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Does the use of carbon dioxide field flooding during heart valve surgery prevent postoperative cerebrovascular complications?
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Summary

A best evidence topic in cardiothoracic surgery was written according to a structured protocol. The question addressed was whether there is any benefit with the use of carbon dioxide (CO2) field flooding techniques in heart valve surgery, in order to reduce postoperative neurological complications. Altogether 202 articles were found using the reported search, and six of them were used to answer the clinical question. All but one trial, were prospective, randomised. Four studies reported a significantly lower intracardiac bubble count in the CO2 group. A significant reduction of p300 peak latencies in the CO2 group was observed in one study. Otherwise, neurocognitive test batteries did not reveal any advantages of CO2 field flooding in two studies. Three studies reported on postoperative cerebrovascular complications and the overall rate of stroke, transient ischemic attack (TIA) or prolonged reversible ischemic neurological deficit was 1.2% in the CO2 group and 2.5% in the control group (P=ns). Although the use of CO2 field flooding has been observed to be associated with a significantly lower count of intracardiac air bubbles, and improved survival in two small studies, so far there is no evidence of a sustained reduction of cerebrovascular complications with the use of this method.
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1. Introduction

A best evidence topic was constructed according to a structured protocol, which is described in detail in the ICVTS [1]. This article updates a previous best evidence topic published in 2004 [2].

2. Three-part question

In [patients undergoing heart valve surgery] can [carbon dioxide field flooding] reduce [postoperative neurological complications]?

3. Clinical scenario

You are a resident and you notice that surgeons use carbon dioxide (CO2) field flooding in order, they say, to reduce the risk of air embolism during heart valve surgery. Since CO2 is 25 times more soluble in blood and tissues than air [3], and CO2 emboli are better tolerated than air emboli [4], you resolve to check in the literature whether this method is neuroprotective.

4. Search strategy

Medline, Ovid and Cochrane databases were searched from date of inception to January 2009.

5. Search outcome

Two hundred and two abstracts were identified and ten were deemed to be relevant. Most of the papers were considered not relevant as none focused on its potential neuroprotective efficacy. Experimental studies were excluded from this analysis. Thus, we were able to review five prospective randomised trials and one prospective non-randomised study which we used to answer the question. Their results are summarised in Table 1.

6. Results

Flooding the surgical field with CO2 reduces the incidence of intracardiac air by 85%, possibly because of the density and solubility of CO2 [5]. The density of CO2 is 1.5 times that of air, so that CO2 empirically and preferentially fills the dependent parts of the surgical field [6].

Webb et al. [5] observed that, among patients undergoing heart valve surgery, those who had not CO2 field flooding had persistent air bubbles for at least 30 min after resumption of heart beat and usually for 45 min. Patients who underwent surgery with CO2 field flooding had no air bubbles remaining <1 min in 48 out of 56 patients. These patients were not randomised and the trans-oesophageal echocardiograph was not blinded to the study group.
Table 1
Best evidence papers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author, date, journal and country</th>
<th>Patient group</th>
<th>Outcomes</th>
<th>Key results</th>
<th>Study weakness</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Webb et al., (1997), Ann Thorac Surg, USA, [5] | CO field flooding group (56 patients) vs. control group (22 patients) in heart valve surgery | Trans-oesophageal appearance of air bubbles inside the heart or the aorta | In the control group residual foam was detected inside the heart, aorta or both for at least 30 min | Non-randomised study
Non-foam was observed at the resumption of heart beat in 34/56 patients and in 14/56 patients all bubbles disappeared within the first minute. The remaining 8 patients had complete disappearance of bubbles in 1–24 min | The incidence of neurological complications was not reported |
| Martens et al., (2001), Ann Thorac Surg, Germany, [7] | CO field flooding group (31 patients) vs. control group (31 patients) in heart valve surgery | Mortality | Mortality: 3% in CO group vs. 16.1% in control group ($P$ not stated) | Study groups too small to reveal differences in mortality or major neurologic adverse events |
| | | Myocardial damage | Creatinine kinase MB was significantly increased in the CO group |
| | | Neurocognitive function | Neurocognitive tests did not reveal a statistically significant difference |
| | | | Two patients exhibited prolonged confusion in CO group (6.4%) and 1 patient presented prolonged reversible ischemic neurologic deficit with hemiparesis in control group (3%) |
| Kalpakas et al., (2003), Perfusion, Australia, [8] | CO field flooding (10 patients) vs. mechanical de-airing (8 patients) during heart valve surgery | Trans-oesophageal assessment scores of air bubbles inside the cardiac cavities | Median score was 2.25 in mechanical de-airing group vs. 1.0 in the CO field flooding group ($P$= 0.01) | Small size study (18 patients randomised, 8 in mechanical de-airing group and 10 in CO field flooding group) |
| | | | No neurological complication occurred in the study groups |
| Svenarud et al., (2004), Circulation, Sweden, [9] | CO field flooding (10 patients) vs. mechanical de-airing (10 patients) during heart valve surgery | Trans-oesophageal assessment of maximal number of gas emboli inside left atrium, left ventricle and ascending aorta | Median number of microemboli up to 20 min after the end of CPB was 161 in the CO group vs. 723 in the control group ($P$ < 0.001) | The incidence of neurological complications was not reported |
| | | Myocardial damage | Number of detectable microemboli after CPB fell to zero in 7 min in the CO group and in 19 min in the control group ($P$ < 0.001) |
| | | assessed by troponin T and creatine kinase-MB | Troponin T and creatine kinase-MB on day 1 did not differ between the groups |
| Skidmore et al., (2006), J Extra Corp Technol, USA, [10] | CO field flooding group (21 patients) vs. control group (22 patients) during heart valve surgery | Trans-oesophageal assessment of air bubbles inside the left atrium and left ventricle | Bubble count was higher in the control group (3.06 ± vs. 1.78 ±, $P$ = 0.10) | The incidence of neurological complications was not reported |
| | | Segmental wall motion at baseline, 1 min, 10 min and 60 min after aortic declamping | Segmental wall motion 1–60 min was better in the CO group compared to the control group ($P$= 0.4). Particularly, the inferior wall tended better function in CO group |
| | | 28 patients were evaluated with Trailmaking A and B and | Re-operation rate was significantly higher in the CO group (4.5% vs. 33.3%, $P$ = 0.02) |

