Human 100-kDa homologous DNA-pairing protein is the splicing factor PSF and promotes DNA strand invasion
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ABSTRACT

Proteins promoting homologous pairing could be involved in various fundamental biological processes. Previously we detected two mammalian nuclear proteins of 100 and 75 kDa able to promote homologous DNA pairing. Here we report isolation and characterisation of the human (h) 100-kDa DNA-pairing protein, hPOMp100, from HeLa nuclei. The peptide sequences of hPOMp100 revealed identity to the human splicing factor PSF and a DNA-binding subunit of p100/p52 heterodimer of unknown function. Bacterially expressed PSF promotes DNA pairing identical to that of hPOMp100. hPOMp100/PSF binds not only RNA but also both single-stranded (ss) and double-stranded (ds) DNA and facilitates the renaturation of complementary ssDNAs. More important, the protein promotes the incorporation of a ss oligonucleotide into a homologous superhelical dsDNA, D-loop formation. A D-loop is the first heteroduplex DNA intermediate generated between recombining DNA molecules. Moreover, this reaction could be implicated in re-establishing stalled replication forks. Consistent with this hypothesis, DNA-pairing activity of hPOMp100/PSF is associated with cellular proliferation. Significantly, phosphorylation of hPOMp100/PSF by protein kinase C inhibits its binding to RNA but stimulates its binding to DNA and D-loop formation and may represent a regulatory mechanism to direct this multifunctional protein to DNA metabolic pathways.

INTRODUCTION

Homologous pairing (HP) is a fundamental biological reaction implicated in various cellular processes such as DNA recombination and repair (1–3), chromosome pairing (4,5), sister chromatid cohesion and chromosome condensation (6), gene inactivation (7) and initiation of replication (8–10). The base pairing is also involved in spliceosome assembly resulting in formation of a dynamic Holliday-like structure within which splicing occurs (11). Accordingly, proteins displaying HP activity are of great interest in biology. Esherichia coli RecA protein is the prototype of HP proteins involved in homologous recombination. RecA protein plays a pivotal role in the process by searching for homology and promoting strand exchange between recombining DNA molecules (1,12). The discovery of structural and functional homologues of RecA, such as the RAD51 proteins, in every eukaryote examined has implied that the basic mechanisms of homologous recombination are evolutionary conserved (2,3,13). Demonstration of the ability of yeast and human RAD51 proteins to promote in vitro ATP-dependent HP and strand exchange further supports their central role in recombination and repair (14,15). However, the process in eukaryotic organisms is much more complex than in E.coli. In mammals, a growing number of RAD51 homologues has been reported (16). Surprisingly, unlike E.coli recA and Saccharomyces cerevisiae (sc)RAD51, vertebrate RAD51 is essential for cell proliferation and genome maintenance (17–19). Further complicating the picture, emerging data suggest association of recombination machinery with a number of additional factors that previously had not been implicated in the process. These include the tumour suppressor proteins p53, BRCA1 and BRCA2 (20–24), the RNA polymerase II complex (25), the ubiquitin-like protein Ubl1 (26) and the ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme Ubc9 (27). Whether or not these factors play direct roles in homologous recombination remains to be established.

Besides RAD51, the major eukaryotic HP protein, a recently emerged family of structural maintenance of chromosomes (SMC) proteins playing an important role in pro- and eukaryotic chromosome dynamics can promote some HP reactions in vitro (28–30).

Given the importance of proteins promoting HP and the variety of cellular processes in which they could be implicated, a biochemical screen for proteins that display HP activity is justified. To this end, we have developed an in vitro pairing assay on membrane (POM) and have detected two nuclear proteins of 100 and 75 kDa, POMp100 and POMp75, respectively, able to promote ATP-independent homologous DNA pairing without associated exonuclease activity (31). Recently, we identified hPOMp75 as the human pro-oncoprotein TLS/FUS (32,33). Although cellular functions
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of hPOMP75/TLS are still unclear, its ability to promote HP including D-loop formation suggests a role in some aspects of recombination/repair. This hypothesis is further supported by recent findings that Tls–/– mice exhibit severe defects in chromosome pairing during meiosis, increased sensitivity to ionising radiation and high levels of chromosomal instability (35,36). Finally, both hPOMP75/TLS and hPOMP100 activities are associated with cell proliferation (33).

