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Mycoplasmas are the smallest free-living microorganisms, being about 300 nm in diameter. They are bounded by a triple-layered membrane and, unlike conventional bacteria, do not have a rigid cell wall. Hence, they are not susceptible to penicillins and other antibiotics that act on this structure. They are, however, susceptible to a variety of other broad-spectrum antibiotics, most of which only inhibit their multiplication and do not kill them. The tetracyclines have always been in the forefront of antibiotic usage, particularly for genital tract infections, but macrolides are also widely used for respiratory tract infections. Indeed, in comparison with the tetracyclines, erythromycin, the newer macrolides, the ketolides and the newer quinolones have equal or sometimes greater activity. The two latter antibiotic groups also have some cidal activity. The antibiotic susceptibility profiles of several mycoplasmas of human origin are presented, those of \textit{Mycoplasma pneumoniae} and \textit{Mycoplasma genitalium} being similar. Apart from the penicillins, mycoplasmas are innately resistant to some other antibiotics, for example the rifampicins. In addition, some may develop resistance, either by gene mutation or by acquisition of a resistance gene, to antibiotics to which they are usually sensitive. Resistance of mycoplasmas to tetracyclines is common and due to acquisition of the \textit{tetM} gene. The antibiotic susceptibility pattern may be influenced greatly by the source of the mycoplasma; for example, one recovered from a contaminated eukaryotic cell culture that has been subjected to extensive antibiotic treatment may have an antibiotic profile quite different from the same mycoplasmal species that has been recovered directly from a human or animal source. Mycoplasmas may be difficult to eradicate from human or animal hosts or from cell cultures by antibiotic treatment because of resistance to the antibiotic, or because it lacks cidal activity, or because there is invasion of eukaryotic cells by some mycoplasmas. Eradication may be particularly difficult in immunosuppressed or immunodeficient individuals, particularly those who are hypogammaglobulinaemic. The regimes that are most likely to be effective in the treatment of respiratory or genitourinary mycoplasmal infections are presented.

Introduction

All organisms in the class Mollicutes (‘soft skin’) are here referred to trivially as mycoplasmas. Their characteristics and a molecular explanation for their pathogenicity have been reviewed quite recently.\textsuperscript{1} In brief, they possess a triple-layered limiting membrane but no rigid bacterial cell wall and, therefore, tend to be pleomorphic, although some have a well-defined appearance with a terminal structure by which they attach to eukaryotic cells. The smallest viable forms are about 300 nm in diameter and, although they do not possess flagella or pili, many are motile. Growth occurs in nutrient media in the absence of living tissue cells. Organisms of the genera \textit{Mycoplasma}, \textit{Ureaplasma}, \textit{Entomoplasma}, \textit{Anaeroplasma} and most \textit{Spiroplasma} spp. require sterol for growth, whereas species in the genera \textit{Acholeplasma}, \textit{Asteroleplasma}, \textit{Mesoplasma} and a few \textit{Spiroplasma} spp. do not. Apart from the strictly anaerobic mycoplasmas (anaeroplasmas and asteroleplasmas), most other mycoplasmas are facultatively aerobic, growth often being optimal anaerobically or in an atmosphere containing added CO\textsubscript{2}. Multiplication of most species on solid media results in the formation of small colonies that have a characteristic ‘fried egg’ appearance,
Table I. Primary sites of colonization, metabolism and pathogenicity of mycoplasmas isolated from humans

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Primary site of colonization</th>
<th>Metabolism of</th>
<th>Pathogenicity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>oropharynx</td>
<td>glucose</td>
<td>arginine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mycoplasma buccale</strong></td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mycoplasma faucium</strong></td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mycoplasma fermentans</strong></td>
<td>+</td>
<td>±&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mycoplasma genitalium</strong></td>
<td>±</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mycoplasma hominis</strong></td>
<td>±</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mycoplasma lipophilum</strong></td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mycoplasma orale</strong></td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mycoplasma penetrans</strong></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mycoplasma pirum</strong></td>
<td>?</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mycoplasma pneumoniae</strong></td>
<td>+</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mycoplasma primatum</strong></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mycoplasma salivarum</strong></td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mycoplasma spermophilum</strong></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ureaplasma urealyticum</strong></td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Acholeplasma laidlawii</strong></td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Acholeplasma oculi</strong></td>
<td>?</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> Non-pathogenic means that no evidence for pathogenicity is available.

