Differential Expression of Conserved Germ Line Markers and Delayed Segregation of Male and Female Primordial Germ Cells in a Hermaphrodite, the Leech *Helobdella*

Sung-Jin Cho, Yvonne Œlle, and David A. Weisblat*

1Department of Molecular and Cell Biology, LSA, University of California, Berkeley
2Department of Biology, College of Natural Sciences, Chungbuk National University, Cheongju, Chungbuk 361-763, Republic of Korea

*Corresponding author: E-mail: weisblat@berkeley.edu.
Associate editor: Gregory Wray

Abstract

In sexually reproducing animals, primordial germ cells (PGCs) are often set aside early in embryogenesis, a strategy that minimizes the risk of genomic damage associated with replication and mitosis during the cell cycle. Here, we have used germ line markers (*piwi, vasa, and nanos*) and microinjected cell lineage tracers to show that PGC specification in the leech genus *Helobdella* follows a different scenario: in this hermaphrodite, the male and female PGCs segregate from somatic lineages only after more than 20 rounds of zygotic mitosis; the male and female PGCs share the same (mesodermal) cell lineage for 19 rounds of zygotic mitosis. Moreover, while all three markers are expressed in both male and female reproductive tissues of the adult, they are expressed differentially between the male and female PGCs of the developing embryo: *piwi and vasa* are expressed preferentially in female PGCs at a time when *nanos* is expressed preferentially in male PGCs. A priori, the delayed segregation of male and female PGCs from somatic tissues and from one another increases the probability of mutations affecting both male and female PGCs of a given individual. We speculate that this suite of features, combined with a capacity for self-fertilization, may contribute to the dramatically rearranged genome of *Helobdella robusta* relative to other animals.

Introduction

The near ubiquity of sexual reproduction among modern animal taxa and the involvement of conserved sets of genes in specifying their primordial germ cells (PGCs) indicate that sexual reproduction via specialized germ cells is ancestral to Bilateria. Conserved genes involved in PGC specification include homologs of *vasa, piwi,* and *nanos*. Vasa is an ATP-dependent RNA DEAD box helicase that plays an essential role in the establishment and maintenance of the germ line by promoting the translation of germline-specific mRNAs (Carrera et al. 2000; Fujimura and Takamura 2000; Komiya et al. 1994). Piwi, characterized by an RNAase H domain, is involved in cell autonomous, self-renewing, germ stem cell maintenance (Cerutti et al. 2000; Cox et al. 2000; Song et al. 2004; Seto et al. 2007). Nanos is a translational repressor characterized by a C-terminal domain comprising two CCHC zinc-finger motifs (Wang and Lin 2004; Ewen-Campen et al. 2010).

Previous work has identified two distinct modes of germ cell specification, with names rooted in old debates concerning the nature of development itself: preformation entails the segregation of germ line determinants during early embryogenesis while epigenesis entails induction of the germ line at later stages by signals from neighboring cells (Extaux and Akam 2003). Comparative studies suggest that preformation and epigenesis are not mutually exclusive. For example, the proposed model of germ line specification for the polychaete annelid *Platynereis dumerilii* entails a combination of inherited cytoplasmic determinants, which establish a mesodermal posterior growth zone (MPGZ), followed by inductive processes to determine which cells of the MPGZ become the PGCs (Rebscher et al. 2007). Related findings in diverse taxa have led to the hypothesis that a broadly conserved germline multipotency program (GMP; involving Piwi, Nanos, Vasa, and other gene products) distinguishes a class of primordial stem cells (PrISCs), which are intermediate between the zygot and the PGCs, and which also give rise to somatic cells (Juliano et al. 2010; Solana 2013).

Leeches of the genus *Helobdella* (Annelida: Clitellata: Hirudinea: Glossiphoniidae) provide another annelid model that is evolutionarily distant from *Platynereis* (Struck et al. 2011). Clitellate embryos undergo a modified version of unequal spiral cleavage; individual blastomeres can be characterized reproducibly on the basis of size, position, the pattern of cell divisions by which they arise, and their subsequent fates (Weisblat and Huang 2001). Intriguingly, genomic analyses show extensive genomic rearrangements in *Helobdella robusta* relative to two other lophotrochozoan models (Cho et al. 2010; Simakov et al. 2013).

To begin elucidating mechanisms by which the germ line is specified in *Helobdella* embryos, we had previously identified and characterized the expression and function of a *nanos* homolog in *H. robusta*, finding that after broad early
expression, it becomes restricted first to male presumptive PGCs and then later appears in female PGCs (Pilon and Weisblat 1997; Kang et al. 2002; Agee et al. 2006). Here, we have characterized piwi and vasa homologs in Helobdella: the H. robusta genome revealed two copies of each of these genes; orthologs were cloned and their expression characterized in H. austinensis (whose genes are designated with the prefix Hau-); as with Hro-nos, all copies of Hau-piwi and Hau-vasa are broadly expressed in early stages and gradually became restricted to PGCs as development unfolds, consistent with the GMP-PrISC model.

