RNA structure analysis assisted by capillary electrophoresis
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ABSTRACT

Although most capillary electrophoresis (CE) applications in the nucleic acid field performed so far address DNA analysis, various RNA assays by CE have also been done. Both natural and synthetic RNAs have been examined to evaluate their quantities, sizes, and interactions. In this report, we show a novel application of CE in RNA research for the analysis of RNA stable conformers. First, we present a successful adaptation of two different enzymatic methods for the 3'-end labeling of RNAs with commercially available fluorescent probes. Then, we show the high performance of CE with laser-induced fluorescence detection (CE-LIF) assisting the structural studies of transcripts. Using the example of regulatory elements present in the breast cancer gene transcript BRCA1, we demonstrate—by direct comparisons—the advantages of CE-LIF over the traditional slab-gel electrophoresis. These include a better and more reproducible separation of conformers, shorter analysis time and higher detection sensitivity.

INTRODUCTION

For several decades, conventional slab-gel electrophoresis has been fundamental in many methods of nucleic acids characterization, but recently, with the advent of modern capillary electrophoresis with laser-induced fluorescence detection systems (CE-LIF), it has started to lose its dominance in DNA research. This trend has not been followed in the RNA field, in which technology similar to PCR does not exist. This problem is important when fragments of larger RNAs are taken out of their sequence context for detailed structure characterization. The isolated fragments, in spite of having a single sequence, may form two or more co-existing stable conformers, which seriously complicates their further analysis. In this study, we show some representative examples of structurally homogeneous and heterogeneous fragments of the BRCA1 transcript analyzed by both slab-gel electrophoresis and CE.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

DNA templates and in vitro transcription

The templates for in vitro transcription were synthesized by PCR from gel-purified amplification products of the BRCA1 gene or cDNA fragments as specified in Table 1. Forward primers used in the reamplification reaction contained the T7 gene or cDNA fragments as speciﬁed in Table 1. Forward primers used in the reampliﬁcation reaction contained the T7 RNA polymerase promoter sequence at the 5'-end. PCR was performed in a reaction volume of 20 μl and besides the appropriate template it contained: 1 μM each primer forward and reverse, 10 mM Tris–HCl (pH 9.0), 1.5 mM MgCl2, 50 mM KCl, 0.1% Triton X-100, 200 μM of each of the dNTPs, and 0.5 U Taq polymerase (Promega). PCR thermal conditions were: 95°C for 3 min and 35 cycles of 94°C for 1 s, 55–58°C for 1 s, 72°C for 20 s (see Table 1 for primer sequences and fragment-specific annealing temperatures).

The transcription reactions carried out in a 50 μl volume contained: 10 pmol of DNA template, 400 U T7 RNA
polymersase (Promega), 0.5 mM each ribo-NTPs, and 3 mM guanosine in a T7 RNA polymerase buffer supplemented with 10 mM DTT. The incubation temperature was 37°C for 1 h. The transcripts were purified in denaturing 6% polyacrylamide gels.

5'-End labeling of transcripts and native gel electrophoresis

The transcripts were 5'-end labeled with T4 polynucleotide kinase (Promega) and [γ-32P]ATP (4500 Ci/mmol; ICN), and were purified in denaturing polyacrylamide gels. All labeled transcripts were controlled for the number of co-existing stable conformers, in 6 or 10% native polyacrylamide gels 400/300/0.4 mm (acylamide/bisacrylamide, 29/1) buffered with 45 mM Tris-borate, at a fixed temperature of 20°C. The electrophoresis was conducted at a constant power of 20 W for 3–5 h, followed by autoradiography at ~80°C with an intensifying screen. The preparation of RNA samples for electrophoresis is described in the legend to the figures.

