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Hormone therapy is the mainstay of adjuvant treatment for hormone receptor positive (HR-positive) nonmetastatic breast cancer. We evaluated adjuvant hormone therapy (AHT) initiation among Medicaid-insured women aged 21–84 years with stage I–III HR-positive breast cancer. We used multivariable logistic regression to identify independent predictors of AHT initiation. Within 1 year of diagnosis, 68% (1049/1538) initiated AHT; by 18 months, 80% (1168/1461) initiated AHT. In multivariable analysis, women less likely to initiate AHT had more comorbidity (≥2 vs none: adjusted odds ratio [AOR] = 0.55; 95% CI = 0.32 to 0.97), more advanced disease (stage III vs I: AOR = 0.27; 95% CI = 0.18 to 0.39), and no radiation after breast conserving surgery (AOR = 0.15; 95% CI = 0.10 to 0.22). Race, age, and history of mental health disorders were not independently associated with initiation of AHT. Among initiators of AHT, 58% (604/1049) were adherent to treatment for the year after initiation. Despite comprehensive prescription coverage, only 39% (604/1538) received optimal AHT including prompt initiation and adherence for the year after treatment. Partnerships between Medicaid programs and cancer registries may help identify at-risk women and facilitate the implementation of quality improvement strategies.
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Each year in the United States, more than 100,000 women are diagnosed with potentially curable, hormone receptor positive (HR-positive) breast cancer (1). Adjuvant hormone therapy (AHT) reduces the recurrence risk by 40% (2). National guidelines recommend 5 years of AHT (3,4) starting within a year of diagnosis for nearly all women with nonmetastatic disease (5). Nonwhite race (6–9), low socioeconomic status (7,10–14), disability (15,16), comorbidity (17), and young age (18–21) are associated with worse breast cancer outcomes. These worse outcomes could be attributable to lower odds of receiving effective therapies, such as AHT. Because these risk factors predominate among Medicaid-insured women, evaluation of AHT initiation in this population is a priority.

Our goal was to measure AHT initiation by nonelderly Medicaid-insured women with nonmetastatic breast cancer. We used data from a linkage between the New York State Cancer Registry (NYSCR), the New York State (NYS) Medicaid program, Medicare, and a state-wide hospital discharge and ambulatory surgery records system (Statewide Planning and Research Cooperative System, SPARCS) (22). These sources provided cancer diagnosis, stage, and initial treatment, as well as healthcare utilization, including prescription use (23,24). The cohort included women aged 21–64 years diagnosed during 2004–2006 with primary incident stage I–III HR-positive breast cancer; all were continuously enrolled in Medicaid from the month prior through 1 year after diagnosis or until death.

AHT initiation was ascertained on the basis of one or more pharmacy claims for tamoxifen or an aromatase inhibitor (AI, including exemestane, letrozole, and anastrozole) as denoted by drug codes (25). AHT is covered by NYS Medicaid at a cost of $0–3 per prescription. Multivariable logistic regression identified independent predictors of AHT initiation, and consequently results are reported in odds ratios. To determine each woman’s medication possession ratio, defined as prescription supply/time (days), we evaluated pharmacy claims for AHT for the year following initiation (or until censored by disenrollment). A ratio of 80% or more was considered adherent, which is standard in claims-based studies (20,21,26–29). We used two-sided P less than .05 to determine statistical significance. The research was approved by the Institutional Review Boards at the Dana-Farber Cancer Institute and the NYS Department of Health.

Of 1538 women, 68% (1049/1538) initiated AHT within the year after diagnosis (Figure 1). Because 98% (1509/1538) of these women filled one or more prescriptions during this period, it is implausible that women are unaware of or unable to access their benefits. Among AHT initiators, 58% (604/1049) were adherent with therapy over the following year. On multivariable analysis, higher comorbidity was associated with lower odds of AHT initiation (comorbidity index 1 vs 0: adjusted odds ratio [AOR] = 0.65, 95% CI = 0.42 to 1.01; ≥2 vs 0: AOR = 0.55, 95% CI = 0.32 to 0.97).

