Incidence and prognosis of angina pectoris in South Asians and Whites: 18 years of follow-up over seven phases in the Whitehall-II prospective cohort study
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ABSTRACT

Background Whether the higher coronary mortality in South Asians compared with White populations is due to a higher incidence of disease is not known. This study assessed cumulative incidence of chest pain in South Asians and Whites, and prognosis of chest pain.

Methods Over seven phases of 18-year follow-up of the Whitehall-II study (9775 civil servants: 9195 White, 580 South Asian), chest pain was assessed using the Rose questionnaire. Coronary death/non-fatal myocardial infarction was examined comparing those with chest pain to those with no chest pain at baseline.

Results South Asians had higher cumulative frequencies of typical angina by Phase 7 (17.0 versus 11.3%, \(P<0.001\)) and exertional chest pain (15.4 versus 8.5%, \(P<0.001\)) compared with Whites. Typical angina and exertional chest pain at baseline were associated with a worse prognosis compared with those with no chest pain in both groups (typical angina, South Asians: HR, 4.67 and 95% CI, 2.12–0.30; Whites: HR, 3.56 95% CI, 2.59–4.88). Baseline non-exertional chest pain did not confer a worse prognosis. Across all types of pain, prognosis was worse in South Asians.

Conclusion South Asians had higher cumulative incidence of angina than Whites. In both, typical angina and exertional chest pain were associated with worse prognosis compared with those with no chest pain.
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Introduction

South Asians in industrialized countries experience higher coronary heart disease mortality compared with native majority White populations, in cross-sectional¹–⁵ and prospective studies.⁶ This may be either as a consequence of higher incidence of coronary disease in South Asian populations or a worse prognosis of already-manifested coronary disease. Angina is a common initial manifestation of coronary heart disease⁷ and a significant burden in primary care.⁸ Early identification of angina is important for the initiation of interventions to reduce the future risk of a more serious cardiac event.⁹ What is not known is whether South Asian ethnicity results in a higher incidence of stable angina.¹⁰

South Asian people may however report atypical features when presenting with acute chest pain¹¹,¹² and in a small cross-sectional study¹³ measurement of chronic angina using questionnaires was reported to be less consistent in South
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Asian people when compared with the presence of a doctor diagnosis. The higher prevalence of diabetes and cultural differences in the experience, interpretation and understanding of pain have been used to support a common clinical perception that chest pain is difficult to assess in South Asians.

We aimed to assess the cumulative incidence of typical angina and exertional and non-exertional chest pain in a healthy population of South Asian and White people, and assess the prognostic significance of chest pain on risk of subsequent coronary death or non-fatal myocardial infarction. We used the Whitehall-II prospective cohort study with detailed characterization of symptoms of chest pain using the Rose questionnaire at seven time points over 18 years of follow-up.

**Methods**

**Participants**

The Whitehall-II study is a prospective cohort of non-industrial civil servants in London aged 35–55 years recruited by invitation (1985–1988). The target population of the study was all London-based office staff of 20 civil service departments and with a response of 73%, the baseline cohort consisted of 10,308 people, 3,413 women and 6,895 men. A clinical examination and a self-completed questionnaire (within which the Rose questionnaire was embedded) were administered at Phase 1. Six further phases were conducted at regular time points over 18 years of follow-up with the odd numbered phases consisting of both a clinical examination and a questionnaire and the even numbered phases consisting of a questionnaire alone. By 18 years (Phase 7), retained follow-up for the whole cohort was 67%.

**Ethnicity**

Ethnicity was defined according to the Office for National Statistics 1991 census types. Participants self-reported their ethnicity at Phase 5, and where this was missing, observer-assigned ethnicity from Phase 1 was used. There was strong agreement between data on observer-assigned ethnicity and self-reported ethnicity—93% for South Asians and 99.3% for Whites. Of the participants, 580 participants were grouped as South Asian and 9,195 were ascribed as White. We took South Asian to represent those of Indian, Sri Lankan, Pakistani and Bangladeshi ethnic origin.