<sup>b</sup> ± = primary site occasionally.

<sup>c</sup> Metabolizes urea.
the smallest colonies being produced by organisms of the genus *Ureaplasma*. The latter are unique in hydrolysing urea, other species fermenting carbohydrates and/or hydrolysing arginine. Some species are pathogenic, causing diseases mainly in the respiratory tracts and genital tracts of vertebrates, or diseases in plants and insects. A large cluster of the plant pathogenic mycoplasmas (now termed phytoplasmas), which are transmitted by insect vectors or grafting, have not been successfully cultured on artificial medium. Many species of mycoplasmas occur as part of the normal vertebrate or plant/insect flora. The mycoplasmas of human origin, their characteristics and pathogenicity have been reviewed recently and some of the important features are shown in Table I. Growth of all mycoplasmas is inhibited by broad-spectrum antibiotics, and the effect that antibiotics have on those of human origin, together with a review of current approaches to treatment is the focus of this article.

**Antibiotic susceptibility tests**

The antibiotic susceptibilities of mycoplasmas may be determined *in vitro* by two basic methods: the agar dilution method and the broth dilution method, usually in the form of the metabolism inhibition test.

**Agar dilution method**

If a standard agar dilution method is used to determine the antibiotic susceptibility of mycoplasmas, then the lowest concentration of antibiotic completely preventing colony development after incubation at 37°C is usually regarded as the MIC. Investigators often disregard a single colony or a few colonies within the inhibition zone, but it may be unwise to do so since these may represent an antibiotic-resistant strain in a mixture of sensitive and resistant ones. Indeed, an advantage of the agar dilution method over the broth dilution method is that, in using an uncloned inoculum, resistance can be detected in this way. Nevertheless, the agar dilution method is time-consuming and labour-intensive. Two modifications are rapid and easy to undertake. The first of these involves the use of filter paper discs. Organism suspensions are spread on agar medium, allowed to dry, and filter paper discs containing serial two-fold decreasing concentrations of antibiotic are added. After incubation, discs are sought around which there are zones of colony inhibition. Resistances are detected and further incubation to determine whether there is inhibition of colony development, is a feasible approach to antibiotic susceptibility testing. However, for mycoplasmas a modification of this broth dilution method in the form of the metabolism inhibition test is usually used. This is much simpler and is, in fact, a simple modification of the metabolism inhibition method used for measuring antibody, with the antibody replaced by antibiotic. Decreasing concentrations of antibiotics are mixed with a standard concentration of organisms (usually 10⁷/mL) in broth medium and the mixtures incubated. Multiplication of the organisms results in metabolism of glucose, arginine or urea with the consequent change in pH of the medium made visible as a colour change by incorporation of a pH indicator (usually phenol red); the antibiotic (or antibody) inhibits the colour change. Several commercially available kits are based on this principle. The MIC is the highest dilution of antibiotic that inhibits the colour change at the time when the change in the control without antibiotic has just developed, some investigators regard the end-point as the dilution at which there is ≥50% reduction (not absence) of the colour seen in the control. Continued incubation results in an increasing MIC value so that, in effect, it is possible to record a final inhibitory concentration some time after the initial reading. It is clear that results and reproducibility are strongly influenced by the time of reading and by the number of organisms in the inoculum and that some effort to standardize is desirable, otherwise varying results in a laboratory and, particularly, differences in results from one laboratory to another will continue. Nevertheless, even if attention is not paid to these aspects it is usually possible within a laboratory to distinguish a strain that is susceptible to an antibiotic from one that is not. However, resistant organisms in a mixture of resistant and sensitive ones will multiply and may obscure those that are sensitive. The penalty of not having an inoculum of cloned organisms is obvious, although cloning is not always practised. Despite the difficulties mentioned in using the metabolism inhibition method, it is preferred by many investigators, particularly when ureaplasmas are being tested, since colour changes caused by these organisms are easier to demonstrate than colony development. Nevertheless, a particular problem may be experienced in testing the susceptibility of ureaplasmas to erythromycin, since the MIC value is affected greatly by pH, the antibiotic being much more active at pH 7 than at pH 6–6.5 (the pH of the medium used in the test). A corollary of this is the failure of erythromycin to eradicate ureaplasmas from the vagina as a result of the vaginal secretions being so acidic (pH < 4.5). It is unproven but interesting to speculate that eradication of vaginal ureaplasmas with erythromycin might be