Notably, race, age, and history of mental health disorders were not independently associated with initiation of AHT. Despite the large percentage of black women (386/1538 = 25%), there was no association between black race and AHT initiation. Overall, race was marginally associated with initiation (P = .06), a result stemming from higher initiation rates among Asians. Mental health conditions (including affective disorders/major depression, psychosis, substance abuse, anxiety, and personality disorders) were common (484/1538 = 31%) but were not associated with AHT initiation.

Breast conserving surgery and lack of radiation therapy were independently associated with lower odds of AHT initiation. Because there was an interaction between surgery type, radiation, and AHT initiation, we included this in multivariable analysis and found that women who did not have radiation following breast conserving surgery were much less likely to initiate AHT than those who did (AOR = 0.15, 95% CI = 0.10 to 0.22).
Figure 1. Initiation of hormone therapy rates, unadjusted and adjusted odds ratios during the year following diagnosis of women aged 21–64 years with nonmetastatic HR+ breast cancer in New York Medicaid. (a) Region was defined as NYC, the surrounding NYC suburbs, upstate urban (counties with cities of 100,000–300,000 residents), and nonurban (counties with towns <100,000). (b) Medicaid eligibility is based on New York state government criteria. (c) Concurrently enrolled Medicare patients include those with federal disability and those who turn 65 during the follow-up period. (d) Hospital affiliation is defined as the hospital of first hospital claim in linked SPARCS files for each patient after...
AHT initiation decreased with more advanced stage (stage I reference; stage II AOR = 0.69, 95% CI = 0.52 to 0.92; and stage III AOR = 0.27, 95% CI = 0.18 to 0.39). When we extended the time horizon to 18 months (n = 1461), AHT initiation increased from 68% to 80% (Figure 2). We found higher rates of chemotherapy use for women who initiated AHT after 12 months compared to those who initiated AHT within 12 months (Fisher’s exact test, OR = 6.25, P < .001), suggesting that receipt of chemotherapy may have contributed to the delayed AHT initiation observed for patients with more advanced stage disease. Both the lower AHT use for women not receiving standard local therapy and evidence of delay suggest a breakdown in coordination of care for these women.

Our main study finding, a 68% AHT initiation rate in women younger than age 65 years, is most comparable to a study by Kimmick et al. (21). They studied an older cohort of Medicaid beneficiaries in North Carolina and reported an initiation rate of 70% (21). Other population-based studies have found higher AHT initiation rates (80%–85%), but these cohorts tended to be more affluent (6,30). Medicaid-insured women have lower breast cancer survival than privately insured women (31–34). Our findings suggest that one plausible cause of observed inferior survival for Medicaid-insured women with breast cancer might be underuse of AHT. That black and white women had similar rates of AHT initiation substantiates other studies demonstrating that racial disparities in breast cancer treatment and outcomes are partially mediated by poverty for which black race is often a proxy (11,35).

Our study’s primary limitation is that we could not distinguish between women who did not receive and those who received but did not fill an AHT prescription. Second, our analysis did not capture women without insurance or with intermittent Medicaid coverage who are presumed at even greater risk for underuse.

In summary, among nonelderly women with HR-positive breast cancer insured through a Medicaid program that provided universal coverage for AHT, 20% never initiated AHT, 12% started therapy after an excessive delay, and 29% were not adherent to therapy. Therefore, only 39% received optimal therapy. Given the substantial survival benefit associated with AHT, interventions to eliminate these deficits should be prioritized.
In light of the large proportion of women insured by Medicaid and the persistence of inferior outcomes for poor and nonwhite women, it is strategic to focus quality improvement efforts on the Medicaid-insured.

This benchmarking study was made possible by partnership between clinical experts, NYS Medicaid, and cancer registry leadership. From a practical perspective, if state health departments were able to expedite linkage of their tumor registries and Medicaid data, they could identify beneficiaries who fail to receive recommended care.

Once identified, outreach programs complementary to traditional navigator models could contact these individuals and/or their care providers to foster compliance with high-impact interventions, such as AHT.
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