Colorimetric redox-indicator methods for the rapid detection of multidrug resistance in *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*: a systematic review and meta-analysis
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Objectives: With the spread of multidrug-resistant tuberculosis (MDR-TB) there is increasing demand for new accurate and cost-effective tools for rapid drug susceptibility testing (DST), particularly for developing countries. The reference standard method used today for DST is very slow and cumbersome. Colorimetric assays using redox indicators have been proposed to be used in low-resource countries as rapid alternative culture methods for the detection of resistance especially to rifampicin and isoniazid. These methods appear as promising new tools but their accuracy has not been systematically evaluated.

Methods: We did a meta-analysis to evaluate the accuracy of the colorimetric assays for the detection of rifampicin and isoniazid-resistant tuberculosis among clinical isolates. We searched Medline, PubMed (NCBI), Global health-CAB, EJS-E (EbscoHost), ISI Web, Web of Science and IFCC databases and contacted authors if additional information was needed.

Results: Eighteen studies met our inclusion criteria for rifampicin resistance detection and 16 for isoniazid. We used a summary receiver operating characteristic (SROC) curve to perform meta-analysis and summarize diagnostic accuracy. For both drugs, all studies had a sensitivity and specificity that ranged between 89% and 100%.

Conclusions: There is evidence that colorimetric methods are highly sensitive and specific for the rapid detection of MDR-TB. These new tools could offer affordable technologies for TB laboratories especially in places where resources are limited and where the prevalence of MDR-TB is important and make TB control efforts more effective. Additional studies are needed in high MDR prevalence countries and cost-effectiveness analysis to have more evidence on the utility of these methods. Future developments to detect resistance directly from smear-positive sputum specimens should be taken into consideration to speed up the process.
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Introduction

Tuberculosis is a major public health problem, particularly in developing countries and multidrug-resistant strains of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* (MDR-TB), defined as resistance to at least rifampicin and isoniazid, constitute a serious problem for the efficacy of TB control programmes.1 With the spread of MDR-TB there is increasing demand for new accurate tools for rapid drug susceptibility testing (DST). Conventional tests for the detection of drug resistance are slow and cumbersome. Laboratory diagnosis is complicated by the fastidious growth requirements of the bacillus. For reference laboratories in high-burden countries, culture is the first step to perform DST. Current conventional methods for DST include the proportion method (PM), the absolute concentration method, the resistance ratio method and the radiometric BACTEC.2–5 The classical Löwenstein-Jenssen (LJ) or the agar-based medium requires a minimum of 3–6 weeks to produce definitive results.2–4 The commercial liquid-medium BACTEC 460-TB reduces the turnaround time (TAT) but is expensive and places higher demands on equipment to be routinely used in poor-resource countries.5,6

During recent years, a number of studies have evaluated the accuracy of colorimetric methods using different growth indicators for detecting especially rifampicin and isoniazid resistance in *M. tuberculosis* in diverse geographical settings. These methods are faster than the conventional DST method.
methods are based on the reduction of a coloured indicator added to the culture medium after Mycobacterium tuberculosis has been exposed in vitro to different antibiotics. Resistance is detected by a change in colour of the indicator, which is directly proportional to the number of viable mycobacteria in the medium. Different indicators have been evaluated giving comparable results in agreement with the reference standard PM. Among the different growth indicators used are the tetrazolium salts: XTT [2,3-bis-(2-methoxy-4-nitro-5-sulfophenyl)-2H-tetrazolium-5-carboxanilide] and MTT [3(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium-bromide] and the redox indicators Alamar blue and resazurin.

Figure 1 shows a diagram of the microtitre plate assay to perform DST: different concentrations of rifampicin and isoniazid are prepared directly on the plate for MIC determination, and then the bacteria suspension is incubated with the drugs for a few days, followed by the addition of the redox-indicator and visual reading. The most common indicators used to perform the colorimetric assay are: Alamar blue, MTT and resazurin, and no differences in results using these indicators have been observed.

We conducted a systematic review to evaluate the overall accuracy of the colorimetric assays in the detection of rifampicin and isoniazid-resistant TB using a summary receiver operating characteristic (SROC) curve and the area under the curve (AUC) which represents the performance of a diagnostic test based on data from a meta-analysis. The Q* index was used to define the point on the SROC curve where sensitivity and specificity are equal. Systematic reviews of primary studies are becoming important for summarizing evidence about the accuracy of diagnostic tests.