**Broth dilution method**

Incubation of decreasing concentrations of an antibiotic with a suspension of organisms in broth medium, followed by application of aliquots of the mixtures to agar medium and further incubation to determine whether there is inhibition of colony development, is a feasible approach to antibiotic susceptibility testing. However, for mycoplasmas a modification of this broth dilution method in the form of the metabolism inhibition test is usually used. This is much simpler and is, in fact, a simple modification of the metabolism inhibition method used for measuring antibody, with the antibody replaced by antibiotic. Decreasing concentrations of antibiotics are mixed with a standard concentration of organisms (usually 10⁷/mL) in broth medium and the mixtures incubated. Multiplication of the organisms results in metabolism of glucose, arginine or urea with the consequent change in pH of the medium made visible as a colour change by incorporation of a pH indicator (usually phenol red); the antibiotic (or antibody) inhibits the colour change. Several commercially available kits are based on this principle. The MIC is the highest dilution of antibiotic that inhibits the colour change at the time when the change in the control without antibiotic has just developed, some investigators regard the end-point as the dilution at which there is ≥50% reduction (not absence) of the colour seen in the control. Continued incubation results in an increasing MIC value so that, in effect, it is possible to record a final inhibitory concentration some time after the initial reading. It is clear that results and reproducibility are strongly influenced by the time of reading and by the number of organisms in the inoculum and that some effort to standardize is desirable, otherwise varying results in a laboratory and, particularly, differences in results from one laboratory to another will continue. Nevertheless, even if attention is not paid to these aspects it is usually possible within a laboratory to distinguish a strain that is susceptible to an antibiotic from one that is not. However, resistant organisms in a mixture of resistant and sensitive ones will multiply and may obscure those that are sensitive. The penalty of not having an inoculum of cloned organisms is obvious, although cloning is not always practised. Despite the difficulties mentioned in using the metabolism inhibition method, it is preferred by many investigators, particularly when ureaplasmas are being tested, since colour changes caused by these organisms are easier to demonstrate than colony development. Nevertheless, a particular problem may be experienced in testing the susceptibility of ureaplasmas to erythromycin, since the MIC value is affected greatly by pH, the antibiotic being much more active at pH 7 than at pH 6–6.5 (the pH of the medium used in the test). A corollary of this is the failure of erythromycin to eradicate ureaplasmas from the vagina as a result of the vaginal secretions being so acidic (pH < 4.5). It is unproven but interesting to speculate that eradication of vaginal ureaplasmas with erythromycin might be
achieved in women who have bacterial vaginosis, when the vaginal pH can rise to $\geq 7.0$.

**Tests of mycoplasmacidal activity**

Apart, perhaps, from the quinolones, antibiotics active against mycoplasmas tend not to be cidal, at least in concentrations that can be achieved in vivo. Lack of cidal activity is seen, as mentioned above, by a ’creeping’ increase in the MIC value on continued incubation of the metabolism inhibition test. However, more detailed information may be gained by removing the mixture of organisms and antibiotic, at whatever concentration of the latter is considered to be inhibitory, and determining whether the organisms are still capable of multiplication once the antibiotic has been diluted in growth medium beyond its inhibitory concentration. Alternatively, the mixture may be passed through a 0.2 µm pore-size filter to trap the organisms, the filter washed by passing clean medium through it, and then placed in growth medium to culture viable organisms.

