The efficacy of 320-detector row computed tomography for the assessment of preoperative pulmonary vasculature of candidates for pulmonary segmentectomy†
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Abstract

OBJECTIVES: The purpose of this study was to compare the efficacy of 320-detector row computed tomography (CT) with that of 64-detector row CT for three-dimensional assessment of pulmonary vasculature of candidates for pulmonary segmentectomy.

METHODS: We included 32 patients who underwent both 320- and 64-detector CT before pulmonary segmentectomy, which was performed by cutting the pulmonary artery and bronchi of the affected segment followed by dissection of the intersegmental plane along the intersegmental vein. Before the operation, three-dimensional pulmonary vasculature images were obtained for each patient, and the arteries and intersegmental veins of the affected segments were identified. Two thoracic surgeons independently assessed the vessels with visual scoring systems, and kappa analysis was used to determine interobserver agreement. The Wilcoxon signed-rank test was used to compare the visual scores for the assessment of the visualization capabilities of the two methods. In addition, the final determination of pulmonary vasculature at a given site was made by consensus from thoracic surgeons during operation, and receiver operating characteristic analysis was performed to compare their efficacy of pulmonary vasculature assessment. Sensitivity, specificity and accuracy of either method were also compared by means of McNemar’s test.

RESULTS: Of the 32 cases, there were no operative complications, but 1 patient died of postoperative idiopathic interstitial pneumonia. Visualization scores for the pulmonary vessels were significantly higher for 320- than those for 64-detector CT (P < 0.0001 for the affected arteries and P < 0.0001 for the intersegmental veins). As for pulmonary vasculature assessment, the areas under the curve showed no statistically significant differences in between the two methods, while the specificity and accuracy of intersegmental vein assessment were significantly better for 320- than those for 64-detector CT (P < 0.05). Interobserver agreement for the assessment yielded by either method was almost perfect for all cases.

CONCLUSIONS: Three hundred and twenty-detector row CT is more useful than conventional 64-detector row CT for preoperative three-dimensional assessment of pulmonary vasculature, especially when we identify the intersegmental veins, in candidates for pulmonary segmentectomy.
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INTRODUCTION

Complete surgical resection is considered the treatment of choice for individuals with Stage I–II non-small-cell lung carcinoma (NSCLC) and plays a part in the multimodality treatment of resectable Stage IIIA disease [1]. Much supportive evidence has been reported for surgical treatments of NSCLC patients, including segmentectomy, wedge resection and lobectomy. Moreover, the number of varieties of procedures that can be performed using minimally invasive surgical approaches is currently expanding.

However, lobectomy or more extended anatomical procedures may not be feasible for some NSCLC patients due to limited cardiopulmonary functional reserve or extensive comorbidities that may preclude more aggressive surgical resection. Moreover, with an increase in early detection of ever smaller non-small-cell lung cancers through the development of better image diagnostic technology, segmentectomy has come into clinical use for NSCLC...
with primary tumours <20 mm in diameter and appears to be gaining interest [2]. In anatomical segmentectomy, identification of the intersegmental veins is essential for accurate dissection. It has previously been proposed that segmentectomy can be performed safely with the aid of three-dimensional (3D) multidetector computed tomography (MDCT) simulation for localization of the intersegmental veins [3], and we have been using this technique with satisfactory results.

Recently, however, significant advances have been made in the form of the latest generation 320-multidetector row CT, also known as area detector CT (ADCT), resulting in high visualization due to almost isotropic volume data acquisition and a reduced radiation dose compared with that for a helical scan for commercially available multidetector row CT (MDCT). While it has been shown in several studies that ADCT is effective for the assessment of brain images, pulmonary nodules, lung parenchyma, coronary artery and small abdominal vasculature [4–16], there have been no reports about assessment of lung vasculature in lung cancer patients with regard to surgical procedures.