**Chest pain**

At each of seven phases over 18 years follow-up, symptoms of stable angina pectoris were assessed using the seven-item Rose angina questionnaire (appendix). Chest pain was defined in three mutually exclusive groups:

- ‘typical angina’ if the pain was located over the sternum or in both the left chest and the left arm, was precipitated by exertion, caused the person to stop or slow down and went away in 10 minutes or less when they stood still;
- ‘exertional chest pain’, based on the ‘possible’ classification, being chest pain brought on by exertion but not satisfying the additional criteria, such as location and relation to rest, necessary for a diagnosis of typical angina;
- ‘non-exertional chest pain’ was defined as those complaining of chest pain that had no relation to exertion.

Of the 580 South Asian and 9,195 White participants, the vast majority had data on chest pain at Phase 1 (577 South Asian participants and 9,184 White participants). By 18 year follow-up at Phase 7, data on chest pain were available on 317 South Asian participants and 6,268 White participants (41 South Asian participants and 525 White participants having died), and loss to follow-up through the successive phases was mostly as a result of not filling in the overall study questionnaire rather than not filling in the Rose angina section, with the response rate for the Rose angina questionnaire being very high (almost 100%) in those that returned the questionnaire. Though as expected those with missing follow-up data at Phase 7 had worse baseline risk factor profiles, these patterns did not differ by ethnic groups in likelihood ratio tests of interaction.

For prognostic analyses, baseline was defined as Phases 1–3, as angina is a relapsing and remitting symptom. Those with typical angina at either Phases 1, 2 or 3 were defined as baseline typical angina and baseline exertional chest pain was similarly defined using exertional chest pain at either Phases 1, 2 or 3 among those who had not already been classified as having typical angina. Baseline non-exertional chest pain again was defined as those who had not already been classified as having typical angina or exertional chest pain at one of the Phases 1, 2 or 3.

**Risk factors**

Standardized assessments were made of smoking, hypertension (blood pressure higher than or equal to 130/85 mmHg or on antihypertensive medication), total cholesterol, body mass index (BMI), self-reported diagnosis of diabetes and family history (in parents or siblings) of onset of coronary heart disease before age 55 years at Phase 1. Metabolic syndrome was defined by the 2001 National Cholesterol Education Program Adult Treatment Panel-III criteria at
Phase 3 by the presence of three or more of central obesity, elevated fasting blood triglycerides, reduced blood HDL cholesterol, elevated blood pressure, elevated fasting glucose. Impaired glucose tolerance was defined as a fasting plasma glucose <7 mmol/l and postload glucose of ≥7.8 mmol/l (140 mg/dl) and <11.1 mmol/l. Civil service employment grade was used as a measure of socioeconomic position in three levels: senior administrative grades (high), middle-ranking executive officers (intermediate) and clerical and support staff (low).

Outcomes and follow-up
The outcome in prognostic analyses was the incidence of a combined endpoint of coronary death or non-fatal myocardial infarction between Phases 3 and 7. For each subject, the period of follow-up was up to the date when the subject was last seen in the study or their date of death, provided that the subject attended their last possible study phase prior to their death. Ninety-nine per cent of participants were flagged for mortality at the National Health Service Central Registry. Coronary death was defined by the International Classification of Diseases-Ninth Revision (ICD-9) codes 410–414 and ICD-10 codes I20–I25. Based on all available data (from questionnaire, study ECGs, hospital acute ECGs and cardiac enzymes), non-fatal myocardial infarction was defined using World Health Organization MONICA Project (multinational monitoring of trends and determinants in cardiovascular disease) criteria.

Classification of non-fatal myocardial infarction was carried out blind to other study data independently by two trained coders, with adjudication by a third in the (rare) event of disagreement.

