Turbo cloning: a fast, efficient method for cloning PCR products and other blunt-ended DNA fragments into plasmids
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ABSTRACT
The method uses a novel plasmid vector, p9lox5, containing a site-specific recombination sequence *lox* from the *lox*/*Cre* recombinase system of bacteriophage P1. There are two distinct stages. Firstly, vector and fragment DNAs are ligated intermolecularly under conditions of macromolecular crowding (15% polyethylene glycol 6000) which accelerate blunt-end joining a thousandfold. Secondly, circular recombinant molecules are efficiently excised from the ligatlon products by *Cre* recombinase acting on pairs of *lox* sites within directly repeated vector molecules flanking insert DNA. Recombinants are introduced into cells conventionally by transformation or electroporation. In both a model system and the cloning of PCR products, yields approaching those obtainable in cohesive-end cloning were achieved. Applications of the technique to cDNA library generation and recovery of DNA from archive material are discussed.

INTRODUCTION
The ligation of blunt-ended DNA fragments is much less efficient than that of cohesive-ended fragments under normal reaction conditions. The quantitative cloning of such fragments (produced for example by cDNA synthesis or PCR amplification) requires elaborate and time-consuming techniques. Long incubation times and high concentrations of ligase and DNA are required to promote the reaction by conventional means (1). Alternative methods involve the addition of linkers to fragment ends rendering them cohesive and thus easily clonable (2).

It has been known for about a decade that the efficiency of ligation in general, and that of blunt ends in particular, can be dramatically increased in conditions of macromolecular crowding induced by the presence of high concentrations of various inert polymers (3–5). All such joining, however, is intermolecular, so these conditions cannot be used directly for the creation of plasmid recombinants. A technique has been described (6) in which the concatemers generated by ligation in 15% polyethylene glycol (PEG) are cleaved by a vector-unique restriction enzyme and then ligated under PEG-free conditions to produce the required circular molecules. This paper documents a similar but more straightforward two-stage technique in which the second stage restriction/ligation steps of the published method (6) are replaced by a single, rapid site-specific recombination mediated by the *lox*/*Cre* system of bacteriophage P1 (7). [Cre is a recombinase which acts specifically on the 34bp *lox* sequence: its natural function is to circularize P1 genomes which when injected into cells are linear 100kb molecules flanked by *lox* sites in direct repeat (8). Unlike many recombinases, Cre is not fastidious and works efficiently *in vitro* under conditions similar to those used for restriction enzyme digestion (9).] The principle of the second stage has been outlined previously (10) and proposed as a means of cloning large fragments into plasmids. However, the addition here of the highly efficient ligation step allows this principle to be exploited in a more general technique for plasmid cloning. The whole process, designated ‘turbo cloning’, is quick (1–2 h), simple and efficient.

Another system which uses PEG to promote ligation has been published (11). In the presence of 10% PEG, the rate of blunt end ligation is considerably enhanced, but intramolecular ligation is not abolished. (For simplicity, this procedure will be referred to hereafter as the 10% PEG method.) Recombinant circles are therefore generated directly as in PEG-free conditions, but the time taken to perform the reaction is reduced from 16 h to 30 min. Model cloning experiments which compare the two methods are described.

MATERIALS AND METHODS
Materials
DNAs were prepared by standard methods (1) and restriction enzymes used according to the supplier’s (Boehringer) recommendations.

Construction of p9lox5
A Sall cohesive ended duplex containing *lox* was made by annealing the 40-mers B16 (5'-TCGACATAACTTCGTATACTGAATTATACGAAGTTATG-3') and B17 (5'-TCGACATAACTTCGTATACTGAATTATACGAAGTTATG-3') synthesized using an Applied Biosystems DNA Synthesizer Model 381A. A single copy of the duplex was cloned into Sall-cleaved pUC9 (12) to generate p9lox5 whose structure was confirmed by sequencing: the orientation is such that B16 is inserted into the lacZ' sense strand, with the consequential loss of the blue/white test for recombinants. This 2705bp vector retains the unique polylinker Sall site of pUC9.
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Preparation of p91ox5 for cloning

It is convenient to prepare stocks of linearized, dephosphorylated vector. Microgram quantities of plasmid purified from ethidium bromide/CsCl gradients were cleaved with Smal and dephosphorylated by calf intestinal phosphatase (Promega) using standard techniques (1). To reduce the background caused by traces of uncut plasmid, linear DNA was purified by excision from an agarose gel and extracted using a freeze-squeeze method (13) followed by desalting in G-50 (Sephadex, Pharmaclia) spin columns. Concentration of vector was determined by estimating the band density of aliquots run on agarose gels.