**Methods**

**Search strategy**

Data for this review were identified by searches of Medline, PubMed (NCBI), Global health-CAB, EJS-E (EbscoHost), ISI Web, Web of Science and IFCC databases. Search terms (free text, keywords) were ‘Mycobacterium tuberculosis’, ‘tuberculosis’ drug susceptibility’, ‘rifampicin’, ‘isoniazid’ colorimetric ‘Alamar blue’, ‘resazurin’, ‘MTT’, redox indicator’ for papers published in English from 1966 onwards. All retrieved titles and abstracts were scrutinized for relevant studies about DST of Mycobacterium tuberculosis using the colorimetric methods (MTT, Alamar blue, resazurin or other indicators). In a first step, we did not exclude any study on the basis of a small sample size or not enough data reported.

**Study selection**

The search through electronic databases returned 28 studies using different kinds of indicator for the rapid detection of rifampicin and isoniazid resistance in Mycobacterium tuberculosis.

We included studies that met the following pre-determined criteria: comparison of the colorimetric assay with a reference standard method12 (including PM on LJ or Middlebrook agar medium and radiometric BACTEC 460-TB method). Two independent reviewers examined the titles and the abstracts of all identified studies to confirm they had fulfilled the above-defined inclusion criteria. We did not consider studies that did not compare the assay with a reference standard method13–17 or compared the assay with a method not accepted as reference standard method such as the Alamar blue,18,19 and viability studies using only the reference strain20–23 were not included in this review. Two studies24,25 where the authors used spectrophotometric reading to measure the optical density units (RODU) of the assays instead of a visual reading were also excluded. One study performed the test directly with smear-positive sputum26 and was not considered in this analysis. The heterogeneity of data was addressed by performing a subgroup analysis with the different redox indicators used. Eighteen reported studies for rifampicin and 16 for isoniazid met eligibility criteria and were included in this review.

**Data extraction**

Two reviewers independently identified the eligible studies that had fulfilled the above criteria. Data of each article were extracted by one
reviewer and a sample of these was assessed by a second reviewer to check accuracy in data extraction. We classified data according to the following parameters included in Tables 1 and 2: the indicator used in the assay, the number of isolates tested, the reference standard method used, the sample size, the outcome data (sensitivity and specificity as determined by comparison with the reference standard), the TAT that evaluates the speed of the colorimetric assay which means in how many days results were available.

Table 1. Description of studies included in the analysis of rifampicin resistance detection