Statistical analysis
Cumulative incidence was estimated with 95% confidence intervals of those who complained of typical, exertional and non-exertional chest pain through the six phases of the study following phase 1. For baseline characteristics, continuous variables were presented as means with standard deviation and proportions as percentages. These baseline clinical and socio-demographic data were tabulated by ethnic group across types of chest pain, and tested for age-adjusted linear trend across the three positive chest pain categories, in South Asian participants and in White participants, using the Mantel–Haenszel chi-squared test for linear trend for proportions and the analysis of variance for trend for continuous variables. Allowance for multiple comparisons was made by using the Bonferroni correction so that statistical significance of the baseline characteristics was defined as those associations with a P-value ≤0.002 (≈0.05/24).

The rates of incident coronary death or non-fatal myocardial infarction per 1000 person-years were examined comparing those with typical, exertional and non-exertional chest pain on at least one of the first three phases to those with no chest pain at any of these early stages, for each ethnic group. Cox’s proportional hazards models were used to estimate hazard ratios (HR) and 95% confidence intervals for the chest pain categories and were adjusted for age and sex and additionally for hypertension, blood cholesterol, ex/current smoker, overweight (BMI >30), diabetes, living alone, family history and employment grade.

A separate model examined rates of incident coronary death or non-fatal myocardial infarction per 1000 person-years within each type of chest pain comparing South Asian with White participants. Adjusted Cox’s proportional hazards models were again used. A likelihood ratio test was used to assess interaction between ethnicity and chest pain. The proportional hazards assumption was tested using Schoenfeld residuals and found to be not violated.

All analyses were performed using STATA (version 11; StataCorp, College Station, TX, USA).

Results
This study accumulated 153 750 person-years of follow-up. Irrespective of the type of chest pain, South Asian participants tended to be older, less likely to have smoked, more likely to be hypertensive and diabetic, more likely to live with a partner, have a higher prevalence of metabolic syndrome and be in lower employment grades of work than White participants (Table 1). Among both ethnic groups, there was an increasing prevalence of hypertension and higher cholesterol levels and trends towards more metabolic syndrome and higher body mass index with more typical chest pain, but no other similar trends were observed. In particular, neither glucose intolerance nor diabetes mellitus had a significant relationship with the presence/absence of chest pain or chest pain types, in both populations studied.

Incidence of different forms of chest pain by ethnic group
At Phase 1, South Asian participants had a higher prevalence of typical angina compared with White participants (4.8 versus 2.8%, P = 0.019) and exertional chest pain (7.8 versus 4.1%, P < 0.001) but not non-exertional chest pain (22.2 versus 25.2%, P = 0.085), as depicted in Fig. 1. By Phase 7, South Asian participants had higher cumulative
frequencies of typical angina (17.0 versus 11.3%, \( P < 0.001 \)) and exertional chest pain (15.4 versus 8.5%, \( P < 0.001 \)) compared with White participants. For non-exertional chest pain, South Asian participants had a lower cumulative frequency (24.6 versus 31.3%, \( P = 0.001 \)) compared with White participants.