In summary, there is no agreed usage of a single test and expediency often dictates which method is used. The agar dilution method has some advantages, as outlined, and has its proponents, but the broth dilution method in the form of the metabolism inhibition test is probably used more often. Furthermore, it is invaluable in assessing mycoplasmacidal activity (see below), since such activity can not be determined adequately by methods that do not allow the antibiotic to be separated from the organisms at some stage of the test.

**Susceptibility profiles**

It has long been recognized that mycoplasmas are normally susceptible to antibiotics that inhibit protein synthesis and are resistant to those that act on bacterial cell wall components (because of the absence of the latter). The susceptibility of *Mycoplasma pneumoniae, Mycoplasma genitalium, Mycoplasma hominis, Mycoplasma fermentans* and *Ureaplasma urealyticum* to a range of antibiotics is shown in Table II. The concise representation hides the fact that the susceptibilities shown are drawn from numerous studies in which there is a wide range of MICs of any particular antibiotic. As a consequence, some investigators may find that, when they test a particular antibiotic, its MIC does not fall precisely within the category presented in Table II. However, overall, the representation of the antibiotic susceptibility profiles is likely to be correct, as is the order in which the antibiotics have been placed. It is noteworthy that antibiotics other than tetracyclines and erythromycin, particularly the streptogramins, such as pristinamycin and RP59500, some of the newer macrolides, such as clarithromycin and azithromycin, and the newer quinolones,

### Table II. Susceptibilities of *M. pneumoniae, M. genitalium, M. hominis, M. fermentans* and *U. urealyticum* to various antibiotics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antibiotic(s)</th>
<th><em>M. pneumoniae</em></th>
<th><em>M. genitalium</em></th>
<th><em>M. hominis</em></th>
<th><em>M. fermentans</em></th>
<th><em>U. urealyticum</em></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tetracyclines</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erythromycin</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clarithromycin</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Azithromycin</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pristinamycin</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Streptomycin</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sponcinomycin</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gentamicin</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chloramphenicol</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clindamycin</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lincomycin</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sparfloxacin</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ciprofloxacin</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difloxacin</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nalidixic acid</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cephalosporins</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Penicillins</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rifampicin</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

*a, ++, susceptible (MIC $< 1$ mg/L); +, partially susceptible (MIC $= 1–10$ mg/L); –, resistant (MIC $> 10$ mg/L). Results are presented mostly in order of diminishing activity for *M. pneumoniae.*

*b Organisms within this species that carry the Tet M determinant are not susceptible to tetracyclines.
such as sparfloxacin,\textsuperscript{15} are active against \textit{M. pneumoniae}.
\textsuperscript{16} In addition, the ketolides, which constitute a new and different class of macrolide derivatives, are highly active against \textit{M. pneumoniae} and some of the other mycoplasmas\textsuperscript{17} (see below); compound RU 004 seems to be the most active. The results for the small number of strains of \textit{M. genitalium} that are currently available indicate that this mycoplasma has an antibiotic susceptibility profile similar to that of \textit{M. pneumoniae}, being susceptible to the tetracyclines and highly susceptible to a range of macrolides and streptogramins\textsuperscript{17,18}.

In contrast to \textit{M. pneumoniae} and \textit{M. genitalium}, \textit{M. hominis}, although partially susceptible to the ketolides,\textsuperscript{17} is not susceptible to erythromycin or some of the other macrolides, but is susceptible to clindamycin and lincomycin, whereas the reverse is true for \textit{U. urealyticum}. Indeed, lincomycin has been incorporated in medium to inhibit the growth of bacteria and select out ureaplasmases from animal sources.\textsuperscript{19} \textit{M. fermentans} shows some resistance to erythromycin,\textsuperscript{20,21} but not the complete resistance exhibited by \textit{M. hominis}, and is at least partially sensitive to the ketolides.\textsuperscript{17} Otherwise, \textit{M. hominis} and \textit{M. fermentans} have similar susceptibility patterns. The antibiotic susceptibility profiles of other mycoplasmas of human origin are not available in such detail.