In the present report, we describe the purification and identification of hPOMP100 as the human splicing factor PSF (37). It binds both single-stranded (ss) and double-stranded (ds) DNA and facilitates the renaturation of complementary ssDNA molecules. Importantly, hPOMP100/PSF promotes the formation of D-loops in superhelical duplex DNA. hPOMP100/PSF serves as an efficient substrate for protein kinase C (PKC) in vitro. PKC phosphorylation of hPOMP100/PSF stimulates its DNA binding and D-loop formation activity suggesting a possible regulatory mechanism.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Reagents

Nitrocellulose membranes Hybond C-super (0.45 μm) were purchased from Amersham Pharmacia Biotech (Dübendorf, Switzerland). ATP, ADP, ATPγS, dNTPs, the complete protease inhibitors mixture, proteinase K, DNA restriction and modification enzymes and E.coli RecA protein were purchased from Roche Molecular Biochemicals (Rotkreuz, Switzerland). SeeBlue pre-stained protein standards from Novex (San Diego, CA), Ni-NTA Superflow resin from Qiagen AG (Basel, Switzerland). ATP, ADP, ATPγS, dNTPs, the complete protease inhibitors mixture, proteinase K, DNA restriction and modification enzymes and E.coli RecA protein were purchased from Roche Molecular Biochemicals (Rotkreuz, Switzerland). ATP, ADP, ATPγS, dNTPs, the complete protease inhibitors mixture, proteinase K, DNA restriction and modification enzymes and E.coli RecA protein were purchased from Roche Molecular Biochemicals (Rotkreuz, Switzerland).

DNA substrates

M13mp18 RFI DNA, M13mp19 RFI DNA and plasmid pUC19 were from Amersham Pharmacia Biotech. and 24mer M13mp18 RFI DNA, M13mp19 RFI DNA and plasmid DNA substrates CA), Ni-NTA Superflow resin from Qiagen AG (Basel, Switzerland) and BSA from New England Biolabs (Beverly, MA).

Synthetic oligonucleotides 19mer 5′-CTACACTCCACCCTTCCACGCTCC-3′; 24mer M13/pUC sequencing primer 5′-CCCTTTCCAGTACCAGACG-3′; two complementary 49mer oligonucleotides 5′-CTACACTCCACCCTTCCACGCTCC-CCACAGCTGCTAGGACCTGTTCC-3′ and 5′-GGGACC-AGTCTAGCAGCTGTTGGGAGCTGGGAAAGTGG-GAGTGTGA-3′; 60mer 5′-AGTGAATTCGACCTGGAACAGCCAGGTGGATAC-3′ were 5′-end-labelled with [γ-32P]ATP (Amersham Pharmacia Biotech) and T4 polynucleotide kinase. The annealing of two complementary 49mer 5′-oligonucleotides was performed in 10 mM Tris–HCl (pH 7.5), 1 mM EDTA, 0.2 M NaCl, 10 mM MgCl2. The oligonucleotide mixture was heated for 10 min at 95°C and further incubated for 60 min at 56°C. All DNA concentrations are expressed in moles of nucleotides.

Purification of recombinant PSF

His6-tagged recombinant PSF (rPSF) was expressed in E.coli as described (37). rPSF was purified under denaturing conditions in the presence of 6 M urea using a Ni–NTA resin (Qiagen) followed by heparin affinity chromatography under native conditions.

POM assay

The POM assay was performed essentially as described previously (32).Briefly, the assay measures the ability of nuclear proteins separated by SDS–PAGE and transferred onto a nitrocellulose membrane to pair labelled linear dsDNA with homologous ssDNA immobilised on the membrane. Autoradiography revealed homologous pairing activity as a radioactive band, corresponding to the migration of the proteins displaying this activity.