RNA structure probing

The gel-purified 5'-end labeled transcripts were supplemented with an unlabeled tRNA carrier to a final concentration of 8 μM, and subjected to a denaturation and renaturation procedure in a solution containing 20 mM Tris–HCl (pH 7.2), 80 mM NaCl, 20 mM MgCl2, by heating the sample at 75°C for 1 min and slowly cooling it to room temperature. Limited RNA hydrolysis was initiated by mixing 5 μl of the RNA sample described above with 5 μl of a probe solution containing lead ions or S1 nuclease in water at different concentrations, as described in the legend to Figure 2. All reactions were performed at 20°C for 20 min, and stopped by adding an equal volume of stop solution (7.5 M urea, 20 mM EDTA and dyes) and sample freezing. The products of the RNA cleavages were separated in 6% polyacrylamide gels containing 7 M urea, 90 mM Tris-borate buffer and 2 mM EDTA and dyes) and sample freezing. The products of the RNA cleavages were separated in 6% polyacrylamide gels containing 7 M urea, 90 mM Tris-borate buffer and 2 mM EDTA, along with the products of limited digestion of the same RNA with ribonuclease T1 in semi-denaturing conditions (10 M sodium citrate, pH 4.5, 0.5 mM EDTA, 4 M urea, at 55°C), and with an alkaline hydrolysis ladder prepared by incubating the labeled RNA in hot formamide with 0.5 mM magnesium ions at 100°C. The electrophoresis, performed at 1500 V, was followed by autoradiography at ~80°C with an intensifying screen.

The fluorescent labeling of RNA at the 3' end

The fluorescent labeling of in vitro transcripts at their 3' end was achieved with three different 6-carboxyrhodamine derivatives of dUTP: R110, RG6 and TAMRA (Fig. 1A), and either of the two enzymes: Klenow fragment of DNA polymerase I or TdT.

The standard labeling reaction with Klenow fragment was performed in a 10–20 μl volume and contained: 5 μl gel-purified transcript, 20 μl oligodeoxynucleotide templates (ex1a47nt-fl 5'-CATGGCAGGGAAGTCTCA, ex1b64nt-fl 5'-TACAGCCACGCTAGTCTCA, ex1a-fl 5'-CACCTTACCACGAGC and ex2-fl 5'-CACAGATGGAAGAC), complementary
Figure 1. Efficiency of fluorescent 3’-end labeling of the BRCA1 transcripts. (A) Three rhodamine derivatives of dUTP used for 3’-end labeling of RNAs: [R110]dUTP (left), [RG6]dUTP (middle), [TAMRA]dUTP (right). The maximum emission wavelengths of fluorochromes used are 525, 549 and 572 nm for R110, RG6 and TAMRA, respectively. (B) CE in denaturing conditions of three BRCA1 transcripts: Ex1a47nt, Ex1b64nt and Ex1a-2, labeled with Klenow fragment and [R110]dUTP (top), [RG6]dUTP (middle) and [TAMRA]dUTP (bottom); TAMRA-500 or ROX-1000 internal standard (gray lines). (C) CE in denaturing conditions of three BRCA1 transcripts: Ex1a102nt, Ex1a and Ex1a-2, labeled with TdT and [R110]dUTP (top), [RG6]dUTP (middle), [TAMRA]dUTP (bottom). (D) Relative labeling efficiency of three different RNA molecules with three fluorescent dUTP derivatives using Klenow fragment. The shown labeling efficiency with [TAMRA]dUTP was multiplied by a factor of 4, as the emission intensity of this fluorochrome is four times lower than that for the other two rhodamine derivatives used. The data represent average values obtained in three independent experiments, and [R110]dUTP incorporation is taken as 100%. (E) As in (D), but using TdT to label five different RNAs.
to the 3’ end of the four RNA molecules to be labeled: Ex1a47nt, Ex1b64nt, Ex1a and Ex1a-2, respectively (non-complementary positions underlined), 20 μM labeled dUTP (PE Applied Biosystems), 50 mM Tris–HCl (pH 7.2), 10 mM MgSO₄, 0.1 mM DTT, 20 U RNazin and 10 U Klenow fragment (Promega). The mixture containing all the components except the enzyme was heated to 80°C and immediately cooled to assure the efficient hybridization of DNA oligomer to the RNA molecule. The incorporation reaction was performed at 30°C for 30 min and stopped by sample freezing.

The fluorescent labeling with TdT was achieved in conditions in which the transcripts were extended by one single labeled dUTP residue. The molar ratio of RNA/dUTP was 1/0.5. The reaction was performed in a 10 μl mixture containing: 5 μM purified transcript, 2.5 μM labeled dUTP, 25 U TdT (Promega), 20 U RNazin, 100 mM cacodylate buffer (pH 6.8), 0.5 mM CoCl₂ and 0.1 mM DTT. The reaction was performed at 37°C for 30 min and stopped by sample freezing.