Table 1 Baseline characteristics in each chest pain type comparing South Asian and White participants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ethnic group</th>
<th>Whole cohort</th>
<th>No chest pain</th>
<th>Non-exertional chest pain</th>
<th>Exertional chest pain</th>
<th>Typical angina</th>
<th>( P ) for trend across chestpain types</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>South Asian</td>
<td>580</td>
<td>312</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>White</td>
<td>9195</td>
<td>5444</td>
<td>2619</td>
<td>587</td>
<td>534</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age in years, mean (SD)</td>
<td>South Asian</td>
<td>45.65 (5.7)</td>
<td>45.26 (6.0)</td>
<td>45.71 (5.3)</td>
<td>46.14 (5.7)</td>
<td>47.14 (5.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>White</td>
<td>44.31 (6.1)</td>
<td>44.36 (6.1)</td>
<td>43.77 (5.9)</td>
<td>44.70 (6.2)</td>
<td>45.90 (6.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men</td>
<td>South Asian</td>
<td>357 (61.6)</td>
<td>183 (41.3)</td>
<td>100 (74.6)</td>
<td>45 (61.6)</td>
<td>27 (46.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>White</td>
<td>6309 (68.6)</td>
<td>3591 (66.0)</td>
<td>2019 (77.1)</td>
<td>387 (65.9)</td>
<td>308 (57.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ex/current smoker</td>
<td>South Asian</td>
<td>190 (33.0)</td>
<td>96 (31.2)</td>
<td>50 (37.6)</td>
<td>28 (38.4)</td>
<td>16 (27.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>White</td>
<td>714 (7.8)</td>
<td>410 (7.5)</td>
<td>168 (6.4)</td>
<td>52 (8.9)</td>
<td>83 (15.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertensive</td>
<td>South Asian</td>
<td>4767 (52.3)</td>
<td>2700 (50.1)</td>
<td>1425 (54.8)</td>
<td>334 (57.3)</td>
<td>300 (56.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>White</td>
<td>70 (12.1)</td>
<td>32 (10.3)</td>
<td>11 (8.2)</td>
<td>10 (13.7)</td>
<td>16 (27.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cholesterol, mean (SD) in mmol/l</td>
<td>South Asian</td>
<td>5.96 (1.2)</td>
<td>5.90 (1.2)</td>
<td>5.94 (1.2)</td>
<td>5.95 (1.2)</td>
<td>6.39 (1.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>White</td>
<td>5.97 (1.2)</td>
<td>5.94 (1.2)</td>
<td>5.94 (1.1)</td>
<td>6.09 (1.2)</td>
<td>6.19 (1.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyperglycaemic Diabetic</td>
<td>South Asian</td>
<td>22 (3.8)</td>
<td>9 (2.9)</td>
<td>6 (4.6)</td>
<td>4 (5.5)</td>
<td>2 (3.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>White</td>
<td>61 (0.7)</td>
<td>38 (0.7)</td>
<td>14 (0.5)</td>
<td>3 (0.5)</td>
<td>6 (1.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impaired glucose tolerance</td>
<td>South Asian</td>
<td>46 (7.9)</td>
<td>25 (8.0)</td>
<td>9 (6.7)</td>
<td>7 (9.6)</td>
<td>5 (8.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>White</td>
<td>561 (6.1)</td>
<td>327 (6.0)</td>
<td>157 (6.0)</td>
<td>37 (6.3)</td>
<td>40 (7.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metabolic syndrome</td>
<td>South Asian</td>
<td>66 (15.9)</td>
<td>34 (15.9)</td>
<td>15 (14.7)</td>
<td>6 (11.8)</td>
<td>11 (23.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>White</td>
<td>754 (10.6)</td>
<td>391 (9.5)</td>
<td>214 (10.1)</td>
<td>76 (16.2)</td>
<td>73 (17.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI, mean (SD)</td>
<td>South Asian</td>
<td>24.44 (3.3)</td>
<td>24.26 (3.4)</td>
<td>24.64 (3.1)</td>
<td>24.49 (2.9)</td>
<td>24.84 (3.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>White</td>
<td>24.55 (3.5)</td>
<td>24.42 (3.4)</td>
<td>24.46 (3.2)</td>
<td>25.16 (4.0)</td>
<td>25.65 (4.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Living with partner</td>
<td>South Asian</td>
<td>487 (84.3)</td>
<td>256 (82.6)</td>
<td>118 (88.1)</td>
<td>61 (83.6)</td>
<td>50 (86.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>White</td>
<td>6766 (73.8)</td>
<td>3995 (73.6)</td>
<td>1987 (76.2)</td>
<td>403 (69.0)</td>
<td>375 (70.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family history</td>
<td>South Asian</td>
<td>227 (39.1)</td>
<td>115 (36.9)</td>
<td>52 (38.8)</td>
<td>32 (43.8)</td>
<td>27 (46.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>White</td>
<td>3599 (39.1)</td>
<td>2060 (37.8)</td>
<td>1029 (39.3)</td>
<td>247 (42.1)</td>
<td>259 (48.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employment grade, low</td>
<td>South Asian</td>
<td>290 (50.0)</td>
<td>158 (50.6)</td>
<td>55 (41.0)</td>
<td>43 (58.9)</td>
<td>32 (55.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>White</td>
<td>1733 (18.8)</td>
<td>1095 (20.1)</td>
<td>377 (14.4)</td>
<td>124 (21.1)</td>
<td>128 (24.9)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The categories of chest pain total 9184 White and 577 South Asian participants who had data on chest pain at Phase 1. The whole cohort comprises the 9195 White participants at Phase 1 and the 580 South Asian participants. Data are \( n (%) \) unless otherwise specified. Test for trend is age adjusted.
Prognosis within ethnic groups of those with pain compared with those with no chest pain

