No secular trend over the last decade in sperm counts among Swedish men from the general population
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INTRODUCTION: Based on historical data, a decline in sperm counts during the years 1940–1990 has been suggested and aetiologically linked to a concomitant increase in the incidence of testicular cancer. This study, focusing on possible changes in sperm parameters among young Swedish men, during the past 10 years, was specifically designed in order to answer the question of whether there is a continuing decline in sperm counts.

METHODS: During the period 2008–2010, 295 young (17–20 years; median 18) men born and raised in Sweden were recruited at the age they were supposed to undergo medical examination prior to military service. The participants filled in questionnaires, underwent andrological examination and delivered an ejaculate. Their semen parameters were compared with those of a similar cohort of men (n = 216) recruited in the year 2000–2001.

RESULTS: No significant changes (means; 2000–2001 versus 2008–2010) in sperm concentration (78 × 10⁶/ml versus 82 × 10⁶/ml; P = 0.54), semen volume (3.1 ml versus 3.0 ml; P = 0.26) or total sperm counts (220 × 10⁶ versus 250 × 10⁶; P = 0.18) were found. The proportion of progressively motile spermatozoa also remained unchanged.

CONCLUSIONS: Between the years 2000 and 2010 we found no evidence of time-related deterioration of semen parameters among young Swedish men from the general population. This finding does not exclude that such a decrease may have taken place before year 2000. If the risk of testicular cancer is linked to the sperm counts, the increase in incidence of this malignancy should be levelling off in southern Sweden in the next 10–15 years.
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Introduction

A time-related reduction in sperm counts in the Western world during 1940–1990 (Carlsen et al., 1992) has been suggested and aetiologically linked to a concomitant deterioration of other indices of male reproductive function, including an increased risk of hypospadia, cryptorchidism and testicular germ cell cancer (TGCC) (Skakkebaek et al., 2001). It has been suggested that this secular trend could be related to an increased exposure to chemicals with endocrine-disrupting potential or to lifestyle-related factors (Skakkebaek et al., 2001). Whereas the increase in the incidence of TGCC has been verified by using reliable register data (Richiardi et al., 2004), the quality of data for the other reproductive endpoints has been questioned (Olsén et al., 1995; Fisch et al., 1996, 2010; Saidi et al., 1999; Merzenich et al., 2010). The issue of a possible decline in sperm counts has attracted a lot of attention, from both the scientific community and laymen, possibly because it might have serious consequences for the fertility potential of current and future generations (Carlsen et al., 1992). However, the available literature dealing with secular trends in semen parameters so far is based on analyses of data not specifically collected for the study of time trends. This approach implies a lot of methodological problems since confounding factors, for example inter-laboratory variation in sperm concentration assessment, impact of abstinence time and ethnic differences, cannot be properly addressed. Therefore, the need for population-based prospective studies has been highlighted (Merzenich et al., 2010).

In 2000–2001 we performed a study of semen quality among military conscripts in southern Sweden (Richthoff et al., 2002). This cohort represented the general population of adolescent males.
To address the issue of secular trend in semen parameters, a new cohort was recruited in a similar manner in 2008–2010. All samples were analysed at the same laboratory. The idea of including these two cohorts was to investigate whether, during the past 10 years, there was a time-related trend in semen parameters among young men from the most southern part of Sweden.

Materials and Methods

Recruitment procedure


In 2000–2001 ~95% of all around 18-year-old Swedish men underwent medical health examination at the National Service Administration in Sweden (NSAS) concerning possible military service (Richthoff et al., 2002). Only men with serious chronic diseases were excluded from the examination. All 2255 men living <60 km from the city of Malmo and who underwent the examination from May to December 2000 were asked to participate. Out of these, 305 accepted and joined the study, which gave a participation rate of 14%. To minimize the effect of any difference of ethnicity between the two cohorts, only the 224 men born and raised in Sweden with mothers born and raised in Sweden were included in the present study. Eight of these men were excluded due to lack of information regarding abstinence time, missing sperm concentration or classification difficulties concerning smoking, which left 216 remaining men. Among those, 200 men (93%) were examined in the year 2000 and 16 men (7%) were examined in 2001.

Cohort B: 2008–2010

In 2008–2010, due to savings in the military budget, only 25% of all Swedish men around 18 years old underwent medical health examination at NSAS. During the period from 1 December 2008 to 27 May 2010, all the 1681 men who underwent the examination and who lived <60 km from the city of Malmo and were born and raised in Sweden with mothers born and raised in Sweden were asked to participate. Out of these, 241 accepted and joined the study, giving a participation rate of 14%. To reach a number of ~300 men in 18 months as in Cohort A, another sub-cohort of 73 men, 17–20 years old, fulfilling the above-mentioned criteria of residence and background were recruited through an advertisement in schools or as friends of participants. Of the total 314 subjects, 19 were excluded due to lack of information regarding abstinence time, missing sperm concentration or classification difficulties concerning smoking. Among the remaining 295 participants, three men (1.0%) were examined in December 2008, 133 men (45%) in 2009 and 159 men (54%) in 2010.

