Molecular Evidence for Convergence and Parallelism in Evolution of Complex Brains of Cephalopod Molluscs: Insights from Visual Systems
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Synopsis Coleoid cephalopods show remarkable evolutionary convergence with vertebrates in their neural organization, including (1) eyes and visual system with optic lobes, (2) specialized parts of the brain controlling learning and memory, such as vertical lobes, and (3) unique vasculature supporting such complexity of the central nervous system. We performed deep sequencing of eye transcriptomes of pygmy squids (Idiosepius paradoxus) and chambered nautiluses (Nautilus pompilius) to decipher the molecular basis of convergent evolution in cephalopods. RNA-seq was complemented by in situ hybridization to localize the expression of selected genes. We found three types of genomic innovations in the evolution of complex brains: (1) recruitment of novel genes into morphogenetic pathways, (2) recombination of various coding and regulatory regions of different genes, often called “evolutionary tinkering” or “co-option”, and (3) duplication and divergence of genes. Massive recruitment of novel genes occurred in the evolution of the “camera” eye from nautilus’ “pinhole” eye. We also showed that the type-2 co-option of transcription factors played important roles in the evolution of the lens and visual neurons. In summary, the cephalopod convergent morphological evolution of the camera eyes was driven by a mosaic of all types of gene recruitments. In addition, our analysis revealed unexpected variations of squids’ opsins, retinochromes, and arrestins, providing more detailed information, valuable for further research on intra-ocular and extra-ocular photoreception of the cephalopods.

Introduction

Coleoid cephalopods (squids, cuttlefishes, and octopuses) have been viewed as amazing illustrations of independent origins of complex neural and sensory structures, and possess the most highly centralized brains of any group of invertebrates (Akimushkin 1963; Zullo and Hochner 2011). Three remarkable examples of convergent evolution of neural organization in cephalopods are: (1) eyes and visual systems, including optic lobes (Fig. 1A) (Young 1962); (2) the vertical lobes—a specialized center that controls learning and memory—the analogs of the mammalian hippocampus (Hochner et al. 2006); and (3) the unique vasculature that supports such unprecedented complexity of the invertebrate brain (Abbott and Miyahara 1995). Here, by combining comparative transcriptomics and in situ hybridization, we use various molecular markers to trace cephalopods’ molecular innovations in visual systems. The Nautilus genomic data are especially important for
understanding molluscan evolution, since this lineage has a simpler cord-like neuronal organization resembling a basic molluscan tetraneury (i.e., the system of four lateral cords and cephalic loops as in chitons) (Moroz 2009), and a simple “pinhole” type of eye (hereafter pinhole eye) (Fig. 1B).

The “camera” type of eye (hereafter camera eye) of humans/vertebrates and cephalopods has been described as a classical example of convergent evolution (Carlson 1985), sharing many structural similarities in morphological organization, but independently evolved from a common bilaterian ancestor without camera eyes (Brusca and Brusca 2003). Ogura et al. (2004) used small scale sequencing (Sanger EST) to identify over 1000 non-redundant genes in octopuses eyes. They concluded that 70% of annotated genes are commonly expressed in the eyes of humans and of Octopus, and 96% of these genes date back to the common bilaterian ancestor. What are the roles of these “shared” genes in the independent development of camera eyes?

Gehring and Ikeo (1999) proposed three types of “gene intercalation” leading to a new structure or function: (1) recruitment of novel genes into morphogenetic pathways by the fusion of an enhancer or promoter; (2) the recombination of various coding and regulatory regions of different genes, often called “evolutionary tinkering” or “co-option”; and (3) duplication and divergence of genes. Thus, we applied tissue-specific RNA-seq and comparative genomics to study how the above three categories might contribute to the morphological evolution of cephalopods’ neural and visual systems.