**Mycoplasmatastic and mycoplasmacidal effects and eradication**

It is important to emphasize that most antibiotics that are used successfully in treating mycoplasmal infections (see below) have a static effect on the organisms. The greatest cidal activity is exhibited perhaps by the newer quinolones, for example sparfloxacin,\textsuperscript{15} which inhibit the replication of DNA, and by the ketolides.\textsuperscript{17} However, the general inability of antibiotics to kill mycoplasmas, despite the fact that they may suppress their growth, is one of the reasons why eradication from the host tissues is often slow. The intracellular location of some mycoplasmas, by affording protection against an antibiotic, may also be a reason for slow eradication. Less than 10 years ago, it was dogma that mycoplasmas did not gain entrance to cells other than phagocytes. In the intervening period, however, it has been demonstrated that \textit{M. fermentans},\textsuperscript{22} \textit{M. hominis},\textsuperscript{22} \textit{M. genitalium},\textsuperscript{23,24} \textit{M. pneumoniae} and \textit{Mycoplasma penetrans}\textsuperscript{25} do enter eukaryotic cells and, in the case of the two latter species, there has been evidence for intracellular multiplication. The same may be true for \textit{U. urealyticum}.\textsuperscript{26} A delay in eradication from the host ensues, even though the results of in-vivo testing indicate that an active antibiotic has been given in sufficient dosage. Another problem is that the diagnosis of a mycoplasmal infection, in particular infection by \textit{M. pneumoniae}, is often delayed so that infection is well-established by the time antibiotic therapy is initiated, further compromising eradication of the organisms and accounting for the occurrence of relapse. This, in turn, is a plausible reason for starting antibiotic therapy for respiratory mycoplasmal disease on the basis of clinical suspicion and for recommending extended treatment rather than a short course. Of course, as discussed below, if there is innate resistance to an antibiotic or resistance develops, eradication and clinical improvement are not expected.

**Antibiotic resistance**

Mycoplasmas as a whole are innately resistant to certain antibiotics, such as the penicillins, cephalosporins and the rifamycins, in whatever dosage. In the case of the rifamycins, insusceptibility seems to be related to the presence of a single amino acid, at position 526, in the \( \beta \) subunit of RNA polymerase, as determined from the sequences of the \textit{rpoB} gene of \textit{Spiroplasma citri}\textsuperscript{27} and from those of different other mycoplasmal species including \textit{M. genitalium}.\textsuperscript{28} It is such insusceptibility that argues against the claim for the existence of mycoplasma-like organisms in various human diseases that are reported to be responsive to rifampicin.\textsuperscript{29,31} Some mycoplasmal species are selectively innately resistant to an antibiotic to which other species are sensitive. An example of this is \textit{M. hominis}, all strains of which are resistant to erythromycin. Mycoplasmas also develop resistance to antibiotics to which they are usually considered sensitive. Such resistance to streptomycin is common and may develop as a one-step process.\textsuperscript{32} Complete resistance to this and other aminoglycosides has been seen in strains of \textit{M. fermentans} isolated from cell cultures in which such antibiotics have been used,\textsuperscript{21} although resistance of this kind is not seen with \textit{M. fermentans} strains that have been isolated directly from human sources. In this regard, it is interesting to note that the aminoglycoside resistance of the first strain of \textit{M. fermentans} (strain ‘incognitits’), recovered from patients with the acquired immunodeficiency syndrome via the use of eukaryotic cell cultures,\textsuperscript{33} was used as an argument to suggest that it was derived from the cells and not from the patients.\textsuperscript{21} That the source of a mycoplasma isolate is a factor that may influence the results of antibiotic susceptibility tests means that results may be obtained that are not always in keeping with the data shown in Table II.

