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Work provides people with an income, and income is important for health. We know this. It is obvious, but work does much more. Work provides most of us with one of our primary roles in society: as a worker. We may see ourselves as mechanics, nurses, teachers, construction workers, farmers, scientists, architects or additional identities, but at the core we are all workers. We provide for ourselves and often for others.
Work is not the only role that provides identity and meaning. Traditionally family, friendship and community roles add important dimensions in defining our basic societal roles. When we are robbed of such significant roles, particularly when we are older and less likely to be resilient to such shocks, we experience loss—and loss in many realms, both material and non-material. Clearly we experience a loss in income, and such losses close to retirement may be particularly challenging since they will not be replaceable with a short-time horizon, nor are opportunities easily available to work ‘double shifts’ to regain such financial loss.

In this issue of IJE is an important article on the impact of job loss during the Great Recession in the USA and Europe, by Riumallo-Herl, Basu, Stuckler, Courtin and Avendano.1 The authors document in a compelling way the impact of job loss on depression for older men and women in advanced industrialized countries. They show that financial loss is important, but financial loss alone does not explain the whole story of the impact of job loss. The authors are insightful and provocative and set an agenda for the future. They suggest some solutions in the policy realm related to social protection, and find some problems harder to identify solutions for. Here I discuss three kinds of loss that they suggest may accompany the loss of a job in later adulthood. I speculate about what may be critical for health and well being so that we can move forward from the important foundation that Riumallo Herl et al. have laid. The three kinds of loss that may be central to affecting health and well-being include: (i) financial loss with social protection as a potential buffer; (ii) loss of identity and meaning with loss of such a major role; and (iii) loss of social interaction and engagement with a community of workers.

The financial costs of job loss, and the positive spillovers to health of social protection

Job loss is generally associated with increases in depressive symptoms in the USA and Europe, but in the USA job loss is more strongly associated with depressive symptoms among those with less wealth.1 In Europe, wealth did not modify the association between depression and job loss, suggesting that some other factor—such as the broader social protection programmes in Europe related to unemployment or other safety net programmes—may be playing an important role in buffering health impacts. The authors speculate that such programmes may enable workers to protect retirement savings, leading to better mental health at older ages. In a relevant related article, Leist et al.2 report (using very similar cohorts) that time away from work leads to declines in cognitive impairment—again suggesting that job loss is important in shaping future mental outcomes. Interestingly in this report, they find that some time away from work in the form of unemployment or sickness is associated with cognitive declines, whereas employment gaps related to training and maternity leave are associated with improvements.

Does this lead one towards the identification of policy solutions? Social protection that provides financial security and potentially training for new opportunities may lead to improved long-range mental health outcomes. This work could be evaluated much more explicitly using policy variations across countries and over time to build on more experimental approaches. Perhaps the hopeful news here is that support or paying out initially at the time of life events—for unemployment, maternity benefits, earned income tax credits (EITC) in the USA3—provides employees with more options for rejoining the work force as well as for saving later in life. We might think of these social and economic policies as preventive health policies. Lack of social protection may well end up costing more in the end in terms of financial and health costs. It seems worthwhile to think of these long run spillovers as important consequences of policy actions. Furthermore, positive health spillovers are rarely accounted for in most cost-benefit calculations done by governments. The unintended consequences of social policies for health should go into the cost-benefit equations.

The meaning of work: identity

Job loss leads to losses beyond the financial. For many men and women, work provides a primary role and shapes identity in core ways. Loss of job may not only lead to the loss of that important role but also, as the authors suggest, to the stigma associated with unemployment. The extent to which work provides workers with positive identities and dignity varies considerably but, even in jobs that may not be rewarding in terms of psychological identity, workers are often proud that they can provide resources for their families and take care of themselves independently. Losses related to identity transformations seem hard to tackle. Perhaps alternative roles, including volunteering in various ways, can compensate for such role loss; but this may be particularly difficult at older ages and in the face of other losses such as the death of close partners and one’s own frailty. Good jobs provide dignity and meaning in life, but even jobs with harsher working conditions permit workers to take care of themselves and their loved ones.

The community of workers

The importance of social engagement and social interaction has been well recognized for decades. However, we
Job loss is a profoundly disruptive experience. As economies become more globalized and job transitions more common, the identification and implementation of policies that enable both societal as well as personal resilience will become increasingly important. This new piece of research by Riumallo-Herl et al. ¹ points us in the right direction.
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