In contrast to our previous characterization of nos, however, expression of piwi and vasa is first evident in the female germ line. Lineage tracing combined with in situ hybridization (ISH) revealed that as for the male germ line, female PGCs arise from segmental mesoderm. Thus, male and female germline fates separate only after 19 rounds of zygotic mitoses, much later than in several other invertebrate models. Combined with the capacity of some Helobdella species for self-fertilization, our results suggest a mechanism that would contribute to the extensive genomic rearrangements seen in H. robusta and to the rapid speciation of the genus Helobdella relative to other leeches (Oceguera-Figueroa et al. 2011).

**Results**

**Sequence Retrieval and Phylogenetic Analyses**

Three pairs of genes encoding homologs of Piwi, Vasa, and Argonaut were retrieved from the whole genome sequence of H. robusta, and four genes encoding PL10 homologs; we designate these genes Hro-piwi1, Hro-piwi2, Hro-vasa1, Hro-vasa2, Hro-ago1, Hro-ago2, and Hro-pl10a, Hro-pl10b, Hro-pl10c, and Hro-pl10d, respectively. Each set of these paralogs was distributed across different scaffolds with different flanking genes (supplementary table S1, Supplementary Material online); this suggests that the gene duplications were not of recent origin. To ask whether duplications of the piwi, vasa, and argonaut genes had occurred in an ancestor of annelids and mollusks, we also explored two other available lophotrochozoan genomes, the mollusk Lottia gigantea and the polychaete Capitella teleta. Similar to Helobdella, Lottia and Capitella, each have two piwi genes. In contrast to Helobdella, however, these other two lophotrochozoans each contain only one vasa, one Argonaut, and one pl10 gene, suggesting that these three genes may have undergone duplications in the sublineage leading from a last common annelid ancestor to leech.

Consistent with candidate identities, a maximum likelihood phylogenetic tree groups the piwi and argonaut genes in separate clades (fig. 1). The evolutionary dynamics of genes within these two clades differ, however. Although the arrangement of genes within the argonaut clade suggests that multiple, taxon-independent duplications of argonaut genes have occurred, the two clear clades separating metazoan piwi1 from piwi2 genes suggests a single, ancestral duplication of the piwi gene (fig. 1A). Similarly, the maximum likelihood phylogenetic tree encompassing the vasa and pl10 homologs groups these two sets of genes into separate clades as well. As in the case of the argonaut genes, several duplications appear to have occurred independently within both these gene clades (fig. 1B).

To study the expression of these piwi- and vasa-related leech genes, we cloned their orthologs from the sibling species H. austinensis, which is more easily maintained in laboratory culture. Coding sequences of the Hau-piwi and Hau-vasa genes were 97–98% similar at the nucleotide level when compared with their Hro-piwi and Hro-vasa orthologs, respectively, and 97–98% identical at the amino acid level.

**Expression of Hau-piwi1 and Hau-vasa1 in the Adult Leech**

Leeches, including Helobdella, are simultaneous hermaphrodites, characteristic of clitellate annelids as a group. Leeches have one pair of ovaries (fig. 2A and B), associated with midbody segment 6 (designated as segment M6). The male gonopore lies in segment M5, but the number and distribution of the testis sacs varies among (and to a lesser extent within) species. Helobdella robusta and H. austinensis normally have six pairs of testis sacs, in segments M8–M13 (fig. 2A and B). To test the assumption that piwi and vasa are germ cell markers in the leech, we processed cryosectioned adult leeches by ISH for Hau-vasa1 and Hau-piwi1. Both genes were expressed specifically in the bilaterally paired ovaries and in the testis sacs (fig. 2C–F); sense probes gave no signal (fig. 2D and F). These results are consistent with a conserved function of piwi- and vasa-related genes in germ cell maintenance and establish their usefulness as germ cell markers in the leech.

**Temporal and Spatial Patterns of Hau-piwi1 and Hau-vasa1 Expression in Development**

Leech development from deposition of the zygote (which is fertilized internally and then arrests at metaphase of meiosis I until deposition) to the juvenile has been divided into 11 stages (Fernández and Stent 1982). To obtain an overview of Hau-piwi1 and Hau-vasa1 expression, we performed reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) for each developmental stage as well as for the oocytes (fig. 3A and B). Both transcripts were detected at low levels in oocytes dissected from gravid individuals (stage 0) and in the final stages of development before the feeding juvenile (stages 9–11). Between these points, we observed two peaks of expression (fig. 3A and B). The first peak was associated with the zygote for Hau-piwi1 and with early cleavage in the case of Hau-vasa1. Both transcripts declined to low levels during third cleavage (stage 4b) and then peaked again during stages 7–8. This second peak correlated with the production of segmental founder cells (blast cells) in sequential, anteroposterior progression by the five bilateral pairs of lineage-restricted segmentation stem cells (teloblasts) that constitute a posterior growth zone in the embryos of clitellate annelids as described later.