Even more important aspects of convergent morphological evolution are the origins of novel cellular components in complex organs. When considering the transition from a simple prototype of an eye to the camera eye, there is evidence for the concurrent evolution of many novel cellular components such as cornea, lens, muscles, connective tissues, photoreceptors, and primary and secondarily visual neurons, as well as motor and protective circuits (Fig. 1A). All
these parts are required to make and support the complex functions of camera eyes. Consequently, we attempted to identify novel or lineage-specific divergence of genes involved in the molecular make up of the cephalopod eyes and visual centers. Our rationale in this article is to categorize cephalopod-specific and eye-specific genes based on comparative genomics. To this end, we present unexpected variation of the opsins and arrestins related to the cephalopod intra-ocular and extra-ocular photoreceptive systems.

**Materials and methods**

**Transcriptome and assemblies**

We used the embryonic samples of *Idiosepius* and *Nautilus* as well as their adult tissues to capture regulatory genes critical for systemic development of the eye and lens across species. For embryonic eye transcriptomics (RNA-seq) analysis, we utilized assemblies (stage 25 embryos of the pygmy squid, *Idiosepius paradoxus* and 3-month-old embryos of the chambered nautilus, *Nautilus pompilius*) obtained by Ogura et al. (2013). For adult *Idiosepius* and *Nautilus*, we generated novel sets of RNA-seq data. Tissues of *Idiosepius* and *Nautilus* were removed and homogenized in TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen) immediately after the animals were sacrificed. To minimize possible nucleotide polymorphism, we utilized a single individual of *Nautilus*. However, due to small sizes of *Idiosepius*, we pooled tissues from several individuals. Total RNAs were isolated according to the manufacture’s protocol, followed by on column DNase treatment using a QIAGEN RNeasy kit. Qualities of the RNAs were tested by Agilent Nanodrop and Agilent 2100 bioanalyzer. The RNA samples were sent to the BGI Inc and short read sequences were obtained by Illumina Hiseq2000 according to the company’s procedures.

FASTQ sequences of *Idiosepius* or *Nautilus* were pooled into one dataset and were assembled using the Trinity platform (Grabherr et al. 2011). To obtain normalized intensities of gene expression across tissues (fragments per kilobase per million reads, FPKM), reads from each sample was mapped onto the Trinity assembly with Bowtie (Langmead et al. 2009) and analyzed with RSEM (Li and Dewey 2011) and edgeR (Robinson et al. 2010). In the assembly procedure, variants of putative alternative splicing (sub-components of the Trinity output) were estimated as different contigs, but we merged variants from one sub-component based on the “%comp_fpkm” values of edgeR output. Analytical pipelines on a NIG Cell Innovation program (http://cell-innovation.nig.ac.jp/) were used with the annotation steps to the assembled contigs.

Data from the eyes of *Idiosepius* were assembled together with data from brain, arm, gonad, and gut. Contigs shorter than 500 bp and FPKM less than 1 were filtered out. Contigs that passed the criteria are used as “the eye genes”. Data from *Nautilus* eyes were assembled together with data from brain, arm, and siphuncule and processed in the same way. Sequence homology was tested using NCBI BLAST 2.2.30+ (Camacho et al. 2008) after filtering out genes shorter than 500 bp to remove gene fragments having traceability. For comparative analysis, we obtained gene models from two gastropods, the sea hare *Aplysia californica* (ApCal3.0, GCF_000002075.1, July 2013) and the giant owl limpet *Lottia gigantea* (Lotg1, INSDC Assembly GCA_000327385.1, January 2013); the Pacific oyster, *Crassostrea gigas* (oyster_v9, INSDC Assembly GCA_000297895.1, September 2012); the polychaete annelid, *Capitella telata* (Capitella teleta v1.0, INSDC Assembly GCA_000328365.1, December 2012); the fly, *Drosophila melanogaster* (BDGP6, INSDC Assembly GCA_000001215.4) and human (GRCh38, INSDC Assembly GCA_000001405.15, December 2013) from Ensembl. Eye transcriptome data from human fetuses were obtained from an EST analysis by Choy et al. (2006). Choy et al. (2006) listed 4010 human gene models as the fetal eye genes using the previous human genome build. However, 669 genes were missing in the current human genome build (the Ensembl Human Build 38). To compensate, we obtained EST sequences from NCBI (BY794942-BY800475) and used these sequences in the search for homology.

