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Synopsis
All terrestrial life is influenced by multi-directional flows of information about its environment, enabling malleable phenotypic change through signals, chemical processes, or various forms of energy that facilitate acclimatization. Billions of biological co-inhabitants of the earth, including all plants and animals, collectively make up a genetic/epigenetic ecosystem by which adaptation/survival (inputs and outputs) are highly interdependent on one another. As an ecosystem, the solar system, rotation of the planets, changes in sunlight, and gravitational pull influence cyclic epigenetic transitions and chromatin remodeling that constitute biological circadian rhythms controlling senescence. In humans, adverse environmental conditions such as poverty, stress, alcohol, malnutrition, exposure to pollutants generated from industrialization, man-made chemicals, and use of synthetic drugs can lead to maladaptive epigenetic-related illnesses with disease-specific genes being atypically activated or silenced. Nutrition and dietary practices are one of the largest facets in epigenetic-related metabolism, where specific “epi-nutrients” can stabilize the genome, given established roles in DNA methylation, histone modification, and chromatin remodeling. Moreover, food-based “epi-bioactive” constituents may reverse maladaptive epigenetic patterns, not only prior to conception and during fetal/early postnatal development but also through adulthood. In summary, in contrast to a static genomic DNA structure, epigenetic changes are potentially reversible, raising the hope for therapeutic and/or dietary interventions that can reverse deleterious epigenetic programing as a means to prevent or treat major illnesses.

Ecological epigenetics
All terrestrial living systems are self-organizing entities subject to diverse environmental influences that mediate transformation through biological signals, chemical processes, or various forms of energy. Diverse plants and animals collectively make up an epigenetically symbiotic ecosystem wherein adaptation/survival (inputs and outputs) are highly interdependent on one another (Latzel et al. 2013; Vandegehuchte and Janssen 2013). Epigenetics is the basis for continual transformation of almost every biological process within the ecosystem required for adaptation to a dynamic environment. The molecular events associated with transformation involve heritable, sustainable, or reversible chemical modifications of the histones that surround DNA, leading to altered tertiary, higher-ordered nucleosomal positions stabilizing either euchromatin (for transcribed genes) or compact heterochromatin (silenced genes). This allows for short-term flexible phenotypic changes to be controlled by the environment without changing the sequence of base pairs within slowly evolving genomic DNA. Analogous epigenetic mechanisms reportedly occur in bacteria, fungi, worms, flies, yeast, plants, algae, fish, mammals, and other biological entities. A combination of slow evolutionary genetic transformation of DNA, concomitant to rapid epigenetic flexibility has enabled terrestrial survival throughout millions of years, despite incredible transitions of atmosphere, where life formed in the absence of oxygen. (Jeltsch 2013)

An epigenetic relay within living systems can be triggered by just about any environmental signal, including UV light (Dong et al. 2012), atmospheric pressure, food supply, plant nutrients, water supply (Gayacharan and Joel 2013), oxygen (Brand and Ratan 2013), chemicals, temperature (Cao et al. 2013), and climatic change. Moreover, in today’s
society, there is an ever-growing accumulation of non-natural environmental products derived from man-made ingenuity that contribute largely to the atmosphere around us (Combs-Orme 2013). This is evidenced by the ascent in pollution, changes in climate or in emissions of gas, the accumulation of non-biodegradable materials (Rossi et al. 2013; Vandegheuvel and Janssen 2013) and alterations in the protective ozone layer (Andersen et al. 2013). With man’s domination of earth, we are now entering an unprecedented change within the environmental ecosystem, not only by introduction of synthetic biomass, but also man-made genetic manipulations of meat and plant products, which are modified for superior traits such as to eliminate natural variation in the vitamin content of crops (Miret and Munne-Bosch 2013) or to promote stress-tolerant, disease-resistant transgenic plants. (Bawa and Anilkumar 2013; Kujur et al. 2013)

On a macromolecular scale, the solar system, planetary rotation, and gravitational pull constitute major epigenetic influences on all biological life, manifest as circadian rhythms within every species, tissue organ, and cell (Mittendorf 2013). Circadian epigenetic phenotype transitions involve timed patterns of DNA methylation or histone modifications of genes associated with reproduction, sleep, and seasonal memory (Satake and Iwasa 2012; Stevenson and Prendergast 2013) and are responsible for the chronology of life (life cycle), a facet still not well understood but likely involving silencing/expression of clock genes such as Bmal1 (Aguilar-Arnal et al. 2013; Eckel-Mahan and Sassone-Corsi 2013). That said, the field of epigenetics requires not only the study of genetics and biochemistry, but also medicine, astronomy, nutrition, and history all of which investigate the transformation and survival of life; past, present, and future.