We have characterized the temporal and spatial expression of both duplicates of the piwi and vasa genes by ISH and found no significant differences between the expression of Hau-piwi1 and Hau-piwi2, or between Hau-vasa1 and Hau-vasa2. For brevity, only the results for Hau-piwi1 and...
Fig. 1. Molecular phylogenies for piwi and vasa genes. Maximum likelihood trees for (A) piwi and (B) vasa family genes in relation to the respective outgroups pl10 and argonaut. Helobdella robusta genes are indicated by shading. Numbers indicate bootstrap values equal to or greater than 50%. See text for details.
**Fig. 2.** *Hau-piwi1* and *Hau-vasa1* are expressed in gonads of the adult. Horizontal cryosections showing midbody segments of young adult *Helobdella*, stained with toluidine blue (A, B) or processed by ISH for *Hau-piwi1* (C, D) or *Hau-vasa1* (E, F). Anterior is up in all panels. For panels A, C, and E, the right side of each section is replaced by a line drawing indicating the reproductive (ovary, testisac) tissues. (A) *Helobdella* has one pair of ovaries, associated with segment M6, and six pairs of testisacs (five of which are visible here), associated with segments M8–M13. (B) Higher magnification views showing the arrangement of cells within a typical ovary and testisac. (C–F) Equivalent views of specimens processed by ISH show that *Hau-piwi1* and *Hau-vasa1* are expressed in both tissues. Boxes in (C) and (E) indicate areas shown at higher magnification in (D) and (F), respectively. Scale bar, 200 μm in (A), (C), (E); 50 μm in (B), (D), and (F).

*Hau-vasa1* are presented below, but the following description applies to both *piwi* and both *vasa* paralogs.

*Hau-piwi1* and *Hau-vasa1* exhibited similar expression patterns at all developmental stages examined, from zygote to juvenile (stages 1–11). In the early zygote, both transcripts were confined to the region of the female pronucleus at the animal pole (fig. 3C). In the late zygote, the transcripts were associated with yolk-deficient domains of cytoplasm (teloplasm) at the animal and vegetal poles of the embryo (fig. 3C). Teloplasm is enriched in mRNAs and mitochondria segregated to the D quadrant by unequal cleavage (Fernández and Olea 1982; Fernández et al. 1987; Holton et al. 1989, 1994). It is required for D quadrant determination (Astrow et al. 1987). *Hau-piwi1* and *Hau-vasa1* mRNAs remained associated with teloplasm during cleavage but also appeared within the perinuclear cytoplasm in the macromeres.

Stage 4b begins with the division of macromere D′ (eight cell stage) to form blastomeres DM and DNOPQ, the precursors of mesodermal and ectodermal teloblasts, respectively. Both DM and DNOPQ inherit teloplasm. As in stage 3, both *Hau-piwi1* and *Hau-vasa1* transcripts at this stage were present in association with the teloplasm (of DM and DNOPQ) and the nucleoplasm of macromeres (fig. 3C).

During blast cell (stages 6 and 7) and germinall band (stage 8) formation, transcripts were observed in all five teloblast lineages (mesodermal and ectodermal). The expression of both transcripts was strong and homogeneous throughout the bandlets during these stages (figs. 3C and 4). During stage 9, the levels of both *vasa* and *piwi* transcripts decreased in anteroposterior progression (fig. 4). The residual expression was not uniform and appeared as transverse stripes on either side of the midline in each segment. During stage 10, these stripes of expression largely disappeared as well, leaving a single bilateral pair of *Hau-piwi1* and *Hau-vasa1* positive spots in midbody segment 6 (segment M6), which we take to be the PGCs of the female germ line (figs. 4 and 5). Double-fluorescent ISH experiments confirmed that *Hau-piwi* and *Hau-vasa* were coexpressed in these cells (fig. 5). Staining in these two clusters of cells persisted through late stage 11, by which time the arms of the ovaries were visible in cross section (supplementary fig. S1, Supplementary Material online).

