Mutational analysis of RUNX2 gene in Chinese patients with cleidocranial dysplasia
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Cleidocranial dysplasia (CCD) is a dominantly inherited skeletal dysplasia caused by mutations in the osteoblast-specific transcription factor-encoding gene, RUNX2. To correlate different RUNX2 mutations with CCD clinical spectrum, we studied six independent Chinese CCD patients. In five patients, mutations were detected in the coding region of the RUNX2 gene, including two frameshift mutations and three missense mutations. Of these mutations, four were novel and one had previously been reported. All the detected mutations were exclusively clustered within the Runt domain that affected conserved residues in the Runt domain. In vitro green fluorescent protein fusion studies showed that the three mutations—R225L, 214fs and 172fs—interfered with nuclear accumulation of RUNX2 protein, while T200I mutation had no effect on the subcellular distribution of RUNX2. There was no marked phenotypic difference between patients in craniofacial and clavicles features, while the expressivity of supernumerary teeth in our patient cohort had a striking variation, even among family members. The occurrence of intrafamilial clinical variability raises the view that hypomorphic effects and genetic modifiers may alter the clinical expressivity of these mutations. Our results provide new genetic evidence that mutations involved in RUNX2 contribute to CCD.

Introduction

Cleidocranial dysplasia (CCD; MIM 119600) is a dominantly inherited autosomal bone disease that is characterised by persistently open sutures or delayed closure of sutures, hypoplastic or aplastic clavicles, short stature, supernumerary teeth, delayed eruption of permanent dentition and other skeletal anomalies (1). The common craniofacial features of this disease include brachycephaly, delayed closure of the fontanelles and sutures, wormian bones, frontal and biparietal bossing, relative macrocephaly, depressed nasal bridge and midface hypoplasia. Dental anomalies and some degrees of clavicular hypoplasia seem to be consistent features of the disorder (2,3). However, the phenotypic spectrum in different individuals varies dramatically, even within families, ranging from mildly affected individuals with only dental abnormalities to severely affected individuals with severe osteoporosis (2).

Mutations in the runt-related transcription factor 2 gene (RUNX2, also known as CBFA1, PEBP2aA and AML3) located on chromosome 6p21 have been identified as the cause of CCD (4,5). RUNX2 is required for mesenchymal condensation, osteoblast differentiation from mesenchymal stem cells, chondrocyte hypertrophy and vascular invasion of developing skeletons (6,7). RUNX2 homozygous mice completely lack osteoblasts and bone and die of respiratory failure shortly after birth; heterozygous RUNX2 mutant mice display all the hallmarks of CCD, including open fontanelles and hypoplastic clavicles, but not the dental anomalies (6,8).

RUNX2 encodes a transcription factor that belongs to the core-binding factor \(\alpha\) family. It is homologous to the Drosophila pair-rule gene \(\text{run}\) and is characterised by the 128 amino acids long evolutionary conserved Runt domain. Runt domain is responsible for DNA binding and heterodimerisation with a non DNA-binding core-binding factor \(\beta\) (CBF\(\beta\)) subunit (9). And the resulting complex binds to cis-acting elements and regulates skeletal formation-related genes, such as osteocalcin, expression (10). The Runt domain also contains a nuclear-localisation signal (NLS) that is essential for accumulation of RUNX2 protein in the nucleus (2). The C-terminus of RUNX2 is a region rich in proline, serine and threonine (PST domain), which are necessary for RUNX2-mediated transcriptional regulation and are involved in functional interactions with various other transcription factors, coactivators and corepressors (11,12).

Up to the present, numerous mutations in RUNX2 have been identified in patients with CCD (13–16). Most of the missense mutations were located in the Runt domain involving heterodimerisation and DNA binding with CBF\(\beta\) (17,18). Nonsense, splicing mutation and insertion/deletions were also found and they were scattered throughout the entire RUNX2 gene.

In this study, we have performed screening and functional analysis of RUNX2 mutations in six Chinese patients with the clinical diagnosis of CCD. The purpose of this study was to identify the spectrum of mutations in RUNX2 in this population and to analyse the genotype–phenotype correlations accordingly and then assessed subcellular localisation of the RUNX2 mutants.

Materials and methods

Patients

Six unrelated families with the clinical diagnosis of CCD [for review of diagnostic criteria, see Mundlos (1)] were investigated in the present study. Informed consent was obtained from all individuals. All the study protocols were approved by the Ethical Committee of Peking University Health Science Center (approval number: IRB00001052-07100).

