It is now known that gonadotrophin-releasing hormone analogues (GnRHa) are extremely efficient at reducing uterine fibroid volume and reversing the related symptomatology. However, the fibroids tend to return to their pretreatment size about 6 months after discontinuing treatment. GnRHa treatment cannot be continued indefinitely due to its potential complications and high cost. It is therefore proposed that GnRHa treatment should be phase one of a two-phase treatment plan for uterine fibroids. The initial course of GnRHa should be followed by either menopause or surgery. Experience with presurgical GnRHa use indicates a definite treatment advantage and the use of GnRHa as adjuncts to surgery is well established. The value of GnRHa treatment as an alternative to surgery in pre-menopausal patients, however, remains to be established. 
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Introduction

Uterine fibroids are the most common solid tumours in the female pelvis. In the US alone, 175 000 hysterectomies and 18 000 myomectomies are performed every year for this indication. The reported recurrence rate for fibroids is ~50%, meaning that a large proportion of these patients will need to repeat surgery at some stage.

The symptomatology of fibroids includes low abdominal pain, abnormal bleeding and fertility problems. The treatment has always been surgery, the type of which depended on: symptomology, number and size of the fibroids, age and fertility (past and future).

It has long been known that the uterine myomas are oestrogen-dependent; they increase in size during pregnancy and shrink during puerperium and menopause. The introduction of gonadotrophin-releasing hormone agonists (GnRHa) has proved to be an efficient new treatment in certain hormone-dependent conditions. GnRHa induces hypogonadism through pituitary desensitization, down-regulation of receptors and inhibition of gonadotrophins. It has been found to be useful in the management of various hormone-dependent tumours, endometriosis and uterine fibroids.
The first successful report of the effect of GnRHa on uterine myomas was by Filicori et al. (1983). A number of large series, describing increasing experience with the use of GnRHa in the management of fibroids were published during the late 1980s (Van Leusden, 1986; Lumsden et al., 1987; Maheux et al., 1987; Friedman et al., 1987, 1989; Golan et al., 1989).

In addition to the inhibition of the whole pituitary–ovarian axis, the exact mechanism by which the effect of GnRHa occurs, is not fully understood. Vollenhoven et al. (1994) demonstrated that uterine fibroids shrink following GnRHa treatment because of lower circulating oestradiol concentrations rather than a change in steroid receptor binding. However, Cirkel et al. (1994), using monoclonal antibodies, found an association between fibroid shrinkage and oestrogen receptor status. Fibroids also contain progesterone receptors and the addition of a progestine to GnRHa decreased its effectiveness, probably through interference with the progesterone receptors. When progesterone is administered following GnRH treatment it prevents fibroid regrowth (Bengiano et al., 1993). The existence of specific binding sites for GnRHa in the myometrium and myomas is still an unresolved issue. Evidence exists that local regulation may operate at the fibroid or myometrium level. Epidermal growth factor (EGF) was suggested as a local mediator by Lumsden et al. (1988), and insulin-like growth factor (IGF)-I and IGF-II were suggested by Rein et al. (1990).

Comparing the features of uterine fibroids following treatment with GnRHa with those of untreated uterine fibroids, reduced cellularity was the only histopathological finding which was correlated with GnRHa treatment (2–3 months). There was no significant change in fibrosis, oedema or mitotic activity, as reported by Upadhyaya et al. (1990). Colgan et al. (1993) found that increased cellularity and necrosis were associated with fibroids which had been treated with GnRH. There was no change from the controls with respect to vascular changes, fibrosis or oedema. Ischaemic injury and cellular atrophy were therefore suggested as the probable mechanism.

Johannisson and Brosens (1993) demonstrated decreased proliferative activity by DNA analysis in fibroid tissue following triptorelin treatment for 3 months. Reduced blood flow in myomas and myometrium was also clearly observed by Matta et al. (1988). The resistance index (RI) was found to be elevated in both uterine artery and fibroid vasculature.