 Turbo cloning

This is a two stage process. In stage one, the vector and fragment DNAs [in water or TE: 10mM Tris.HCl (pH 8.0), 1mM EDTA] are combined in a ligation buffer similar to that described (4) [final concentrations: 50mM Tris.HCl (pH 8.0), 0.5mM ATP, 0.5mM dithioerythritol (DTE), 5mM MgCl2] and an appropriate volume of PEG 6000 (BDH) added from a filter-sterilized 40% (w/v) stock solution to give a final concentration of 15%. Lastly, 0.3 to 0.5 units of T4 DNA ligase (Boehringer) is added giving a total reaction volume of 10—25μl. The reaction is mixed carefully and thoroughly by pumping through a micropipettor tip before incubation at room temperature for 10—30 min. The reaction is terminated by heat inactivation of ligase at 75°C for 10—15 min.

The second stage is initiated by adding four volumes of Cre buffer [10mM Tris.HCl (pH 7.5), 10mM MgCl2, 50mM NaCl, 1mM DTE] containing 0.15μg of Cre protein (NEN). The reaction is incubated at 30°C for 20—30 min before heat inactivation as before. (This is essential: unlike restriction enzymes and ligase, Cre remains bound to DNA and if not heat-dissociated interferes with cell uptake.) The process is now complete and the DNA may be introduced into cells by chemical transformation or electroporation as usual. In this work, electrocompetent E.coli strain DH10B (14) was used. Desalting was achieved by 15 min drop dialyses of small aliquots of reaction mix on nitrocellulose filters (Millipore type VM, 0.05μm pore size) floating on water (15). 1—2μl of the dialysate was electroporated into 30—35μl of electrocompetent cells (Bio-Rad Gene Pulser: 2.5kV, 25μF, 2000). Subsequent steps were as described (14), except that all media used were L broth based.

Some general points must be noted. (i) Accurate pipetting is essential in stage one as even quite small deviations from 15% PEG can significantly reduce the macromolecular crowding effect (4): it helps to thaw the 40% stock solution to room temperature at which it is less viscous. (ii) PEG may be removed from the stage one products by chloroform extraction or DNA precipitation (4), but dilution is quicker and minimizes DNA loss. (iii) Vector dephosphorylation is advised for two reasons: firstly, the background of regenerated vector is reduced; secondly, the long concatamers with multiple lox sites otherwise produced may be converted by Cre into complex knotted structures that could sequester the desired recombinant circles (although this has not been investigated).

Preparation of Alu-PCR products for ligation

Total genomic DNA from a hybrid cell line B2.13 derived from J1 (clone 4) (16) which essentially contains human chromosome 11 in a CHO background was a gift from Vivienne Watson. An oligonucleotide, 614, (5'-GTGAGCCCGAGATCGCCACTG-3') designed from the consensus Alu repeat sequence (17) was used to prime amplification of inter-Alu regions in this DNA. Promega enzyme and buffer were used with a standard program on a Hybaid Omigene thermal cycler. Samples were run on a 1.8% agarose gel (Fig. 1) revealing an array of bands in the size range 0.5—2.5kb. An aliquot containing ca. 0.5μg was diluted five-fold in buffer and treated with T4 DNA polymerase (Boehringer) with an excess of dNTPs to render all fragments uniformly blunt-ended (18). After heat inactivation of the reaction (in the presence of 20mM EDTA), primers, dNTPs, salts and most short (<500bp) DNA fragments were removed by Geneclene (Bio 101) extraction and the DNA eluted into water. The blunt ended fragments were then phosphorylated by polynucleotide kinase (Boehringer) as described (18). The enzyme was heat inactivated and a 15 min drop dialysis step (see above) was used to remove salts and the DNA concentration estimated by running an aliquot on a gel.

RESULTS

Principle of the method

(See Fig. 2). As in the method described (6), there are two stages: (i) ligation enhanced by macromolecular crowding and (ii) circularization in non-crowding conditions.