Mutation analysis

Genomic DNA was extracted from the peripheral blood of the patients by using a TIANamp Blood DNA mini kit (TIANGEN, Beijing, China) according to the
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manufacturer’s instruction. The entire coding region of the RUNX2 gene (from exon 1 to exon 8) was amplified by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) using the intron–exon specific primers as described previously [Quack et al. (2)]. In a DNA Engine PTC-200, 35 cycles of PCR were preceded by an initial denaturation at 94°C for 5 min, denaturation 94°C for 20 sec, annealing at 62°C for 30 sec and extension at 72°C for 1 min, followed by a 7-min extension at 72°C. For exon 1, dimethyl sulphoxide (DMSO) was added at a final concentration of 10% and for exon 7, 5% DMSO was added. The amplification products were checked by 2% agarose gel electrophoresis and purified with the PCR purification kit (Omega, Norcross, CA, USA); DNA sequences were analysed by both the databases of NCBI and the BLASTN (BLAST nucleotide) program (http://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/). The exons are numbered according to GenBank entries AF001443–AF001450. Each mutation was confirmed in at least two independent experiments by nucleotide sequencing.

Design of expression vectors, cloning and mutagenesis
In order to transiently express green fluorescent protein fusions, full-length RUNX2 complementary DNA had been amplified from pCMV5-RUNX2 plasmid (a generous gift from Dr Renny T. Franceschi, School of Dentistry, University of Michigan) by PCR using forward (5’-TCAGATCTATGGCGT-CAAACAGCTTCTCAGGGC-3’) and reverse (5’-GGGCCTCGACTG-TATGGCCGCCAAGACACTC-3’) primers containing BglII and SalI sites, respectively. Then, the construct was subcloned into BglII/ SalI sites of the pEGFP-N1 vector (a generous gift of Dr Y. H. Gan, Research Laboratory of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, Peking University School and Hospital of Stomatology). The construct was completely sequenced to exclude random mutagenesis and was used as template for all other subcloning strategies. The mutants carrying T200I, R225L and 214fs mutations were synthesised in Table I and supplementary Figure S1, available at Mutagenesis Online.

Cell culture and transient transfection
The human embryonic kidney (HEK) 293A cells (a generous gift of Dr T. J. Li, Department of Oral Pathology, Peking University School and Hospital of Stomatology) were cultured at 37°C in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (Gibco, Gaithersburg, MD, USA) supplemented with 10% foetal bovine serum (FBS) (Gibco), 100 U/ml penicillin and 100 mg/ml streptomycin.

For transient transfection, 293A cells were trypsinised, counted and plated onto a glass coverslip in a six-well plate at a cell density of 2 x 10^5 per well. After overnight incubation, 2 μg of plasmid DNA was transfected into cells using 2 μl of Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, USA, CA) following the manufacturer’s instruction. Six hours after transfection, serum-free medium was replaced by fresh growth medium with 10% FBS. Duplicate slides were seeded for each transfection, and the results were observed under confocal microscope after 48 h post transfection.

Microscopic examination of cells
Transfected 293A cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) for 10 min at room temperature and washed three times with PBS. Cell nuclei were visualised by stained with 6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI; Sigma, St Louis, MO, USA) at a concentration of 2 μg/ml for 20 min, followed by destaining with PBS for 20 min. Coverslips were mounted with Vectashield (Vector Laboratories) and examined with a Leica TCS SP5 confocal microscope (Leica Microsystems, Heidelberg, Germany).

Results
Clinical data
Six unrelated Chinese families with clinical diagnosis of CCD were included in the present study (Table I). Among them, three families were familial cases and the remainders were sporadic ones. All the patients showed classic CCD phenotypes: hypoplastic clavicles and delayed closure of the anterior fontanelle in addition to the observation of classic craniofacial features. In addition, dental anomalies including retained deciduous teeth and delayed eruption of permanent teeth were also observed in all patients, though no supernumerary teeth exist in two familial cases. However, stature was found to be significantly reduced only in male patients with CCD.

Mutation analysis and phenotypic correlations
To identify mutations in RUNX2 gene in CCD patients, we analysed the coding region of the RUNX2 gene. The DNA fragments from exon 1 to exon 8 of RUNX2 were amplified by genomic PCR and directly sequenced. By this approach, five different types of heterozygous mutations and an additional polymorphism were identified in five probands and summarised in Table I and supplementary Figure S1, available at Mutagenesis Online. The detection of parts of the mutations had been reported in our previous report (19). However, there was no mutation detected in one familial case. Of these identified mutations, four were novel and one was reported before.

Missense mutations
In our patient cohort, three missense mutations were detected in three probands. Two (c.599C>T, p.Thr200Ile and c.674G>T, p.Arg225Leu) were novel, and the other (c.569G>A, p.Arg190Gln) had been described previously [(13), Table I; supplementary Figure S1 is available at Mutagenesis Online]. All the mutations were located in the highly conserved Runt domain.