Both in 2000–2001 and in 2008–2010, the subjects were paid 500 SEK (~55 Euro) for their participation. They signed an informed consent form and the study was approved by the ethical committee of Lund University.

The background characteristics of the two cohorts are given in Table I. The most recent cohort presented with slightly lower average abstinence time and higher body mass index (BMI) as compared with the cohort from 2000–2001.


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Recruitment time</td>
<td>May 2000 to December 2001</td>
<td>December 2008 to May 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Way of recruitment</td>
<td>Physical examination prior to military service</td>
<td>76% physical examination prior to military service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>24% through advertisement in schools</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>22.6</td>
<td>23.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean age [range] (years)</td>
<td>18.2 [18–21]</td>
<td>18.0 [17–20]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cigarette smokers (%)</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treated for cryptorchidism (%)</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spontaneously descended cryptorchid testes (%)</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean abstinence time (h) [SD]</td>
<td>87 [62]</td>
<td>60 [34]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean [SD] total testicular volume by orchidometer (ml)</td>
<td>37 [8.4]</td>
<td>43 [7.6]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Varicocele Grade 2 or 3 (%)</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>6.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fully virilized (%)</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Physical examination and questionnaire

In Cohort A, one physician examined ~90% of the men and another physician examined the remaining 10% (Richthoff et al., 2002). In Cohort B, two experienced physicians examined 94% of the men, whereas two other physicians examined the remaining 6.0%. The examination included assessment of weight, height and virilization, and careful genital examination (Table I).

All participants were asked to fill in a questionnaire prior to the examination. The same questionnaire was used in 2008–2010 as in the previous study in 2000–2001. The men were asked for information about factors that might influence their reproductive function such as smoking, history of cryptorchidism and other previous diseases.

Semen analysis

The men were asked to keep 48–72 h of abstinence but in each case actual abstinence time was recorded. The proportion of subjects with abstinence time <48 h was 17% in Cohort A versus 39% in Cohort B. For 48–72 h, the proportions were 39% versus 36% and for >72 h proportions were 44% versus 25%, respectively. All men delivered a semen sample by masturbation into a wide-mouthed plastic container in a room at the laboratory. The samples were weighed to obtain the semen volume in millilitres. Following liquefaction, they were analysed according to the World Health Organization guidelines from 1999 (World Health Organization, 1999) and the ESHRE manual on Basic Semen Analysis (ESHRE, 2002), including duplicate assessments with comparisons of concentration and motility. Sperm concentration was assessed by use of a haemocytometer with improved Neubauer ruling. Positive displacement pipettes were used for proper dilution of the ejaculate. Laboratory assistants performed the analyses of the ejaculates in the laboratory, which is a reference laboratory for ESHRE-NAFA quality control.

Statistical methods

For continuous semen variables, linear regression analyses were used for estimating mean differences with 95% confidence intervals (CIs) between the two cohorts (A versus B) with abstinence time (five categories: <48,
The following semen parameters were dichotomized: sperm concentration (cut-off limits: 20 × 10^6/ml and 40 × 10^6/ml, respectively [the former level corresponds to the WHO 1999 lower range of normal sperm concentration (World Health Organization, 1999) and the latter to the limit of fully normal fecundity (Bonde et al., 1998; van der Steeg et al., 2010)] and progressive sperm motility (cut-off limit: 50%).

Fisher’s exact test was used when we compared the proportions of men in both cohorts having a history of surgical treatment for cryptorchidism after exclusion of subjects with missing data (six men from Cohort A and three from Cohort B). The same test was used for comparing the two cohorts with regard to proportions of men with a history of spontaneously descending cryptorchid testes, after excluding men not answering or not knowing (26 men from Cohort A and 24 from Cohort B).

SPSS version 17 and PASW version 18 were used for analyses.

**Results**

**Semen volume, sperm number and motility**

No statistically significant (all P-values ≥0.18) differences were observed between Cohort A and Cohort B regarding semen volume (mean difference: 0.15 ml lower in Cohort B; 95% CI: −0.11 to 0.41 ml), sperm concentration (mean difference: 3.7 × 10^6/ml higher in Cohort B than in cohort A; 95% CI: −8.0 to 15 × 10^6/ml) or total sperm counts (mean difference: 25 × 10^6 higher in Cohort B; 95% CI: −11 to 61 × 10^6) [Fig. 1 (though unadjusted) and Table II]. The proportion of progressively motile spermatozoa also did not differ between the two cohorts.

Analysing only men with an abstinence time of 48 h or more did not show any significant differences between Cohort A and Cohort B in semen volume (mean difference: 0.043 ml higher in Cohort A; 95% CI: −0.27 to 0.36 ml), sperm concentration (mean difference: 3.5 × 10^6/ml higher in Cohort B; 95% CI: −11 to 18 × 10^6/ml) or total sperm count (mean difference: 29 × 10^6 higher in Cohort B; 95% CI: −17 to 74 × 10^6).