In both South Asian and White participants, those with baseline typical angina and exertional chest pain had a worse prognosis for the long-term coronary outcome compared with those with no baseline chest pain. HR were similar in magnitude (in South Asian participants with typical angina adjusted: HR, 4.67 and 95% CI, 2.12–10.30; in White participants with typical angina adjusted: HR, 3.56 and 95% CI, 2.59–4.88, Table 2). Baseline non-exertional chest pain was not associated with a worse prognosis in either ethnic group.

Prognosis within types of pain for South Asian compared with White participants

Among those with typical angina at baseline, South Asian participants had a worse long-term prognosis than White participants: HR, 2.56 and 95% CI, 1.36–4.84, Table 2). The South Asian population also had a worse long-term prognosis than the White population in those with non-exertional chest pain, and there was a similar trend in those with exertional chest pain and no chest pain. Across all types of chest pain, the rates of CHD events were higher in South Asian participants. A likelihood ratio test revealed no interaction between ethnicity and chest pain ($P = 0.720$).

Discussion

Main finding of this study

We found a higher cumulative incidence of typical angina and exertional chest pain in South Asian participants compared with White participants, in a study of almost 10 000 people with detailed characterization of symptoms of stable angina using the Rose angina questionnaire at seven time points over 18 year follow-up. We found that in both South Asian and White participants, typical angina and exertional chest pain at baseline were strongly associated with increased rates of coronary events when compared with those with no chest pain, but non-exertional chest pain was not associated with future coronary events. Thus, the Rose angina questionnaire was similarly valid in predicting an adverse prognosis in South Asians as has been demonstrated in White populations.21

What is already known on this topic

South Asians experience higher coronary heart disease mortality compared with native majority White populations, and Whitehall-II has previously reported within the whole cohort that South Asian subjects had an increased prevalence of hypertension, diabetes and a high-risk lipid profile in spite of adjusting for socioeconomic class.22 South Asians
have been reported as having atypical features when presenting with chest pain.

**What this study adds**

The principal clinical implication of our findings is that South Asians and Whites with typical pain as measured by the Rose questionnaire appear to have a similarly poor prognosis compared with those with no chest pain. The differing populations of chest pain did not significantly differ in their risk profile at baseline. Thus, differences in prognosis can thus be predicted by the type of chest pain as measured by the Rose questionnaire. This challenges the clinical assumption that symptoms in South Asians may not be predictive of outcomes. This provides further population-based evidence for the NICE (the UK National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence) guidance on chest pain,23 which came out in March 2010. From a public health perspective, angina prevalence is not declining, in contrast to the marked decline observed in myocardial infarction24 and is increasingly the most common initial symptomatic manifestation of coronary disease.10 The inconsistent relationships between cardiovascular risk factors and the presence/absence of chest pain remind clinicians that at this early stage of disease, risk factors in both populations studied may not be a proxy for true angina. The presence of diabetes mellitus has similarly been reported to not be a strong predictor for serious coronary outcomes (e.g. nonfatal myocardial infarction and cardiac death) in patients at an early stage of the natural history of the disease (e.g. asymptomatic diabetes).25 Thus, clinicians should focus on the chest pain history over risk factor profile for assessment of prognosis at this early stage of cardiovascular disease.