No expression of *piwi* or *vasa* genes was observed in the male primordia of segments M8–M18 at any of the developmental stages examined. Curiously, we have previously observed robust expression of another germ line marker, namely a *nanos-class* gene, in presumptive male germ line primordia during stages 9 and 10, well before the *nanos* homolog was detected in the presumptive female germ line (Kang et al. 2002). From these two sets of observations, it would appear that in *Helobdella*, *piwi* and *vasa* are expressed preferentially in the developing female germ line, while *nanos* is expressed preferentially in the male germ line. We have confirmed this conclusion by double ISH for *Hau-nanos* and *Hau-piwi*. Consistent with our previous results, *Hau-nos* is expressed preferentially in the presumptive male germ line precursors in early stage 11, with the difference that we observed only ten pairs of spots (segments M8–M17) in *H. austinensis* compared with 11 (segments M8–M18) in *H. robusta* (Kang et al. 2002; fig. 6A); by late stage 11, *Hau-nos* is coexpressed with *Hau-piwi* (fig. 6B) and *Hau-vasa* in the female germ line precursors and has disappeared from the presumptive male germ line precursors (fig. 6B).
Primorid Female Germline Cells Arise from Segmental Mesoderm in Helobdella

In leeches and other clitellate annelids, segmental mesoderm arises in a lineage-dependent manner by the interdigitation of stereotyped clones of segmental mesodermal (sm) blast cells, which arise from the stem cell divisions of M teloblasts (Weisblat and Shankland 1985; Goto et al. 1999). To test the hypothesis that the piwi-and-vasa-positive, female germline precursors in Helobdella arise from segmental mesoderm, as was found previously for the nanos-positive presumptive male germline precursors (Kang et al. 2002), we carried out fluorescent ISH for piwi and/or vasa on embryos in which M teloblasts had been injected with lineage tracers at various times.

In one series of experiments, designed to test the hypothesis that the M teloblast lineage gives rise to the female germline, the newly formed left mesodermal teloblast (Mₗ) was injected with FarRed dextran amine at stage 5, and the resultant embryos were examined by confocal microscopy at early stage 11, after processing by ISH with probes for piwi and vasa: the left cluster of piwi-and-vasa-positive cells in segment M₆ was labeled with lineage tracer (supplementary fig. S2, Supplementary Material online), indicating that the female germline originates from the ipsilateral M lineage.

In a second series of experiments, designed to identify which M blast cell gives rise to the female germline, the left mesodermal teloblast (Mₗ) was injected with fluorescein dextran amine (FDA) 15 cell cycles after its birth; by this point, the M teloblasts had each generated six early mesodermal blast cells (em₁–em₆), which contribute to nonsegmental tissues (Gline et al. 2011) and then nine sm blast cells (sm₁–sm₉), whose clones are located sequentially in the four rostral segments (R₁–R₄, associated with the anterior sucker) and the first five midbody segments (M₁–M₅). The embryos were examined by confocal microscopy at stage 11, after processing by ISH with probes for piwi: the clusters of piwi-expressing cells in segment M₆ were labeled with lineage tracer on both sides in these embryos (fig. 7). On the left side, the piwi-positive cluster was in the first labeled segment, indicating that the female PGCs originate from blast cell sm₁₀ in the M lineage.

**Discussion**

Differential Expression of Conserved Germ Line Markers in Developing Male and Female PGCs

In the work presented here, we have identified homologs of piwi and vasa, evolutionarily conserved germ line markers, in the genome of the leech H. robusta, and characterized their
expression in embryos of the closely related *H. austinensis*. The *piwi* genes from both species group in distinct *piwi1* and *piwi2* clades with pairs of *piwi* genes from other species, consistent with a duplication of the *piwi* gene early in bilaterian evolution. By contrast, the two *vasa* genes in *Helobdella* appear to represent a more recent duplication not seen in two other available lophotrochozoan genome sequences, from the mollusc *L. gigantea* and the polychaete annelid *C. teleta*.

Leeches have discrete male and female reproductive organs, in contrast to the hybrid gonad (ovotestis) seen in most hermaphrodites; in *Helobdella*, a bilateral pair of ovaries is associated with midbody segment M6, and six pairs of testisacs lie in midbody segments M8–M13. In the adult, *Hau-piwi* and *Hau-vasa* are coexpressed in the gonads and testisacs as expected (Mochizuki et al. 2001; Extavour and Akam 2003; Oyama and Shimizu 2007; Rebscher et al. 2007; Dill and Seaver 2008; Kranz et al. 2010). Moreover, both *piwi* genes and both *vasa* genes were expressed in both the male and female germ line in the adult. Thus, we found no evidence to suggest that the duplicated genes had evolved sex-specific functions.