Electrophoretic mobility shift assay (EMSA)

EMSA were performed in 10 μl reaction mixtures containing 64 nM (6000 c.p.m.) probe DNA in 20 mM HEPES (pH 7.5), 1 mM DTT, 100 μg/ml BSA and protein as indicated. 5′-32P-labelled oligonucleotides were used as DNA probe as specified in the figure legends. In competition experiments, competitor DNAs were added 5 min before the probe. After incubation for 20 min at room temperature, protein–DNA complexes were resolved by electrophoresis at 4°C in a non-denaturing 6%
polyacrylamide gel in 0.5× TBE. 32P-labelled DNA was detected by autoradiography and quantified using a Molecular Dynamics PhosphorImager.

DNA renaturation assays

DNA renaturation was monitored by the S1 nuclease protection assay (28,38). Reaction mixtures (40 μl) contained 20 mM Tris–HCl (pH 7.5), 10 mM MgCl2, 1 mM DTT, 1 mM ATP, 2.1 μM heat-denatured HindIII-linearised [32P]pSV2neo DNA (2.5 × 105 c.p.m./nmol) and various amounts of protein. After incubation at 37°C for 30 min, reactions were stopped by the addition of 4 μl 10% SDS. The mixtures were then diluted into 550 μl of S1 digestion buffer containing 150 mM NaCl, 50 mM sodium acetate (pH 4.6), 1 mM ZnCl2; then 1 μl of heat-denatured calf thymus DNA (5 mg/ml) and 70 U S1 nuclease were added. Digestions were performed at 37°C for 30 min and the reactions were terminated by the addition of 4 μl calf thymus DNA (5 mg/ml) and 600 μl of 10% trichloroacetic acid. Acid-precipitable radioactivity was measured by liquid scintillation counting.

Complementary DNA strands used in the second DNA renaturation assay were obtained by heat denaturation of a 3’-32P-labelled 422-bp DNA fragment, produced by Avai digestion of M13mp18 RFI DNA (28). Reaction mixtures (10 μl) contained 20 mM Tris–HCl (pH 7.5), 10 mM MgCl2, 1 mM DTT, 1 mM ATP, 0.13 μM heat-denatured 32P-labelled 422-bp DNA fragment and various amounts of protein. After incubation at 37°C for 30 min, reactions were stopped by the addition of 0.1 vol 10% SDS and 100 mM EDTA and analysed by SDS–7.5% PAGE. 32P-labelled DNA was detected by autoradiography and quantified using a Molecular Dynamics PhosphorImager.

D-loop assay

In standard reactions, various amounts of protein were preincubated at room temperature for 5 min with 0.4–0.5 μM 32P-labelled 49mer in a reaction mixture (10 μl) containing 20 mM Tris–HCl (pH 7.5), 1 mM DTT, 5 mM MgCl2, 1 mM ATP or ATPγS and 100 μg/ml BSA. After preincubation, superhelical pUC-SY DNA was added at 30–60 μM and reactions were incubated at 37°C for 30 min, unless otherwise stated. At various times, reactions were stopped and deproteinised at 37°C for 15 min in 0.5% SDS and 2 mg/ml proteinase K. The products were analysed on 0.8% agarose gels in TAE buffer containing 5 mM MgCl2 run at 2 V/cm for 16 h at 4°C, and visualised by ethidium bromide staining. 32P-labelled DNA was detected by autoradiography and quantified using a Molecular Dynamics PhosphorImager.

In vitro phosphorylation of PSF by PKC

Purified rPSF was phosphorylated by PKC in the presence of Ca2+, phosphatidylserine and diacylglycerol using an in vitro PKC assay kit (Upstate Biotechnology Inc., Lake Placid, NY) and according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Controls for these reactions included all components except PKC. Phosphorylation reaction mixtures were incubated at room temperature for 15 min.