We initially treated 32 patients aged 22–52 years, with symptomatic uterine fibroids, by monthly i.m. administration of triptorelin 3.75 mg for 6 months. The concentrations of follicle stimulating hormone (FSH) and luteinizing hormone (LH) decreased within 2 weeks (Figure 1). The concentration of oestradiol decreased to post-menopausal values and remained low throughout the treatment period (Figure 2). The mean uterine volume (as shown by ultrasonography) decreased from 600 to 320 ml and the mean myoma volume from 260 to 88 ml (Figures 3 and 4). The maximal effect was achieved by the fourth month of treatment (86% of the total uterine and the total myoma volumes). The maximal monthly decrease in volume was, however, observed during the first month of
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Figure 1. Serum concentrations of follicle stimulating hormone (FSH; ○) and luteinizing hormone (LH; ●) during the 6 month treatment period with triptorelin. Arrows indicate injections of triptorelin.

Figure 2. Serum oestradiol concentrations during a 6 month treatment period with triptorelin.

treatment (24% of the uterine volume and 32% of the myoma volume; Golan et al., 1989).

It is already generally accepted that GnRHa treatment of uterine fibroids will achieve the following results: (i) the maximal shrinkage of the myomatous uterus is ~50% of its volume; (ii) the maximal effect is achieved within 3 months of treatment; (iii) amenorrhoea and hypo-oestrogenic side-effects occur; (iv) osteoporosis may occur, especially with treatments lasting >6 months.

However, the fibroids usually return to their pretreatment size 3–6 months following the end of GnRHa treatment. As it is not possible either to continue the GnRHa treatment indefinitely, nor to discontinue treatment, it seems that the initial dream of replacing a surgical therapy with a medical one is impractical. Therefore, it has become clear that GnRHa therapy should constitute phase one
of a two-phase plan. An initial course of GnRHa should be followed by either menopause or surgery.

The pre-menopausal group

The rationale with this group of patients was to induce a lasting menopause that would ultimately merge with the natural menopause. However, the fact that the onset of menopause is subject to great individual variation may pose a problem. The required duration of GnRHa therapy is, therefore, unpredictable and may be quite long.

Various add-back protocols have been suggested: tamoxifen (West et al.,
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Friedman et al. (1992) treated 51 pre-menopausal patients with large symptomatic fibroids by administering GnRHα for 3 months and then randomizing them to receive GnRHα with either progestin and oestrogen or progestin alone as an add-back regimen. After 1 year, it appeared likely that the GnRHα steroid add-back regimen was able to provide a successful long-term treatment strategy. The combined oestrogen and progestin regimen appeared to be superior to the progestin-only regimen in inhibiting the regrowth of the fibroids and had a more favourable effect on the serum lipid profile. However, a recent study by Balash et al. (1995) did not find any beneficial effect of low dose oral contraceptives following GnRH treatment in peri-menopausal women with a fibroid uterus.

Interestingly, Kettel et al. (1993), reported success using the GnRH antagonist Nal-Glu, which was able to induce a 53% reduction in fibroid size after 1 month of treatment. When the GnRH antagonist treatment was not followed by surgery, regrowth to the original size was observed within 1 month.

Broekmans et al. (1993) tried a different approach. Instead of oestrogen add-back, they attempted to continue GnRHα treatment for 20 weeks after reducing the dose from 500 mcg to as little as 5 mcg daily. This results of this interesting GnRHα draw-back approach are, however, very preliminary (only six cases).

In an attempt to safely extend the treatment duration with GnRHα, Watanabe et al. (1992) reduced the dose of GnRHα (triptorelin) by half. They compared the administration of 1.88 mg with that of 3.75 mg (6 months, 41 patients). No difference could be found between the two groups, either in their hormonal profiles, nor in the effect observed upon the fibroid uteri.