However, a striking result from our present work (summarized in fig. 8) is that, as levels of *piwi* and *vasa* decline during stage 9, their transcripts become restricted specifically to the female germ cells (segment M6), whereas *nanos* transcripts become restricted first to presumptive male germ line primordia during this same period (Kang et al. 2002). Thus, the differences do not arise simply from differences in the timing of the differentiation of the male and female organs but reflect differential expression of *nanos* in developing male and *vasa* and *piwi* in developing female germline. Intriguingly, these temporal differences in the expression of conserved germ line markers between the male and female germ cells correlate with greater developmental plasticity in male compared with the female germ line. First, as discussed previously, only six of the ten segments in which pairs of presumptive male germ line primordia express *Hau-nos* form definitive testisacs. Second, consistent with the predicted effects of social group size on sperm competition (Parker 1998), *Helobdella* exhibits postembryonic developmental adjustments in testisac volume, but not egg volume, depending on the number of animals in a mating group (Tan et al. 2004).

We are unaware of observations regarding the differential expression of germline markers in other hermaphrodites that form separate ovaries and testes as does leech. In the ovotestis
of the nematode *Caenorhabditis elegans*, however, the piwi homolog *prg-1* is required for spermatogenesis, and *PRG-1* is preferentially expressed in the male portion of the ovotestis during the spermatogenesis phase (Wang and Reinke 2008).

*C. elegans* has also undergone a marked expansion within the argonaut gene family, which contains more than 20 argonaut-family genes. Two of these genes, *alg-3* and *alg-4*, are required semiredundantly for spermatogenesis and ALG-3 at least is preferentially expressed in the male portion of the ovotestis (Conine et al. 2010).

**Delayed Segregation of Germ Line Precursors**

Combined lineage tracing and situ hybridization shows that the presumptive female PGCs in *Helobdella* arise from the mesodermal lineage, specifically from the same M teloblast-derived blast cell that contributes most of the segmental mesoderm to midbody segment 6 (M6). We have previously shown that the presumptive male PGCs also arise from mesodermal blast cells (Kang et al. 2002). Thus, as summarized in figure 8, the male and female germ line fates in *Helobdella* fates separate from one another only after 19 rounds of zygotic mitoses (and from somatic lineages even later), much later than in other invertebrate models. For example, germline is set aside at third cleavage in the amphipod crustacean *Parhyale hawaiensis* (Gerberding et al. 2002), at fourth cleavage in the nematode *C. elegans* (Sulston et al. 1983), after nuclear division cycle eight in *Drosophila melanogaster* (Foe and Alberts 1983), at the eighth and ninth divisions in the polychaete annelid *P. dumerilii* (Rebscher et al 2007), and at the 11th division in the mollusc *Crepidula fornicata* (Lyons et al. 2012).

Leeches, together with earthworms and their relatives, comprise a monophyletic annelid subgroup called Clitellata (Erséus and Källersjö 2004; Struck et al. 2011). The PGCs in another clitellate annelid, the oligochaete *Tubifex*, also arise from the M teloblast lineages (Goto et al. 1999), but whether from segmental blast cells remains to be determined. Whether or not inductive signals are required to specify the

![Fig. 5. Coexpression of *Hau-piwi1* and *Hau-vasa1* in female PGCs. Two color fluorescent in situ for *Hau-piwi1* (green; A, B, D, D’) and *Hau-vasa1* (red; B, E, E’) and merged views (C, F, F’). Nuclei were counterstained with DAPI (blue). (A, B, C) Lateral view, anterior to left, showing the entire early stage 11 embryo. Labels indicate rostral segment 4 (R4), and midbody segments 1, 6, and 21 (M1, M6, M21). (D, E, F) Ventral view, anterior up, showing midbody segments 5, 6, and 7 (M5, M6, M7). (D’, E’, F’). Transverse section, ventral down, through midbody segment 6; labels in (D’) indicate yolk (y) within the developing midgut (dotted contour) and the segmental ganglion (g). Scales bars, 100 μm in (A–C); 50 μm in (D–F) and (D’–F’).](image)
PGCs during embryogenesis in these species remains to be determined, but restoration of germline following amputation in the oligochaete *Enchytraeus* (Sugio et al. 2008) must involve inductive signals of some sort. Together with the delayed segregation of germ line from somatic lineages in *Helobdella*, these results are consistent with the idea that some sort of epigenetic germ line specification was ancestral for this group (Extavour 2007).

**Broad Early Expression of Germ Line Markers**

The *Helobdella piwi* and *vasa* genes are broadly expressed during early development (summarized in fig. 8) and then are gradually restricted to presumptive germ line cells (see next section). We have previously observed a similar pattern of expression for *Hro-nanos* (Kang et al. 2002), and similar patterns of broad early expression becoming gradually restricted to the PGCs have been observed for one or more of these conserved germ line markers for other annelid genera, including *Tubifex* (Oyama and Shimizu 2007), *Platynereis* (Rebscher et al. 2007), *Capitella* (Dill and Seaver 2008; Giani et al. 2011), and *Enchytraeus* (Sugio et al. 2008). For *Tubifex* (like *Helobdella*, a clitellate annelid), it has been shown that restriction of *vasa* to the germ line represents primarily differential stabilization of preexisting transcripts (Oyama and Shimizu 2007); by contrast, our RT-PCR results show that in *H. austinensis* both *piwi* and *vasa* transcripts increase from the oocyte to the zygote or early cleavage stages, decline during mid-to-late cleavage, and then rise again during formation of the germinal bands and early germinal plate, indicative of a strong transcriptional component to their expression.