Evolution: origin of the species

Although scientific advances in epigenetics are recent, natural observation describing the same have been recorded by man throughout history. For example, after Darwin published the literary work entitled “On the Origin of Species” (1859) in which he described natural selection (Crews 2011), he continued to question himself on the unknown elements responsible for superior trait inheritance with changes in the environment. In a hypothetical manifesto on “Pathogenesis,” he proposed that small entities called “gemmules” could communicate information from the environment to an organism, being capable of modification or rearrangement, enabling transfer of superior traits in progeny during embryonic development (Geison 1969). Darwin was also conceptually correct, albeit not current with modern technology or instrumental medical capabilities, when he described the term “gemmules.” These are similar to what is now called DNA, which was not elucidated until the 1950s. Prior to modern technology, Darwin was struggling to conceptualize what could account for rapid heritable phenotypic change in response to the environment. This field of study has matured from obscure definition, with discovery of DNA methylation and histone acetylation in the 1960s to the current molecular sequencing capabilities that have elucidated genomic imprinting, X-chromosome inactivation, exchange of histone octomer cores, histone-tail modifications (e.g., acetylation, methylation, phosphorylation, and biotinylation), chromatin remodeling complexes, high-mobility group proteins, prions, lamins, and non-coding RNAs—all of which contribute to epigenetic controls over phenotype (Mazzio and Soliman 2012). The separation between genomic sequence and epigenetic control originates with methylation of DNA at CpGs, compounded by a complex series of co-coordinated epigenetic proteins, enzymes, repression platforms, nucleosomal remodeling complexes, and dynamic forces that control the flexibility of histones surrounding DNA. The pliability of histones surrounding DNA either results in ejection/expansion where genes are to be transcribed or nucleosomal constriction/mechanical barricade surrounding genes are to be silenced (Fig. 1).

In this century, there exists a new paradigm called the DOHaD (developmental origins of adult diseases) hypothesis (de Boo and Harding 2006). The DOHaD hypothesis has similarities to Darwin’s observations, but reflects changes in current scientific understanding and considers the future of scientific applications for human disease. While our historical relatives were focused on ensuring provision of basic foods through hunting and farming, our current generation, having an ample supply of food and modern medicine, can focus on quality of life and on the influence of environment on the risk of disease. The DOHaD hypothesis suggests that negative cues such as stress or malnutrition during fetal development, can alter the outcome of birth and augment the risk of fetal mortality and of morbidities in offspring, such as diabetes, cardiovascular disease, and cancers (Duque-Guimaraes and Ozanne 2013) that affect approximately two-thirds of the world’s population. (James 2006)

The DOHaD hypothesis introduces the concept that the fetus experiences phenotypic epigenetic
adjustments based on maternal environmental signals serving to prepare itself for survival in the outside world (de Boo and Harding 2006; Bezek et al. 2008; Brenseke et al. 2013). Likewise, paternal epigenetic transference of environmental information occurs during sperm development from a maturing germ cell to the fertilized zygote carrying forward changes in DNA methylation, histone modification, chromosome organization, and non-coding RNAs (Castillo et al. 2014; DelCurto et al. 2013; Soubry et al. 2014). Subsequently, peri-natal and early post-natal periods constitute one of the most critical windows of opportunity for epigenetic transformation in both the fetus and development of the fetal reproductive system, which affect future progeny (Fig. 2). In human development, epigenetic modification of neurological and cognitive functions are critically important, given an intellectually based environment (Li et al. 2013a) in which learning, behavioral conditioning, language, and capacity for communication are needed for human survival (Schneider et al. 2014). Even the process of language...
itself is considered an external epigenetic trait of learned behaviors as a part of soft programing within a hard-wired DNA genome (Boeckx and Leivada 2013).