Resistance of \textit{M. hominis} to fluoroquinolones, as for other bacterial species, is associated with a \textit{gyrA} mutation at Ser83.\textsuperscript{34} Resistance of \textit{M. hominis} to tetracyclines\textsuperscript{25,36} probably assumes more importance because of the widespread use of these drugs for genital tract infections, and in some areas the frequency of resistant strains has increased to 30% or more.\textsuperscript{37} The reason for this, apparently, is the acquisition of a streptococcal \textit{tetM} gene.\textsuperscript{38} \textit{U. urealyticum} strains may also become resistant to tetracyclines\textsuperscript{29} for the same reason.\textsuperscript{40} The \textit{tetM} gene encodes a protein which binds to ribosomes and in the case of \textit{U. urealyticum} it has been demonstrated to be associated, on the
chromosome, with \textsc{Tn916}, a conjugative transposon.\textsuperscript{4} In London, the proportion of tetracycline-resistant ureaplasmal strains isolated from patients attending sexually transmitted disease (STD) clinics during the decade 1973–83 remained at about 10\%\textsuperscript{39} whether the proportion has altered subsequently has not been assessed. Erythromycin-resistant ureaplasmal strains in the same area also comprised about 10\%\textsuperscript{39} but strains resistant to both antibiotics were very infrequent. It is noteworthy that strains of \textit{M. hominis} known to be resistant to various tetracyclines because of the TetM determinant have been shown to be as susceptible to the glycyclines (new tetracycline derivatives) as the tetracycline-susceptible strains; tetracycline-resistant strains of \textit{U. urealyticum} have shown variable susceptibility to the glycyclines,\textsuperscript{41} but seem to be universally susceptible to the ketolides.\textsuperscript{17}

Erythromycin-resistant strains of \textit{M. pneumoniae} have been isolated from treated patients. In erythromycin-resistant mutants selected \textit{in vitro}, the resistance affected several macrolide–lincosamide–streptogramin B (MLS) antibiotics, and was demonstrated to occur as the result of point mutations in the 23S rRNA gene.\textsuperscript{42} The elimination of such resistant strains by erythromycin therapy is, of course, not expected. However, the difficulty of eradicating even erythromycin-sensitive \textit{M. pneumoniae} strains from the respiratory tract\textsuperscript{43} indicates that the promise of in-vitro tests does not always correlate with clinical outcome.

**Role of the immune system**

As for other infections, there are unquestionable difficulties in controlling mycoplasmal infections in patients with immune deficiencies\textsuperscript{44} and of eradicating such infections from nude mice as opposed to their immunocompetent counterparts (D. Taylor-Robinson & P. M. Furr, unpublished data). In the case of the former, although clinicians treating mycoplasma-infected immunodeficient patients may not always experience a problem, failure to respond microbiologically and clinically has at times created serious problems. The persistence for years of \textit{M. pneumoniae} in the respiratory tract\textsuperscript{45} and of ureaplasmas in the urethra,\textsuperscript{46} joints and other sites\textsuperscript{47,48} of hypogamma-globulinaemic patients has occurred despite multiple courses of antibiotics, sometimes given intravenously. In some patients, the administration of high titre anti-ureaplasmal antibody prepared in goats, together with antibiotic, seems to have been responsible for clinical recovery.\textsuperscript{44} The ability to detect \textit{M. fermentans} by a polymerase chain reaction (PCR) assay in the blood of HIV-positive patients over many months, despite courses of various antibiotics for other intercurrent infections, is also noteworthy (J. Ainsworth & D. Taylor-Robinson, unpublished data). This, by inference, means that successful chemotherapeutic intervention in a mycoplasmal infection depends to a large extent on the ability of the host to mount an adequate immune response.\textsuperscript{49} Support for this concept also comes from the difficulties experienced in controlling mycoplasmal infection in plants\textsuperscript{50} and of eradicating contaminating mycoplasmas from cell cultures, both situations where a functioning immune system does not exist.