The first stage is common to both methods. For turbo cloning, a plasmid vector containing a lox site must be used: linearized, blunt-ended vector (p91ox5) and fragment DNAs are ligated in 15% PEG at room temperature. Under these conditions, blunt end ligation is almost as efficient as cohesive end ligation (4), and essentially all phosphorylated termini are joined in a short time (<1 h) by moderate amounts of T4 DNA ligase. To maximise recovery of distinct recombinants, there must be a large molar excess of vector so that most insert DNA fragments become flanked by vector molecules. (Note that the intermolecular nature of the joining is a distinct advantage since it prevents the self-circularization which sequesters insert fragments. The end products of this stage are long concatamers of vector and fragment DNA unless the vector is dephosphorylated, in which case fragment DNA (if present as a small proportion) will almost quantitatively be converted to linear vector::fragment::vector trimers. Half of these hybrid trimers will have the vector side first.)

Figure 1. Alu-PCR products of B2.13 DNA. DNA was amplified as in Materials and Methods, and 20μl aliquots of three independent 50μl reactions were run on a 1.8% agarose gel (lanes 2—4). Lane 1 contains size markers (1kb ladder, BRL: sizes shown in kb).
components (and hence \textit{lox} sites) in inverted repeat, and half in direct repeat.

In stage 2, the reaction mix is diluted to abolish macromolecular crowding and Cre protein added. The 50% of hybrids with \textit{lox} in direct repeat will be productively added to the desired circular recombinants (plus vector monomers). The other hybrids will undergo unproductive rounds of inversion between \textit{lox} sites and remain linear. Thus turbo cloning is subject to a theoretical maximum efficiency of 50%. (The practical limit may be lower, however, since it has been shown that \textit{in vitro} Cre generates circles from linear with no more than 70% efficiency (19). In the same study it was shown that although Cre works in the opposite direction of integrating circles into linear, to generate linear hybrids, this is much less efficient.) After heat inactivation of Cre, stage 2 is complete and the DNA can be introduced into cells.

In the absence of macromolecular crowding, where both inter- and intramolecular ligation is permitted (as in conventional cloning and the 10% PEG method (11)), the yield of circular recombinants is heavily dependent both on the relative concentrations of vector and fragment DNAs and the total DNA concentration (20). Deviation from optimum concentrations can significantly reduce the yield. This makes the efficient cloning of low, indeterminate amounts of blunt-ended DNA especially difficult. The two-stage processes described here and in (6) are less dependent on total DNA concentration: in 15% PEG, it appears that the effective concentration of abutting ends is so high (over a concentration range of at least 0.5—50 \( \mu \text{g/ml} \)) that ligation rate depends almost exclusively on enzyme concentration (4).

Furthermore, so long as vector DNA is in large molar excess (10:1 or greater), cloning should be quantitative even if the amount of fragment DNA is not known exactly.

The mathematical analysis of the effects of varying the vector/fragment ratio on product formation in conditions of macromolecular crowding is greatly simplified by the absence of intramolecular events and will be presented elsewhere.

**Blunt-end cloning of the chloramphenicol acetyltransferase (CAT) gene into p91ox5**

A plasmid containing a selectable marker was chosen as the source of insert fragment for convenient identification of recombinants. The 4.3kb plasmid pACB104 replicates via the \( \lambda \text{vd} \) replicon and carries the CAT gene encoding resistance to chloramphenicol (21): it was linearized at the unique \textit{EcoRV} site within the \( O \) gene generating a blunt-ended fragment. After ligation to \textit{SmaI}-linearized, dephosphorylated p91ox5 vector in a turbo cloning reaction, aliquots of reaction mix were electroporated into DH10B cells which had an electrocompetence level of \( 1-2 \times 10^8 \text{ cfu/\mu g PUC19} \). Cells were plated out on L agar containing ampicillin (Ap) and chloramphenicol (Cm) to select recombinants directly. Parallel experiments in which identical amounts of DNA were ligated under conditions described for the 10% PEG method (11) were also carried out (Table 1).

These results show that turbo cloning of blunt-ended fragments produces recombinants at least 40 times as efficiently as the 10% PEG method (11) over this range of DNA concentrations. Yields are satisfactory even when the concentration of fragment DNA in the stage 1 ligation mix is as low as 0.1 \( \mu \text{g/ml} \). Other turbo cloning experiments with these substrate DNAs, some using chemically competent cells, gave yields comparable to the above. Control experiments in which either PEG or Cre were omitted from the procedure produced at least a hundredfold fewer colonies.