RESULTS

Isolation and identification of hPOMp100 as the human polypyrimidine tract-binding protein-associated splicing factor (PSF)

We have previously described a 100-kDa protein, designated hPOMp100, as one of the major DNA pairing proteins in different mammalian cell lines (32). Using the POM assay as a functional test, we purified hPOMp100 from HeLa nuclear extracts (HNE) to near homogeneity (Fig. 1). In all purification steps, the hPOMp100 activity co-purified with a 100-kDa protein. Purified hPOMp100 was devoid of ssDNA or dsDNA exo- and endonuclease, topoisomerase I and II and ATPase, either in the presence or absence of DNA (data not shown). Moreover, the purified protein did not contain any hRAD51 protein or hPOMp75/TLS as revealed by immunoblotting of the preparation with anti-RAD51 antibodies (Oncogene, Cambridge, MA) or with anti-TLS monoclonal antibody (39), respectively (data not shown).

Purified hPOMp100 (Fraction VI) was resolved by SDS–PAGE and submitted for microsequencing. Seven internal peptide sequences were obtained (Fig. 2A) and found to be identical to that of the human PSF (37).

To ensure that isolated hPOMp100 was PSF, His6-rPSF was expressed in E.coli, purified using Ni–NTA and HiTrap heparin affinity chromatography and assayed by the POM assay. Both proteins, hPOMp100 purified from HeLa nuclei and bacterially expressed rPSF, exhibited similar electrophoretic mobility and DNA-pairing activity (Fig. 2B and C). Purified rPSF was used in the studies below and is designated throughout as hPOMp100/PSF.

hPOMp100/PSF binds to ssDNA and dsDNA

HP activity of hPOMp100/PSF implies the ability to interact with DNA; however, its binding to DNA has never been...
studied. Therefore, we have used EMSA to investigate its DNA-binding properties. Upon incubation of increasing amounts of hPOMp100/PSF with the 32P-labelled 49mer oligonucleotide, two protein–DNA complexes that exhibited retarded mobility were detected (Fig. 3A). At concentrations up to 10 nM hPOMp100/PSF, there was a single predominant band with retarded mobility. As the concentration of the protein increased, a second, more slowly migrating band appeared, suggesting that more than one protein molecule may bind to one oligonucleotide molecule under these conditions. At higher concentration of hPOMp100/PSF (>10 nM) large protein–DNA aggregates which did not migrate into the gel were also observed (lanes 5–7).

To compare hPOMp100/PSF affinity for ssDNA and dsDNA, incubation of the protein with the labelled 49-bp duplex was also performed. Binding to this substrate was less efficient than to ss 49mer and a more slowly migrating protein–DNA complex was also noted at higher (40 nM) protein concentrations (Figure 3A, lanes 8–13). In competition experiments, the binding reactions with the labelled 49mer oligonucleotide were carried out in the presence of increasing amounts of unlabelled competitor φX174 dsDNA or ssDNA. In agreement with results shown in Figure 3A, ssDNA competed more efficiently with the labelled oligonucleotide for hPOMp100/PSF binding than did dsDNA. A 50-fold molar excess of ssDNA completely abolished binding while at least a 100-fold molar excess of duplex competitor was needed to achieve a similar effect (Fig. 3B, compare lanes 5 and 6 with 8 and 9).

The addition of up to 5 mM Mg2+ or 1mM ATP to the reaction had little effect on ssDNA binding (data not shown). Dependence of DNA binding on length of ssDNA was also examined using 19, 24, 49 and 60mer synthetic oligonucleotides (Fig. 3C). The efficiency of binding increased with an increase of DNA length; the 19mer oligonucleotide was very poorly bound by hPOMp100/PSF, and no protein–DNA complex was detected. Thus far, no sequence specificity was found for hPOMp100/PSF.