**Molecular phylogenetic analysis**

The nucleotide sequences obtained in this study are available under the following accession numbers: [DDB]: LC021432-LC021456 and listed in Supplementary Table S2. For each set of genes (opsins, arrestins, and crystallins), we obtained 97, 16, and 31 sequences from the NCBI and made alignments together with 7, 3, and 14 cephalopod sequences found in this study, respectively. The NCBI accession numbers of the genes are shown in the respective figures.

We used MUSCLE on the EMBL-EBI Web Services to generate a multiple sequence alignment (Edgar 2004; McWilliam et al. 2013). To remove poorly aligned sequences we used TrimAl v1.4.rev15 build[2013-12-17] with -gappyout option (Capella-Gutierrez et al. 2009). Maximum-likelihood inference of phylogenetic trees was inferred using
RAxML version 8.0.26 (-f a -No. 1000 -m PROTGAMMAGTR options were applied) (Stamatakis 2014). One thousand bootstrap replicates were performed with the same search options as described above.

**In situ hybridization**

To generate *Idiosepius* Tbx20 DIG-labeled RNA targeted probes, we performed RT-PCR using the following primer set (F: ACCAGCCTCGAATTCACATC, R: GGAGGCCAATAATGGAAAG). To generate the *Idiosepius* cDNA, we utilized SMARTer RACE kit (Takara Clontech). The PCR fragments obtained from the RT-PCR were sub-cloned into T-vector (Takara Clontech). The PCR fragments obtained from the RT-PCR were sub-cloned into T-vector (Takara Clontech). Whole-mount in situ hybridization was performed using stage 25 embryos of *Idiosepius* according to the previously published protocol (Yoshida et al. 2010).

**Results and discussion**

**Comparative transcriptomics of eyes and gene recruitment types**

To characterize the scope of genomic differences between eyes of different cephalopods, we compared RNA-seq data between *Idiosepius*, and those of *Nautilus*. We analyzed both embryonic (Ogura et al. 2013) and adult eyes (this study). For the embryonic data, we used recently sequenced genomes of two other molluscs, *Lottia* and *Crassostrea* (Zhang et al. 2013) and the annelid *Capitella* (Simakov et al. 2013) to test the taxon-specificity of differently expressed genes. Based on TBLASTX and BLASTN homology searches (Fig. 2A; e-value cutoff ≤1e^-5), about 50% of *Idiosepius* genes (3058 out of 6331) are differentially expressed in squid eyes. Surprisingly, most of these genes (78%; 2385 out of 3058) are novel, apparently squid-specific, and don’t have homologs in any of the animal genomes we analyzed (*Aplysia, Lottia, Capitella, Crassostrea, Homo, and Drosophila*).

These novel genes are presumed to be protein coding since 79% (2415 out of 3058) have an open reading frame longer than 100 aa. These data suggest that massive recruitment of novel, protein-coding genes (the type [1] gene recruitment) played important roles in the evolution of the camera eye from the *Nautilus*’ pinhole eye.

Only a small portion of the total pool of expressed genes (40 or 1.1%) has homologs in the eye transcriptome of human fetuses (Choy et al. 2006) and might be the subject of parallel evolution between these two lineages. These genes are interesting examples of the type [2] co-option model of the evolution of novelties (Supplementary Table S1). As shown previously (Ogura et al. 2013), genes commonly expressed in the eyes of *Nautilus* and *Idiosepius* such as rhodopsin and eye field transcription factors are thought to be essential for the function and development of photoreceptors. The obtained RNA-seq dataset for the eyes of adult *Nautilus* and *Idiosepius* suggests the same trends (Fig. 2B). Nevertheless, more than half of the genes expressed in the eyes of human fetuses do have homologs in the genomes of *Nautilus* and *Idiosepius*, but they are not expressed in cephalopod eyes.