**Table 1. Comparison of turbo cloning and 10% PEG* methods**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>V:F ratio(^b)</th>
<th>Ap(^2)Cm(^2) colonies per ( \mu \text{g fragment} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Turbo cloning(^c)</td>
<td>10% PEG(^d)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:5:1</td>
<td>1.2 \times 10^6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3:1</td>
<td>2.2 \times 10^6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7:1</td>
<td>6.1 \times 10^5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36:1</td>
<td>2.6 \times 10^5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\)Ref. (11)
\(^b\)Molar ratio of vector (\textit{SmaI}-cut p91ox5) to fragment (\textit{EcoRV}-cut pACB104).
\(^c\)The V:F ratios were achieved by ligating constant amounts (30\( \mu \text{g} \)) of vector (using 0.3 units T4 DNA ligase-Boehringer) to varying amounts of fragment in a volume of 12\( \mu \text{l} \) for 20 min at room temperature (stage 1). Total DNA concentration varied from 2 to 7\( \mu \text{g/ml} \). The second stage Cre reaction was carried out in a volume of 60\( \mu \text{l} \) for 30 min at 30°C.
\(^d\)Ligations were carried out with the same amounts of DNA and ligase as above, but in 12\( \mu \text{g} \) of 10% PEG buffer (11) for 30 min at 16°C.
Table 2. Relative efficiencies of blunt end and cohesive end turbo cloning

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of substrates</th>
<th>Ap'Cm' colonies per µg fragment(\textsuperscript{a})</th>
<th>Efficiency index(\textsuperscript{b}) (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Blunt ended</td>
<td>1.2×10(^6)</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cohesive ended</td>
<td>4.0×10(^6)</td>
<td>12.7(\textsuperscript{c})</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(\textsuperscript{a}\)100ng of Smal- or EcoRI-cut dephosphorylated vector (p91ox5) were ligated to 16ng EcoRV- or EcoRI-cut fragment (pACB104) in two turbo cloning reactions otherwise carried out as in footnote c of Table 1. In a dummy third reaction (omitting enzymes only), 26ng uncut p91ox5Cm (containing the equivalent of 16ng fragment) were processed similarly and gave rise to 3.2×10\(^7\) colonies per µg fragment equivalent.

\(\textsuperscript{b}\)Ratio of numbers of recombinant colonies obtained to those yielded by uncut p91ox5Cm.

\(\textsuperscript{c}\)Both possible orientations of the EcoRI-mediated recombinants were found, although colonies bearing one of the forms grew poorly on subsequent purification.

The structures of a number of plasmids isolated from Ap'Cm' colonies were determined by restriction analysis: most were 7kb recombinant plasmids with single insertions of the 4.3kb fragment at the vector Smal site. (Others were larger and obtainable only in low yield and are assumed to be recombinants with multiple inserts.) Interestingly, all 7kb plasmids had the insert in the same orientation, i.e., with CAT gene transcription opposing transcription from the vector lacZ' promoter. It must be assumed that recombinants with inserts in the other orientation are invisible in DH10B. This model system therefore underestimates the yield possible for turbo cloning a blunt-ended 4.3kb fragment by about 50%. Plasmid DNA from one clone, designated p91ox5Cm, was purified by banding in an ethidium bromide/CsCl gradient.

Comparison of turbo cloning of blunt-ended and cohesive-ended fragments

By linearizing both p91ox5 and pACB104 with EcoRI [which cuts the latter plasmid within the lacZ' gene (21)], the cohesive end analogue of the above cloning experiment could be carried out. Parallel turbo cloning experiments using either blunt-ended or cohesive-ended substrates with vector:fragment ratios of 10:1 were therefore performed and recombinants selected as before (Table 2).

It is clear that the turbo cloning efficiencies of blunt-ended and cohesive-ended fragments obtained (i) are comparable to each other (bearing in mind the underrepresentation of Smal/EcoRV-mediated hybrids discussed above) and (ii) represent a satisfactorily high proportion of the yield that would result if every fragment molecule was converted to a recombinant 7kb circle (i.e. as mimicked by the dummy reaction containing uncut p91ox5Cm).