hPOMp100/PSF promotes DNA renaturation

The simplest HP reaction is DNA renaturation, the conversion of two complementary ssDNA molecules into a duplex form. To test if hPOMp100/PSF can promote this reaction, we used the S1 nuclease digestion and agarose gel electrophoresis assays. In the S1 nuclease digestion assay, heat-denatured, Figure 2. Identification of hPOMp100 as the human splicing factor PSF. (A) Peptide sequences of hPOMp100. Fifty pmol of the gel-purified hPOMp100 was microsequenced and found to be the hPSF. Amino acid residues in one-letter code are numbered according to the published hPSF sequence (37). Cys431 in the reported hPSF sequence was miscalled as a Tyr (indicated in lower case) in peptide 22. It is rather unlikely that the original hPSF DNA sequencing was in error. (B) Purification of recombinant PSF (rPSF) E.coli cellular proteins after and before induction with IPTG (lanes 1 and 2, respectively); rPSF purified from E.coli and hPOMp100 purified from HeLa nuclei (Fraction VI) (lanes 3 and 4, respectively). Samples were analysed by SDS–PAGE and Coomassie staining. (C) rPSF displays the POM activity. Bacterially expressed and purified rPSF and hPOMp100 purified from HeLa nuclei (Fraction VI) were analysed by the POM assay (lanes 1 and 2, respectively). Positions of SeeBlue prestained markers and hPOMp100/PSF are indicated.

Figure 3. DNA-binding properties of hPOMp100/PSF. (A) Varying concentrations of hPOMp100/PSF were incubated with either 64 nM 32P-labelled 49mer oligonucleotide (lanes 1–7) or 64 nM 32P-labelled 49-bp ds-oligonucleotide (lanes 8–13). Concentrations of hPOMp100 were 0.1, 0.2, 1, 2, 10 and 20 nM (lanes 2–7) and 2, 5, 10, 20 and 40 nM (lanes 9–13). (B) 2 (lane 2) or 10 nM (lanes 3–9) hPOMp100/PSF were incubated with 64 nM 32P-labelled 49mer oligonucleotide in the absence (lanes 2 and 3) or in the presence of 25-, 50- or 100-fold molar excess of unlabelled ds- (lanes 4–6) or in the presence of 10-, 25- or 50-fold molar excess of unlabelled ssφX174 DNA (lanes 7–9). (C) 10 (lanes 2, 6, 10 and 14), 20 (lanes 3, 7, 11 and 15) or 40 nM (lanes 4, 8, 12 and 16) hPOMp100/PSF were incubated with 60 nM 32P-labelled 19 (lanes 1–4), 24 (lanes 5–8), 49 (lanes 9–12) or 60mer (lanes 13–16) oligonucleotides. The left part of the gel (lanes 1–8) was exposed 3 times longer than the right part (lanes 9–16) in order to detect a comparable amount of protein–DNA complexes. Protein–DNA complexes were analysed by native 6% PAGE. no, no protein was added.
HindIII-linearised [3H]pSV2neo DNA was incubated with hPOMp100/PSF at 37°C for 30 min and the formation of dsDNA was monitored by determining the proportion of the DNA that was converted to an S1 nuclease resistant form. The addition of increasing amounts of hPOMp100/PSF to the reactions resulted in increased formation of dsDNA; up to 73% of the DNA became S1 nuclease resistant at roughly one protein molecule per 50 nt of ssDNA substrate after 15 min incubation at 37°C (Fig. 4A).

DNA-renaturation activity of hPOMp100/PSF was confirmed by a second independent assay which utilised shorter (422 nt) ssDNA substrates and visualised formed products by gel electrophoresis followed by autoradiography. As shown in Figure 4B, hPOMp100/PSF promoted renaturation of 422 nt DNA strands in a concentration dependent manner with an efficiency comparable to that of RecA. After ~20 min of incubation at 37°C, the reaction reached a plateau corresponding to 62% of ssDNA being converted into duplex form (Fig. 4C). Under our conditions, optimal activity was observed at one hPOMp100/PSF molecule per 50–60 nt ssDNA. As in the S1 nuclease digestion assay, the reaction required Mg2+ but not ATP (Fig. 4B, lanes 10 and 11, and data not shown).