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author, publication year</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Indicator used</th>
<th>Number clinical isolates</th>
<th>Reference test</th>
<th>Sample size (no. of resistant/no. of susceptible)</th>
<th>Sensitivity (95% CI)</th>
<th>Specificity (95% CI)</th>
<th>TAT (days)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yajko et al. (1995)</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Alamar</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>7H10</td>
<td>9/41</td>
<td>0.89 (0.52–1.00)</td>
<td>0.98 (0.87–1.00)</td>
<td>7–14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Franzblau et al. (1998)</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Alamar</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>BACTEC</td>
<td>9/26</td>
<td>1.00 (0.66–1.00)</td>
<td>1.00 (0.87–1.00)</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palomino et al. (1999)</td>
<td>Belgium</td>
<td>Alamar</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>LJ</td>
<td>58/36</td>
<td>1.00 (0.94–1.00)</td>
<td>1.00 (0.90–1.00)</td>
<td>8–10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foongladda et al. (2002)</td>
<td>Thailand</td>
<td>MTT</td>
<td>279</td>
<td>LJ</td>
<td>51/228</td>
<td>0.94 (0.84–0.99)</td>
<td>1.00 (0.98–1.00)</td>
<td>4–7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palomino et al. (2002)</td>
<td>Belgium</td>
<td>resazurin</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>LJ</td>
<td>49/31</td>
<td>1.00 (0.93–1.00)</td>
<td>1.00 (0.89–1.00)</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luna et al. (2003)</td>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td>Alamar</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>7H11</td>
<td>25/35</td>
<td>0.96 (0.80–0.99)</td>
<td>0.97 (0.85–1.00)</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Banfi et al. (2003)</td>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>resazurin</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>7H11</td>
<td>4/9</td>
<td>1.00 (0.40–1.00)</td>
<td>1.00 (0.66–1.00)</td>
<td>7–14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lemus et al. (2004)</td>
<td>Cuba</td>
<td>resazurin</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>LJ</td>
<td>10/10</td>
<td>1.00 (0.69–1.00)</td>
<td>1.00 (0.69–1.00)</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lemus et al. (2004)</td>
<td>Cuba</td>
<td>MTT</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>LJ</td>
<td>10/10</td>
<td>1.00 (0.69–1.00)</td>
<td>1.00 (0.69–1.00)</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reis et al. (2004)</td>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>Alamar</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>LJ</td>
<td>50/100</td>
<td>1.00 (0.93–1.00)</td>
<td>1.00 (0.96–1.00)</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montoro et al. (2005)</td>
<td>Cuba</td>
<td>resazurin</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>LJ</td>
<td>37/63</td>
<td>1.00 (0.91–1.00)</td>
<td>0.98 (0.91–1.00)</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montoro et al. (2005)</td>
<td>Cuba</td>
<td>MTT</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>LJ</td>
<td>37/63</td>
<td>1.00 (0.91–1.00)</td>
<td>1.00 (0.94–1.00)</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Martin et al. (2005)</td>
<td>Belgium</td>
<td>resazurin</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>LJ</td>
<td>102/101</td>
<td>0.98 (0.93–1.00)</td>
<td>0.99 (0.95–1.00)</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Martin et al. (2005)</td>
<td>Belgium</td>
<td>MTT</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>LJ</td>
<td>102/101</td>
<td>0.99 (0.95–1.00)</td>
<td>0.99 (0.95–1.00)</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Da Silva et al. (2006)</td>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>Alamar</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>LJ</td>
<td>8/10</td>
<td>1.00 (0.63–1.00)</td>
<td>1.00 (0.69–1.00)</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Da Silva et al. (2006)</td>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>MTT</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>LJ</td>
<td>8/10</td>
<td>1.00 (0.63–1.00)</td>
<td>1.00 (0.69–1.00)</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nateche et al. (2006)</td>
<td>Algeria</td>
<td>resazurin</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>LJ</td>
<td>12/124</td>
<td>0.92 (0.62–1.00)</td>
<td>0.99 (0.96–1.00)</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coban et al. (2006)</td>
<td>Turkey</td>
<td>resazurin</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>BACTEC</td>
<td>18/32</td>
<td>1.00 (0.81–1.00)</td>
<td>1.00 (0.89–1.00)</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Description of studies included in the analysis of isoniazid resistance detection