**Conditions of CE**

The purified labeled transcripts dissolved in 50 μl of buffer containing 10 mM Tris–HCl (pH 7.2), 40 mM NaCl and 10 mM MgCl₂ were denatured at 75°C for 1 min, renatured by slow cooling to room temperature, and analyzed by CE after further dilution in the same buffer (5–50 times depending on the transcript concentration and labeling efficiency). The electrophoresis was performed on a ABI 3100 genetic analyzer (PE Applied Biosystems), an instrument equipped with an argon laser which emits the greatest intensity of light at 488 nm, was used in all separations. The results were analyzed using GeneScan software. To obtain reproducible results, all electropherograms were calibrated by fixing the positions of peaks produced by the DNA internal standard.

**RESULTS**

**The labeling of RNA with fluorescent nucleotides**

The Klenow fragment of DNA polymerase I from E.coli and TdT were examined for their activity in labeling transcripts with fluorescent nucleotides. In the reaction with Klenow fragment any RNA of known sequence may be extended by a single labeled deoxynucleotide in a DNA template-dependent manner (18). The oligodeoxynucleotides used in our study as templates were 14–17 nt long, and after hybridization to the 3’ portions of transcripts, contained dinucleotide overhangs 5’-YA (Y-T or C). The presence of the A residue at the second position of the template allowed transcript labeling with fluorescent derivatives of the complementary dUTP in the filling reaction with Klenow fragment. The dUTPs labeled with three different rhodamine analogs R110, RG6 and TAMRA (Fig. 1A) were tested for the efficiency of their incorporation onto the 3’-termini of three different RNAs. As it turned out, all studied dUTP derivatives could be incorporated to all RNAs. Their efficiency, however, varied, as has been shown by the results of the CE of the labeled transcripts performed in denaturing conditions (Fig. 1B). The labeling with the R110 derivative was most efficient; >50% of all the transcripts were elongated by a single dU residue, in comparison with RG6 being the second most effective. The extent of labeling with TAMRA was only 20% of that obtained with R110 (Fig. 1D).

**CE confirms homogeneous RNA structures**

The functional significance of the 12 bp insertion/duplication in intron-20 of the BRCA1 gene has been the subject of several recent studies (19–23). In our earlier work, the enhanced reactivity of the dUTP derivatives specified above was the same for all transcripts tested with each of the labeling methods (Fig. 1D and E).

Another example of straightforward structural studies in which CE successfully assisted was the analysis of the 379 nt long BRCA1 exon 1b transcript (Ex1b). This alternative first exon of the BRCA1 gene encoding the 5’ leader sequence was
shown to be responsible for the translational downregulation of the BRCA1 gene (26). Also in this case, slab-gel electrophoresis (Fig. 3A) as well as CE (Fig. 3B) performed in native conditions were indicative of a single conformer, and these results proved correct after confronting the structure-probing data (data not shown). The advantage of CE in the...
Figure 3. Structure analysis of two BRCA1 transcripts: Ex1a-2 and Ex1b. (A) Non-denaturing 6% polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis of 5'-end radiolabeled Ex1b transcript treated as follows: lane 1, dissolved in the structure-probing buffer (10 mM Tris–HCl pH 7.2, 10 mM magnesium ions, 40 mM NaCl) and heated to 75°C for 1 min (denaturation) and cooled slowly to 20°C (renaturation); lane 2, treated as above but with carrier RNA added to a final concentration of 8 mM. (B) CE in non-denaturing conditions of Ex1b transcript fluorescently labeled at its 3' end with TdT and [R110]dUTP (shadowed peaks); TAMRA-500 internal standard (gray line). (C and D) As described in (A) and (B) but for Ex1a-2 transcript.

above two cases is the possibility of achieving highly reproducible results more quickly.

CE reveals a heterogeneous RNA structure

The 223 nt long Ex1a-2 transcript of the BRCA1 exons 1a-2, which includes an alternative leader sequence, migrates as a single conformer in compliance with the result of the non-denaturing polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (Fig. 3C). Unlike the RNAs described in the preceding section, the structure-probing data collected for that transcript were unable to fit any single secondary structure. The most likely explanation of this discrepancy was the structural heterogeneity of the analyzed transcript, which escaped detection by the native gel electrophoresis. Therefore, CE was performed and demonstrated the presence of two species (Fig. 3D). The knowledge gained with the help of CE strongly facilitated further structural studies of these conformers (26). They were analyzed as a mixture and the cleavage patterns obtained could be assigned to match two secondary structures that were predicted by the Mfold program (27).