(Continued on next page)
assignment. In addition, the incidence of postoperative stroke or cerebrovascular complications were not reported.

In 2001, Martens et al. [7] reported the results of a prospective randomised study on CO₂ insufflation into the thoracic cavity compared to conventional de-airing techniques. They did not find any statistically significant differences between the two groups in terms of mortality or neurocognitive function. However, mortality rate was lower in the CO₂ field flooding group (3% vs. 16%, \(P\) value not stated) and the number of high-risk patients was higher in the experimental group. Furthermore, postoperatively and 24 h after surgery, creatinine kinase MB was higher in the CO₂ field flooding group (38.0±4.1 vs. 28.0±2.1, \(P=0.02\)).

In a prospective randomised trial, Kalpokas et al. [8] recorded the amount of intracardiac bubbles during open-heart valve surgery comparing mechanical de-airing and CO₂ field flooding. At transesophageal echocardiography, the bubble count was higher in the mechanical de-airing group compared with CO₂ flooding group and neurological complications were not detected in any of the study groups. The main problem with this study is its small size (only 18 patients randomised).

In 2004, Svenarud et al. [9] performed a prospective randomised trial including 20 patients undergoing first time single-valve replacement (mitral or aortic valve). Using transesophageal echocardiography, they observed a median number of microemboli of 161 in the CO₂ group vs. 723 in the control group (\(P<0.001\)). No data on postoperative cerebrovascular complications have been reported by the authors and the study population was small.

In another randomised study, Skidmore et al. [10] recorded intracardiac bubbles and segmental wall motion in 43 patients who underwent heart valve surgery, 21 randomised to CO₂ field flooding and 22 to air field flooding. Bubbles count was higher in the air group than CO₂ group (mean: 3.06 vs. 1.78, \(P=0.10\)) and segmental wall motion at 1–60 min was better in the CO₂ group (\(P=0.04\)), particularly in the inferior wall. The authors came to the conclusion that flooding surgical field with CO₂ is associated to improved myocardial function and less air bubbles in the heart. They did not report any data regarding postoperative cerebrovascular complications, but they performed some neurocognitive testing in 28 patients, without detecting any significant difference.

More recently, Martens et al. [11] performed a prospective randomised trial on 80 patients undergoing open-heart valve surgery comparing CO₂ surgical field flooding with conventional de-airing. They used six battery neurocognitive tests and recorded p300 wave auditory-evoked potentials in order to evaluate the brain function. The authors observed a significant reduction of p300 peak latencies in the CO₂ group on the 5th postoperative day. Neurocognitive test batteries did not reveal any differences between groups. However, immediate postoperative mortality (2.6% vs. 4.9%, \(P=0.56\)), stroke, transient ischemic attack (TIA) or prolonged reversible ischemic neurological deficit (0% vs. 4.9%, \(P=0.18\)) were less frequently observed in the CO₂ group, except confusional syndrome, which was higher in the CO₂ group (12.8% vs. 4.9%, \(P=0.26\)). These differences did not reach statistical significance.

Three studies reported on postoperative cerebrovascular complications [7, 8, 11]. When the results of these studies were summed, the rate of stroke, TIA or prolonged reversible ischemic neurological deficit was 1.2% in the CO₂ group and 2.5% in the control group (\(P=ns\)).

7. Clinical bottom line

In a previous best evidence topic on this issue [2], the authors concluded that the solubility of CO₂ emboli justifies
the efforts to replace intracavital air with CO₂ in open-heart surgery to reduce gaseous emboli. However, the present review of currently available studies shows that there is no evidence on the neuroprotective efficacy with this method. Beside the costs of this technique, it has been reported that elevated blood levels of CO₂ can be reached with field flooding techniques and it may result in acidosis [12, 13].

Although the use of CO₂ field flooding has been observed to be associated with significantly lower count of intracardiac air bubbles, and improved survival in two small studies, so far there is no evidence of a sustained reduction of cerebrovascular complications with the use of this method.
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