**Gene recruitments in convergent evolution of lens**

In the following two subsections, we will summarize the scope of gene recruitments related to cellular components of the cephalopod camera eyes and brains.

There are remarkable differences between the composition of lens proteins and their regulators in the camera eyes of vertebrates versus cephalopods. The lens proteins of squids are formed by cells surrounding the lens (Tomarev et al. 1997). Our in situ hybridization screen showed that a transcription factor, *Six3*, is co-localized in the lens-forming cells (Ogura et al. 2013). It is notable that *Nautilus* doesn’t express *Six3* homolog in the equivalent staged embryo.

*Pax6* triggers expression of the lens protein in vertebrates (reviewed by Cvekl and Piatigorsky 1996; Cvekl et al. 2004). However, this might not be the case in the cephalopods, since the squid *Pax-6* doesn’t co-localize in the lens-forming cells (Tomarev et al. 1997). Instead, regulatory element AP-1/ARE (Tomarev et al. 1994) and miRNAs (miR-124, miR-125b, and let-7) were shown to be involved (Bitel et al. 2012) as the squid-lens’s regulatory mechanisms. Since both AP-1/ARE and the microRNAs are also present in the vertebrates; these are clear examples of type [2] gene recruitments.

Vertebrates’ lenses are composed of proteins with sequence similarity to heat-shock proteins, lactate dehydrogenase, or alcohol dehydrogenases (Piatigorsky 2003). In contrast, crystallins of cephalopods convergently evolved from different classes of proteins (Piatigorsky and Wistow 1989). For example, squids’ lenses are mainly composed of S-crystallins, which are derived from glutathione S-transferase (Tomarev et al. 1995). This is a classic example of type [1] gene recruitment.

The sequenced *Idiosepius* transcriptome has mRNAs encoding three full-length and seven partial lens proteins (Fig. 3). The genes show repetitive
duplication, and the number of duplications varies across species of cephalopods (Fig. 3). This suggests that the number of crystallin genes differs depending on the squid species, and that phylogenetic clustering does not correlate with expression patterns. For example, *Idiosepius crystallin* 1 and *crystallin* 2 showed different expression patterns, even though the genes have a stable sister relationship: *crystallin* 1 is eyespecific but *crystallin* 2 is expressed throughout all tissues tested (Fig. 3).

Sweeney et al. (2007) identified long-loop peripherally-located and short-loop centrally-located crystallins. The long-loop crystallins are secondarily derived and produce a high refractive index in the lens (Sweeney et al. 2007). Both *crystallin* 1 and *crystallin* 2 make a stable cluster with other long-loop crystallins, which raises the question of why the long-loop crystallins are expressed throughout the body. There are two possible explanations for this. First, the *Idiosepius* crystallins expressed throughout the body may maintain their original function, protecting cells from oxidative stress. Since some of the lens proteins of squids are known to retain some enzymatic activity (Tomarev et al. 1995), the duplication of genes may be advantageous in protection from UV irradiation or high metabolism, even if the crystallin has low enzymatic activity. Second, evolutionary ratios of the protein region and regulator region might not be equal.