We hypothesized that ADCT could assess pulmonary vasculature more clearly and accurately than can be attained with 64-detector row CT. The purpose of this study was, therefore, to prospectively and directly compare the utility of 320-detector row CT for preoperative 3D pulmonary vasculature assessment with that of 64-detector row CT for candidates for pulmonary segmentectomy.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Subjects

This study was approved by the local Ethics Committee of our institution, and written informed consent was obtained from all participating patients.

Between April 2009 and March 2012, a total of 32 patients (24 males and 8 females; mean age, 67 years) suspected of having lung cancer or with metastatic tumours detected on chest radiographs and/or with CT at a nearby hospital and admitted to our clinic prospectively underwent contrast-enhanced chest CT using ADCT and 64-detector row CT for preoperative assessment of pulmonary vasculature in candidates for segmentectomy (Table 1). The median time between ADCT and MDCT examination was 31 (range 17–38) days.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1: Patient characteristics (N = 32)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age in years (range) 67 ± 9 (48–85)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex (male/female) 24/8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index 23.0 ± 3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forced vital capacity (l) 3.23 ± 0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forced expiratory volume in 1 s (l) 2.28 ± 0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumour size (cm) 1.9 ± 0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diagnosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lung cancer 27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metastatic tumour 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inflammation 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hamartoma 1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results are expressed as mean ± standard deviation.

Contrast-enhanced CT examinations

For preoperative contrast-enhanced CT examination of all subjects, ADCT (Aquillion One, Toshiba Medical System, Ohtawara, Japan) and two 64-detector row CTs (Aquillion 64; Toshiba Medical System) were used. For each ADCT examination, a wide volume scan was used with the following parameters: 200–320 × 0.5 mm detector collimation, 0.5 s/gantry rotation, 120 kVp, 270 mA and 3–5 rotations. For each MDCT examination, a helical scan was used with the following parameters: 64 × 0.5 mm detector collimation, beam pitch 0.83, 0.5 s/gantry rotation, 120 kVp and 270 mA. A dual-head power injector (Dual Shot GX; Nemoto Kyorindo, Tokyo, Japan) was used for the bolus administration of 20–40 ml (0.2 ml per kg of body weight) of contrast media via a cubital vein at a rate of 0.5 ml/s, which was followed by the administration of 20 ml of saline solution at the same rate, and scanning at 30 s. All contrast-enhanced CT data were reconstructed with the aid of standard kernels for image analyses. The estimated volume CT dose index (CTDIvol; i.e. pitch) displayed on the CT scanner console was recorded for each patient. This value was based on the weighted CT dose index (e.g. tube voltage or tube current). The CTDIvol for each ADCT and MDCT studies were 18.4 and 19.7 mGy, respectively. The estimated radiation doses per length for each study, calculated as CTDIvol multiplied by scanning length, were determined to be 682.8 and 698.3 mGy cm, respectively.

Image reconstruction for 3D assessment of pulmonary vasculature

From the ADCT and MDCT data for each of the subjects, the 3D CT images for pulmonary vasculature assessment were reconstructed by a board-certified thoracic surgeon (S.T.) with 8 years’ experience by using 3D volume rendering software (Aquarius net; TeraRecon, San Mateo, CA, USA). The window level and width were adjusted to identify differences in contrast agent density to help distinguish between arteries and veins (window width: 1700 and window level: 600). All reconstructed images were generated for identification of pulmonary artery and veins, which were relevant to segmentectomy. If the affected segment shared two borders with adjacent segments, it was assessed as having two intersegmental veins. The average time for the 3D image construction was within 10 min.

Operative procedure

We performed segmentectomy with the aid of the previously mentioned simulated images. The lungs were collapsed on the operating side to anesthetize the patients under differential ventilation. We first ligated and resected the segmental artery and detached the bronchus to allow it to be dissected with a stapler after inflation of the lung on the operating side. The inflation–deflation line became gradually clear when the lung was recollapsed. The intersegmental veins were identified by reference to the preoperative simulation and the inflation–deflation line, and the pulmonary parenchyma was dissected from hilum towards the periphery with an ultrasonically activated device, while the intersegmental veins were preserved (Fig. 1). All pulmonary vasculature anatomies were then recorded, and the final determination of
pulmonary vasculature at a given site was made by consensus from thoracic surgeons. That is, one of the three thoracic surgeons (Y. M., W.N. and M.Y.) with 23, 25 and, 31 years of experiences took part in the operation and watching the operation movie, visual confirmation was made by all of three surgeons after surgery. The operating time, blood loss volume and the duration of chest tube drainage were also recorded.

