WT}) \]

\[
- G(\text{folded-mutant}) + G_0(\text{unfolded-mutant}). \tag{2}
\]

Where \(\Delta \Delta G(\text{folding-mutation})\) represents the folding energy change due to a mutation; \(\Delta G(\text{folding-WT})\) and \(\Delta G(\text{folding-mutant})\) are the folding energies of the WT protein and the mutant, respectively. In addition, many third party structure- and sequence-based servers were employed to verify our prediction results including Eris, FoldX, I-Mutant 2.0, PolyPhen 2, and PoPMuSiC (http://troll.med.utk.edu/eris/, http://foldx.crg.es/, http://folding.ub.es/i-mutant/i-mutant2.0.html, http://genetics.bwh.harvard.edu/pph2/, http://babylone.ubl.ac.be/popmusic/, respectively).

**Maintenance of zebrafish lines**

Zebrafish (Danio rerio) were raised and maintained under standard conditions as previously described (24). All experiments involving zebrafish were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committees of Chungnam National University (CNU-00393).

**Generation of zebrafish mutant lines**

The TALEN vectors targeting the second exon of zc4h2 (Supplementary material, Fig. S5) were designed and constructed by ToolGen (Korea, www.toolgen.com). TALEN vectors were linearized by PvuII and purified by ethanol precipitation. Subsequently, mRNAs encoding left and right TALENs were synthesized using the mMESSAGE mMACHINE T7 Transcription kit (Ambion catalogue number AM1344) and purified by the phenol/chloroform precipitation. One-cell fertilized embryos were microinjected with TALEN mRNAs. Site-specific TALEN function was examined by isolation of genomic DNA, target-specific PCR and T7 endonuclease I assay. Genomic DNA was extracted from single larvae or fin-clipped tissues of adults and lysed with genomic DNA isolation buffer (10 mM Tris, 50 mM EDTA, 200 mM NaCl, 0.5% SDS and 0.5 mg/ml Proteinase K) for 12 h at 55°C. Genomic DNA was purified using the phenol/chloroform method. PCR was performed using the following primer pairs and PCR conditions: zc4h2 exon2 forward primer, 5’-GACCGAGTTGGAATGCCTG-3’; zc4h2 exon2 reverse primer, 5’-CACGTATATACCGCATGAATG-3’. Consequently, 130 bp PCR products were purified by gel extraction and analyzed by T7 endonuclease I assay (NEB catalogue number M0302).

**Analysis of zebrafish mutants**

**Plasmid construction**

The zebrafish zc4h2 gene was isolated from a 24 hpf zebrafish cDNA library and first cloned into the pGEM-T Easy Vector System (Promega catalogue number A1360) and then subcloned into the EcoRI site in the pCS2+ expression vector. Primers used for this PCR were: zc4h2 forward primer 5’-ATGCCGGCGAAGAACAGAATAA-3’, zc4h2 reverse primer 5’-TGTTACTTCGTGAGTCTTTCTTT-3’. To construct a Flag-tagged zc4h2 expression vector, PCR was performed using the same primers and the product was subcloned into the NotI site in the pCS2 + Flag vector.

**Microinjection**

For mutant rescue experiments, synthetic capped mRNAs for zebrafish zc4h2 and human wild-type, L66H, R213W and R18K ZC4H2 forms were transcribed via the mMESSAGE mMACHINE SP6 Transcription kit (Ambion catalogue number AM1340) using the linearized plasmid DNA as a template. To confirm sub-cellular localization in zebrafish cells, plasmid DNA for the zc4h2-Flag construct was injected into zebrafish embryos. mRNAs and plasmid DNA were dissolved in 0.2 M KCl with 0.2% Phenol Red as a tracking dye, and then microinjected into one to two-cell stage embryos using a PV820 Pneumatic PicoPump (WPI).

**Whole-mount in situ hybridization and immunohistochemistry**

Antisense digoxigenin-labeled RNA probes for zc4h2, gad1, glyn2a, vglt2a1, gata2, gata3, is11, scn1lab, vssl2, vssl1, dbx2, nkx6.1 and...
dx2α were synthesized using a DIG and Fluorescein RNA Labeling Mix (Roche catalogue number 11277073910, 11685619910) according to the manufacturer’s protocols. Whole-mount in situ hybridization was carried out as published (25). Whole-mount immunohistochemistry for znpl was performed as described (24).

Paraffin sectioning
For paraffin embedding, embryos were dehydrated with ethanol for 5 min and washed for 10 min in isopropanol. They were then cleared three times for 10 min in Xylene. Embryos were transferred to Xylene:paraffin (1:1) solution at 60°C for 20 min and then embedded three times for 20 min with paraffin in stainless steel molds. Embryos were held in position in the pre-warmed plastic embedding cassette. After trimming, sections (7 µm) were cut by a microtome and deparaffinated with Xylene. The preparations were mounted with Canada Balsam and examined using a LEICA DM5000B microscope.

Behavior analysis
For observation of free swimming, 5 dpf wild-type sibling and zc4h2 mutant larvae were placed on slide glass in embryo media. Larvae were monitored using a stereomicroscope (LEICA, MZ216), camera (LEICA DC300FX) and microscope imaging software (LEICA, IM50). For recording of free swimming, monitor display was recorded by using Camtasia Studio software (TechSmith, Version 7.0.0). For observation of eye, pectoral fin and jaw movement, 5 dpf larvae were transferred onto a glass slide coated with 3% methylcellulose.

Statistical analysis
To assess the significance of differences between wild-type sibling and mutant groups, all data were analyzed using one-way ANOVA with Dunnett’s post-test. The significance level was set at *P < 0.001, *P < 0.05 versus control group and data were represented as the means ± SEM (Standard Error of the Means).

Supplementary Material
Supplementary Material is available at HMG online.
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