From childhood to adulthood, both developmental and learned epigenetic patterns remain etched and stable but are reversible and easily modified by dramatic events and/or epi-modifying chemicals such as 5-aza-cytidine trichostatin A, suberoylanilide (Zolinza), sodium butyrate (Li and Chen 2012; Seo et al. 2013) and epigenetic nutrients which are a diverse class of natural polyphenolics or food-based nutrients that control DNA methylation and histone modifications (Zhang and Chen 2011; Ouedraogo et al. 2011; Park et al. 2012; Henning et al. 2013; Guerrero-Bosagna and Skinner 2014). While epinutrients can provide a means of stabilizing the genome, adverse environmental influences brought about by poverty, malnutrition, life-related stress, lack of education, and disparities in health (Thayer and Kuzawa 2011; Brockie et al. 2013; Combs-Orme 2013; Owen et al. 2013), physical inactivity, smoking, and alcohol consumption or use of drugs, can shift the adult epigenome toward morbidity (Maccani and Knopik 2012).

Epigenetics can extend to several generations. For example, the longevity of transgenerational genomic inheritance patterns (if not repeated) will dissipate after the first or second generation (e.g., diethylstilbestrol, DES) or up to the fourth generation (e.g., methoxychlor and vinclozolin) (Titus-Ernstoff et al. 2008; Skinner and Guerrero-Bosagna 2009).

**Fig. 2** The epigenome is flexible, most impressionable during prenatal and early developmental periods, impacted by environment, replicated through mitosis and can yield long-lasting phenotypic effects that remain stable through adulthood. Adverse impact on epigenetic development can yield maladaptive phenotypic consequences, potentially contributing greater risk of developing adult-onset disease in offspring and subsequent progeny.

**Nutritional environment: signal of major consequences**

One of the most rudimentary influences on the epigenome is dietary composition, simply because food is essential for survival of all biological entities. It is estimated that >60% of deaths are related to how, and what, we eat, rather than to environmental triggers or, in some cases, even to genetic predispositions (Palou 2006). Nutritional status and biological outcome have long been reported in literature describing the negative effects of malnutrition/vitamin deficiencies on health status and generational effects in offspring (Jordan et al. 1977; Lumey 1992; Locksmith and Duff 1998). Nutritional deficits during pregnancy are particularly critical, often precipitating low weight or length at birth, preterm births, and greater risk of transgenerational pathologies manifest as anemia, resistance to leptin or insulin, hypertension, hepatic steatosis, cardiovascular disorders, cancer, and neurological disorders (Wu et al. 2012; Lukaszewski et al. 2013). Low weight at birth is in and of itself reciprocally correlated to increased susceptibility to diabetes, obesity, and cardiovascular disease in later life (Barnes and Ozanne 2011; Koletzko et al. 2012; Paternain et al. 2012; Li et al. 2013b), and is indicative of dysfunctional epigenetic regulatory activity (DNA methylation/histone
modifications) of fetal developmental genes. (Haggarty et al. 2013)

**Epi-nutrients**

Specific nutrients required for metabolic methylation pathways can directly affect the epigenome to a greater degree than others due to their functional roles in driving DNA methylation/histone modifications (Kussmann and Van Bladeren 2011; DelCurto et al. 2013). These include dietary amino acids such as lysine (required for histone tail residue modifications); methionine [a precursor of S-adenosylmethionine (SAM) methyl donor]; vitamins B6, B12, and B2; folic acid; biotin choline/betaine; and specific minerals (Mg, Zn) (Muskie 2005; Oommen et al. 2005; Rush et al. 2014) that collectively drive 1-carbon metabolism and nucleotide biosynthesis. These epi-nutrients maintain chromatin epigenetic architecture throughout life, and when lacking, particularly during fetal development (Muskie 2005), can precipitate dysfunctional DNA silencing patterns associated with greater risk of serious neural-tube defects, insulin resistance (Rush et al. 2014), autism-spectrum disorders (ASD), maladaptive social/language skills, or other diseases that in common have distinct aberrant epigenetic chromatin marks, histone variants, and DNA methylation on disease-relevant gene promoters (Lasalle 2013). Epi-nutrients also include other food-based substances, such as tryptophan and niacin, that are required for nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide-mediated poly (ADP-ribosylation) of histones or DNA repair (Oommen et al. 2005), or carbs/proteins to provide acetyl donors that can effect global methylation and expression of DNMT1, DNMT3a, and DNMT3b during fetal development (Altmann et al. 2012).