Image analysis

To assess pulmonary vasculature for segmentectomy, 3D CT images for each of the subjects were interpreted with a picture archiving and communication system (PACS; Centricity; General Electric Healthcare, Milwaukee, WI, USA). Two experienced thoracic surgeons with 8 years’ experience (S.T. and D.H.) independently assessed the visualization of the pulmonary artery and intersegmental veins, which were involved in the segment to be resected. They were also blind to the operating surgeons.

To determine the capability for visualization of the pulmonary artery and intersegmental veins in each of the candidates for segmentectomy, the vasculature as visualized on ADCT and MDCT were assessed by means of a five-point visual scoring system as follows: 1, absolutely untraceable; 2, incompletely traceable; 3, clearly traceable, but the subsegmental vessel was unclear; 4, the subsegmental vessel was clearly traceable and 5, the entire vessel was clearly traceable. The final scores were then determined by consensus of the two readers.

To compare capability for pulmonary vasculature assessment relevant to segmentectomy based on 3D CT images obtained with ADCT and MDCT, identification of pulmonary artery and intersegmental veins relevant to segmentectomy was independently evaluated with a five-point visual scoring system: 1, definitely undetermined; 2, probably undetermined; 3, equivocal; 4, probably determined and 5, definitely determined. Final scores were then determined by consensus of the two readers.

Statistical analysis

Kappa values, which were used to evaluate reproducibility for several observers, were used to determine interobserver agreement on a per-patient basis for the assessment of visualization of pulmonary arteries and intersegmental veins. Interobserver agreement was considered to be slight for $\kappa < 0.21$, fair for $0.21 \leq \kappa < 0.40$, moderate for $0.41 \leq \kappa < 0.60$, substantial for $0.61 \leq \kappa < 0.80$ and perfect for $0.81 \leq \kappa \leq 1.00$ [17].

For a comparative analysis of the visualization capabilities of ADCT and MDCT for pulmonary vasculature, visualization scores for pulmonary arteries and intersegmental veins were statistically compared by means of the Wilcoxon signed-rank test.

For a comparison of the capabilities of ADCT and MDCT for pulmonary vasculature assessment relevant to segmentectomy, receiver operating characteristic (ROC) analysis for pulmonary arteries and intersegmental veins was performed on a per-patient basis. Sensitivity, specificity and accuracy for pulmonary artery
and intersegmental vein assessments were then directly compared by means of McNemar’s test. A $P$-value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

**RESULTS**

Operating result

A representative case is shown in Fig. 1. All examinations were successfully performed. There was only 1 hospital death—the patient died of idiopathic interstitial pneumonia on Day 32 after surgery. The location and number of the resected segments were: right segment (S.) 1:1, S.2:4, S.3:1, S.6: 3, S.7+8:2, S.8 + 9 + 10:1, left S.1 + 2:3, S.1 + 2:4, S.3:1, S.4 + 5:2, S.6:3, S.9 + 10:1. One patient underwent simultaneous segmentectomy of the ipsilateral S. upper division and S. superius for synchronous lung cancer. Ten cases underwent open thoracotomy, and the remaining 22 cases complete thoracoscopic surgery. The surgical results were: operating time, 207 min (range 120–272); volume of haemorrhage, median 100 ml (range 0–575); duration of chest tube insertion, median 2 days (mean 2.6 ± 2.1, range 2–13).