**hPOMp100/PSF mediates D-loop formation**

The results presented above demonstrated that hPOMp100/PSF can efficiently promote renaturation of ssDNAs. To test whether the protein can mediate a more specific recombination reaction, the invasion of a duplex DNA by a ssDNA (D-loop formation), hPOMp100 was incubated with the 32P-labelled 49mer oligonucleotide and the homologous superhelical dsDNA. D-loops were detected as the comigration of radioactivity with duplex DNA. As shown in Figure 5, hPOMp100/PSF promoted D-loop formation between homologous ssDNA and dsDNA (lane 2–6), but not between heterologous DNA substrates (lane 9). Since at elevated temperatures D-loop formation can proceed spontaneously without participation of any proteins (40), all our D-loop assays included control reactions which were incubated for 30 min at 37°C. Under our reaction conditions, the yield of D-loops formed spontaneously never exceeded 0.6% (lane 1). As a positive control, we examined the D-loop formation from the same substrates catalysed by RecA protein (lanes 17 and 18). Two major DNA product bands were observed; the more slowly migrating DNA species that comigrated with relaxed dsDNA might represent less efficient assimilation of ssDNA into relaxed dsDNA present in the superhelical DNA preparation. The yield of D-loops formed by hPOMp100/PSF depended on the protein concentration and duration of incubation (Fig. 5). It increased up to 20% at 10 nM of POMp100/PSF after 40 min of incubation at 37°C. Under our conditions, optimal activity was observed at one protein molecule per 20–25 nt ssDNA. hPOMp100/PSF was also able to catalyse the formation of D-loops with 24mer oligonucleotide and homologous superhelical pUC19 or M13mp19 DNAs, implying that the reaction is not specific for a particular DNA sequence context (data not shown).

In contrast to the RecA-like-promoted reaction (14, 15, 41, 42), the addition or omission of ATP or ATPγS to the hPOMp100/PSF-promoted reaction had no effects on D-loop formation (Fig. 5, compare lane 5 with 7 and 8). The reaction required Mg2+; optimal activity occurred at 1–2 mM Mg2+ (Fig. 6, lanes 1–8 and lower panel). Mg2+ can be replaced by Mn2+ but not Ca2+ (lanes 13–16). When the protein was loaded onto ssDNA at 1 mM Mg2+, followed by shift up to 10 mM Mg2+ (the conditions optimal for the RecA-promoted reaction), the efficiency of D-loop formation was not changed (data not shown). D-loop formation was optimal at 0–25 mM NaCl and decreased at higher salt concentrations (Fig. 6, lanes 9–12 and lower panel).

We did not detect any significant differences whether hPOMp100/PSF was preincubated with ssDNA followed by the addition of dsDNA (the conditions required for the hRAD51-promoted HP; 43), or the protein was added to a mixture of ss- and dsDNA (data not shown).

We also studied the effect of varying dsDNA concentration as a function of hPOMp100/PSF concentration on the formation of D-loops (Fig. 7). At a constant protein/ssDNA ratio, the dsDNA concentration had no significant effects on D-loop formation.
ratio which varied from one protein molecule per 160 nt to one protein molecule per 40 nt, increasing the concentration of dsDNA from 10 to 60 mM resulted in an increased amount of D-loops formed. When the linear dsDNA was substituted for the superhelical dsDNA, no D-loops were detected (lane 17), consistent with the known stabilisation of D-loops by supercoiling (42).

As the positively charged RNA/DNA-binding proteins such as splicing factors SF2/ASF and U2AF65 and histone H1 are able to promote DNA renaturation in vitro (44–46), we examined if these proteins can mediate D-loop formation. Although all three control proteins mediated DNA renaturation more efficiently than hPOMp100/PSF, unlike the latter, none of these proteins could promote D-loop formation under various reaction conditions (data not shown).

Phosphorylation of hPOMp100/PSF by PKC stimulates its DNA binding and D-loop formation activity

Because PKC in the presence of Ca2+ and phospholipids phosphorylates PSF in vitro resulting in inhibition of its RNA binding (47), we examined whether PKC phosphorylation of hPOMp100/PSF also affects its DNA binding and D-loop formation activity. When purified protein was phosphorylated by PKC its binding to ssDNA was significantly increased compared to the unphosphorylated protein (Fig. 8A) whereas,
in agreement with results reported previously (47), binding to poly(U) was decreased (data not shown). The formation of a more slowly migrating DNA–protein complex was enhanced in particular. Consistently, PKC phosphorylation of hPOMp100/PSF stimulated its D-loop formation activity (Fig. 8B). Quantitation of the reaction products using a Phosphor-Imager revealed a 2–3-fold increase in D-loop formation by the phosphorylated protein compared to unmodified protein.