**One-carbon metabolism**

The importance of maternal epi-nutrient status on the outcome of pregnancy was originally demonstrated in the Agouti mouse model, where offspring of maternally epi-nutrient deficient dams had distinctive aberrant phenotypes and color coats correlated to loci-specific DNA methylation (Kim et al. 2009). In humans, epi-nutrient deficits can result in grave birth defects such as autism, neural-tube defects; impaired memory, learning, and cognitive and behavioral function; and psychiatric illnesses, including schizophrenia, bipolar syndrome, and depression, (Sugden 2006; Kubota et al. 2012; Miyake et al. 2012; Lasalle 2013; Stolk et al. 2013), in addition to substantiating elevated risk for adult-onset diseases (Kim 2005).

One of the most important nutrient regulators of 1-carbon metabolism is folic acid, which must be supplied through the diet as a requirement for methylation, the synthesis of purine nucleotides/thymidylate for DNA, and chromosomal stability (Oommen et al. 2005; Stover 2011; Gueant et al. 2013). An in utero folate deficiency correlates to elevated risk of neural-tube defects such as spina bifida in addition to poor birth-outcome, still births, preterm births, hyperglycemia, anemia, learning disabilities, insulin intolerance, and potential transgenerational transmission of maladaptive phenotypes carried to adulthood (Muskie 2005; Gueant et al. 2013; Krishnaveni et al. 2014). Mutations of methyltetrahydrofolate reductase can mimic many of the aforementioned effects in offspring, where oral supplementation of folate can effectively reduce infant mortality, and render a higher incidence of twin births associated with in vitro fertilization (Haggarty et al. 2006).

In adults, a major consequence of folate deficiency involves impaired methylation concomitant to a build-up of homocysteine “hyperhomocysteinemia.” This deficiency corresponds to a greater risk of developing cardiovascular disease (Fiorito et al. 2014), neurodegenerative disease, and diabetes (Ji and Kaplowitz 2004) and consequently administration of foliates to adults could be beneficial for overall health and serve to stabilize the epigenome. This treatment would counter the genome-wide DNA hypomethylation that occurs with gene-specific promoter hypermethylation and that, in turn, leads to age-related epigenetic illnesses. (Park et al. 2012)

Additional dietary nutrients involved with 1-carbon metabolism include choline and betaine, also compulsory to drive methylation of homocysteine to methionine (requiring folate and vitamin B12). Choline must be supplied through the diet by nutrient-rich foods such as lecithin, broccoli, and wheat germ. Adequate maternal intake of choline during pregnancy can reduce risk of impaired learning and memory in offspring (Zeisel 2007). Choline is unique in having a dual function, not only in methylation but as a precursor to acetylcholine (required for learning and memory), with capability to protect against hippocampal neurophysiological defects (Lucassen et al. 2013), to promote postnatal spatial memory, enhance dendritic density in the dentate gyrus (required for memory) and avert the decline of memory observed in aged rats (Meck et al. 2007). Choline-rich supplements could yield promising epigenetic prevention of Alzheimer’s disease in aging adults (Fuso and Scarpa 2011) and autistic disorders in children (Hamlin et al. 2013).
A deficiency of choline in adults can precipitate epigenetic dysfunction and hyperhomocysteinemia associated with organ dysfunction, particularly in fatty liver (Zeisel 2008; Corbin and Zeisel 2012).

Dietary methionine is also a component of 1-carbon metabolism; readily converted to SAM by methionine adenosyltransferase driving epigenetic modification (Krzystanek et al. 2011). SAM has a number of roles in epigenetic machinery, not only as a major methyl donor, but also as a precursor for cysteine—a rate limiting amino acid for endogenous production of glutathione (James 2013). This bi-functional requirement for SAM suggests that oxidative stress may rob the epigenetic cycle of needed elements, where reactive oxygen species are evident not only in the process of aging, but also in the pathology of preeclampsia and preterm birth leading to poor birth outcome (D’Souza et al. 2013). Likewise, obesity during pregnancy can lead to transcriptional activation of oxidative stress-related genes which correlate to abnormal epigenetic marks such as H4 (Ac)/(me2) in male offspring (Strakovsky et al. 2014).