Turbo cloning of Alu-PCR products

Approximately 10ng of blunt-ended, kinased Alu-PCR product DNA (see Materials and Methods) was ligated to 200ng of Smal-linearized, dephosphorylated p91ox5 vector by turbo cloning. [This represents an approximate 10:1 vector:molar excess, since the mean size of Alu-PCR product is 1.3–1.5kb (Fig. 1)] DH10B cells of the same electrocompetence as before were electroporated with aliquots of the ligated DNA and plated out on L agar containing ampicillin. About 10\(^7\) Ap' colonies per µg Alu-PCR DNA were obtained. Plasmids from 36 clones were examined both by Alu-PCR and restriction analysis: six had Alu-PCR fragments (ranging in size from 0.2 to 2kb) inserted (Fig. 3), the rest, reconstituted vectors, are presumed to have arisen from incomplete Smal digestion or dephosphorylation of p91ox5. The yield of recombinants is therefore about 2×10\(^6\) per µg fragment DNA. Given that the Alu-PCR fragments had to be blunt ended and phosphorylated prior to cloning, this yield of recombinants is gratifyingly high. Restriction mapping of the recombinants (data not shown) proved them to be unrelated. Thus, turbo cloning permits the efficient recovery of PCR products with minimal preprocessing and low selectivity.

DISCUSSION

Both in a model system and a practical application, turbo cloning produces recombinants from blunt-ended fragments at levels comparable to those obtained previously (6). (As seen above, the method may also be used for the cloning of cohesive-ended fragments, but the increase in efficiency is not so great. Nevertheless, as in the 10% PEG method (11), the saving in time may make it an attractive method to use for both kinds of cloning.) The ease with which PCR products can be cloned is particularly notable, since many other methods rely on including restriction sites at the 5' end of primers to permit cohesive-end cloning of products. With turbo cloning, there is no need for such considerations in primer design.

The disadvantages of (6) are (i) the time-consuming restriction and ligation steps of stage 2 and (ii) the potential problem of cloning inserts which contain sites for the second stage restriction enzyme. The use of a rare cutter like NotI may substantially reduce (ii): turbo cloning does not have this problem because lox sites, being 34bp long, are unlikely to occur at all in most genomes. However, the new method, unlike others, requires specialized plasmid vectors carrying lox. A more versatile plasmid than p91ox5 derived from BlueScribe (22) and containing lox outwith the α-complementing lacZ' gene fragment (thus allowing the blue/white screen for recombinants) has now also been constructed for this purpose.

In conventional plasmid cloning, for kinetic reasons, there is a bias towards the insertion of smaller fragments from a heterogenous population of DNA (20); the cloning of larger fragments therefore usually requires a prior size fractionation.
Because of the intermolecular nature of joining in 15% PEG, size selection here should not occur. [It must be remembered, however, that all plasmid cloning methods are confronted by an obligatory size selection at the cell uptake stage: the probability that a plasmid will transform a competent cell is inversely proportional to its size (23).]

As well as the cloning of PCR products, turbo cloning could usefully be applied [as with (6)] to the generation of cDNA plasmid libraries. Blunt-ended cDNAs could be cloned directly and efficiently, with many fewer steps. However, this would require the use of maximally electrocompetent cells to compete with the efficiencies obtained with λ expression vectors (1).

Another application of turbo cloning and similar methods may be the recovery of DNA from scarce archive material such as paraffin blocks, forensic samples and ancient biological specimens. The fraction of DNA surviving in an intact state in this material is usually tiny (24): because turbo cloning maximises the recovery of small amounts of blunt-ended DNA, and requires minimal preprocessing (making ends flush with T4 DNA polymerase may be all that is required), it could be more effective than existing approaches (25, 26). PCR methods for examining such material, though extremely sensitive, suffer from size and sequence selectivity and can give misleading results due to strand switching and misincorporation of bases during amplification.

Although yields obtained to date with turbo cloning are satisfactory, there seems little doubt that they could be improved by optimizing reaction conditions and/or vector structure. For example, the process might be speeded up by allowing the Cre recombination of stage 2 to take place in vivo, i.e., by introducing the first stage products directly into a strain constitutively expressing Cre protein. Preliminary experiments have shown that this is feasible, but unfortunately the viability of such strains carrying p91ox5 and recombinant derivatives is poor, possibly because of fctx/Cre complexes interfering with plasmid replication or segregation. Use of an inducible strain that produces Cre only during DNA uptake would solve this problem, but no such strain has yet been described.
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