We also found that South Asians had higher coronary death and non-fatal myocardial infarction rates than Whites irrespective of the presence and type of chest pain. This is consistent with the South Asian ethnicity per se being associated with a higher cardiovascular risk. However, we do not have data on clinical management over the period of follow-up, and in particular whether or not typical pain as measured by the Rose questionnaire was treated clinically as angina equitably across ethnic groups. This has important healthcare implications, as appropriate management can allay an adverse prognosis in high-risk groups such as South Asians. Recent data have reported that among people

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study population</th>
<th>Ethnic group</th>
<th>n Number of CHD events by Phase 7</th>
<th>Rate/1000 person years (95% CI)</th>
<th>HRs within ethnic groups compared with those with no chest pain</th>
<th>HRs within types of pain for South Asian compared with White participants (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Age and sex-adjusted</td>
<td>Adjusted</td>
<td>Age- and sex adjusted</td>
<td>Adjusted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No chest pain</td>
<td>White</td>
<td>5444 138</td>
<td>1.67 (1.42, 1.96)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>South Asian</td>
<td>312 15</td>
<td>3.44 (2.08, 5.71)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-exertional</td>
<td>White</td>
<td>2619 80</td>
<td>1.95 (1.57, 2.43)</td>
<td>1.14 (0.86, 1.49)</td>
<td>1.16 (0.88, 1.53)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chest pain</td>
<td>South Asian</td>
<td>134 9</td>
<td>4.68 (2.44, 9.00)</td>
<td>1.24 (0.54, 2.84)</td>
<td>1.34 (0.56, 3.22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exertional chest</td>
<td>White</td>
<td>587 41</td>
<td>4.56 (3.36, 6.19)</td>
<td>2.71 (1.91, 3.84)</td>
<td>2.34 (1.64, 3.32)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pain</td>
<td>South Asian</td>
<td>73 8</td>
<td>8.21 (4.11, 16.4)</td>
<td>2.37 (1.01, 5.60)</td>
<td>2.52 (1.02, 6.18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Typical angina</td>
<td>White</td>
<td>534 59</td>
<td>7.49 (5.80, 9.66)</td>
<td>4.32 (3.18, 5.87)</td>
<td>3.56 (2.59, 4.88)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>South Asian</td>
<td>58 17</td>
<td>21.9 (13.6, 35.3)</td>
<td>6.93 (3.40, 14.10)</td>
<td>4.67 (2.12, 10.30)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The study population of the whole cohort represents those at Phase 1.

The study populations of the types of chest pain represent those who complained of these pains at Phases 1, 2 or 3. The types are mutually exclusive, as those with exertional chest pain excluded those who had complained of typical angina at one of the Phases 1, 2 or 3, and baseline non-exertional chest pain excluded those who had complained of typical angina or exertional chest pain at one of the Phases 1, 2 or 3.

CHD event: incident coronary death or non-fatal myocardial infarction.

*Adjusted for age, sex, hypertension, blood cholesterol, ex/current smoker, overweight, diabetes, living alone, family history and employment grade.

Table 2 Risk for coronary death and non-fatal myocardial infarction, comparing types of chest pain with those with no chest pain, and comparing South Asian with White participants by type of chest pain
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presenting with typical angina to a rapid access chest pain clinic, South Asians were no more likely than Whites to experience an adverse coronary outcome on long-term follow-up.\textsuperscript{26} Mortality rates following appropriate coronary angiography in a population with chronic angina have also been reported to be similar in South Asians and Whites.\textsuperscript{27}