It is important to understand that epi-nutrient deficiencies can indirectly occur through external environmental cues operating on the developing fetus, such as infections, stress, metals or drugs like marijuana that deplete biological nutrients (Brown 2011). In many cases, secondary epi-nutrient deficiencies can arise from lifestyle habits such as excessive alcohol intake and cigarette smoking, which correlate to alternations in DNA methylation during fetal development (Reinius et al. 2013; Steegers-Theunissen et al. 2013) possibly arising from secondary deficiencies of B-vitamins and/or hyperhomocysteinemia (Heese et al. 2012; Varela-Rey et al. 2013). Non-nutrient factors can also directly impact epigenetic function (independent of epi-nutrient deficiencies) such as exposure to heavy metals (Cheng et al. 2012; Tsang et al. 2012), combative toxins (Papoutsi et al. 2013), synthetic chemicals such as perfluoroalkyl acids (Watkins et al. 2014), pesticides, hydrocarbons, dioxins, and endocrine disrupting chemicals that can impair epigenetic machinery directly (Vandegehuchte and Janssen 2013).

The complexities of nutrition on the developing fetus and epigenome can also extend beyond our understanding of epi-nutrients, where nutrients that have a lesser understood role in DNA methylation, histone modifications, ncRNA, etc. can also predict the health of a developing fetus. A good example of this is a maternal vitamin A deficiency being associated with improper silencing of important genes such as GATA4, rendering greater risk of cardiovascular disease in offspring (Feng et al. 2013).

**Nutrients that can modify or reverse epigenetic change**

In adults, the reversible nature of the epigenome can be subject to modifications by nutrient-rich bioactive foods termed epi-bioactives (DelCurto et al. 2013). Adult-onset diseases often involve profound global genome-wide changes in DNA methylation and chromosomal instability (Choi et al. 2013); these could be reversed by epi-nutrients or drugs that serve as epigenetic modifiers on DNA methyltransferases (DNMTs) or histone deacetylases (HDACs). A growing area of research is in the identification of epi-bioactives that directly modify the epigenome, some of which include phytoestrogens, fatty acids, garlic, resveratrol, curcumin, sulforaphane, proanthocyanidins, genistein and green-tea compounds; these can influence DNA methylation, alter histone structure (Ouedraogo et al. 2011; Park et al. 2012; Zhang and Chen 2011; Henning et al. 2013; Guerrero-Bosagna and Skinner 2014) and augment repair of damaged DNA (Shankar et al. 2013), thereby attenuating risk of adult human diseases. (Malireddy et al. 2012; Shankar et al. 2013). Other epi-bioactives capable of modifying chromatin include coffee polyphenols, ellagitannin, indol-3-carbinol, diindolylmethane, ursodeoxycholic, malanline, nordihydroguaiaretic acid, lycopene, dihydrocoumarin, cambinol, anacardic acid, garcinol, parthenolide, and chaetocin. (Huang et al. 2011)

Dietary practices and the macromolecular composition of the diet can also influence chromosomal stability. Recent studies suggest that adhering to caloric restriction or to the Mediterranean diet can positively influence epigenetic stability in adults and correlate to reduced incidence of pathological phenotypes (Chrysohoou and Stefanadis 2013; Tollefsbol 2014), with attenuation of age-related histone modifications, DNA methylation or modulating microRNAs that regulate disease-specific genes (Shah et al. 2012; Martin et al. 2013). Other potential avenues for development of epi-bioactives include identification of those that could combat obesity in adults and fat deposition, such as apple polyphenols (Boque et al. 2013), or new drugs that could target epigenetic regulatory mechanisms in adipose tissue of individuals who are resistant to weight-loss intervention (Moleres et al. 2013) or hypocaloric diets (Milagro et al. 2011).

Future work likely will continue to reveal more information on epigenetic machinery, potentially
new epi-nutrients and their functional roles, and epi-bioactives with capability to reverse or change DNA methylation, and higher-order chromatin around critical genes associated with pathologic processes from embryonic development to adulthood. The possibilities for future research on the nutrition of developing fetuses are limitless. In current literature, most studies involve, in one way or another, a correlation of an environmental impact with changes in histone modification marks or with the status of DNA methylation in promoter regions of candidate genes, and a resulting phenotype established in subsets of diseased versus healthy populations.
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