Elimination of RNA structure heterogeneity

As described above, the structures of individual conformers present in simple two-component mixtures may still be resolved. However, the 124 nt long BRCA1 Ex1a transcript forms multiple stable conformers as revealed by slab-gel electrophoresis (Fig. 4A) and CE (Fig. 4B and C). It was shown by native slab-gel electrophoresis that neither the components of the reaction buffer used for RNA structure probing, the presence of RNA carrier, nor the subjecting of an RNA sample to the standard denaturation/renaturation procedure could significantly change the observed pattern of conformer separation (Fig. 4A). This indicates the rather high stability of these conformers which are not influenced by the conditions applied. Concerning the results obtained by CE it is worth noting that transcripts labeled with each of the three fluorescent dUTP derivatives gave exactly the same conformer separation patterns (Fig. 4B). This means that none of the different probes attached to the 3' end is capable of changing the transcript structure. That the resolved peaks indeed represent different conformers of the same transcript was demonstrated by changed CE patterns at elevated temperatures (Fig. 4C) and by the presence of a single peak in the CE conducted in denaturing conditions (Fig. 1C).
In cases of structurally heterogeneous transcripts the conformer separation is recommended either prior to or after structure probing and the relevant protocols have been described earlier (25). However, the elimination of structure heterogeneity may also be achieved by other means and transcript elongation or shortening are among the options. For the 124 nt Ex1a transcript, the latter approach was used. A homogenous structure was generated after the hybridization of complementary oligodeoxynucleotide (Rex1a) to the 3’ end of the Ex1a transcript (Fig. 4D). This result suggested that a transcript lacking the hybridized part will be structurally homogeneous. This expectation proved correct as shown by CE (Fig. 4E) and slab-gel electrophoresis (data not shown). The structure-probing results collected for this 22 nt shorter Ex1a102nt transcript fit perfectly into the proposed single secondary structure (26).

DISCUSSION

With the rapid progress of research on transcripts of eukaryotic genes and the entire transcriptomes it has become clear that mRNAs are regulated at many levels by a variety of trans-acting factors (28,29) and cis-elements hidden in their sequences and structures (26,30,31). The structures and functions of these elements need to be precisely characterized, and the structure analysis is usually performed by chemical and enzymatic probing (32,33). When fragments of large RNAs are selected for end labeling and structure analysis it is strongly recommended to check the in vitro transcripts for their structure homogeneity (25). This is usually done by polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis in non-denaturing conditions, which is laborious and time consuming. In this work, we have shown a successful adaptation of CE for this purpose, and have demonstrated its advantages compared to slab-gel electrophoresis. In our experiments we used easily available reagents for labeling transcripts with fluorescent probes, and analyzed the labeled RNAs using generally available equipment. Thus, the proposed RNA-CE-LIF analysis may be widely applicable. Although the labeling reactions may be further optimized, we have shown in this study that the developed procedures work well with the dUTPs containing bulky rhodamine substituents. The advantage of end labeling with one probe molecule per transcript over internal labeling with multiple probes per transcript is obvious. The multiple probes placed at many different locations of the folded transcript may change its original structure. This undesired possibility was demonstrated for single-stranded DNA fragments internally labeled with fluorescent deoxynucleotides and analyzed by the single-strand conformation polymorphism (SSCP) method (34). The RNA fragments analyzed in our study were in the size range of ~40–400 nt, i.e. they covered the length range of end-labeled transcripts that could be successfully analyzed by chemical and enzymatic probing. This is also the size range of DNA fragments analyzed by SSCP-CE-LIF to detect polymorphisms and mutations (34–36). In principle, the CE conditions optimal for SSCP should also be suitable for the analysis of RNA conformers.

As revealed by direct comparisons of the two electrophoresis methods, the structurally heterogeneous fragments analyzed in our study could be better resolved by CE. Another advantage of the CE separations is their rapidity. The entire analysis, depending on the transcript length and conformation, takes 15–20 min, which compares favorably to the hours required to complete such an analysis by polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis. Moreover, the fluorescence signal detection is at least one order of magnitude more sensitive than radioactivity detection (37). This not only allows the analysis of attomolar quantities of labeled transcripts, but also tolerates the lower efficiencies of labeling reactions.

In conclusion, the methods of fluorescent RNA end labeling described in this work pave the way for RNA structure analysis in the automated CE-LIF format. The detailed RNA structure probing by chemical and enzymatic methods should also be feasible, likewise many other applications for which radiolabeling is being currently used.
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