**DISCUSSION**

We have previously described two HP activities in nuclear extracts from different mammalian cells (32). One of these proteins, hPOMp100, has been purified from HeLa nuclei to near homogeneity. Seven internal peptides of purified hPOMp100 revealed identity to the human polypyrimidine tract-binding protein-associated splicing factor, PSF, required early in spliceosome formation \textit{in vitro} (37,48). The identification of hPOMp100 as PSF was further confirmed by the demonstration of the ability of bacterially expressed rPSF to promote HP under the POM conditions.

PSF contains two RNA recognition motifs and a proline- and glutamine-rich N terminus, which are present not only in many RNA-binding proteins but also in many transcription factors (37). However, its ability to bind DNA has never been addressed. hPOMp100/PSF binds both ssDNA and dsDNA in a non-sequence specific manner with a slightly higher affinity for ssDNA. Unlike RecA protein (49) and similar to hRAD51 protein (43), hPOMp100/PSF does not bind to ssDNA with high cooperativity.

Proteins involved in homologous recombination like \textit{E.coli} RecA, RecT and RecO, \textit{Ustilago maydis} Rec2 and yeast and human RAD52 are able to catalyse the renaturation of two complementary DNA strands (38,50–54). Using two independent assays with two different DNA substrates, we have demonstrated that hPOMp100/PSF has a similar activity. The hPOMp100/PSF-promoted renaturation requires Mg\textsuperscript{2+} but is ATP-independent.

In contrast to DNA renaturation, a rather simple reaction that can occur non-enzymatically and can be facilitated by unrelated proteins, D-loop formation is a much more specific recombination reaction (2). According to the current models
for homologous recombination, D-loop is the first heteroduplex DNA intermediate generated between two recombining DNA molecules. Moreover, as discussed by Kowalczykowski and Eggleston (2), this in vitro reaction is less prone to artifacts than strand exchange.

hPOMp100/PSF is able to catalyse the formation of D-loops in superhelical duplex DNA. The formation of D-loops by hPOMp100/PSF is slow and does not exhibit the pseudoreversibility that is a feature of the RecA-mediated reaction (42,55). Similar characteristics have been reported for D-loop formation by RecO, RecT, scRad51 and hDMC1 proteins (56–59). It is worth noting that although the efficiency of D-loop formation by hPOMp100/PSF is lower than that reported for RecA, it is comparable with those reported for scRad51 and hDMC1 (58,59). Like the formation of D-loops by RecO protein (56) and HP and strand transfer by hRAD51 (43), the hPOMp100/PSF-mediated reaction is optimal at low Mg²⁺ concentrations. This could be explained in part by the inability of these proteins to remove secondary structures from ssDNA.

The most significant difference in HP mediated by both POM proteins, hPOMp100/PSF and hPOMp75/TLS, from that by RecA-like proteins is the absence of requirement for a high energy cofactor. The E.coli recombination proteins RecT and RecO display a similar feature (50,51,57,58). Recently discovered SMC proteins from both yeast and mammals are also able to catalyse ATP-independent DNA reannealing (28,29) whereas the reaction promoted by bacterial SMC is highly stimulated by ATP (30). According to current models, DNA-renaturation activity of pro- and eukaryotic SMC proteins might be essential for their roles in recombination/repair as well as in chromosome condensation and chromatid cohesion (6).

Another important difference which suggests that the mechanism of D-loop formation by hPOMp100/PSF is different from that of the RecA-like catalysed reaction, is the demonstration that the amount of the protein required for optimal activity is determined by the concentration of dsDNA. In contrast to the RecA-like promoted reaction which is mediated by a nucleoprotein filament (1,2,12,13,60), D-loop formation by hPOMp100/PSF might involve binding to both ssDNA and dsDNA, unwinding of the latter followed by reannealing of the ssDNA to complementary sequences. A similar mechanism was proposed for the RecO-catalysed reaction (56). In agreement with this, the order of addition of DNA substrates and protein has no effect on the efficiency of the hPOMp100/PSF-promoted D-loop formation, whereas for efficient HP catalysed by RecA and especially by hRAD51, it is essential to preincubate the proteins with ssDNA, to form nucleoprotein filament, prior to the addition of dsDNA.