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author, publication year</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Indicator used</th>
<th>Number clinical isolates</th>
<th>Reference test</th>
<th>Sample size (no. of resistant/no. of susceptible)</th>
<th>Sensitivity (95% CI)</th>
<th>Specificity (95% CI)</th>
<th>TAT (days)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yajko et al. (1995)</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Alamar</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>7H10</td>
<td>29/21</td>
<td>1.00 (0.88–1.00)</td>
<td>0.95 (0.76–0.99)</td>
<td>7–14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Franzblau et al. (1998)</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Alamar</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>BACTEC</td>
<td>16/19</td>
<td>0.94 (0.70–0.99)</td>
<td>0.89 (0.67–0.99)</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palomino et al. (1999)</td>
<td>Belgium</td>
<td>Alamar</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>LJ</td>
<td>57/37</td>
<td>1.00 (0.94–1.00)</td>
<td>1.00 (0.91–1.00)</td>
<td>8–10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foongladda et al. (2002)</td>
<td>Thailand</td>
<td>MTT</td>
<td>279</td>
<td>LJ</td>
<td>65/214</td>
<td>0.92 (0.83–0.97)</td>
<td>1.00 (0.97–1.00)</td>
<td>4–7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palomino et al. (2002)</td>
<td>Belgium</td>
<td>resazurin</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>LJ</td>
<td>54/26</td>
<td>1.00 (0.93–1.00)</td>
<td>0.96 (0.80–1.00)</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luna et al. (2003)</td>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td>Alamar</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>7H11</td>
<td>24/36</td>
<td>0.96 (0.79–1.00)</td>
<td>0.97 (0.85–1.00)</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Banfi et al. (2003)</td>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>resazurin</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>7H11</td>
<td>5/8</td>
<td>1.00 (0.48–1.00)</td>
<td>1.00 (0.63–1.00)</td>
<td>7–14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reis et al. (2004)</td>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>Alamar</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>LJ</td>
<td>50/100</td>
<td>0.96 (0.86–0.99)</td>
<td>0.99 (0.95–1.00)</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montoro et al. (2005)</td>
<td>Cuba</td>
<td>resazurin</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>LJ</td>
<td>45/55</td>
<td>1.00 (0.92–1.00)</td>
<td>0.96 (0.87–1.00)</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montoro et al. (2005)</td>
<td>Cuba</td>
<td>MTT</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>LJ</td>
<td>45/55</td>
<td>1.00 (0.92–1.00)</td>
<td>0.96 (0.87–1.00)</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Martin et al. (2005)</td>
<td>Belgium</td>
<td>resazurin</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>LJ</td>
<td>82/121</td>
<td>0.98 (0.91–1.00)</td>
<td>0.98 (0.93–0.99)</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Martin et al. (2005)</td>
<td>Belgium</td>
<td>MTT</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>LJ</td>
<td>82/121</td>
<td>0.98 (0.91–1.00)</td>
<td>0.98 (0.94–1.00)</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>da Silva et al. (2006)</td>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>Alamar</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>LJ</td>
<td>10/8</td>
<td>1.00 (0.69–1.00)</td>
<td>1.00 (0.63–1.00)</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>da Silva et al. (2006)</td>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>MTT</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>LJ</td>
<td>10/8</td>
<td>1.00 (0.69–1.00)</td>
<td>0.88 (0.47–1.00)</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nateche et al. (2006)</td>
<td>Algeria</td>
<td>resazurin</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>LJ</td>
<td>17/119</td>
<td>1.00 (0.80–1.00)</td>
<td>0.99 (0.95–1.00)</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coban et al. (2006)</td>
<td>Turkey</td>
<td>resazurin</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>BACTEC</td>
<td>28/22</td>
<td>0.93 (0.76–0.99)</td>
<td>1.00 (0.85–1.00)</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data synthesis and meta-analysis

We used standard methods for the diagnostic meta-analysis and performed data analysis using the Meta-DiSc software (version 1.4).27

We focused on sensitivity and specificity as measures of diagnostic accuracy of the colorimetric assay. For each article, we created a two by two table of the colorimetric assay rifampicin and isoniazid susceptibility results and cross-tabulated. Sensitivity
true positive rate, TPR) was defined as the proportion of isolates determined to be rifampicin or isoniazid resistant by the reference method correctly identified as rifampicin or isoniazid resistant by the colorimetric method. Specificity (true negative rate or false positive rate, FPR) was defined as the proportion of isolates determined to be rifampicin or isoniazid susceptible by the reference method correctly identified as rifampicin or isoniazid susceptible by the colorimetric method.

We created a forest plot to estimate the accuracy of each test. The receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve is well established as a method of summarizing the performance of a diagnostic test within a single study. It indicates the relationship between the TPR and the FPR of the test. The SROC curve is similar to the ROC curve for a single study except that the data points for the SROC curve are obtained from a set of studies being used for an overview and meta-analysis. The AUC represents an overall summary of the performance of a test. AUC ranges from 1 for a perfect test that always correctly diagnoses, to 0 for a test that never correctly diagnoses. The Q* index represents a summarization of test performance where sensitivity and specificity are equal.28,29

Figure 2. Forest plot of the sensitivity and specificity for rifampicin. The point estimates of sensitivity and specificity from each study are shown as a circles. Error bars are 95% confidence intervals.
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Quality assessment of included studies

The quality assessment of individual studies was performed by using the QUADAS tool. See Tables S1 and S2 [available as Supplementary data at JAC Online (http://jac.oxfordjournals.org/)].