**Capability for pulmonary vasculature visualization**

Interobserver agreements for the visualization of pulmonary arteries and intersegmental veins are summarized in Table 2. Interobserver agreements for pulmonary arteries and veins were rated almost perfect, with $\kappa = 0.92$ for pulmonary arteries on ADCT, $\kappa = 0.85$ for pulmonary arteries on MDCT, $\kappa = 0.88$ for intersegmental veins on ADCT and $\kappa = 0.82$ for intersegmental veins on MDCT. Comparison of visualization capabilities of ADCT and MDCT for pulmonary vasculatures showed that mean scores for pulmonary artery and intersegmental vein assessment on ADCT (pulmonary arteries: 4.6 ± 0.8 and intersegmental veins: 4.4 ± 0.7) were significantly higher than those on MDCT (pulmonary arteries: 3.6 ± 0.8; $P < 0.0001$ and intersegmental veins: 3.9 ± 0.7; $P < 0.0001$).

**Table 2:** Overall interobserver agreement for visualization scores on a per-patient basis for area detector CT (ADCT) and multidetector CT (MDCT)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Observer</th>
<th>Visualization score</th>
<th>$\kappa$-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADCT</td>
<td>Reader 1 for the affected arteries</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reader 2 for the affected arteries</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDCT</td>
<td>Reader 1 for the affected arteries</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reader 2 for the affected arteries</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADCT</td>
<td>Reader 1 for the intersegmental veins</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reader 2 for the intersegmental veins</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDCT</td>
<td>Reader 1 for the intersegmental veins</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reader 2 for the intersegmental veins</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 3:** Overall interobserver agreement for vasculature assessment capability scores on a per-patient basis for area detector CT (ADCT) and multidetector CT (MDCT)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Observer</th>
<th>Probability score</th>
<th>$\kappa$-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADCT</td>
<td>Reader 1 for the affected arteries</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reader 2 for the affected arteries</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDCT</td>
<td>Reader 1 for the affected arteries</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reader 2 for the affected arteries</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADCT</td>
<td>Reader 1 for the intersegmental veins</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reader 2 for the intersegmental veins</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDCT</td>
<td>Reader 1 for the intersegmental veins</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reader 2 for the intersegmental veins</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Capabilities for pulmonary vasculature assessment for segmentectomy using ADCT and MDCT are presented in Table 4. The specificity and accuracy of ADCT (50 and 79%) for the assessment of intersegmental veins were significantly better than those of MDCT (21%, \( P = 0.03 \) and 70%, \( P = 0.03 \), respectively).

DISCUSSION

Our results demonstrate that the capability of ADCT for pulmonary vasculature assessment in NSCLC scheduled for segmentectomy is equal to or better than that of MDCT.

With advances in diagnostic imaging techniques, small-sized lung cancers are now detected much more often, and even for such small lesions, lobectomy has been the standard surgical procedure for decades [18]. On the other hand, minimized resection procedures, such as segmentectomy, may be used as alternative surgery options for patients with small peripheral lung cancers, for example bronchioloalveolar carcinoma, that may have more indolent biological behaviour [19]. For such procedures, accurate assessment of the vasculature involved is essential, but to the best of our knowledge, no prospective and direct comparison has been made of the capabilities of MDCT and ADCT for pulmonary vasculature assessment.

The kappa values for the assessment of visualization of pulmonary arteries and intersegmental veins assessment ranged from 0.82 to 0.92, indicating that agreement on assessment for visualization was virtually perfect, so that our evaluation of pulmonary vascular visualization capability can be considered reliable.