Finally, D-loop formation activity is a specific feature of both POM proteins. Indeed, despite splicing factors SF2/ASF and U2AF65 as well as histone H1 displaying somewhat similar biochemical characteristics (highly basic charge, RNA/DNA binding and DNA renaturation; 44–46), they are unable to catalyse the formation of D-loops. This also implies that D-loop formation by hPOMp100/PSF is not a simple consequence of its annealing activity.

The biological importance of D-loop formation is emphasised by emerging evidence that this reaction could also be implicated in re-establishing stalled replication forks (8–10). In this context, our results demonstrating that both POMp100/PSF and POMp75/TLS activities are associated with cell proliferation (33) further suggest that their in vitro HP activities may be physiologically relevant.

Evidence for an additional intriguing similarity between hPOMp100/PSF and hPOMp75/TLS linking both proteins to tumourigenesis has recently emerged. In papillary renal cell carcinoma, chromosomal translocation results in the fusion of PSF to the TFE3 transcription factor gene (61). Given the structurally similar fusion of TLS to the CHOP gene in lymphomas (62,63) and our recent finding that the oncoprotein TLS–CHOP cannot promote DNA pairing (34), it will be of interest to study HP activity of the fusion protein PSF–TFE3 to define cellular functions of hPOMp100/PSF.

PSF was first discovered as a splicing factor associated with the polygyrimidine tract-binding protein and essential for the second catalytic step of pre-mRNA splicing in vitro (37,48). The same protein appears to be purified as a 100-kDa DNA-binding subunit of a p100/p52 heterodimer of unknown function (64). More recently, PSF has been demonstrated to interact with a variety of cellular targets including the human pro-oncoproteins EWS and hPOMp75/TLS and calmodulin (47), the RNA/DNA-binding nuclear protein p54nrb (the homologue of PSF) and DNA topoisomerase I (65). We also observed stable PSF/p54nrb heterodimers in HeLa nuclear extracts whereas association with hPOMp75/TLS was less stable (A.T.Akhemedov, unpublished results). Interestingly, the PSF/p54nrb dimer not only copurifies with DNA topoisomerase I but also stimulates in vitro activity of the enzyme (65), which itself is involved in various aspects of DNA dynamics. These interactions, together with the relative abundance of hPOMp100/PSF, suggest that it may get recruited by protein–protein interactions to various RNA and/or DNA metabolic pathways.

Emerging evidence indicates that radiation-induced phosphorylation of hRAD51 recombainase may regulate RAD51-dependent recombination/repair, although the current data are controversial (66,67). In this context, our finding demonstrating that PKC phosphorylation of hPOMp100/PSF stimulates its binding to DNA and D-loop formation but inhibits its binding to RNA implies an additional or alternative regulatory mechanism to direct this multifunctional protein to DNA metabolism. Significantly, a similar regulatory switch between RNA and DNA binding has been recently proposed for one of the hPOMp100/PSF interacting partners, p54nrb (68).

Given the essential role of hPOMp100/PSF in pre-mRNA splicing and the absence of its homologue in yeast, it is difficult to provide genetic evidence for auxiliary roles of this multi-faceted protein in DNA metabolism. Significantly, the recombinational potential of another POM protein, hPOMp75/TLS, which was also first described as an RNA-binding protein, was supported by the phenotypes of TLS-deficient mice (35,36). In this regard, detailed biochemical characterisation of hPOMp100/PSF is of particular importance. Although based on demonstrated biochemical characteristics it is tempting to speculate on a role for hPOMp100/PSF in some aspects of DNA recombination/repair, these novel features might also be important for its role in spliceosome formation during pre-mRNA splicing.
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