**Convergent evolution of vision centers**

Specialized parts of the brain controlling visual information provide another insight into the evolution of the visual systems of cephalopods. We identified several cephalopod-specific genes differentially expressed in the vision centers. Optic lobes evolved as layered structures used in processing visual information. In fact, the optic lobe is the largest lobe of the brain in all cephalopods and contains approximately 128,940,000 neurons in *Octopus* (Young 1963). The cortical layer superficially resembles the organization of the deeper layer of the retina in vertebrates (Young 1971). Small amacrine cells and multipolar horizontal cells with spreading dendrites are morphologically similar to their counterparts in vertebrates (Budelmann et al. 1997; Nixon and Young 2003). *Nautilus*’ optic lobes are simpler than those of *Octopus* and lack a granular cell layer (Nixon and Young 2003). Our *in situ* hybridization screen revealed that two transcription factors, *Ets4* and *Tbx20*, were selectively expressed in several different neuronal populations in the optic lobe.
(Fig. 4) with Ets4 localized in the cortical layer (Yoshida and Ogiura 2011). The patterning appears to be a cephalopod novelty since in vertebrates Ets and Tbx genes are used in regulation of mesoderm-derived tissues, such as heart and blood vessels, or those involved in development of the limbs (Maroulakou and Bowe 2000; King et al. 2006; Hoogaars et al. 2007).

In summary, this is an interesting case of recruitments of homologous genes for completely unrelated systemic functions in cephalopods versus vertebrates. In cephalopods, Ets and Tbx are implemented in the production of neuronal assemblies related to vision, while their function is limited to mesoderm specification in vertebrates. Again, these are examples of type (2) recruitment of genes.

Cephalopod-specific variations of photoreceptors and photoreceptive genes

There are two broad classes of photoreceptors: ciliary and rhabdomeric (Arendt 2003). The deuterostome lineage, including the vertebrates, typically uses ciliary photoreceptors that express c-opsin(s) and have membranous discs modified from a cilium (Arendt 2003; Yau and Hardie 2009). Among protostomes, ciliary photoreceptors were identified in the eyes of larval brachiopods (Passamaneck et al. 2011), adults’ eyes in scallops (Kojima et al. 1997) and in cnidarians (Martin 2002).

Arthropod eyes have classical examples of the rhabdomeric photoreceptors. However, rhabdomeric or r-opsin-expressing retinal ganglion cells were also found in vertebrates (Provenzio et al. 2000; Berson et al. 2002) and in spiralians such as the gastropods Aplysia and Lottia (Gastropoda), as well as in polyplacophoran annelids Capitella, and Platynereis (Randel et al. 2013). These data indicate that different classes of photoreceptors are widely distributed across bilaterian lineages. Furthermore, both c-type and r-type opsin classes were identified in the cnidarian, Nematostella (Fig. 5A) (Alvarez 2008), suggesting both deep ancestry and early divergence of two
major groups of photoreceptors. What about cephalopods and their complex camera eyes?

Patterns of distribution of photoreceptors are one of the notable differences between the vertebrates' and cephalopods' camera eyes. Cephalopods' rhabdometric photoreceptors are characterized by tightly packed microvilli (Budelmann et al. 1997) and are similar to the photoreceptors in the eyes of other protostomes (Arendt 2003; Yau and Hardie 2009). The retina of coleoid cephalopods has a single layer containing rhabdometric photoreceptors, supporting glia, and small-caliber blood vessels (Budelmann et al. 1997), whereas vertebrates have a layered retina with numerous types of neurons (for a review see Basset and Wallace 2012). In contrast to vertebrates, the primary visual interneurons of cephalopods are located outside of the retina—in the optic lobes (see Convergent evolution of vision centers section).

Diversity of opsins in cephalopods

Our transcriptome-based approach revealed unexpected variations of opsins among all tested species of cephalopods. Specifically, we identified five opsin genes from three types of opsin families (rhabdometric opsin, ciliary opsin, and retinochrome) in the squids’ eyes (Fig. 5A, B). These findings suggest that there are several photoreceptive types of cells, including two potentially novel subtypes in the camera eyes of squids. As indicated, the co-localization of three receptor types also occurs in vertebrate eyes (ciliary opsin(s) in the photoreceptors, rhabdometric opsin in intrinsically photosensitive retinal ganglion cells, and retinochrome in retinal pigment epithelia (Lamb 2009)). The finding of c-opsin in the camera eyes of squids is interesting because c-opsin and r-opsin are expressed in different types of cells, and co-localization of both cell types are found in eyes of vertebrates and scallops (Kojima et al. 1997).