The R225L (p.Arg225Leu) mutation was a familial one which affected three family members of the Patient 2 (Figure 1A). This mutation was passed on from the affected father to the daughter. Though the three family members shared the same genotype, their phenotype varied dramatically. Supernumerary teeth are usually considered to be a diagnostic feature of CCD, but the expressivity of supernumerary teeth in this family had a striking variation. Panoramic radiograph showed no supernumerary teeth exist in Patient 2 (Figure 2A). However, her young brother was hypodontic (absence of the two mandibular second premolars, Figure 2B), while her father had one supernumerary tooth in the mandibular anterior area.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>Family history</th>
<th>Sex (F/M)</th>
<th>Clinical form of CCD</th>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Dental anomalies</th>
<th>Stature (cm)</th>
<th>Mutation</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Classic</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>599C&gt;T</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Classic</td>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
<td>155</td>
<td>674G&gt;T</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Classic</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>644delG</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Classic</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>569G&gt;A</td>
<td>Zhou et al. (13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Classic</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>514delT</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Classic</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
<td>163</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

F, female; M, male; +, delayed eruption of permanent teeth; ++, supernumerary teeth and delayed eruption of permanent teeth.

*Numbering is based on the 521 as isoform starting with Met Ala Ser Asn Ser.
In addition, the severity of hypoplastic clavicles also had an obvious variation among the family members. Bilateral clavicles of the proband were aplasic (Figure 2D), while for her brother (Figure 2E) and father (Figure 2F), the bilateral clavicles were hypoplastic. Besides, chest radiograph of the proband’s brother showed scoliosis and spina bifida (Figure 2E).

Frameshift mutation

Two novel frameshift mutations were identified in two male patients and each resulted in premature termination in the Runt domain. One mutation was a single-base deletion (c.644delG, p.His214fs) that was found in a sporadic case, and the other (c.514delT, p.Ser172fs) was familial (Table I; supplementary Figure S1 is available at Mutagenesis Online). Both mutations were associated with classic CCD phenotype.

The 172fs (p.Ser172fs) mutation was passed on from the affected father to the son (Figure 1B). Though both of the two patients in this family showed classic CCD phenotypes, craniofacial abnormal appearance of the father was more severe than the proband. Radiograph of the skulls showed patent frontal fontanelles and multiple Wormian bones in the lambdoid and sagittal sutures in both patients (Figure 3). Otherwise, radiograph of the proband’s father also presented patent posterior fontanelle, deflection of nasal septum and midface hypoplasia (Figure 3C and D).

Polymorphism

A polymorphism (rs6921145:240G>A, p.A80A, allele frequency: 0.095) was identified on one allele in Patient 1 (supplementary Figure S1 is available at Mutagenesis Online), whose healthy mother also carried the transition on one allele. That was an A to G silent transition within the Q/A domain at nucleotide 240.

Subcellular localisation of the RUNX2 mutants

RUNX proteins, in general, have an NLS at the C-terminal border of the Runt domain. Since NLS is necessary for accumulation of RUNX2 protein in the nucleus, it was supposed that mutations that deleted NLS or located in the NLS might affect the subcellular distribution of RUNX2, while mutations out of NLS in Runt domain might have no effect on subcellular location of this protein.

To test this hypothesis, we constructed RUNX2 fusion protein with green fluorescent protein (GFP) tag at the C-terminus in pEGFP-N1 vector. The constructs were transiently transfected into HEK-293A cells. In contrast to GFP control, which was evenly distributed throughout cytoplasm and nucleus (Figure 4A), wild-type RUNX2–GFP fusion protein was detected exclusively in the nucleus (Figure 4B). When isoleucine was substituted for threonine (p.Thr200Ile, T200I), mutant protein showed a similar intracellular distribution with the wild-type RUNX2 (Figure 4C). Thus, T200I mutation did not affect the subcellular location of RUNX2. In contrast, all
the three mutants that impaired NLS had an obviously different subcellular location with the wild-type RUNX2–GFP fusion protein. These RUNX2 mutants were unable to quantitatively accumulate in the nucleus. These mutants were R225L mutant (Figure 4D), 214fs (p.His214fs) mutant (Figure 4E) and 172fs mutant (Figure 4F), respectively. Besides located in the nucleus, all the three mutated RUNX2–GFP fusion proteins could also be distributed in the cytoplasm. Thus, mutations that deleted NLS or located in the NLS completely abolished the function of the NLS in accumulating RUNX2 in the nucleus.

Discussion

In the present study, we have identified five different mutations and an additional polymorphism in RUNX2 gene in six unrelated Chinese CCD patients. Of these mutations, four were novel and one had previously been reported by other study (13).