**Treatment of infection**

**Mycoplasma pneumoniae infection**

The value of antibiotic therapy in \textit{M. pneumoniae}-induced disease was shown first in a controlled trial of dimethyl-chlorotetracycline undertaken in marine recruits in the USA, the duration of fever, pulmonary infiltration, and other signs and symptoms being reduced significantly.\textsuperscript{51} Subsequently, other trials provided evidence for the effectiveness of various tetracyclines, as well as erythromycin and other macrolides.\textsuperscript{52} It should be noted, however, that antibiotics tend to be more effective in planned trials than they are in routine clinical practice, probably because disease has become more established in routine practice before treatment is instituted. This should not be construed as meaning that antibiotic therapy is not worthwhile, although clinical improvement is not always accompanied by early eradication of the organisms from the respiratory tract.\textsuperscript{15} The likely reason for this, as mentioned previously, is that almost all antibiotics have only static activity against mycoplasmas. The quinolones are an exception, having cidal qualities, although the earlier ones have only moderate activity against \textit{M. pneumoniae}.\textsuperscript{10} Failure to kill is also an explanation for clinical relapse in some patients and a plausible reason for recommending a 2–3 week course of antibiotic treatment rather than a shorter course. It is a moot point whether early treatment might prevent some of the complications but, nevertheless, it should commence as soon as possible. If facilities for rapid laboratory diagnosis, namely a PCR assay, are not available, confirmation of a \textit{M. pneumoniae} infection will inevitably be slow. A raised cold haemagglutinin and/or single serum antibody titre (1:>=64) that can be obtained quickly might provide some diagnostic assurance but, nevertheless, it would seem wise to start suitable antibiotic treatment on the basis of the clinical evidence alone. The antibiotics used most widely are the macrolides (erythromycin, roxithromycin) and the tetracyclines, doxycycline in particular. Erythromycin is more active against \textit{M. pneumoniae} than against some of the other mycoplasmas of human origin (see Table II). Fortunately, it is also active against some of the other bacteria, for example \textit{Legionella} spp., that cause atypical pneumonia. In the case of pregnant women and children, it is certainly advisable to use a macrolide rather than a tetracycline, roxithromycin being tolerated better than erythromycin, and for the reasons given macrolides have the edge over tetracyclines in adults. Overall, there should be no difficulty with therapeutic options because \textit{M. pneumoniae} is also inhibited by the newer macrolides, such as clarithro-
mycin and azithromycin, and to some extent by the quinolones, such as ciprofloxacin.16

**Genitourinary infection**

Some disease syndromes are caused not only by mycoplasmas but also by various other microorganisms. Since it is usually impossible to define rapidly which one is responsible, the antibiotic sensitivity of all of them must be taken into account when empirical therapy is prescribed. Thus, for example, in the case of non-gonococcal urethritis, patients should receive a tetracycline that inhibits *Chlamydia trachomatis*, *M. genitalium* and *U. urealyticum*. Doxycycline is often used, given in a dose of 100 mg twice daily for 7 days. However, as mentioned before, at least 10% of ureaplasmal strains isolated from patients attending STD clinics in London are resistant to tetracyclines39 and patients who fail to respond should be treated with erythromycin (0.5 g daily for 7 days), to which most tetracycline-resistant ureaplasmal strains are sensitive. A tetracycline should also be included in the antibiotic regimen for pelvic inflammatory disease, so that *C. trachomatis* and *M. hominis* strains are covered. However, since the proportion of *M. hominis* strains that are resistant to tetracyclines has been increasing (≥20%),37 other antibiotics such as lincomycin, clindamycin or fluoroquinolones (often ofloxacin) may sometimes need to be used. Azithromycin, which is being used increasingly to treat non-gonococcal urethritis and other infections in which *C. trachomatis* might be involved, is also active against a wide range of mycoplasmas. If mycoplasma-induced maternal fever occurs after abortion or after vaginal delivery of a live baby and does not subside rapidly, tetracycline treatment should be started, but keeping tetracycline resistance in mind. Erythromycin would be the first choice in neonatal infection.

**Immunocompromised patients**

Treatment of *M. pneumoniae* and other mycoplasmal and ureaplasmal infections in patients who are immunodeficient may prove particularly challenging (see above). As a consequence of the difficulties sometimes experienced in treating hypogammaglobulinaemic patients, particularly those with arthritis, the following recommendations have been proposed:44 (i) the likelihood of mycoplasmal involvement should always be considered when arthritis occurs in such a patient; (ii) a synovial mycoplasmal isolate should be tested immediately against a wide range of antibiotics; (iii) the most inhibitory antibiotic should be given as soon as possible by the most appropriate route (intravenously, if possible); (iv) such therapy should be prolonged and terminated only if there is no reasonably rapid clinical and/or microbiological response, and (v) administration of specific antiserum should be considered, perhaps together with another antibiotic, in those cases that do not respond.
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