The comparison of capabilities for pulmonary vasculature visualization showed that mean scores for pulmonary artery and intersegmental vein assessment by ADCT were significantly higher than those by MDCT. It has been suggested in the last few years that the latest generation ADCT system has significant advantages [4–16]. It enables the acquisition of all dynamic data within a 16-cm area every 2 s without helical scanning and makes it possible to obtain images of the pulmonary vessels involved in a single rotation. As for the cardiovascular region, it has been reported that ADCT can provide coronary CT angiography images of excellent quality even for patients with atrial fibrillation, because ADCT enables sufficient cranio-caudal coverage in a single rotation and allows imaging of the entire coronary tree within a single beat [4, 7, 12, 16]. In addition, electrocardiograph (ECG)-gated ADCT scans, which provide ECG-gated volumetric scan data of the whole lung without any additional radiation exposure, feature excellent lung image quality [20]. However, published information on ADCT scans of the lungs is still very limited [20–22]. While our findings can be considered compatible with previously reported results, ADCT with our proposed protocol cannot be used for the assessment of pulmonary vasculature within the whole lung. However, it can assess the relationship between pulmonary arteries or veins that affect surgical treatment and the main trunks of pulmonary arteries or veins for the acquisition of isotropic volumetric data within 160 mm, and also clearly display the pulmonary vasculature with fewer measurement errors caused by contrast material administration and motion artefacts. Our results, therefore, suggest that it would be better to use ADCT for better visualization of pulmonary vasculatures than can be obtained with MDCT in this context.

For pulmonary vasculature assessment for segmentectomy, interobserver agreement for pulmonary arteries and veins on ADCT and MDCT were either almost perfect or substantial. In addition, kappa values for ADCT were better than those for MDCT. Our results thus indicate that ADCT is better for pulmonary vasculature assessment for segmentectomy in routine clinical practice.

ROC analyses of capability of assessment of pulmonary vasculature for segmentectomy revealed that ADCT could be considered to be almost equal to MDCT. In addition, the application of feasible threshold values showed that specificity and accuracy of ADCT for the assessment of intersegmental veins were significantly higher than those of MDCT. In anatomical segmentectomy, the factor that most influences postoperative morbidity, such as that caused by prolonged air leakage, is identification of the correct intersegment [23], because we usually first identify the intersegmental veins and then dissect the lung parenchyma along them from the hilum to the periphery. The inflation–deflation line is also used as a reference for the intersegmental line, but detection
of the line is sometimes hampered in cases of severely emphysematous lung. Preoperative assessment of intersegmental veins thus makes it possible to identify them accurately.

Our results also indicate that there was no significant difference in pulmonary artery assessment capabilities between the two CT systems. This suggests that the actual assessment capability of either system is sometimes masked by false positive/negative results, because the crossing of pulmonary vessels in the hilum makes it difficult to distinguish pulmonary arteries from veins. This anatomical problem can, therefore, not be overcome by using the ADCT system. On the other hand, the intersegmental veins were difficult to identify unless they were visualized to the periphery, so that the ADCT protocol, with its improved visualization of the peripheral vessels such as the intersegmental veins, proved to be a more effective tool for use with candidates for segmentectomy. In fact, although the cutting plain of the segmentectomy could have led to prolonged postoperative air leakage, none of the cases enrolled in our study suffered from complications because we could accurately dissect the parenchyma along the intersegmental veins with the aid of 3D CT simulation. One hospital death occurred, but it was caused by postoperative idiopathic interstitial pneumonia. In support of our finding, Oizumi et al. [3] reported that the use of 3D CT angiography for the intersegmental veins was advantageous for pulmonary segmentectomy. Ours is the first study, however, to show that ADCT has better capability than MDCT for preoperative assessment of pulmonary vessels in candidates for pulmonary segmentectomy. This means that our results are compatible with those of the above-mentioned previous studies [4–16], and that ADCT is better for pulmonary vasculature assessment.