Our current studies showed that all the five detected mutations were exclusively clustered within the Runt domain. They either directly substituted conserved amino acid residues or caused frameshift and premature termination within this domain. This extreme bias in the distribution of these mutations indicates that the function of the Runt domain with its highly conserved sequence is very susceptible to amino acid changes. Our previous study also indicated that mutations located in the Runt domain severely impaired the transactivation activities of RUNX2 on the downstream target gene (19). The observed mutational effects on the function of Runt domain are consistent with the information provided by nuclear magnetic resonance and X-ray crystallographic analyses of the Runt domain (20–22).

The NLS is a short basic stretch of nine amino acids that are immediately carboxyl-terminal to the Runt domain [amino acids 221–229 in RUNX2 (VDGPREPRR)] (23,24). And NLS is thought to be responsible for nuclear localisation of RUNX2 protein. The R225 residue is located in this motif, while T200 residue just out of it. 214fs mutation resulted in a frameshift from codon 214 to the resultant premature stop codon 221, leading to a truncated RUNX2 protein at a length of 220 amino
acids. Likewise, the 172fs mutation also brought about a truncated RUNX2 protein at a length of 174 amino acids. Consequently, both of the two frameshift mutations caused the deletion of the NLS. Therefore, it is presumed that R225L, 214fs and 172fs mutations probably affect the subcellular distribution of RUNX2, while mutations out of NLS might have no effect on subcellular location of this protein. To test this hypothesis, we analysed the subcellular distribution of RUNX2-GFP fusion proteins after transient transfection into 293A cells. Distribution of the green fluorescence indicated that all the three mutations—R225L, 214fs and 172fs—completely abolished the function of the NLS, rendering the protein unable to quantitatively accumulate in the nucleus. Overall, these results are consistent with the previous proposal that a lack of nuclear RUNX2 accumulation is the cause of haploinsufficiency in these cases (2,17). Though T200I mutation did not affect the subcellular distribution of RUNX2, this missense mutation was also located in the Runt domain and severely impaired the transactivation activity of RUNX2 on the downstream target gene in our reporter gene assay (19). And this is consistent with previous study about the mutation effect of the T200A mutation (13). Thus, impaired transactivation activity of RUNX2 might be the potential reason why this kind of patients showed typical CCD phenotype.

In our patient cohort, though all the patients displayed classic CCD phenotypes with hypoplastic clavicles, delayed closure of the fontanelle and dental abnormalities, there is a wide range of clinical expressivity of phenotype, even among family members. The phenotypic diversity of our CCD patients confirms the known CCD phenotypic variability (1). The occurrence of intrafamilial clinical variability suggests that hypomorphic effects and genetic modifiers may alter the clinical expressivity of these mutations, and the most likely modifier may be the transcriptional level of the remaining unaffected allele. The hypomorphic effects being the cause of CCD phenotypic variability was proved by a homozygous RUNX2neo/neo mice model, which expressed a reduced level of wild-type RUNX2 mRNA (55–70%) and protein and appeared CCD phenotype (25). Thus, the range of bone phenotypes in CCD patients may be associated with quantitative reduction in the functional activity of RUNX2.

Supernumerary teeth are usually considered to be a diagnostic feature of CCD. However, the expressivity of supernumerary teeth in our patient cohort had a striking variation, even among family members. There was no supernumerary tooth existing in two female patients, while 11 supernumerary teeth existed in a male patient (Patient 4, data not shown). Besides, the young brother of Patient 2 was hypodontic (Figure 3B), while her father had one supernumerary tooth in the mandibular anterior area (Figure 3C). All the expressivity variation of supernumerary teeth in our patient cohort is consistent with previously reports (26,27). In addition, we also found a slight relationship between the numbers of supernumerary teeth and body height of the male patients as previously reported (28).

In the present study, no mutation was identified in one familial case. The possible reason is that partial gene deletions, intronic variations or regulatory changes can also impair RUNX2 expression besides intragenic point mutations. In addition, chromosome abnormality or intragenic microdeletion of RUNX2 was demonstrated to be another mechanism for CCD (29,30). Further analysis will be required to answer these questions.

Supplementary data
Supplementary Figure S1 is available at Mutagenesis online.

Funding
Beijing Municipal Natural Science Foundation, People’s Republic of China (7092112).

Acknowledgements
We are grateful to all the patients and their family members for their participation and contributions. We are grateful to Dr Renny T. Franceschini (School of Dentistry, University of Michigan), Dr T. J. Li (Department of Oral Pathology, Peking University School and Hospital of Stomatology) and Dr Y. H. Gan (Research Laboratory of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, Peking University School and Hospital of Stomatology) for providing Runx2 cDNA, HEK-293A cells and pEGFP-N1 vector respectively.

Conflict of interest statement: None declared.

References