**Limitations of this study**

Whether the higher prevalence of diabetes in South Asians compared with whites influenced our findings is uncertain. Evidence for altered perception of angina in diabetes is mixed, with not all studies showing associations with silent ischaemia.\textsuperscript{28} The majority of patients with diabetes experience angina in the same way as those without diabetes\textsuperscript{29} and any increase in silent ischaemia that may have occurred among South Asians only strengthens our main finding of their enhanced susceptibility to angina. We further acknowledge that though we used 2001 National Cholesterol Education Program Adult Treatment Panel-III to define metabolic syndrome, the International Diabetes Federation definition considers ethnicity and may be more accurate for South Asians. We did, in spite of this, still find a higher prevalence of metabolic syndrome in South Asians. We appreciate also that South Asians develop complications of obesity at a lower threshold for body mass index than people of Europid origin, and that for a given BMI, a South Asian person carries more fat in the abdominal area. Thus, waist circumference would have been a more preferable measure in such ethnic group comparisons. We used BMI rather than waist circumference as it was more valid across both sexes in this study, with more data available; there was a high correlation between BMI and waist circumference across both ethnic groups.

We defined baseline angina using subjects’ responses at Phases 1, 2 and 3. By Phase 3, the response rate was 71% among South Asians and 82% among Whites. Hence, it is possible that the likelihood of detection of chest pain and angina across these phases might have been marginally less in South Asians compared with Whites. However, the result of this differential detection would be that our estimates of the difference in the angina effects between the South Asians and Whites might be underestimated.

The Whitehall-II civil servants may not be representative of the general population. South Asians in the Whitehall-II study were mostly Indian (74% Indian, with 13% Sri Lankan, 10% Pakistani and 3% Bangladeshi). Overall as a group, Indian people have consistently been reported to have lower levels of smoking\textsuperscript{30} and higher levels of diabetes.\textsuperscript{31} As the prevalence of angina may be higher in Pakistani men than Indian and Bangladeshi men,\textsuperscript{14} these results from Whitehall-II may underestimate the cumulative incidence of angina in South Asian populations. However, evidence that our findings are likely to be robust comes from a multi-centre chest pain clinic study, in which assessment of chest pain by a cardiologist showed similar prognostic validity in South Asians and Whites.\textsuperscript{26} Similar social and ethnic differences in other incident forms of coronary heart disease have been shown in other general population studies.\textsuperscript{6}

Although our study is, to the best of our knowledge, the only prospective population-based study comparing incident angina and non-fatal myocardial infarction in Whites and South Asians, it is a small study with wide confidence intervals and thus there is the inherent possibility of type II errors. Finally, though loss to follow-up was 33% by 18 years, the biggest driver to loss of follow-up for chest pain data was loss of the overall study questionnaire, as part of the overall attrition within the Whitehall-II cohort study, and not specifically of loss of follow-up of the Rose angina section of the study questionnaire. Though those with missing follow-up data had a worse cardiovascular risk profile at rest as might be expected, this did not differ by ethnic group.

**Conclusions**

In summary, we have demonstrated here that South Asians have a higher incidence of typical angina over long-term follow-up compared with Whites, but that they did not have a higher incidence of chest pain that was non-exertional in nature. Typical angina was associated with a worse prognosis for coronary death and non-fatal myocardial infarction in both South Asians and Whites. Further work is required to further highlight the prognostic significance of early chest pain symptoms in ethnic groups at high risk of serious coronary outcomes, through larger studies and valid and careful measurement of angina.
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Appendix: Rose angina questionnaire

Rose angina questionnaire
1. Have you ever had any pain or discomfort in your chest? Yes  No
   a) Do you get this pain or discomfort when you walk uphill or in a hurry? Yes  No
   b) Do you get it when you walk at an ordinary pace on the level? Yes  No
   c) When you get any pain or discomfort in your chest, what do you do?
      Stop               Slow down               Continue at the same pace
   d) Does it go away when you stand still? Yes  No
   e) How soon? in 10 minutes or less  More than 10 minutes
   f) Where do you get this pain or discomfort? Mark the place(s) with an X on the diagram

Left

Right