There are a few limitations to this study. First, when compared with our usual surgical procedures with 64-detector row CT, that with ADCT tended to shorten the operating time and reduce blood loss volume in case of segmentectomy. Although we did not directly compare those issues between two CT scanners, we assume that ADCT could make the surgery easier in the candidates for segmentectomy. Therefore, in future study, we hope to assess these issues at a randomized control trial in a large prospective cohort. Secondly, we only assessed a relatively small number of vessels, so that further studies with larger populations are needed to validate our results. Thirdly, we did not use ECG-gated ADCT in this study, even though a previous study found that its lung image quality was better than that of non-ECG-gated MDCT [20]. However, ECG-gated MDCT usually requires additional radiation exposure and does not provide volumetric scan data of the entire lung when prospective ECG gating is used for step-and-shoot scanning [8, 24, 25]. Nevertheless, we could have obtained better outcomes if we had used ECG-gated ADCT rather than our protocol. Fourthly, a slight lack of contiguousness was occasionally observed as a unique image artefact at junctional points of the three gantry rotations used for ADCT. This artefact was not carefully examined in this study, although no obvious influences on the results were observed. In addition, frequencies of pulmonary arteries and veins can vary, while the size or number of branches of pulmonary arteries and veins that affect surgical treatment depends on the surgical procedures. These factors may well have influenced our study results. Moreover, several recommendations for preoperative evaluation of NSCLC patients do not specify the need for pulmonary vasculature variation assessment on CT. It is advisable for thoracic surgeons to be familiar with variations in pulmonary vasculature, because such preoperative information can set the surgeon’s mind at ease during the operation. However, it is not clear whether the information assessed in this study is clinically relevant, for example, to surgical procedures and hospitalization time, clinical outcomes and cost-effectiveness. Further investigations are thus warranted to clarify this point.

In conclusion, 320-area detector row CT is more useful than conventional MDCT for preoperative 3D assessment of pulmonary vasculature, especially when we identify the intersegmental vein, in candidates for pulmonary segmentectomy. This stool could make the surgical treatment of the pulmonary vessels easier and the operation safer in pulmonary segmentectomy.
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APPENDIX. CONFERENCE DISCUSSION

Dr E. Bishay (Birmingham, UK): Can you just explain to me (my understanding about a segmentectomy is based on the airway) why does it matter that I identify the veins? Am I doing something wrong in my practice?

Dr Tane: The inflation and deflation line is also important, but if the airway has emphysematous changes, we use both intersegmental veins and inflation and deflation line.

Dr T. Marjanski (Gdansk, Poland): Your study was smartly presented; the conclusions are indisputable. I have got a question, because I don’t understand. You performed CT twice, once a 64 row and second time a 320 row, yes?

Dr Tane: No. Before surgery I performed two CT’s.

Dr T. Marjanski: Two angiographies?

Dr Tane: Yes.

Dr T. Marjanski: So you placed the contrast through the patient twice, yes?

Dr Tane: No. MDCT is usually performed for preoperative staging and at the time of admission ADCT is performed, because the duration between admission and staging is long.

Dr T. Marjanski: I’m very sorry but I think that this study raises some ethical concerns. I think it is not justified to perform two angiographies instead of routine chest CT, just for research purposes. I think that in the light of the risk of postoperative renal failure, or postoperative hyperthyrosis, it raises some concerns. We are running a similar study but basing it on routinely performed simple CT with IV contrast, not angiography, and definitely not angiography performed twice. Nevertheless, I think the topic is important, as the software provides us with excellent visualization of vasculature.
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We read with interest the well-written study of Tane et al. about the usefulness of 320-multidetector row for preoperative three-dimensional (3D) pulmonary vascular assessment and staging is long.

We would like to add some considerations. Importantly, this paper highlights the preoperative utility of identifying the intersegmental vein to decide whether the segmentectomy is feasible or not. However, it would be interesting to know if the 3D software is now able to clearly depict and differentiate pulmonary veins from arteries (e.g. by colour-coding these vessels differently), thus facilitating the assessment of tumoural vein invasion. Furthermore, it is unclear if the software can truly facilitate the identification of the intersegmental pulmonary vein as compared to axial computed tomography images.

A second comment concerns the surgical technique: if a primary tumour is less than 2 cm in diameter, is it really important to assess pulmonary vasculature precisely to decide whether the segmentectomy is feasible or not? However, it would be interesting to know if the 3D software is now able to clearly depict and differentiate pulmonary veins from arteries (e.g. by colour-coding these vessels differently), thus facilitating the assessment of tumoural vein invasion. Furthermore, it is unclear if the software can truly facilitate the identification of the intersegmental pulmonary vein as compared to axial computed tomography images.
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