The early expression of *piwi*, *vasa*, and *nanos* homologs throughout the embryo is seen not only in annelids but also in mollusks (Swartz et al. 2008; Kranz et al. 2010), cnidarians (Extavour et al. 2005), flatworms (Shibata et al. 1999; Pfister et al. 2008), arthropods (Nakao et al. 2006; Mito et al. 2008; Ozhan-Kizil et al. 2009), and echinoderms (Juliano et al. 2010). In several cases, a functional requirement for these genes in early development distinct from germ line specification has also been demonstrated. In *H. robusta* for example, a partial antisense morpholino knockdown of *nanos* expression disrupts micromere positioning, germinal band elongation, and epiboly (Agee et al. 2006). It has been proposed that the broad early expression of *piwi*, *vasa*, and *nanos* homologs in both somatic and germline stem cells in flatworm and polychaete reflects a common origin for these cells during embryogenesis and that this may be the ancestral situation for metazoans (Rebscher et al. 2007). Elaboration of these ideas has led to the hypothesis of a broadly conserved germ line multipotency program (GMP) involving extensive translational repression (Juliano et al. 2010), which functions not only in PGCs but also...
in an evolutionarily ancient type of PriSCs (Solana 2013), from which germ cells, somatic stem cells (neoblasts), and somatic tissues may arise. Our present results support these ideas.

Speculation on a Possible Developmental Basis for Acceleration of Genome Rearrangements in Helobdella Relative to Other Animals

Recent analyses of three lophotrochozoan genomes (Simakov et al. 2013) revealed a dramatic acceleration of gene rearrangements relative to protein evolution in the leech H. robusta that was not seen in the other species sequenced. Our present results suggest an interplay of developmental and reproductive strategies that might explain this.

Hermaphroditism is an ancestral trait for clitellate annelids. It is commonly held that clitellates are incapable of self-fertilization, but self-fertility has been observed for H. triserialis (Wedeen et al. 1990), H. robusta (Weisblat DA, unpublished observations), and H. papillornata (Tan et al. 2004), representing at least two distinct clades within the genus Helobdella (Siddall and Borda 2003; Bely and Weisblat 2006). Helobdella austenensis (Kutschera et al. 2013) is in the H. robusta clade (Bely and Weisblat 2006) but is not self-fertile (Levine M, Weisblat DA, unpublished data); given the apparent prevalence of self-fertility in the Helobdella genus, the self-infertility in H. austenensis may represent a secondary loss. Self-fertilization, an extreme form of inbreeding, provides a partial explanation for the accelerated genome rearrangements: otherwise lethal genetic translocations that move genes from one chromosome (linkage group) to another can be rescued by combining gametes that have the same translocation.

But this explanation alone is unsatisfactory. The nematode C. elegans is also a self-fertile hermaphrodite and has a much
faster generation time (4 days for C. elegans vs. 9–10 weeks for H. robusta under laboratory conditions). Accordingly, its genome has diverged much more rapidly from the inferred ancestral protostome than has H. robusta by most criteria except that of genome rearrangements; traces of macrosynteny are still evident in the genome of C. elegans but not in that of Helobdella (Simakov et al. 2013).

Our analysis of germline formation in Helobdella suggests a plausible resolution to this paradox. Whereas Caenorhabditis segregates germline from somatic cells at the fourth zygotic mitosis (and generates male and female gametes by a somewhat indeterminate combination of proliferative and stem cell divisions thereafter; Kimble and Hirsch 1979), ipsilateral male and female PGC lineages in Helobdella are not segregated from each other until 19 rounds of zygotic mitosis have occurred (fig. 8). A priori, this extensively shared lineage increases the opportunity for genetic translocations to occur in cells that will contribute both male and female PGCs and which therefore might be rescued by self-fertilization. Conversely, self-fertilization selects against any homozygous lethal mutations that arise in a common precursor of male and female PGCs. Thus, the combination of reproductive strategy (self-fertile hermaphroditism) and developmental features (delayed segregation of male and female PGCs) seen in modern H. robusta suggests an explanation for how the lineage from which this species arose might have accumulated extensive gene rearrangements, while other aspects of its genome relatively remained stable.