Surprisingly, we also found that the ciliary-opsin (c-opsin) of Idiosepius is expressed both in the eyes and gonads. Such expression patterns suggest that c-opsin might have multiple functions in vision, modulation of circadian clock or peripheral photoreception. The genealogical reconstructions suggest that c-opsin of Idiosepius formed a stable cluster with the c-opsin of brachiopod (Terebratalia) (Fig. 5A). The c-opsin of Terebratalia was expressed in the eyes as well as in the brains of larvae and is used in the directional detection of light (Passamaneci et al. 2011).

Diversity of retinochromes

Rhodopsin and retinochrome were commonly found in Nautilus and were typical of rhabdometric photoreceptors (Fig. 5A, C) (Hara et al. 1995). In addition,
we found squid-specific gene-duplications possibly related to properties of the rhabdomeric photoreceptors of the eye. The eyes of *Idiosepius* express two retinochrome genes, which encode photosisomerase enzymes of 11-cis/all-trans retinal necessary for the formation of functional rhodopsin. Since the molluscan retina lacks structures similar to the pigment epithelium of the vertebrate retina, 11-cis retinal is generated within the photoreceptors by the retinochromes and forms metaretinochrome (Terakita et al. 1989). *Idiosepius retinochrome* 2 showed broad expression across tissues and is similar to the *Nautilus* retinochrome (Fig. 5B, C). *Idiosepius retinochrome* 1 might be the result of gene duplication with eye-specific expression and be responsible for additional visual signaling pathways after the divergence of coleoid cephalopods (i.e., shell-less cephalopods) from nautiloids.

Although the function of three opsin types, and two retinochromes, in squid eyes is unknown, the *Idiosepius* clade I r-opsin (rhodopsin 2) is also localized in the brain (Fig. 5C). Thus, we do not exclude the possibility of an involvement of opsins and related molecules in other systemic functions. Previous data suggest that most cephalopods are color-blind despite their impressive range of camouflage and body patterns (Brown and Brown 1958; Marshall and Messenger 1996; Måthger et al. 2006).
camouflage and body patterns of cephalopods are visually controlled, and the expression of rhodopsin in the chromatophore cells suggests an additional mechanism of localized regulation (Mäthger et al. 2010).

Arrestin gene duplications in cephalopod

Arrestin is another essential component in visual-signal transduction that prevents further interaction of opsins with G-proteins (reviewed by Luttrell and Lefkowitz 2002). The majority of studied invertebrates have only one arrestin gene (Gurevich and Gurevich 2006). However, we found two arrestin genes from *Idiosepius*; one is specific to the eye and another is expressed throughout the animal’s tissues as in *Nautilus* (Fig. 6A). Thus, the expression of arrestin supports specialization of signal transduction in the squids’ visual cells.

Similar gene duplications are found in the vertebrates, scallops and fly (Gurevich and Gurevich 2006; Gomez et al. 2011; Shieh et al. 2014). In addition, the visual-arrestins of squids have a shorter C-terminal tail and lack clathrin-binding sites (Fig. 6B). Vertebrates’ and flies’ visual-arrestins also lack a clathrin-binding site which function in mediating internalization, whereas non-visual arrestins maintain the clathrin-binding site (Fig. 6B) (Terakita et al. 2012). This whole process resembles that of vertebrates and suggests that vertebrates and molluscs have duplicated and diverged opsin pathways in parallel.

Molecular parallelism related to convergent morphological evolution

Although many genes are categorized as dominant type [1] recruitment in the camera eyes of cephalopods, we could also identify gene recruitment after duplication or type [3] gene recruitment. There are prominent examples of molecular parallelism that play a major role in the vasculature that support complexity of the brain in cephalopods and vertebrates.