Results

Detection of rifampicin resistance

Table 1 describes the characteristics of the 18 included studies for the detection of rifampicin resistance. All studies tested the colorimetric assay on culture isolates. Four studies are listed twice because the authors tested two different colorimetric indicators and data were extracted and analysed separately. The reference standard method used to compare the assay was either the BACTEC TB-460 or the PM on LJ medium or Middlebrook agar medium. Only two studies used the radiometric BACTEC 460-TB system as a reference standard.

Figure 2 illustrates a forest plot that estimates the sensitivity and specificity based on results of the 18 included studies. Figure 3 is a SROC curve of the same data. Of the 18 studies, 11 studies reported sensitivity and specificity of 100%, 4 studies >95%, 2 studies >90% and only 1 study reported sensitivity of 89% and specificity of 98%.

The SROC curve shows an AUC of 0.99 and Q* of 0.97, indicating a high level of overall accuracy.

Detection of isoniazid resistance

Table 2 describes the characteristics of the 16 included studies for the detection of isoniazid resistance. In this case, three studies are listed twice because the authors tested two different colorimetric indicators and data were extracted and analysed separately. Figure 4 illustrates a forest plot that estimates the sensitivity and specificity based on results of the 16 included studies. Figure 5 is a SROC curve of the same data. Of the 16 studies, 4 reported sensitivity and specificity of 100%, 8 studies >95%, 2 studies >90%. One additional study reported sensitivity of 99% and specificity of 89% while another, sensitivity of 100% and specificity of 88%.

The SROC curve shows an AUC of 0.99 and Q* of 0.97, indicating a high level of overall accuracy.
Colorimetric method performed directly on sputum

We found only one study that tested the colorimetric assay directly on smear-positive clinical specimens for the rapid detection of rifampicin resistance using MTT as indicator. This study showed a sensitivity and specificity of 98.5%.26

Discussion

The immediate goal of DST in tuberculosis is the early detection of drug resistance, especially to rifampicin and isoniazid, the two most effective drugs currently available for the treatment of the disease. This allows the early detection of MDR-TB and a better management and treatment of patients. Many developing countries have serious difficulties for obtaining drug susceptibility information on M. tuberculosis isolates due to financial or technical constraints. Conventional DST such as the PM on LJ or agar and the BACTEC TB-460 system are time-consuming or need expensive material. This meta-analysis suggests that the colorimetric methods are highly sensitive and specific for the rapid detection of rifampicin and isoniazid resistance in culture isolates. The majority of the studies have a sensitivity of 95%. Although one of the studies40 provided one-quarter of the pooled results, it did not affect the overall accuracy of the analysis (data not shown).
We are confident that our review did not miss any major study recorded in the databases searched. The limitation was the language search since we excluded studies not available in English, which could introduce a bias.

All studies reported the TAT to demonstrate that the colorimetric assays are rapid methods. The average time to have first results was between 7 and 14 days compared with the reference standard method which takes 3–6 weeks. The colorimetric assays are performed on culture isolates, this means that a primary isolation is needed to do the test requiring a minimum of 2–6 extra weeks.

All studies gave similar conclusions in the effort for their implementation in countries with limited resources. These methods can be useful to identify patient populations in which MDR-TB is strongly suspected.

Preliminary calculations indicate that the costs of the colorimetric methods are in the same order of the reference PM.

The overall quality of the included studies was good according to the analysis performed with the QUADAS tool\textsuperscript{30} recently described for the assessment of studies of diagnostic accuracy. Additional studies are needed to establish the cost-effectiveness of the colorimetric assays over the conventional method. Studies are also needed to measure the performance of the test in countries with a high prevalence of MDR-TB. Finally, additional research is needed to establish the accuracy of the colorimetric methods applied directly to clinical specimens since only one study is reported in the literature. It will save a great deal of time if tests for MDR-TB can be performed directly on sputum samples.
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Figure 5. Summary receiver operator curve (SROC) plot for isoniazid colorimetric assay. Each circle represents each study in the analysis. The curve is the regression line that summarizes the overall diagnostic accuracy. SROC, summary receiver operating characteristic; AUC, area under the concentration–time curve; SE (AUC), standard error AUC; Q\textsuperscript{*}, an index defined by the point on the SROC curve where the sensitivity and specificity are equal, which is the point closest to the top-left corner of the ROC space; SE(Q\textsuperscript{*}), standard error of Q\textsuperscript{*} index.
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