Testing this explanation for the extensive rearrangements of the H. robusta genome relative to other animals is not possible at present, given the scant information regarding genome organization and reproductive strategies among related taxa. Similar challenges arise in interpreting results from other examples of self-fertilizing hermaphrodites. The killifish Kryptolebias marmoratus (Teleostei: Cyprinodontiformes: Rivulidae) and a sibling species K. ocellatus are the only
vertebrate hermaphrodites for which self-fertilization has been well documented (Tatarenkov et al. 2009). Microsatellite analysis of four Kryptolebias species indicates that the capacity for self-fertilization in K. marmoratus and K. ocellatus has been maintained for several hundred thousand years or more (Tatarenkov et al. 2009); analysis of locally most common multilocus genotypes suggests that fertilization assurance rather than multilocus coadaptation is the driving force favoring self-fertility in this species (Avise and Tatarenkov 2012). Kryptolebias marmoratus shows chromosomal constancy over a large geographic range, but whether this represents recent, rapid spread or selection against the expected rear-

In the present case, support for our explanation would be provided by finding that Helobdella is the only clitellate group to have evolved self-fertilization and is the only species in this group with such extensive genome rearrangements. Alternatively, if genomic rearrangements are a characteristic of clitellate annelids as a group, it could reflect earlier gains and losses to have evolved self-fertilization and is the only species in this group with such extensive genome rearrangements. Alternat-

Materials and Methods

Animals

A laboratory colony of the species newly described as H. austinensis (Kutschera et al. 2013) was raised and embryos were collected as described elsewhere (Gline et al. 2011).

Gene Identification, Cloning, and In Vitro Transcription

Putative PAZ and piwi domains (for Piwi and Argonaut) and DEAD/DEAH box helicase domains (for vasa and PL10) encoded by the annotated H. robusta genome (DOE, Joint Genome Institute) were identified by a Pfam search, using accession numbers PF02170, PF02171, and PF00270, respectively. As a further step, gene models of piwi/Ago and vasa/PL10 orthologs were used to perform BLASTP similarity search of the H. robusta genome. For each locus, the sets of gene models were assembled into a single predicted best-fit model for each gene using the SeqManII software (DNASTAR, Madison, WI).

Specific primers (piwi1 forward: ACCTCCATACTGGAAGTA TGATGAGGGAATA; piwi1 reverse: TACTTGTGGCAGCCAG TGGTTACTAGGTGA; vasa1 forward: TTTCTGCTTCTGTGTGTT GACGGTGATGTTG; vasa1 reverse: GCCACATCTACCTACGC TACCAATTATG) were designed against the piwi1 and vasa1 gene models and amplified from cDNA prepared from the closely related H. austinensis. PCR products were gel extracted with a Qiagen Gel Extraction Kit and ligated into pGEM-T easy vector according to manufacturer’s instructions. These clones were introduced into Escherichia coli DH105 competent cells by heat shock, plated onto solid media (15 g agarose per liter LB broth plus 50 μg/ml ampicillin) and grown overnight at 37 °C. Colonies were selected and grown overnight in LB broth with 1% ampicillin. Plasmids were isolated using the Qiagen Miniprep Kit following manufacturer’s instructions and sequenced commercially on an ABI platform. The MEGAscript (Ambion) kit was used to make digoxigenin (Dig-11-UTP) and/or biotin (Biotin-16-UTP) riboprobe according to manufacturer’s instructions.

Alignment and Phylogenetic Analyses

Piwi/Argonaut

A data set was built with orthologs of piwi and argonaut from representatives of the major metazoan clades with a total of 28 amino acid sequences (supplementary tables S1 and S2, Supplementary Material online). These sequences were prealigned using AMAP (Larkin et al. 2007; Schwartz and Pachter 2007) followed by manual editing in Se-Al v2.0a11 (http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/seal/, last accessed October 28, 2013). Gblocks were used to exclude poorly aligned amino acid positions under the least stringent parameters as implemented in the online version of the program (Castresana 2000). The resulting alignment comprised 512 amino acid positions (TREEBASE 14911 [http://purl.org/phylo/treebase/phylows/study/TB2:S14911, last accessed November 8, 2013]). The Whelan and Goldman (WAG) model of protein evolution (Whelan and Goldman 2001) with gamma distribution + invariant sites was implemented in all analyses as selected by ProtTest v1.4 as the model that best fits the data (Abascal et al. 2005). Maximum likelihood analyses were executed in RAxML-v7.0.4 (Stamatakis et al. 2005), for which support was obtained from 1,000 bootstrap replicates. Trees were viewed and edited in Dendroscope v2.2.2 (Huson et al. 2007).

Vasa/PL10

A matrix comprising 26 Vasa and PL10 orthologs representing the major metazoan clades was constructed. This data set (comprising 370 amino acid residues) was analyzed as for Piwi/Argonaut (see earlier).