Closed circulatory system

The first example is the evolution of the closed circulatory system. The coleoid cephalopods have distinct blood vessels with capillary-like structures throughout the body (Budelmann et al. 1997). This type of the circulatory system is one of the critical innovations that support a high level of oxygen consumption by the brain. The establishment of closed circulation also relates to the evolution of the endocrine system since a number of neurosecretory cells send a voluminous neuropil inside the vena cava where they form a neurosecretory-type organ (Martin and Voigt 1987; Budelmann et al. 1997). The nerve endings contain immunoreactive substances such as FMRFamide-like, the oxytocin (OT)/vasopressin (VP) family of peptides, proctolin, and α-melanotropin (Martin and Voigt 1987; Reich 1992).

Cephalopods’ blood vessels are composed of three layers, smooth muscle cells, basal membrane, and endothelial cells (ECs) as in vertebrates. On the other hand, the vessels of most invertebrates consist of the basement membranes and myoepithelial cells (reviewed by Muñoz-Chápuli and Pérez-Pomares 2010). The ECs constitute the most important component because the interplay with perivascular cells allows for a finer control of blood flow and blood pressure (Muñoz-Chápuli 2011). The ECs might be evolutionarily related to blood cells because these two types of cells share a molecular marker, vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), which is a well-known marker for vertebrates’ ECs (reviewed by Muñoz-Chápuli et al. 2004). In the open circulatory system of insects such as *Drosophila*, a VEGF receptor ortholog is expressed in the hemocytes that migrate in response to VEGF signals (Duchek et al. 2001). This is analogous to vertebrates, where ECs recruit the VEGF pathway as the main angiogenic signal (Muñoz-Chápuli 2011).

What is observed in the cephalopods? We analyzed VEGFR expression using *Idiosepius* embryos and found that the squids’ VEGFR was expressed in the developing blood vessels (Yoshida et al. 2010). The expression pattern resembles that of vertebrates and suggests parallel gene-use by the VEGF pathway in the development and maintenance of EC. It is notable that closed vasculature is one of the most obvious examples of convergent morphological features in the cephalopods, and is a remarkable example of molecular parallelism across phyla (Fig. 7A).

Neuropeptides

The second case is the evolution of the neurohypophysial peptide hormones of the OT/VP superfamily. Vertebrates have two peptide genes called OT and VP. Despite small sequence differences between these two neuropeptides, each has very different physiological functions (reviewed by Gruber 2014). The OT/VP peptides acting in the brain of mammals appear to be critical in neuronal processing for social recognition, maternal behaviors, learning, and memory (reviewed by Gimpl and Fahrenholz 2001). All vertebrate species except cyclostomes contain at least one OT gene and one VP gene.
Thus, the OT and VP genes have arisen as a result of duplication of a common ancestral gene during the evolution of vertebrates. Surprisingly, the Octopus lineage had a similar peptide-gene duplication event (Kanda et al. 2003; Takuwa-Kuroda et al. 2003). Both Octopus octopressin (OP) and cephalotocin (CT) show different localization in the lobes of the brain (Takuwa-Kuroda et al. 2003). The functional differentiation was supported by duplication of receptors and by the distinct expression patterns of the receptors (Kanda et al. 2005). To date, a comparable co-occurrence of the OT/VP superfamily of peptides has never been demonstrated in other invertebrate groups.
Conclusion

The most influential event in the evolution of cephalopods is considered to be the appearance of vertebrate competitors (Packard 1972). Selective pressures and structural constraints of the cephalopod genomes and body organization may provide a clue to what caused the lineage to undergo substantial evolutionary changes in brain size and in cognition after branching from a chiton-like molluscan ancestor. Molecular components commonly found in camera eyes of vertebrates and cephalopods are the results of massive co-options or of novel genes. However, it appears that the recruitment of genes followed by their duplication, although smaller in scale, e.g., arrestins and OT neuropeptides (Fig. 7B), also played important roles in convergent
morphological evolution of cephalopod’s camera-like visual systems.
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