None of the semen parameters in Cohort B differed between the sub-cohort recruited at NSAS and the sub-cohort recruited at schools or through already recruited friends (results not shown).

Comparing Cohort A with the sub-cohort of Cohort B consisting of only those recruited at NSAS gave similar results to those for the whole Cohort B (results not shown).

As compared with Cohort B, the proportions of men having a sperm concentration >20 × 10^6/ml or >40 × 10^6/ml did not statistically differ between the two cohorts (OR for Cohort A with Cohort B as reference: 1.0; 95% CI: 0.62–1.7 and 1.1; 95% CI: 0.77–1.7, respectively). The same was true for the proportion of men having progressive sperm motility at or above 50% [WHO 1999 lower limit (World Health Organization, 1999)] (OR: 1.1; 95% CI: 0.73–1.6).

**Risk of genital pathologies**

Five men (2.3%) in Cohort A and 6 men (2.0%) in Cohort B (P = 1.0) had a history of treatment of cryptorchidism. Spontaneously descending cryptorchid testes were reported by 8 men (3.8%) in Cohort A and 7 men (2.4%) in Cohort B (P = 0.43).

**Discussion**

The major finding of our study is lack of any statistically significant difference in seminal volume, sperm number and progressive motility when comparing adolescent men from the general Swedish population investigated in 2000–2001 and 2008–2010. These data, based on men from the general population and collected specifically with the aim of addressing the issue of secular trend in sperm parameters, indicate that no deterioration in major parameters of semen quality has taken place in southern Sweden during the past 8–10 years.

The major strength of the present study was use of standardized and similar recruitment procedures for collection of data from the two cohorts. This means that we only included men with very similar ages and from the same area. Standardized questionnaires for collecting information regarding potential confounders were used and sperm parameters were assessed in the same laboratory following WHO guidelines and having a continuous quality control. In line with the finding of unchanged semen parameters are the data showing a similar proportion of men with a history of cryptorchidism.

However, some potential weaknesses of the study still have to be taken into consideration. The participation rates were low in both

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cohort</th>
<th>A: 2000–2001 (n = 216)</th>
<th>B: 2008–2010 (n = 295)</th>
<th>Mean difference of adjusted means B – A (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sperm concentration (10^6/ml)</td>
<td>73 [60]</td>
<td>78 [72]</td>
<td>53 [0.1–39]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Semen volume (ml)</td>
<td>3.2 [1.3]</td>
<td>3.1 [1.6]</td>
<td>3.2 [0.3–7.6]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total sperm count (10^6)</td>
<td>210 [190]</td>
<td>220 [221]</td>
<td>170 [0.5–1200]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fraction of progressive sperm (%)</td>
<td>53 [17]</td>
<td>53 [19]</td>
<td>56 [0.0–81]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SD, standard deviation; 95% CI, 95% confidence interval of difference between adjusted mean values for Cohort A and Cohort B.

*Adjusted for BMI, abstinence time and smoking status.

cohorts (~14%) but, since adolescent men in general are not expected to have any knowledge or considerations regarding their reproductive capacity, selection bias based on fertility is unlikely. In a study similar to this with 16% of men delivering semen for analysis, the levels of the reproductive hormones FSH and Inhibin B were found to be the same in participants and non-participants (Andersen et al., 2000). The fact that in 2008–2010 only 25% of young men went through medical examination prior to military service, compared with nearly 95% in 2000–2001, could be considered as a source of potential selection of the most fit. However, the selection was not based on reproductive health, and men with serious health problems, which might affect even their reproductive capacity, were also excluded from military service in the early 2000s. Furthermore, the fact that BMI was higher in the later cohort, similar to the general time trend in Sweden, argues against a selection bias related to fitness and rather indicates that the groups were representative for the general adolescent populations. This assumption is even supported by finding similar semen parameters in men coming for health examination prior to military service and those recruited through either schools or participating friends.

Analysing only one semen sample from each man has been claimed insufficient due to significant intra-individual variation. However, two recent studies have shown that one ejaculate is fairly representative for the semen quality of a subject (Stokes-Riner et al., 2007; Rylander et al., 2009).

The findings of this study are in agreement with those of an earlier survey from the same area in Sweden based on semen samples from 718 men in infertile couples investigated from 1985 to 1995 (Berling and Wolner-Hanssen, 1997). In the comparison also, no significant change in sperm concentration be seen. Other findings that may strengthen our results are those of no decline in fecundability from 1983 to 2002 (Scheike et al., 2008) and in fertility from 1983 to 1999 (Akre et al., 1999) in Swedish couples.

In conclusion, during the last 10 years in southern Sweden, no obvious changes in semen quality seem to have taken place among young men from the general population. This does not exclude that such a decrease may have occurred before year 2000. If the risk of testicular cancer is linked to sperm counts, since the mean age for this malignancy is between 30 and 35 years, the continuous increase in the incidence of male gonadal cancer should be levelling off in this region in the next 10–15 years.
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