Blumenfeld et al. (1991) attempted an interrupted (on and off) protocol. They administered GnRHα for 3 months, stopped for 3 months, retreated for 3 months and stopped again for 3 months (Figure 5). They found that this interrupted mode of treatment was longer, safer and more cost-effective.

The effect of 3.75 mg depot triptorelin lasts for >1 month, and the menses recur ~3 months following the last administration. Therefore, as an alternative to changing, we decided to increase the interval between the injections. We treated 11 patients aged 48–53 years with injections of depot triptorelin 3.75 mg every 8–10 weeks. A significant decrease in the mean uterine and myoma volumes was observed after six injections (42 and 38% respectively) (Figures 6–8).

The preoperative ‘young’ group

A total of 75 patients aged 34–48 years were scheduled for surgery. Of these, 41 constituted the study group (24 were planned for transabdominal hysterectomy (TAH) and 17 for myomectomies); 34 served as controls (21 TAH, and 13 myomectomies). The patients in the study group received two injections of depot triptorelin (3.75 mg) at monthly intervals prior to surgery. The controls received no injections. Uterine volume, the hormonal profile and the haemoglobin
Figure 5. Uterine volumes during 'on and off' protocol (Blumenfeld et al., 1991).

Figure 6. Serum oestradiol concentrations during 'long interval' treatment.

Figure 7. Uterine volume during 'long interval' treatment.
concentration were monitored. The duration of surgery, the amount of intraoperative blood loss and the need for blood transfusion, the duration of hospital stay and the post-operative complications were recorded. A statistically significant drop in uterine volume was observed in the study group, following 2 months of preoperative treatment (32%). The haemoglobin concentration increased just before surgery in this group, in comparison with the presurgery values in the control group, although the increase did not reach statistical significance. The duration of surgery was significantly shorter in the study group compared with the controls (49 versus 70 min in the TAH and 80 versus 96 min in the myomectomies). The intra-operative blood loss was statistically significantly lower in the study group, in comparison with the controls (208 versus 309 ml in the TAH and 320 versus 476 ml in the myomectomies). Fewer blood transfusions were, therefore, needed in the study group, although the difference could not be evaluated statistically because of the small numbers. No difference could be observed in hospital stay between the groups. Preoperative shrinkage of uterine fibroids by GnRHa administration was seen to effectively shorten the duration of surgery, diminish blood loss and the need for blood transfusions. We also feel that surgery following triptorelin injection is smoother and post-operative complications are fewer (Golan et al., 1993). Ylikovkala et al. (1995) in a randomized double-blind placebo-controlled study, confirmed the ability of preoperative GnRH treatment to increase low haemoglobin concentrations which in turn may reduce the need for blood transfusion. They found no objective benefit during surgery, such as duration and blood loss, but this may have been related to the less than optimal hypo-oestrogenism induced in their GnRHa-treated patients.

In endoscopic surgery, several studies utilizing preoperative preparation with GnRHa have been published: 150 cases of laparoscopic myectomy were reported by Mettler and Semm (1993). They concluded that the technique is easier, and decreased blood loss is incurred. In 114 reported cases of hysteroscopic surgery (58 submucous myomas), Perino et al. (1993) concluded that surgery was easier, with decreased blood loss, the duration of surgery was shorter and there was less
medium infused when preoperative GnRHa was administered. Donnez et al. (1990) reported 60 cases of hysteroscopic resection of submucous myomas and observed that surgery was easier, the haemoglobin concentration was higher and fluid absorption was lower following preoperative administration of GnRHa.

In conclusion, we can say that GnRHa is an important weapon today in the treatment of uterine myomas. There is no doubt of the value of its administration as an adjunct to surgery. This is particularly important in cases requiring endoscopic gynaecological surgery, where the decrease in the size of the uterus and the fibroids may be crucial. This has proved to be the cause for operative laparoscopy, as well as operative hysteroscopy. However, it still remains to establish the value of GnRHa and the best method of treatment in peri-menopausal patients in which they GnRHa administration may constitute an alternative to surgery.
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