Developmental RT-PCR

Total RNA samples were prepared from H. austinensis embryos at selected stages with RNA Wiz (Ambion) according to the manufacturer’s instructions, using 40 embryos for each stage. The total RNA obtained (3 μg) was reverse transcribed using a first-strand cDNA synthesis kit (BD Biosciences, Palo Alto, CA). The PCR mixture (50 μl) contained 10× Taq buffer, 0.3 U Taq polymerase (Perkin–Elmer), 2.5 μM of dNTPs, 5 pmol of each set of primers, and 50 ng of cDNA from the selected stages as template (fig. 2A). To amplify Hau-piwi1-and Hau-vasa1-specific fragments, a pair of PCR primers was designed as follows: Piwi1-F: 5′-CCCGATTTCTCGGACGCTACGTGC-3′, Piwi1-R: 5′-ATTTCGCCGCGAGGAGCATTC-3′, Vasa1-F: 5′-TTTCTCTTCCTTGGCATGAAAGCATGTTGG-3′, Vasa1R: 5′-GCCACATCTACGCTTTCGTTCA-3′. The PCR reactions were performed under the following cycling conditions: an initial denaturation at 94 °C for 5 min followed by 25–35 cycles of denaturation at 94 °C for 30 s, annealing at 60–65 °C (depending on the primer set) for 30 s, and elongation at 72 °C for 1 min, with a final elongation step at 72 °C for 10 min. A 10-μl aliquot of
each PCR reaction was removed after 25 cycles, while the remaining material underwent five more cycles of amplification. Each primer set yielded a PCR product of 387 and 882 bp in length for Hau-piwi1 and Hau-vasa1, respectively. The 18S rRNA sequence was used as an internal standard (QuantumRNA, Ambion). The extent of amplification was chosen empirically to avoid saturation of the amplified bands. To quantify PCR products, each sample was run in a 1.5% agarose gel and stained with ethidium bromide. Band intensity was measured with an Alphaimager (Alpha Innotech Corp.) using the Alphaease (v3.3b) program.

Histology
To visualize differentiated testisacs and ovaries, young adult leeches were fixed in 4% formaldehyde in PBS overnight at 4 °C and mounted, sectioned, and dried as described by Cho et al. (2010). Cryosections were mounted on glass slides and stained with freshly filtered toluidine blue (1 mg/ml 2.5% aqueous sodium carbonate, pH 11.1) before placing the coverslip.

ISH Lineage Tracing and Cross Sectioning
All ISHs, double-fluorescent ISHs, lineage tracing, and cross sectioning were performed as previously described (Cho et al. 2010). Embryos were stained with 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI, Sigma) to visualize cell nuclei. Probe lengths were 1,848 bp for Hau-piwi1, and 876 bp for Hau-vasa1.

ISH on Cryosections for Adult Leech
Adult leeches were fixed at 4 °C overnight (4% paraformaldehyde in 1× PBS) and dehydrated in methanol series, equilibrated in 30% sucrose and mounted in OCT (Tissue-Tek) and stored frozen before sectioning on a cryostat (8-μm sections). Prepared sections were dried (50 °C, 30 min) and stored at −70 °C until further use. The specimens were incubated at 37 °C for 10 min in 20 μg/ml pronase E in PBS (PBS plus 0.1% Tween20, pH 7.4), then rinsed three times in PBS and incubated in 0.3% H2O2 in methanol at room temperature for 20 min. Sections were then postfixed for 20 min in 4% paraformaldehyde and finally rinsed twice in PBS. Prehybridization was carried out at 67 °C for 30 min in hybridization buffer (50% deionized formamide, 5× SSC, 1× Denhardt’s solution, 0.1% CHAPS, 100 μg/ml heparin, 0.1% Tween 20, 100 μg/ml tRNA). The prehybridization buffer was replaced with fresh hybridization buffer containing 2 ng/ml of the corresponding probe (Hau-piwi1 or Hau-vasa1) and specimens were incubated at 67 °C overnight. Washed specimens were incubated at room temperature for 1.5 h in 1% blocking reagents (Roche) in PBS, then incubated at 4 °C for 16 h with 1/1,000 Anti-DIG/AP antibody (Roche) in 1% blocking reagents. After incubation, the color reaction was carried out using nitro blue tetrazolium chloride/5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indoly-phosphate (Roche) by standard procedures. Stained specimens were dehydrated in ethanol, mounted in Fluoromount-G (SouthernBiotech), and examined by brightfield microscopy.

Supplementary Material
Supplementary figures S1 and S2 and table S1 are available at Molecular Biology and Evolution online (http://www.mbe.oxfordjournals.org/).
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