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The authors regret an error in the originally published In A Nutshell article. In the originally published version of the figure there were two errors. The first error concerned the second gonadotrophin group – this should be FSH-P instead of FSH-HP. The second error was the number of live births given in the FSH-P subgroup in the recombinant FSH column – this should be 171/825 instead of 171/1367.

The correct version of the figure is reproduced below.
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